

NBER WORKING PAPER SERIES

THE CLAIMING OF CHILDREN ON U.S. TAX RETURNS

Geoffrey Gee
Jacob Goldin
Joseph Gray-Hancuch
Ithai Lurie
Vedant Vohra

Working Paper 33277
<http://www.nber.org/papers/w33277>

NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH
1050 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02138
December 2024

For helpful comments, we are grateful to Edith Brashares, James Cilke, Ben Meiselman, Jake Mortenson, David Splinter, and Mary Clair Turner. Neel Lal provided excellent research assistance. The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the U.S. Treasury Department. Any taxpayer data used in this research was kept in a secured IRS data repository, and all results have been reviewed to ensure that no confidential information is disclosed. The views expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Bureau of Economic Research.

NBER working papers are circulated for discussion and comment purposes. They have not been peer-reviewed or been subject to the review by the NBER Board of Directors that accompanies official NBER publications.

© 2024 by Geoffrey Gee, Jacob Goldin, Joseph Gray-Hancuch, Ithai Lurie, and Vedant Vohra. All rights reserved. Short sections of text, not to exceed two paragraphs, may be quoted without explicit permission provided that full credit, including © notice, is given to the source.

The Claiming of Children on U.S. Tax Returns

Geoffrey Gee, Jacob Goldin, Joseph Gray-Hancuch, Ithai Lurie, and Vedant Vohra

NBER Working Paper No. 33277

December 2024

JEL No. H20, H24, H53, I13, I32

ABSTRACT

Tax benefits tied to children form a central component of the social safety net in the United States. To participate in these programs, taxpayers must claim a child on their tax return. We study the claiming of children on tax returns by drawing on health insurance information returns to establish the presence of children in the United States. We estimate that the vast majority of insured children (approximately 95 percent) and a significant majority (between 88 and 97 percent) of all U.S. children are claimed on tax returns. Unclaimed children are disproportionately concentrated in lower income households and are more likely to live in Black and Hispanic neighborhoods.

Geoffrey Gee
Department of the Treasury
Office of Tax Analysis
Room 4050
Washington DC 20220
geoffrey.gee@treasury.gov

Jacob Goldin
University of Chicago Law School
1111 E 60th Street
Chicago, IL 60637
and NBER
jsgoldin@gmail.com

Joseph Gray-Hancuch
Department of the Treasury
Joseph.Gray-Hancuch@treasury.gov

Ithai Lurie
Department of the Treasury
1500 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20220
Ithai.Lurie@treasury.gov

Vedant Vohra
University of California San Diego
vevohra@ucsd.edu

1 Introduction

Providing financial assistance to low-income households with children is an important policy goal. In the United States, a large and growing share of such assistance is provided through the income tax. Families seeking to benefit from tax-administered programs must file a tax return stating their eligibility to participate. For tax-administered programs tied to children, participation requires taxpayers to claim one or more children on their tax return.

In practice, there is evidence that the requirement to file taxes operates as a significant barrier to participating in tax-administered programs that benefit children. For example, approximately one-in-five households that qualify for the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) fail to claim it, and of that group, approximately two-thirds did not file a tax return (Jones, 2014). Conversely, claiming of the EITC is quite high among those who qualify for the credit and do file a return, over 90% (Census, 2013).

In this paper, we study the claiming of children on federal income tax returns by estimating the child claim rate — the share of children residing in the U.S. that are claimed on a U.S. tax return. Estimating this quantity is difficult because the universe of individuals connected to the U.S. tax system is not limited to individuals living in the U.S. Conversely, children who have not been claimed on a tax return may not appear in administrative tax records.

To surmount these challenges, we draw on health insurance information returns to identify a population of children who are known to live in the U.S. and who can be linked to administrative tax records. Doing so allows us to calculate the child claim rate for children who are reported to be enrolled in at least one month of health insurance coverage during the year – a group we estimate to represent 92 to 94 percent of all children living in the U.S., depending on the year. We use this approach to shed light on the child claim rate for the overall population of children in the U.S., as well as for various subgroups of U.S. children defined by age, state, and various neighborhood characteristics. We estimate these parameters for tax years 2017 through 2021, a sample period that spans multiple policy changes in child-linked tax provisions.

Our results suggest that in recent years, a significant majority of children living in the U.S. were claimed on tax returns. For our sample period, we estimate that the annual child claim rate among children with health insurance ranged from 95.1% to 96.5%. As a robustness check,

we also calculate the child claim rate obtained by scaling the total number of children claimed on U.S. tax returns by Census-derived estimates for the total number of children residing in the U.S.; this approach yields a slightly higher estimate for the child claim rate. Finally, we estimate worst-case bounds for the overall U.S. child claim rate based on the share of U.S. children appearing on health insurance information returns; these bounds imply child claim rates between 88 and 97 percent.

In addition to estimating the overall child claim rate, we document substantial heterogeneity in the child claim rate by demographic characteristics. For most years in our sample period, taxpayers with no earnings did not benefit from tax-administered programs, and very low income taxpayers were eligible for only modest benefits. Consistent with these reduced incentives to file a tax return, we observe lower claiming rates for the children in our sample whose parents earned very low wages, as well as for children who obtained health insurance coverage through Medicaid or the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP). We also estimate lower child claim rates for children living in Black and Hispanic neighborhoods, which may be due to the lower amount of tax benefits for which Black and Hispanic children tend to qualify (Collyer, Harris and Wimer, 2020; Goldin and Michelmore, 2020).

Understanding the child claim rate is important for several reasons. First, it sheds light on the degree to which tax-administered social programs like the EITC and Child Tax Credit are reaching children – a group for whom the anti-poverty benefits of such programs may be particularly large (National Academies of Science, 2019). Not all children qualify for tax-administered programs, so one should not assume that every unclaimed child is missing out on an available benefit. At the same time, claiming a child on a tax return is a necessary condition for participating in a child-linked tax program. And because the vast majority of taxpayers or their preparers use semi-automated software to file their tax returns, a taxpayer who claims a child on a return is likely to claim the full range of benefits for which the child qualifies (Goldin, 2018). For these reasons, a child being claimed on a tax return is a generally a good indication for whether tax-administered social programs are reaching the child.

Second, the child claim rate provides context for interpreting estimates of the take-up of tax-administered social program (e.g., Dickert-Conlin, Fitzpatrick and Hanson, 2005; Plueger,

2009; Jones, 2014). Studies that produce such estimates typically do so by first identifying a set of benefit-eligible households and then calculating the fraction of such households that claim the benefit. However, children in the non-claiming household may have been claimed by some (potentially ineligible) other taxpayer (Leibel, Lin and McCubbin, 2020). By focusing on filing at the level of the child rather than the household, the child claim rate may present a more complete picture of the degree to which children are actually benefiting from tax-administered social program for children.

Third, a series of influential studies investigate inter-generational mobility using tax data (Auten, Gee and Turner, 2013; Chetty et al., 2014*a,b*, 2020). Because the matching of individuals across generations in such studies requires a child to have been claimed on a tax return, understanding the child claim rate sheds light on the degree to which these study results are representative of the overall U.S. population.¹

Finally, understanding the child claim rate speaks to the merits of administering new safety net programs through the tax code. To the extent that most children are already being claimed on tax returns, take-up of a new tax child tax benefit programs is likely to be high, especially in light of high documented rates of non-participation in non-tax programs such as SNAP, Medicaid, and Unemployment Insurance (e.g., Currie, 2004; Bitler et al., 2022; Ko and Moffitt, 2024). On the other hand, to the extent that the non-claiming of children is concentrated among socially disadvantaged groups, implementing new child benefit programs through the tax code risks exacerbating existing patterns of inequality unless there are changes to child claiming behavior.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides background information on child benefits available through the tax code during our sample period. Section 3 describes our data and estimation strategy. Section 4 presents our results. Section 5 concludes.

¹A related question that comes up in this context is the share of U.S. adults appearing on tax returns. Auten and Gee (2009) compare Census counts of adult residents to filers and estimate that 85.5% of residents over the age of 25 filed a 1996 return. More recent work investigates this question using income information returns to construct the U.S. adult resident population (e.g., Larrimore, Mortenson and Splinter, 2021).

2 Institutional Background

A large number of tax provisions depend on whether taxpayers claim children on their returns. For illustration, we describe in detail the rules governing one such provision, the Child Tax Credit (CTC), before briefly describing the rules for other child-linked tax programs.

The CTC provides a tax credit to taxpayers based on the number of qualifying children they claim. The maximum per-child credit varied over our sample period, ranging between \$1,000 for 2017, \$2,000 for 2018-2020, and \$3,000 to \$3,600 for 2021.

There are a number of rules that govern which children are considered to be a qualifying child for purposes of the CTC. First, the child must be younger than 17 on the last day of the year. Second, the child must not provide more than half of his or her own support. Third, beginning in 2018, the child must have a Social Security Number authorizing him or her for work.² Fourth, the child must not file a joint return with his or her spouse.

There are additional limits on which taxpayers may claim which children for purposes of the CTC. In particular, the taxpayer must generally live with the child for more than half of the year and must be related to the child through one of a specified set of relationships (e.g., the child's parent, grandparent, sibling, aunt, or uncle).³ If no taxpayer satisfies these requirements with respect to a specific child for a tax year, then that child cannot be claimed for the CTC.⁴ In contrast, if two or more taxpayers qualify to claim the same child during a single year, a series of tie-breaker tests determine which taxpayer's claim will prevail.

For most years in our sample period, the CTC was only available to taxpayers with income from work. In these years, children could not be claimed for the CTC if the only taxpayer who met the requirements to claim them did not have earned income. For 2021, however, the American Rescue Plan Act modified the CTC rules to eliminate the income phase-in. Thus, for 2021 only, children could be claimed for the CTC even by taxpayers without income from work. In addition to making the CTC fully refundable for 2021, this legislation provided for advanced CTC payments and increased the maximum CTC benefit for 2021 to \$3,600 per child

²In contrast, the taxpayer claiming the child need not have an SSN in order to qualify for the credit.

³In certain cases, a parent of a child may claim the child for the CTC if the child spent more than one-half of the year living with a parent, even if no single parent spent more than one-half of the year with the child.

⁴This might occur if a child was being raised by an individual who does not satisfy the relationship test, such as the child's cousin, or if the child did not live with any one individual for more than one-half of the year.

under the age of 6 and \$3,000 per child between the ages of 6 of 18.

Another important child-linked tax benefit is the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) – a refundable credit for low- and middle-income taxpayers with income from work. The EITC provides a larger credit the more children a taxpayer claims, up to a maximum of three children per return. The rules that determine which children a taxpayer may claim for the EITC are similar to those that govern the CTC, but there are several differences. First, the EITC is available for children up the age of 19, or 24, if the child is a full-time student, or any age, if the child is permanently and totally disabled. Second, a taxpayer may claim a child for the EITC even if the child provides more than half of her own support. Third, unlike with the CTC, the EITC’s residency requirement cannot be waived by a custodial parent to allow a non-custodial parent to claim a child. Fourth, taxpayers without a social security number authorizing work cannot claim a child for the EITC, even if the child does have a qualifying SSN.

There are a number of additional child-linked tax provisions beyond the EITC and CTC:

- The Child and Dependent Care Tax Credit (CDCTC) provides a tax credit for child care expenses for up to two children. During most of our sample period, the CDCTC provided a non-refundable credit of up to \$3,000 in child-care expenses per child for up to two children.⁵ It is generally available only for children below the age of 13.
- The Head of Household Filing Status (HHFS) provides a larger standard deduction and lower marginal tax brackets for unmarried taxpayers who claim a child (or other dependent).
- Prior to 2018, taxpayers could claim children for purposes of the dependent exemption deduction. Then, following the elimination of that provision in the 2017 tax reform, dependents who would have qualified for the dependent exemption but who do not qualify for the CTC—including certain children—can be claimed for a smaller, non-refundable credit of \$500 per dependent.
- The American Opportunity Tax Credit (AOTC), as well as various other provisions, provide tax credits or other tax savings to those who pay for a dependent’s college expenses.

⁵Like the CTC, the American Rescue Plan made the CDCTC refundable for the 2021 tax year and temporarily increased its generosity to \$4000 per child for that year.

- The Premium Tax Credit (PTC) is a refundable tax credit that subsidizes the cost of purchasing health insurance, including coverage that taxpayers obtain for their dependents.
- During 2020 and 2021, the IRS and Treasury Department disbursed three rounds of Economic Impact Payments (EIPs) to taxpayers and their dependents. These payments provided \$500, \$600, and \$1,400 per dependent, respectively.⁶ Taxpayers did not need to report earned income to qualify for these payments, and eligibility for the payments was limited to taxpayers with an SSN authorizing work. Taxpayers who received these payments for themselves or their dependents on the basis of a prior-year tax return or other administrative record were not required to file a current-year tax return claiming the dependent, but taxpayers who did not receive an automatic payment could claim the benefit by filing a current-year return and claiming themselves and/or a dependent.
- A large number of other tax provisions are at least peripherally linked to the claiming of children, such as the deduction for unreimbursed medical expenses, which allows taxpayers to deduct medical expenses incurred on behalf of their dependents.

The eligibility requirements for children to qualify for these benefits vary across provisions. The requirements to claim a child for the CDCTC most closely resemble those of the EITC and CTC, whereas many of the other provisions impose looser requirements, for example allowing taxpayers to claim biologically unrelated children that qualify as their dependents. One notable difference – that will be significant for purposes of our analysis – in the child eligibility rules across provisions is that although most of these benefits are limited to children residing in the United States during the tax year, the dependent exemption (for 2017) and the \$500 credit for other dependents (for subsequent years) may be claimed for children living in other countries (any country if the child is a U.S. citizen, and Canada or Mexico if the child is a non-citizen).

Claiming a child for purposes of one or more of these provisions generally requires filing a tax return.⁷ Typically, taxpayers file returns during the calendar following the tax year for

⁶The first two EIP payments were limited to dependents who were qualifying children; the third included other dependents as well.

⁷During 2020 and 2021, many taxpayers who had claimed children in prior tax years automatically received economic impact payments and/or the advanced CTC, prior to filing the year's tax return. In 2021, the IRS provided a simplified portal to allow families to claim economic impact payments and the expanded CTC without filing a full tax return. In our analysis, we treat children that were claimed through this portal the same way as

which the child is being claimed. However, taxpayers may generally amend their returns for up to three years following the filing deadline; thus, a taxpayer could retroactively claim a child for tax year 2018 by filing a late or amended return for that year at any time prior to April 18, 2022.

3 Methodology and Data

Our main parameter of interest is the child claim rate for the United States. The child claim rate for year t , CCR_t is defined as the share of children residing in the U.S. during year t that are claimed on at least one U.S. tax return filed for tax year t :

$$CCR_t = \frac{\text{children claimed on a year } t \text{ tax return AND residing in US in year } t}{\text{children residing in US in year } t}$$

A child may be claimed by a taxpayer for purposes of a single tax provision or for multiple tax provisions; we classify a child as claimed in a year if she appears on one or more returns that are filed for that year. Note that the child claim rate differs from the take-up rate for a particular tax benefit, in that the latter is typically defined as the fraction of individuals who claim a benefit from among the population of individuals who are eligible to do so. In contrast, the child claim rate is not defined with respect to eligibility for any particular benefit.⁸ We focus on children who are aged 0-16 at the conclusion of a year, which corresponds to the age cutoff for the CTC during most of our sample period.

A natural approach to measuring the child claim rate would be to compare the count of children who appear on tax returns with Census-based estimates of the U.S. population. However, some of the children claimed on U.S. tax returns may not actually live in the U.S.⁹ In particular, if some taxpayers claim children living outside of the U.S., the child claim rate derived from children who were claimed on a standard tax return.

⁸The denominator of the child claim rate includes some children residing in the U.S. who do not qualify to be claimed for any tax benefit and excludes some children residing outside of the U.S. who do qualify to be claimed for one or more U.S. tax benefits. Depending on the year, the first category may include children whose parents have no income from work or certain children who are not U.S. citizens.

⁹Children living outside of the U.S. may be claimed on a U.S. resident's tax return either in violation of the law or with respect to a tax provision that does not require the child to be physically present in the U.S. or living with the taxpayer during the year. Provisions in the latter category include the dependent exemption for tax year 2017 and the credit for other dependents for subsequent tax years.

this approach would be biased upwards. Indeed, Cilke (2014) and Larrimore, Mortenson and Splinter (2021) provide evidence that for some ages, the number of children claimed on returns exceeds the number of children physically present in the U.S., suggesting this issue is likely to be important in practice.¹⁰

To avoid this concern, we focus on a specific sub-population of U.S. children for which we can reliably identify U.S. residence and tax claiming status: children listed on a health insurance information return (Form 1095 A/B/C). These forms are provided to the IRS by private and public insurers, self-insured employers, and health insurance marketplaces, and report the months, if any, that an individual was enrolled in health insurance coverage during a calendar year. One Form 1095 is annually provided per health insurance policy and lists each individual (including children) who was enrolled in health insurance through the policy for one or more months of coverage during the calendar year. In general, Form 1095's are only issued for U.S. residents.¹¹ For this reason, restricting our focus to children who received a Form 1095 allows us to be reasonably sure we have identified a group that spent at least some portion of the year in the U.S. At the same time, a downside of our approach is that our sample excludes children who were uninsured during each month of the year. Thus, the parameter that we directly estimate is the child claim rate for the insured population, CCR_t^I , defined as:

$$CCR_t^I = \frac{\text{children claimed on a year } t \text{ tax return AND reported on a Form 1095 for year } t}{\text{children reported on a Form 1095 for year } t}$$

To construct our sample, we link several sources of administrative data housed by the IRS. In particular, each Form 1095 contains a taxpayer identification number, or in cases where a taxpayer identification number is not available, a name and birth date for the covered individual.¹² We use this information to match children to tax returns on which they are claimed and

¹⁰An alternative approach would be to define the universe of U.S. children based on the Social Security Numident file of birth records; however, this would likely under-estimate the true child claim rate because it includes children who received a social security number but who are no longer living in the U.S.. In addition, the version of the Numident file we can access does not allow us to determine the date that non-U.S. born individuals immigrated to the U.S., so we do not know whether they were present during a particular prior tax year.

¹¹In rare cases, non-residents may receive a Form 1095, typically if they receive Medicare or, less frequently, if they work for a U.S. employer.

¹²Children appearing on a Form 1095 who could not be identified using this methodology, or who did not appear in the Social Security Numident file of birth records, were excluded from our sample. For this reason, children with individual tax identification numbers (ITINs) are likely under-represented in our sample.

to social security records to obtain the child’s age during the year. For additional details about these forms and a similar matching process, we refer readers to Lurie and Pearce (2021).

To measure the numerator of the child claim rate, we treat a child as having been claimed on a return if the child is listed as a dependent on the return, which occurs when the child is claimed for any of the tax provisions described in the previous section. Although a child may be claimed for a given benefit on only one return in a given year, in some cases the same child may be claimed for different benefits on different returns for the same year. In our data, approximately 3.6% of all children claimed within a given year appear on multiple tax returns.¹³ In such cases we treat the child as claimed on a single return only, randomly assigning the child to one return from the set of returns on which the child appears for that year.¹⁴

Finally, to shed light on the degree to which our sample captures the population of U.S. children, Column 1 of Table 1 compares the number of children listed on a Form 1095 to Census-based estimates of the number of children present in the U.S. (Census, 2020). The results suggest that 92% to 94% of the children living in the U.S. are included in our sample, depending on the year.¹⁵ In the next section, we use these results to shed light on what the estimated child claim rate for our sample implies about the child claim rate for the overall population.

4 Results

This section reports our estimated child claim rates. We first provide national estimates. We next explore heterogeneity in the child claim rate by taxpayer and child characteristics.

¹³This percentage includes children claimed as dependents by two or more taxpayers as well as children who file a dependent return.

¹⁴One potential limitation of the tax return data we observe is it reflects only the initially filed return, rather than adjustments from amended returns or following an audit. Another limitation of our data is that for the approximately 8% of returns that are not electronically filed each year, we observe only the first four dependents claimed on the return. To address this issue, we assessed a random 0.1% sample of tax year 2015 returns, for which we do observe all dependents on each return, and determined that approximately 0.17% of dependents were missing for this reason. Consequently, in all analyses, we scale our estimate of the number of children claimed on returns by $1/(1 - 0.0017)$.

¹⁵Appendix Table A.1 reports the estimated fraction of U.S. children appearing on a 2018 Form 1095 by the child’s age; the results suggest that a lower share of children, approximately 83 to 88 percent, appear on a Form 1095 for their first year of life, likely due to reporting delays.

4.1 National Estimates

Column 2 of Table 1 reports the estimated child claim rate for tax years 2017 through 2021. The child claim rates are estimated from our main sample, children appearing on a Form 1095. Among this group, 95% to 96% of children were claimed each year. The estimated child claim rate peaks in 2019, which is somewhat surprising given that 2020 and 2021 both saw expansions in the number of children who were eligible to be claimed for a tax benefit. However, the lower claim rate in more recent years could reflect the fact that some children are claimed on late returns, which are not included in our data for the most recent years.¹⁶ Consistent with this hypothesis, when we compare returns filed within the same calendar year as the filing deadline — e.g., tax year 2020 returns filed before December 31, 2021 — we observe that the child claim rate increases steadily by year (Figure 1).

As discussed in Section 3, a limitation of the estimated child claim rates reported in Column 2 of Table 1 is that they are based only on those children who appear on a Form 1095; the estimates could therefore be biased for the overall child claim rate if uninsured children are claimed at higher or lower rates than children with insurance. Columns 3 and 4 of Table 1 report worst-case bounds for the overall child claim rate based on the most extreme values that the child claim rate might take on for the uninsured population, in the spirit of Manski (1990). Under our maintained assumption that children reported on a Form 1095 for year t resided in the U.S. during year t , we can write:

$$CCR_t = I_t CCR_t^I + (1 - I_t) CCR_t^{UI}$$

where I_t denotes the insurance rate among U.S. resident children in year t and CCR_t^I and CCR_t^{UI} refer to the respective child claim rates among the insured and uninsured populations. Using the annual insurance rates reported in Column 1 of Table 1, we can calculate bounds for CCR_t by alternatively imposing that CCR_t^{UI} equals 0 or 1. Depending on the year, this analysis implies a lower bound for the overall population child claim rate of 88 to 90%, and an upper bound of

¹⁶The respective deadlines for filing a late return to claim benefits for tax years 2020 and 2021 are May 17, 2024 and April 18, 2025. In addition, there can be delays in returns being posted to the administrative tax data, especially for returns filed by paper. Our filing data was pulled in late October, 2023.

96 to 97%.

We next consider several analyses to shed light on the degree to which our estimate of the insured child claim rate extrapolates to the overall population of U.S. children. First, we attempt adjusting our estimate to account for the correlation between child claiming and health insurance coverage. More precisely, we can write the child claim rate for the full U.S. population, CCR , as a function of the child claim rate among children with insurance, CCR^I , and the covariance between a child having insurance (indicated by $I_i \in \{0, 1\}$) and being claimed on a tax return (indicated by $C_i \in \{0, 1\}$):

$$CCR = CCR^I - \frac{\text{Cov}(C_i, I_i)}{E[I_i]}$$

To estimate $\text{Cov}(C_i, I_i)$, we rely on the 2016 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS), administered by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, which contains information about whether a child has health insurance as well as whether the child is the dependent of an individual who files a tax return. The estimated covariance between health insurance and being claimed is only slightly positive, $\text{Cov}(C_i, I_i) \approx 0.001$. Combining this estimate with the child claim rate among children with 1095's and the share of children with 1095's from Columns 1 and 2 of Table 1 allows us to estimate the child claim rate for the full population. Because this analysis suggests that having health insurance and being claimed are almost entirely uncorrelated, the adjusted estimates (reported in Column 1 of Table 2) closely track the unadjusted estimates.

A potential concern with the MEPS-based adjustment is that response to the MEPS may be positively correlated with appearing on a Form 1095, which would imply that both approaches exclude the same individuals. We therefore consider an alternative approach for extrapolating our 1095-sample results to the overall population of children in the U.S. by taking advantage of monthly data on health insurance coverage. Appendix Figure A.1 plots the relationship between child claiming and *months* of reported coverage during the year, which are reported on the Form 1095's we observe. The figure shows the relationship between child claiming and months without coverage is downward-sloping and approximately linear, with each additional month of coverage associated with a 0.38 percentage point increase in the average claim rate.

Extrapolating this relationship out-of-sample to children with 0 months of coverage implies an average claim rate for uninsured children of 90.6%. Column 2 of Table 2 incorporates this estimated claim rate for uninsured children along with our main estimates in Column 1, weighting by the share of children who appear on a Form 1095 (Column 1 of Table 1). Here as well, the estimated child claim rates for the population are similar to those reported in Columns 1 and 3, although we caution that the results of this analysis could be biased if the true relationship between child claiming and coverage varies non-linearly between 0 and 1 month of coverage.

Our third approach to recovering the child claim rate for the overall population is to attempt to estimate that parameter directly, without restricting the sample to children reported on a Form 1095. This naive estimate of the child claim rate, CCR_t^N , is defined as:

$$CCR_t^N = \frac{\text{children claimed on a year } t \text{ tax return}}{\text{children residing in US in year } t}$$

Specifically, the estimates in Column 3 of Table 2 are obtained by comparing the total number of distinct children claimed on tax returns originating from a U.S. address with Census counts for the total number of children present in the United States drawn from Census (2020). As discussed in Section 3, a potential concern with this approach is that some of the children claimed on U.S. tax returns may not be physically present in the U.S., even if the taxpayers claiming these children are themselves located in the U.S. Because such children would be included in the numerator of the estimated child claim rate, but not the denominator, this estimate is likely to be biased upwards. Indeed, as shown in Column 3 of Table 2, the child claim rate derived from this approach exceeds that derived from our main sample. In fact, in each year, the naive estimates exceed the upper bound for the national child claim rate reported in Column 4 of Table 1.

To reduce the potential for bias in the Column 3 estimates due to some claimed children not being physically present in the United States, Column 4 of Table 2 includes in the numerator of the naive child claim rate only those children who were claimed on a year t tax return and who either appear on a Form 1095 or who were claimed for either the CTC or EITC. The rationale behind this additional restriction is that children in these categories are more likely to have been physically present in the U.S. during the year in question. In particular, recall that insurers are

directed to send Form 1095's only to U.S. residents and that children claimed for the CTC or EITC are generally supposed to have resided with the taxpayer who claims them for at least half of the year. Even after imposing these restrictions, the estimated child claim rates presented in Column 4 exceed the upper bound implied by the 1095 data, suggesting that, notwithstanding the legal requirements, some children claimed for the EITC and CTC actually reside outside the U.S. during the tax year.

Finally, our measure of child claiming is annual, but for some purposes it may be important to understand the dynamics of child-claiming across time. For example, children who are unclaimed in one year may still automatically receive benefits for that year if they were claimed during a prior year, as occurred with the administration of the economic impact payments and advanced child tax credit. Appendix Figure A.2 reports the share of children who were unclaimed in one year but were claimed in a prior or subsequent year during our sample. Among children who were unclaimed for 2019, we estimate that 63% were claimed for either the prior or subsequent tax year, and 76% were claimed within two prior or subsequent tax years.

4.2 Heterogeneity in the Child Claim Rate

This subsection explores potential sources of heterogeneity in the child claim rate. Unless otherwise noted, we report results for tax year 2018. We primarily focus on that year because it reflect changes to the tax law adopted in the 2017 tax reform and the filing year occurred before the onset of the Covid-19 pandemic.

Figure 2 investigates heterogeneity in the child claim rate by the age of the child. The estimated child claim rate peaks for 4 year-olds, of whom approximately 96% are claimed, and declines monotonically for younger and older children. Despite these relative differences, the absolute level of claiming is quite similar by age; the minimum claim rates are for children born during the tax year (93.5%) and 16 year-olds (94.5%).¹⁷ However, a lower share of very young children appear on a Form 1095 (Appendix Table A.1), which leads to wider bounds for the population child claim rate for those ages (Appendix Figure A.3). Interestingly, although older children are more likely to file their own tax return (Appendix Figure A.5), this behavior is

¹⁷We observe a similar pattern for the other years in our sample period, with the exception of 2021, for which we observe high and monotonically declining rates of claiming the youngest children (see Appendix Figure A.4).

sufficiently infrequent that it does not appear to explain the lower child claim rates we observe for older children (Appendix Figure A.6).

Figure 3 investigates heterogeneity in the child claim rate by state. The state with the highest claim rate for 2018 was North Carolina (97.8%) and the state with the lowest claim rate for that year was West Virginia (90.8%). Appendix Table A.2 reports the full set of estimated child claim rates by state.

We next investigate heterogeneity in the child claim rate by parental income. Child claiming could vary by income for a number of reasons. For example, during the majority of our sample period (2017-2019), taxpayers without earned income received no benefit from claiming children on their tax returns for the EITC or CTC, so the incentive for such taxpayers to claim their children was limited.¹⁸ Similarly, taxpayers' take-up of credits for which they do qualify may also vary by income.

Because we do not observe reported income for children who are not claimed, we measure income using the third-party reported wages of the child's parents. We primarily focus on mothers rather than fathers because of the greater likelihood that children reside with the former compared to the latter.¹⁹ Figure 4 plots the results of this analysis for 2018. The figure shows that the child claim rate rises by income, from approximately 90% for children whose mothers earn low incomes, to approximately 98% for children whose mothers have annual wage earnings of \$20,000 or greater. Appendix Figure A.7 reports similar results when replicating the analysis with the sum of wages for the child's mother and father.

We find a similar story when we use the form of health insurance coverage as a proxy of socioeconomic status. In particular, because Medicaid eligibility is means-tested, the subpopulation of children who obtain health insurance coverage through these programs tends to be lower income than our overall sample. Appendix Table A.3 reports the child claim rate by whether the child was enrolled in one or more months of Medicaid coverage during the year. In each year, the child claim rate is substantially lower for children with Medicaid coverage

¹⁸For tax year 2020, parents without income from work who had not filed a tax return during 2018 or 2019, and had therefore not received an automatic economic impact payment, could benefit from filing a tax year 2020 return. For tax year 2021, non-working parents had an incentive to file a return to claim the expanded CTC.

¹⁹Using the 2018 CPS, for example, we estimate that 91.3% of children live with their mother compared to 73.4% who live with their father.

than for children with other forms of insurance. In 2018, for example, only 92% of children on Medicaid were claimed on a tax return compared to almost 98% of children that were enrolled in other forms of health insurance coverage.

We next study the relationship between child claiming and neighborhood-level income, using the zip code reported on the child's Form 1095 to match children to neighborhoods.²⁰ Variation in neighborhood income may be related to variation in child-claiming due to differences in the incomes of the individuals living in those neighborhoods or through mechanisms that operate at the neighborhood-level such as the prevalence or quality of tax preparers. We find that the child claim rate is increasing in neighborhood income, with an estimated claim rate of approximately 93% for tracts in which 50% of households earn over \$30,000, compared with approximately 96% for tracts in which 90% of households do so (Figure 5, Panel A). We observe a similar relationship between the child claim rate and the share of a Census tract that attended college (Figure 5, Panel B).

Figure 6 investigates heterogeneity in child-claiming by the race and ethnic make-up of children's neighborhoods. We measure neighborhood race and ethnicity by linking children's zip codes (reported on their Form 1095) with aggregated Census tract level data. We find that the child claim rate increases in the share of a tract that is White (Panel A) and decreases in the share of a tract that is Black (Panel B) or Hispanic (Panel C).

5 Discussion

In this paper we have investigated the claiming of U.S. children on tax returns. Among children with health insurance, we estimate that over 95% are claimed. Of course, children without health insurance may be claimed at different—and we would expect, lower—rates; for example, lower-income children are less likely to be enrolled in health insurance and less likely to qualify for child tax benefits. Along similar lines, children who are not legally authorized to be present

²⁰We link zip code to Census tract and use the 2018 5-year ACS to measure the average share of households in a tract with annual income of \$30,000 or greater. We match tracts to zip codes using the cross-walk provided by HUD (2019). When multiple tracts match to a single zip code, we aggregate to the zip code level based on the ratio of addresses in the tract-zip match. We are unable to match approximately 2.4% of the children in our sample to a Census tract using a zip code reported on the child's Form 1095; we exclude these children from this analysis.

in the United States are less likely to be listed on a Form 1095 and are probably also less likely to be claimed on a tax return.

Despite not being able to directly observe child claiming for children without health insurance, the fact that the vast majority of U.S. children do have health insurance allows us to estimate informative worst-case bounds for the overall child claim rate. These bounds imply that the overall child claim rate is at least 88%. Our results therefore suggest that the significant majority of children in the United States have some degree of contact with the income tax system.

Although the overall child claim rate is quite high, we document lower child claim rates among children in lower-income families and among children residing in neighborhoods with more Black or Hispanic residents. Even among these groups, however, our estimated child claim rates are quite high, generally above 90%. On the other hand, the heterogeneity in child claiming that we observe among the insured may be attenuated relative to the heterogeneity that exists among the overall population—for example, Lurie and Pearce (2021) report that insurance coverage is positively associated with income.


Our findings have important implications for the design of safety-net programs. In particular, because so many children are already claimed on tax returns, and because children claimed for one benefit are typically claimed for other benefits for which they qualify, administering a new benefit through the tax system is likely to reach most children without necessitating substantial additional costs on children or their parents. A notable exception concerns the lowest income children and children living in Black and Hispanic neighborhoods, for whom we document lower child claim rates. Extending eligibility to children who have traditionally been excluded from tax-administered safety net benefits may increase the child claim rate by increasing the incentives for taxpayers to file returns claiming these children. Unless such changes in behavior materialize, tax-administered safety net programs risk exacerbating existing patterns of socioeconomic inequality.

References

- Auten, Gerald, and Geoffrey Gee.** 2009. “Income mobility in the United States: New evidence from income tax data.” *National tax journal*, 62(2): 301–328.
- Auten, Gerald, Geoffrey Gee, and Nicholas Turner.** 2013. “Income Inequality, Mobility, and Turnover at the Top in the US, 1987–2010.” *American Economic Review*, 103(3): 168–172.
- Bitler, Marianne, Jason Cook, Danae Horn, and Nathan Seegert.** 2022. “Incomplete program take-up during a crisis: Evidence from the COVID-19 shock in one US state.” *International tax and public finance*, 29(6): 1373–1394.
- Census.** 2013. “TY2013 IRS-CPS ASEC Exact Match.” U.S. Census Bureau Working Paper.
- Census.** 2020. “Population Estimates by Age, Sex, Race and Hispanic Origin.” U.S. Census Bureau.
- Chetty, Raj, Nathaniel Hendren, Maggie R Jones, and Sonya R Porter.** 2020. “Race and economic opportunity in the United States: An intergenerational perspective.” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 135(2): 711–783.
- Chetty, Raj, Nathaniel Hendren, Patrick Kline, and Emmanuel Saez.** 2014a. “Where is the land of opportunity? The geography of intergenerational mobility in the United States.” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 129(4): 1553–1623.
- Chetty, Raj, Nathaniel Hendren, Patrick Kline, Emmanuel Saez, and Nicholas Turner.** 2014b. “Is the United States still a land of opportunity? Recent trends in intergenerational mobility.” *American Economic Review*, 104(5): 141–147.
- Cilke, James.** 2014. “The Case of the Missing Strangers: What we Know and Don’t Know About Non-Filers.” Working Paper.
- Collyer, S, D Harris, and C Wimer.** 2020. “Left behind: The one-third of children in families who earn too little to get the full Child Tax Credit.”
- Currie, Janet.** 2004. “The take up of social benefits.” National Bureau of Economic Research.


- Dickert-Conlin, Stacy, Katie Fitzpatrick, and Andrew Hanson.** 2005. “Utilization of income tax credits by low-income individuals.” *National Tax Journal*, 58(4): 743–785.
- Goldin, Jacob.** 2018. “Tax Benefit Complexity and Take-Up: Lessons from the Earned Income Tax Credit.” *Tax Law Review*.
- Goldin, Jacob, and Katherine Micheltore.** 2020. “Who Benefits From the Child Tax Credit?” National Bureau of Economic Research.
- HUD.** 2019. “HUD USPS ZIP Code Crosswalk Files.” U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- Jones, Maggie R.** 2014. “Changes in EITC Eligibility and Participation, 2005–2009.” Center for Economic Studies, U.S. Census Bureau CARRA Working Papers 2014-04.
- Ko, Wonsik, and Robert A Moffitt.** 2024. “Take-up of social benefits.” *Handbook of Labor, Human Resources and Population Economics*, 1–42.
- Larrimore, Jeff, Jacob Mortenson, and David Splinter.** 2021. “Household Incomes in Tax Data: Using Addresses to Move from Tax-Unit to Household Income Distributions.” *Journal of Human Resources*, 56(2): 600–631.
- Leibel, Kara, Emily Lin, and Janet McCubbin.** 2020. “Social Welfare Considerations of EITC Qualifying Child Noncompliance.” *Office of Tax Analysis Working Paper Series*.
- Lurie, Ithai Z., and James Pearce.** 2021. “Health Insurance Coverage in Tax and Survey Data.” *American Journal of Health Economics*, 7(2): 164–184.
- Manski, Charles F.** 1990. “Nonparametric bounds on treatment effects.” *The American Economic Review*, 80(2): 319–323.
- National Academies of Science.** 2019. *A roadmap to reducing child poverty*. National Academies Press.
- Plueger, Dean.** 2009. “Earned Income Tax Credit Participation Rate for Tax Year 2005.” IRS Research Bulletin.

Figure 1: Child Claim Rate Among Timely Filed Returns




Notes. The figure reports the fraction of U.S. children that were claimed on a tax return for the specified tax year. For this figure, children are treated as being claimed on a return for a tax year only if the return was filed prior to December 31 of the calendar year following the tax year. The sample consists of all children who received a Form 1095 reporting health insurance coverage for one or more months in the specified tax year.

Figure 2: Child Claim Rate by Age




Notes. The figure reports the fraction of U.S. children that were claimed on a 2018 tax return, by the age of the child. Age is measured as of December 31, 2018. The sample consists of all children who received a Form 1095 reporting health insurance coverage for one or more months in 2018.

Figure 3: Child Claim Rate by State



Notes. The figure reports the fraction of U.S. children that were claimed on a 2018 tax return, by the state in which the child resides. A child's state of residence is measuring based on the state reported on the Form 1095 that lists the child. The sample consists of all children who received a Form 1095 reporting health insurance coverage for one or more months in 2018.


Figure 4: Child Claim Rate by Mother's Income




Notes. The figure reports the fraction of children claimed on a 2018 tax return by the child's mother's income from wages in that year (defined as the sum of Form W-2's received for the year). The sample consists of children aged 0-16 who had one or more months of health insurance coverage reported on a Form 1095 for 2018. Children were matched to mothers using Social Security data derived from birth records. Approximately 3.6% of children in the sample were not matched to a mother; the average claim rate for this group was 78.5%. When social security records list multiple mothers for a single child, the child is linked to the mother with the recent with the most recent posting date. The figure is a binned scatterplot that includes mothers with positive incomes below \$100,000. Approximately 28.8% of mothers had no wage income; the average claim rate for children in this group (not included in the figure) was 91.8%. Approximately 4.8% of mothers had wage income above \$100,000; the average claim rate for children in this group (not included in the figure) was 98.9%.

Figure 5: Child Claim Rate by Neighborhood Characteristics

(a) Neighborhood Income




(b) Education



Notes. The figure reports a binned scatterplot of the fraction of U.S. children claimed on a 2018 tax return, by income (Panel A) and educational attainment (Panel B) of the child's neighborhood. Neighborhood income is measured as the fraction of households in a Census tract with annual income over \$30,000; educational attainment is measured as the fraction of household heads who attended at least some college. Both measures are derived from the the 2018 American Community Survey 5-year estimates. Children are linked to Census tracts using the zip code reported on their Form 1095. The binned scatterplot was constructed using weights equal to the number of children reported in a particular zip code. The solid line represents the best linear fit. The sample consists of all children aged 0-16 who received a Form 1095 reporting health insurance coverage for one or more months in 2018.

Figure 6: Child Claim Rate By Neighborhood Racial Composition



Notes. The figure reports a binned scatterplot of the fraction of U.S. children claimed on a 2018 tax return, by the racial or ethnic makeup of the child's neighborhood. Neighborhood race or ethnicity is measured as the share of households in a Census tract with the specified race or ethnicity. Children are linked to census tracts using the zip code reported on their Form 1095. Average race or ethnicity for a Census tract is measured from the 2018 American Community Survey 5-year estimates. The binned scatterplot was constructed using weights equal to the number of children reported in a particular zip code. The solid line represents the best linear fit. The sample consists of all children aged 0-16 who received a Form 1095 reporting health insurance coverage for one or more months in 2018.

Table 1: Child Claim Rate By Year

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Percent Insured	Child Claim Rate	Worst-Case Lower Bound	Worst-Case Upper Bound
2017	92.0	95.1	87.5	95.5
2018	93.8	95.4	89.5	95.7
2019	93.0	96.5	89.8	96.7
2020	91.8	96.2	88.2	96.5
2021	92.1	95.5	88.0	95.9

Notes: Column 1 reports the estimated fraction of U.S. children who appear on a Form 1095 by year; the estimate is derived by dividing the total number of U.S. children appearing on a Form 1095 by the total number of children estimated by Census (2020) to live in the U.S. Column 2 reports our baseline estimate of the child claim rate by year; the estimate is equal to the fraction of children appearing on a Form 1095 that are claimed on one or more tax returns. Columns 3 and 4 report extreme bounds on the child claim rate for the overall population of U.S. children (not limited to children claimed on a Form 1095) under the assumptions that uninsured children are claimed at a rate of 0% (Column 3) or 100% (Column 4).


Table 2: Imputed Child Claim Rate By Year

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Covariance Adjusted (MEPS)	Linear Extrapolation	Any Return	Any Return (Likely Resident)
2017	95.0	94.6	98.6	96.5
2018	95.3	95.1	97.8	97.0
2019	96.4	96.2	98.3	97.5
2020	96.0	95.9	96.4	95.7
2021	95.4	95.4	95.8	95.3

Notes: The table reports estimates of the child claim rate by year under alternative assumptions concerning the statistical relationship between child claiming and whether a child appears on a Form 1095. The estimate in Column 1 adjusts for the empirical correlation between child-claiming and health insurance coverage that is present in the MEPS survey data. Column 2 imputes the child claim rate among children without coverage reported on a Form 1095 by linearly extrapolating the relationship between coverage and child claiming observed in our sample and reflected in Appendix Figure A.1. The reported child claim rate in Column 2 is the weighted average of the baseline child claim rate among insured children (reported in Table 1, Column 2) and the estimated child claim rate among children without reported coverage that is obtained from this method, where the weights are derived from the estimated share of U.S. children who received a Form 1095 (reported in Table 1, Column 1). Column 3 is the ratio of children claimed on U.S. tax returns to the number of children present in the U.S., reported by Census (2020). Column 4 is the same as Column 3, except that the numerator reflects the subset of children claimed on U.S tax returns for the Child Tax Credit or the Earned Income Tax Credit, or who received a Form 1095.


**Online Appendix to The Claiming of
Children on U.S. Tax Returns**

Figure A.1: Child Claim Rate by Months Without Insurance




Notes. The figure reports the fraction of children claimed on a 2018 tax return by the number of months during 2018 for which the child did not have coverage reported on a Form 1095. The dashed line represents the best linear fit. The sample consists of all children aged 0-16 who received a Form 1095 reporting health insurance coverage for one or more months in 2018.

Figure A.2: Child Claim Rate in Surrounding Years for Unclaimed Children




Notes. The figure reports the fraction of U.S. children claimed in the specified tax year, among the population of children who were not claimed on a 2019 tax return. The sample is limited to children aged 0-16 (as of December 31, 2019) who received a Form 1095 reporting health insurance coverage for one or more months in 2019.

Figure A.3: Child Claim Rate by Age with Worst-Case Bounds




Notes. The figure replicates Figure 2, with the addition of worst-case bounds for the population child claim rate by age. Worst-case bounds are calculated as described in Section 4, separately for children of each age between 0 and 16, based on the estimated fraction of children of the specified age appearing on a Form 1095, as reported in Appendix Table A.1.

Figure A.4: Child Claim Rate by Age for 2021




Notes. The figure replicates Figure 2, for tax year 2021 rather than tax year 2018.

Figure A.5: Self-Claiming By Age




Notes. The figure reports the share of children, by age, who appear as the primary or secondary taxpayer on a return filed for 2018. Age is measured as of December 31, 2018. The sample is limited to children aged 0-16 who received a Form 1095 reporting health insurance coverage for one or more months in 2018.

Figure A.6: Child Claim Rate or Self-Claiming by Age



Notes. The figure reports the fraction of U.S. children that were claimed on a 2018 tax return, or that appeared as the primary or secondary taxpayer on a 2018 tax return, by the age of the child. Age is measured as of December 31, 2018. The sample consists of all children who received a Form 1095 reporting health insurance coverage for one or more months in 2018.

Figure A.7: Child Claim Rate by Total Parental Income



Notes. The figure replicates Figure 4, except that wages are defined as the sum of wages listed on all Form W-2's for any parent on the child's birth certificate, rather than just for Form W-2's listed in the mother's name.

Table A.1: 2018 Form 1095 Coverage Rate by Age of Child

	(1)	(2)	(3)
	1095 Count	Census Count	Share (%)
0	3,330,218	3,815,343	87.3
1	3,595,352	3,908,830	92.0
2	3,678,954	3,987,032	92.3
3	3,736,191	4,033,038	92.6
4	3,763,308	4,018,719	93.6
5	3,736,027	4,008,443	93.2
6	3,770,126	4,014,057	93.9
7	3,771,159	4,058,370	92.9
8	3,813,686	4,054,236	94.1
9	3,928,393	4,053,179	96.9
10	4,022,565	4,181,603	96.2
11	4,079,337	4,200,646	97.1
12	4,036,962	4,166,696	96.9
13	3,924,916	4,155,076	94.5
14	3,908,411	4,164,608	93.8
15	3,893,215	4,137,711	94.1
16	3,843,728	4,125,819	93.2
0-16	64,832,548	69,083,406	93.8

Notes: The table reports the estimated fraction of U.S. children by age who appear on a Form 1095 by year. The estimate (reported in Column 3) is derived by dividing the total number of U.S. children of the specified age appearing on a Form 1095 (Column 1) by the total number of children of the specified age estimated by Census (2020) to live in the U.S. (Column 2).

Table A.2: Child Claim Rate by State and Year

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
AK	92.9	93.4	95.1	94.3	93.3
AL	95.0	95.1	96.2	95.7	95.1
AR	94.6	94.9	96.3	95.7	95.2
AZ	93.9	94.2	95.5	95.1	94.0
CA	94.4	94.8	96.0	96.0	95.0
CO	95.3	95.4	96.5	96.1	95.6
CT	95.3	95.6	96.6	96.4	96.0
DC	94.1	94.2	95.3	94.4	93.9
DE	95.1	95.2	96.3	95.8	94.8
FL	95.2	95.6	96.5	96.0	95.2
GA	95.1	95.3	96.3	95.8	95.2
HI	94.8	95.2	96.6	95.6	94.9
IA	96.4	96.5	97.5	97.1	96.6
ID	96.2	96.4	97.4	97.1	96.3
IL	96.3	96.4	97.1	96.8	96.3
IN	96.1	96.1	97.1	96.7	96.3
KS	95.8	96.0	97.0	96.8	96.1
KY	93.9	94.1	96.3	95.8	95.7
LA	95.1	95.3	96.4	95.9	95.6
MA	95.1	95.4	96.4	96.0	95.7
MD	95.6	95.7	96.6	96.1	95.2
ME	94.7	95.2	97.1	96.6	96.2
MI	95.6	95.9	96.9	96.4	95.9
MN	96.6	96.8	97.7	97.3	96.8
MO	95.4	95.6	96.9	96.5	95.8
MS	96.7	96.7	96.9	96.4	96.0
MT	94.5	94.6	96.2	95.8	95.0
NC	95.2	95.4	96.8	96.1	95.5
ND	95.8	96.0	97.0	96.7	96.3
NE	96.7	96.9	97.4	97.3	96.8
NH	96.0	96.3	97.5	96.9	96.2
NJ	95.7	95.9	96.6	96.5	95.8
NM	94.0	94.2	95.9	95.3	95.2
NV	94.9	95.2	96.4	96.0	95.4
NY	94.3	94.7	95.9	95.9	95.5
OH	95.5	95.7	97.1	96.7	96.4
OK	93.6	93.9	95.6	95.1	94.5
OR	94.2	94.5	96.3	95.5	94.5
PA	95.3	95.6	96.9	96.5	96.2
RI	95.4	95.7	97.1	96.8	96.5
SC	95.5	95.7	96.6	96.4	96.0
SD	94.7	94.8	96.4	96.0	95.7
TN	95.0	95.2	96.4	96.1	95.7
TX	94.7	95.0	95.8	95.7	95.0
UT	96.6	96.9	97.6	97.2	96.3
VA	96.0	96.2	97.1	96.6	96.0
VT	95.4	95.7	97.1	96.7	96.2
WA	95.1	95.5	96.6	96.2	95.3
WI	96.5	96.6	97.5	97.3	97.0
WV	92.4	92.8	95.5	95.0	95.0
WY	95.9	96.1	97.2	96.8	96.3

Notes: The table reports the estimated child claim rate by state and year. The estimate is equal to the fraction of children appearing on a Form 1095 with an address in the specified state that are claimed on one or more tax returns for the specified year.

Table A.3: Child Claim Rate by Year and Medicaid Coverage

	(1)	(2)	(3)
	Any Insurance	Medicaid	Non-Medicaid
2017	95.1	92.6	98.2
2018	95.4	92.9	98.3
2019	96.5	94.8	98.4
2020	96.2	94.7	98.0
2021	95.5	94.4	97.0

Notes: The table reports the estimated child claim rate by year separately for children appearing on a Form 1095 for Medicaid or CHIP (Column 2) versus other forms of health insurance (Column 3). Column 1 reports the child claim rate for children appearing on any Form 1095, and is identical to our baseline child claim rate estimates reported in Column 2 of Table 1.