NBER WORKING PAPER SERIES

CENTRAL BANK DIGITAL CURRENCY IN HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE: ANOTHER CROSSROAD IN MONETARY HISTORY

Michael D. Bordo

Working Paper 29171 http://www.nber.org/papers/w29171

NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH 1050 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, MA 02138 August 2021

For helpful comments on an earlier draft, I thank Harold James, Mickey Levy, Andy Levin, Will Roberds and Warren Weber. Humberto Martínez provided valuable research assistance. This lecture was presented at the Bank of England's workshop on "The Macroeconomic Policy Opportunities and Risks Associated with Central Bank Digital Currency" on July 12-14, 2021. The views expressed here are solely those of the author and do not represent the views of any other person or institution. The views expressed herein are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Bureau of Economic Research.

NBER working papers are circulated for discussion and comment purposes. They have not been peer-reviewed or been subject to the review by the NBER Board of Directors that accompanies official NBER publications.

© 2021 by Michael D. Bordo. All rights reserved. Short sections of text, not to exceed two paragraphs, may be quoted without explicit permission provided that full credit, including © notice, is given to the source.

Central Bank Digital Currency in Historical Perspective: Another Crossroad in Monetary History Michael D. Bordo
NBER Working Paper No. 29171
August 2021
JEL No. E42,E52,E58

ABSTRACT

Digitalization of Money is a crossroad in monetary history. Advances in technology has led to the development of new forms of money: virtual (crypto) currencies like bitcoin; stable coins like libra/diem; and central bank digital currencies (CBDC) like the Bahamian sand dollar. These innovations in money and finance have resonance to earlier shifts in monetary history: 1) The shift in the eighteenth and nineteenth century from commodity money (gold and silver coins) to convertible fiduciary money and inconvertible fiat money; 2) the shift in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries from central bank notes to a central bank monopoly; 3) Then evolution since the seventeenth century of central banks and the tools of monetary policy.

This paper analyzes the arguments for a CBDC through the lens of monetary history. The bottom line is that the history of transformations in monetary systems suggests that technical change in money is inevitably driven by the financial incentives of a market economy. Government has always had a key role in the provision of outside money, which is a public good. Government has also regulated inside money provided by the private sector. This held for fiduciary money and will likely hold for digital money. CBDC could make monetary policy more efficient, and it could transform the international monetary and payments systems.

Michael D. Bordo Department of Economics Rutgers University New Jersey Hall 75 Hamilton Street New Brunswick, NJ 08901 and NBER bordo@econ.rutgers.edu

I. Introduction

We are at a crossroads in monetary history. Advances in technology—digitalization --has led to the development of new forms of financial assets (fintech) and new forms of money (money like assets). These include virtual (crypto) currencies like bitcoin; stable coins like libra / diem, and central bank digital currencies (CBDC) like the Bahamian sand dollar. The innovations in money (money like assets) have resonance to earlier major shifts in monetary history. Three stand out:

- 1) The shift in the eighteenth and nineteenth century from commodity money (specie) i.e., gold and silver coins, to convertible into specie fiduciary money issued by banks of issue (commercial banks and central banks)², as well as inconvertible government issued fiat money;
- 2) The shift in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries from commercial bank notes (often issued in competitive markets) to a central bank monopoly; and
- 3) The evolution since the seventeenth century (and even earlier) of central banks and the tools of monetary policy.

In this lecture I will examine the case for central bank provided digital currency through the lens of monetary history and the history of monetary thought. After my historical overview, I will focus on the modern case for central banks issuing digital currencies. Then I will discuss the implementation and design of CBDC followed by consideration on how CBDC can influence monetary policy and the rest of the world.

¹ Innovations in money and payments did not just occur in earlier centuries. Since World Kyllo's War II innovations like ATMs, credit and debit cards have been transformational.

² The public banks in Naples in the Middle Ages may be the pioneers in bank notes issue, long before the Riksbank and Bank of England (Velde 2020)

The history of transformations in monetary systems suggests that technological change in money and finance is inevitable, driven by the financial incentives of a market economy.

Government has always had a key role in the provision of currency (outside money) which is a public good. It has also regulated inside money provided by the private banking system. This held for fiduciary money and will likely hold for digital money. CBDC could make monetary policy more efficient and if interest is paid on it, and that rate is used as a policy instrument, the issues of the Effective Lower Bound (ELB) can be eliminated. Moreover, varying the interest rate on CBDC can provide true macro and price stability. Finally, CBDC could have a great impact on the global economy by facilitating international payments. It also may transform the international monetary system.

II. Monetary Transformations in History³

Monetary transformations in history have been driven by changing technology, changing tastes, economic growth and the demands to effectively satisfy the functions of money. Money (and finance) has evolved along with human history (Goetzmann 2017). Coinage (and paper money in China) can be traced back over two millennia. Three transformations in the modern era set the stage for the current digital transformation.

 In the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries new financial technology led to the advent of fiduciary money (convertible bank notes) which greatly reduced the resource costs of specie. As Adam Smith put it.

"The gold and silver which circulates in any country may very properly be compared to a highway, which, while it circulates and carries to market all the grass and corn of the

 $^{\rm 3}$ For an earlier treatment of monetary transformations see Bordo and Capie (1993)

country, produces itself not a single pile of either. The judicious operations of banking, by providing, if I may be allowed so violent a metaphor, a sort of wagon-way through the air, enable the country to convert as it were, a great part of its highways into good pastures and cornfields, and thereby to increase very considerably the annual produce of its land and labor. The commerce and industry of the country, however, it must be acknowledged, though they may be augmented, cannot be altogether so secure when they are thus, as it were, suspended on the Daedelian wings of paper money as when they travel upon the solid ground of gold and silver. Over and above the accidents to which they are exposed from the unskillfulness of the conductors of this paper money, they are liable to several others, from which no prudence or skill of this conductors can guard them" (Smith [1776.] (1981) WN II.ii.86:321)

Commercial banks originated with the issuing of warehouse receipts for deposited gold by goldsmiths in mediaeval Italy. This led to the evolution of fractional reserve banking. The social saving of fiduciary money had to be balanced by the credit risk from potential runs.

In addition, the exigencies of rising costs of war finance in the early modern period led to the issue by governments of inconvertible fiat money. The Swedish Riksbank issued fiat money to finance the Seven Years War in an earlier use of the inflation tax (Bordo and Levy 2021). Other early examples include the issue of Continentals in the American Revolutionary War and the assignats in the French Revolution which led to a hyperinflation (Capie 1986, White 1995). Until late in the twentieth century fiat money was associated with high inflation and instability. Only in the last few decades have monetary authorities learned the technology to operate credible low inflation fiat money regimes (Bordo and Flandreau 2003). CBDC, as a social saving over fiat currency, promises to be the next generation in this progression.

2. The early record of unregulated (or under or mis regulated) commercial banks issuing notes, ostensibly convertible into specie, has had a chequered history. It has been used to make the

case for government regulation of commercial banking and for a government monopoly of the note issue.⁴

Milton Friedman (1960, page 7) succinctly made the case.

"So long as the fiduciary currency has a market value greater than its cost of production—which under favorable conditions can be compressed close to the cost of paper on which it is printed—any individual issuer has an incentive to issue additional amounts. A fiduciary currency would thus probably tend through increased issue to degenerate into a commodity standard—there being no stable equilibrium price short of that at which the money value of currency is no greater than that of the paper it contains. And in view of the negligible cost of adding zeros, it is not clear that there is any finite price level for which this is the case"

The classic case of instability was the Free banking experience of the U.S. 1836-1863 after the demise of the Second Bank of the U.S (Hammond 1957). Many states allowed groups of citizens to set up note issuing banks with minimal regulation (convertibility into specie on demand, low capital requirements, low reserve requirements, backing by state government bonds, some at market and some at par value) The record was one of considerable instability with frequent failures, occasional fraud (wild cat banks) and notes circulating at a discount determined by the distance to the originating bank for redemption (Gorton 1996). Counterfeit detectors did provide information on counterfeits, broken notes and discount rates. But the high asymmetric information costs of a multiple currency system created an inefficient payments system and led

4

⁴ The debate over free banking versus central banking goes back to the Classical economists and Walter Bagehot. The case for free banking was revived by Vera Smith in 1934 and the modern Austrian School with Friederich Hayek's (1976) case for currency competition and historical and theoretical work on free banking by Lawrence White (1984), George Selgin (1988) and Roland Vaubel (1984) and others. Charles Goodhart (1988) championed the classical case. Benjamin Klein (1974) buttressed the Austrian case by arguing that the classical proposition that leaving the provision of bank notes to perfectly competitive private firms would lead to an indeterminate price level by introducing the concept of brand names and imperfect competition into the market for bank notes. With brand names banking firms would have an incentive not to over issue notes and capture all of the seigniorage revenue as under the classical case for regulating the issue of bank notes by a government authority.

to reform with the Federal government bond backed national banking system providing a uniform currency in 1863.⁵

The experience of many other countries with free banking and competing currencies is similar e.g., country banks in England (Presnell 1956), competing coins and notes in Switzerland (Baltensperger and Kugler 2017) etc. Notable exceptions were: Scotland in the eighteenth and early nineteenth century (White 1984), although Goodhart (1988) attributed this to the Scottish banks being an oligopoly, the bank owners subject to unlimited liability, and the presence of the Bank of England as a lender of last resort; The US National banking system (1863-1913) created a safe note system based on 110% backing by US government bonds and a note redemption fund. The Canadian chartered banks after 1867 also had a good record and losses on bank notes were prevented by the establishment of a note redemption fund but there still was, in both the US and Canada, credit risk from bank failures which introduced a significant transactions cost.

In all these cases the note issue eventually gravitated towards a central bank/government monopoly. Thus, just as the history of multiple competing currencies led to central bank provision of currency, the present day rise of cryptocurrencies and stable coins suggests that the outcome may also be a process of consolidation towards a CBDC.

3. Central banks evolved in the seventeenth to twentieth centuries to satisfy several important public needs: ⁶

⁵ Modern research e.g., Rockoff (1986), Rolnick and Weber (1984), suggests that the Free banking experience was not as bad as the consensus view argues. The debate continues.

⁶ Roberds and Velde (2016 a, 2016b), Ugolini (2017) and Bindseil (2019) consider the experience of earlier proto central banks in the late Middle Ages and the early modern period in Europe. These banks, e.g., the Bank of Amsterdam (Quinn and Roberds 2019), were usually municipal or public-private enterprises, which were able to use their balance sheets to create an efficient payments system. The Bank of Amsterdam was successful for several

- i) In the seventeenth and eighteenth century it was war finance, to aid the government in funding and marketing its debt, e.g., the Riksbank established in 1667 and the Bank of England in 1694;
- ii) In the early twentieth century a number of central banks were established to provide an efficient payments system, to correct the deficiencies of multiple competing currencies e.g., the Swiss National Bank in 1907 (Bordo and James (2007);
- iii) Related to ii, many central banks were established to provide financial stability. The classic case is the Federal Reserve System, founded in 1913, to provide an elastic currency and to act as a lender of last resort to solve the ongoing problem of banking panics (Bordo and Wheelock 2013). The lender of last resort function was developed in Great Britain in the nineteenth century with Bagehot's (1873) Rule as was the case in the other advanced European countries. iv) To ensure stability in the value of money. Most early central banks operated under the classical gold standard whose basic rule was to adhere to the fixed price of gold. With monetary evolution towards a mixed currency (specie and convertible Bank of England and other bank notes) the pioneering Bank of England learned through the nineteenth century, to stabilize the economy and maintain convertibility into gold in the face of both external and internal shocks (Viner 1937). The key to its relative success was credibility maintained by the submission of internal to external goals. Its credibility allowed it a modicum of flexibility to follow limited stabilization policies within the target zone of the gold points (Bordo and MacDonald 2012).

Other advanced European countries followed suit.

centuries because its ledger money could be predictably swapped for specie and there was an implicit guarantee of the unbacked portion of its liability. It collapsed in 1795 because it had diluted its balance sheet through loans to the Dutch East India Company.

During the evolution of central banking, a set of monetary policy tools were developed which carried forward to the present. The Bank of England through the nineteenth century perfected the use of Bank rate (the discount rate) to guide the economy through the vicissitudes of external and internal shocks. Sayers (1957) described how the Bank learned to make Bank rate effective in the 1870s and 1880s when its market power was weak relative to the discount houses by using open market operations to make Bank rate effective. Other European central banks developed similar tools. In the twentieth century additional tools were added on in different countries: changing reserve requirements, Lombard facilities, repo and in the 21st century quantitative easing and forward guidance in face of the ELB.

v) Since World War II, central banks have been encouraged by government and the public to provide macro stability—stabilizing the business cycle and maintaining full employment and price stability. Through a slow and painful learning process, this policy has evolved into the present-day flexible inflation targeting based on credibility for low inflation. Central bank digital currency would follow in this tradition with the interest rate on CBDC (positive or negative) as the policy tool to stabilize the economy.

III. The Case for CBDC

A number of central banks are considering adopting CBDC. Key factors driving their interest include:

1. CBDC would be the twenty first century version of Adam's Smith's social saving of fiduciary money. CBDC would reduce the cost of issuing and operating physical currency by between 0.5 and 1.0 % of GDP (IMF 2020). As well, by providing a direct peer to peer interface via access to the central bank's balance sheet, a CBDC could reduce the monopoly rents earned by the

- commercial banking system, which in most countries administers access to cash and the payments system⁷ (Barrdear and Kumhof 2016, Andolfatto 2019)
- 2. Digitalization has reduced the use of cash in several countries, e.g., Sweden and Norway, to the point where it may disappear altogether. A CBDC could provide a payments media which has virtually all of the attributes of physical cash and be less subject to theft and loss. Moreover, it could allow central banks to continue to perform their monetary and lender of last resort policies.
- 3. A CBDC would increase financial inclusion. In many countries, introduction of a CBDC could provide access to the financial system for disadvantaged groups who do not have bank accounts. In less developed and emerging countries this is a crucial reason for creating a CBDC. It could also be a way to make fiscal transfers quickly and efficiently in a national emergency such as the recent pandemic by depositing these funds into CBDC accounts.⁸.
- 4. A CBDC may head off the threat to monetary sovereignty posed by stable coins. Central banks are concerned that a global digital services company like Facebook which has a network covering billions of people could issue a virtual international currency which is fully backed by hard currency assets e.g., libra/diem, so that it would be a stable unit of account (unlike crypto currencies like bitcoin). Network externalities could then let it serve as a medium of exchange and a store of value and it would then compete with sovereign currency and threaten central banks' ability to conduct monetary policy to satisfy their mandates. However, the key issue is the backing of stable coins liabilities. Unlike central banks, which are insulated from credit risk

⁷ According to Barrdear and Kumhof (2016) introducing a CBDC could raise real GDP in the U.S. by 3%.

⁸ These operations are similar to the "helicopter money" first discussed by Friedman (1969). Such a policy conflates monetary and fiscal policy which may threaten the independence of the central bank.

by their indirect access to the power of the fisc, they are subject to credit risk and can fail as did the Bank of Amsterdam in the eighteenth century (Frost et al 2020).

5. CBDC would provide a secure, reliable currency, free from the dangers of fraud, hacking, money laundering and financing terrorism. These concerns have become more apparent with the advent of the internet and digitalization (BIS 2020)

The basic case for CBDC defined as an asset in electronic form which serves the basic functions of paper currency—with universal access and legal tender — can be traced back to the classical economists' argument that currency is a public good that would appropriately be provided and regulated by the government.

Friedman and Schwartz (1986, Page 58) make the case for government control of outside money.

"We continue to believe that the possibility that private issuers can provide competitive, efficient and safe fiduciary currencies with no role for governmental monetary authorities remains to be demonstrated."

They also concluded that it would be appropriate for inside money to be issued by commercial banks and regulated by an impartial government authority.

Central bank money satisfies the three functions of money as: 1) a unit of account which serves as a measure of real value for economic agents analogous to the meter or yard; 2) a medium of exchange – monetary transactions exhibit very strong network externalities (like water or electricity); 3) a store of value; central bank money is not subject to default risk and general use of its liabilities enables the central bank to serve as a lender of last resort to commercial banks. (Bordo and Levin 2017)

A central bank digital currency would also satisfy the three basic functions of money by providing: an efficient medium of exchange. Instant clearing and settlement on the central bank's platform would involve negligible cost per transaction; a secure store of value. An interest bearing CBDC with essentially the same rate of return as other short-term risk -free assets which provide minimal opportunity cost; a stable unit of account—a CBDC would facilitate the planning of economic actors.

Bordo and Levin (2017) lay out a stylized ideal CBDC arrangement which can be used as a template to consider how it might be implemented in the real world. In our framework, the CBDC would be a direct liability of the central bank giving the non-bank public direct access to its balance sheet. It would serve as legal tender. The non- bank public could conceivably access it by having accounts at the central bank, as was the case with the Bank of England and other central banks in the past. Alternatively, CBDC could be provided through deposits at commercial banks or other financial intermediaries in a public private partnership.

CBDC would be interest bearing paying the market rate of interest on short term securities to

The interest rate on CBDC would become the central bank's primary tool of monetary policy. Similar to the Bank of England's practice in the nineteenth century, this rate would serve as the floor for rates in the economy and adjustments in this interest rate would naturally influence a wide array of other short-term interest rates and hence ensure the effectiveness of monetary policy.

provide an efficient monetary system (Friedman 1969).

In addition, with the introduction of CBDC, the central bank could eliminate the ELB as a constraint on monetary policy. Paper cash would not need to be abolished, but the central bank

would impose fees on very large transfers between paper cash and CBDC. This would be like "sand in the wheels" to disincentivize large flows into paper cash in circumstances where the central bank cuts the CBDC interest rate below zero.

In this ideal scheme CBDC would be welfare improving (Barrdear and Kumhof 2016, Fernandez-Villaverde et al 2020). In this scheme using the interest rate on CBDC as a policy tool would allow the policy makers to follow true price stability through a price level target following a Taylor Rule. The transmission mechanism could be simplified to return to a bills only type policy and because the ELB will be eliminated as an issue, the inefficient usage of QE and forward guidance can be jettisoned (Bordo and Levin 2019).

IV. Implementation of CBDC in the Real World

In today's world, technology is sufficiently advanced that some form of digital currency is inevitable. What is the best way forward? Implementation of a CBDC raises a number of important questions about its design. Considerable research on these questions has been undertaken in central banks, International Financial Institutions and academia, most notably at the Bank of England, Riksbank, Bank of Canada, BIS and the IMF. Research is underway in the Federal Reserve but so far not much of it has seen the light of day.

1) Wholesale or retail CBDC?

In a sense this question has already been answered, commercial bank reserves are digitized and banks have access to the CB's balance sheet. Improvements in the wholesale clearing mechanism with digitalization are already taking place in most countries moving them in the direction of instant and virtually costless Real Gross Time Settlement (RTGS). The real

controversial issue is over retail CBDC: Should central banks provide digital currency with virtually all of the features of cash to the public or should this be left to the private sector?⁹ As argued above, the public good aspect of currency provides a strong rationale for either direct provision or at least close regulation and supervision by government.

A second issue is whether to implement CBDC using accounts (similar to a debit card) or tokens (similar to a stored value card). In the case of tokens, the central bank would determine the supply of tokens, which would be fixed in nominal terms and serve as legal tender. The argument for tokens is that they are most cash-like and they would be anonymous. The downside is they can be stolen or lost. Moreover, there is a cost of verification to token based digital systems because of the verification in the Distributed Ledger systems behind them. They also can be used for money laundering and other illicit activity just like cash (Rogoff 2016). Thus, account based systems are generally viewed as more secure, but at the cost of some privacy, as exists today with the identification requirements for opening a bank account (Meaning, Dyson et al 2018). Still token systems can be used for small transactions. A key advantage of the account based system is that CBDC payments could be practically instantaneous and costless.

2) CBDC accounts at the central bank or private financial institutions?

The simplest way of implementing an account based system is via accounts held directly at the central bank as was the case in earlier times. Today in the current environment of immense data storage and high-speed data capacities providing CBDC via accounts at the central bank is

⁹ An early excursion into a retail payments instrument was the Bank of England's issue of one pound notes during the Restriction period 1797-1821. It was not very successful. It led to widespread fraud and execution of the perpetrators. The Bank was not popular. (Clapham 1945).

eminently feasible. However, central banks do not have a comparative advantage at financial innovation, so the case for private sector involvement may be stronger, at least in advanced countries with well-developed banking and financial systems. Also, there may be objections on privacy grounds to having accounts at the central bank.

A compromise solution that is gaining increased traction is for a public private partnership whereby designated financial institutions could offer CBDC accounts to the public. This could be done by financial institutions serving as conduits for the central bank as Tobin (1987) suggested. These firms would hold a corresponding amount of CBDC funds in segregated reserve accounts at the central bank (Dyson and Hodgson 2018). The Bank of England refers to these institutions as payment interface companies. This approach Is very close to the 100% reserves scheme proposed by Henry Simons (1936) and Milton Friedman (1960) to deal with the frequent banking panics in the U.S.. Commercial banks would be divided into a narrow bank for holding CBDC, backed 100% by reserves in CBDC, and an investment bank which would engage in financial intermediation. The narrow bank, similar to a regulated utility could charge a fee for providing this service / or pay the CBDC interest on deposits as determined by the central bank with a deduction for the cost of operation plus a small profit. 10

Other public/private arrangements that could build upon the expertise of the private financial sector in digital technology could also be fruitful. 11

.

¹⁰ Mancini -Grifoli et al (2019) distinguish between a financial institution that is given a license to operate the CBDC deposit system which is just a conduit to the CB's balance sheet as proposed by the Bank of England and an sCBDC which holds CBDC reserves and is closely supervised by the CB. The sCBDC is not as secure as the payment interface company because its liabilities are not the direct liabilities of the CB so that conceivably it could fail.

11 The history of the U.S. Federal Poscova system established in 1914 based upon a public/private payment may

¹¹ The history of the U.S. Federal Reserve system established in 1914 based upon a public/private partnership may have some resonance. The twelve regional Reserve banks were largely governed by the local member commercial banks and the Federal Reserve Board in Washington had limited powers. It took two turbulent decades to demarcate the public good/ private interests responsibilities of the System with the passage of the Banking Act of

3) Mitigating the risk of disintermediation from commercial banks

One concern by prominent current and former officials (Carstens 2021, Cecchetti 2021), that has driven much research, is whether the introduction of account based CBDC at commercial banks would lead to disintermediation from the commercial banking system, since CBDC accounts which are a direct liability of the central bank would be more secure than non CBDC accounts. The shift from non CBDC accounts to CBDC it is argued, would lead to an increase in funding costs for the banks and harm their competitive position. 12 Related to this is the possibility that disintermediation would make the commercial banks more prone to runs creating financial instability. Keister and Sanchez (2019) directly answer this question. Using a new monetarist model, they show that whether commercial banks will face these threats depends on the extent to which CBDC is closer to being a medium of exchange or a store of value. This in turn is determined by the extent to which competitive interest is paid on the CBDC accounts. Moreover, according to them and Brunnermier and Niepelt (2019), disintermediation could be offset by central bank expansionary balance sheet policy. Relatedly, Kumhof and Noone (2018) posit that disintermediation could be offset by imposing quantitative limits on CBDC holdings, whereas Bindseil (2020), argues that the problem could be more efficiently be solved by tiering the interest rates paid on CBDC and non CBDC deposits.

4 (

^{1935.} The Act gave the Board of Governors the ultimate power over monetary and financial stability policy and the Reserve banks important supporting roles. The influence of the member commercial banks in the corporate governance of the Reserve banks was to be overseen by the Board of Governors (Bordo and Prescott 2019).

12 This research assumes that commercial banks will have both CBDC accounts and regular accounts denominated in non-digital currency. Bordo and Levin (2017) posited that with the disappearance of cash that there would be no difference between CBDC and non CBDC accounts. Moreover, they assumed that the interest rate paid on CBDC accounts would be close to the CB policy rate.

Regardless of CBDC, modern central banks have several lines of defense to effectively head off disintermediation and runs: 1. Supervision and regulation are the first line of defense in boosting public confidence in the commercial banking system. Deposit insurance is the second line of defense, especially for retail deposits. The central bank's role as lender of last resort is the third line of defense, which may be particularly relevant for commercial banks that rely heavily on wholesale funding markets. Given these stabilizing factors, the risk of a massive run seems quite remote. 2. Strains on the financial system are reflected in credit spreads. Thus, the most effective way for the central bank to prevent disintermediation is to be able to implement a negative interest rate on large holdings of risk-free assets. This approach will ensure that private credit rates remain at moderate positive levels, thereby preventing a huge wave of defaults and bankruptcies and fostering the stability of the real economy, which in turn boosts confidence in commercial banks and mitigates the risk of a run.

4) Facilitating security

Considerable effort by central banks has been going into ensuring that proposed CBDC facilities be secure from cybercrime and would satisfy Anti Money Laundering and Terrorist requirements (AML and KYC). Also of great concern is whether to implement CBDC by establishing a centralized platform at the central bank or by initiating a decentralized digital ledger (DLT) using blockchain methods. A number of central banks, such as the Bank of England, seem to prefer the centralized platform which is viewed as more secure than the DLT, although the Bahamian central bank is using a permissioned DLT technology. Finally, Siklos (2020) argues that the introduction of CBDC will require changes in regulations and laws with respect to security and legal tender.

V. Implications for monetary policy

Debate swirls over whether introducing a CBDC would improve monetary policy. Bordo and Levin (2017) following Goodfriend (2016), argued that using the interest rate on CBDC as its policy tool, along with a reduction in cash holding, would eliminate the ELB as a constraint on monetary policy. This would allow the central bank to always maintain macro and price stability. Allowing the interest rate on CBDC to go as negative as needed to offset a major negative shock to aggregate demand would solve the problem faced by Japan since the 1990s, and the other advanced countries since the GFC. Raising the interest rate on CBDC may facilitate the exit from inflation, if the present recovery from the Covid pandemic continues on a permanent inflationary trajectory. Using the interest rate on CBDC as the policy tool would also obviate the use of Quantitative Easing and Forward guidance which has not performed as well as the policy rate performed before the GFC (Bordo and Levin 2019). Moreover, it would allow central banks to greatly reduce and make their balance sheets more transparent returning to the practices of the pre GFC period when central banks held short term securities as their principle asset. Also using the interest rate on CBDC as the policy rate could allow CBs to move from the current "floor system" that they have been following since the GFC to a "corridor" system (Meaning et al 2018).

A number of papers examine the transmission mechanism of monetary policy in an environment where interest bearing CBDC coexists with interest bearing non CBDC deposits and the ELB has not been eliminated. They find that adding the interest rate on CBDC as a new policy tool improves the transmission mechanism and strengthens the lending channel (Barrdear and Kumhof, 2016; Williamson, 2018; Meaning et al, 2018; and IMF, 2020).

The interest rate on CBDC could also improve financial stability policy. In a financial crisis, cutting the digital cash interest rate below zero would prevent runs from other assets into digital cash. Moreover, a relatively steep yield curve would foster bank lending and rapid recovery. This is in contrast with the unconventional policy tools that were used after the GFC which flattened the yield curve and impeded the recovery.

Finally, as mentioned above, the interest rate on CBDC could be used to foster true price stability by following a price level target following a Taylor type rule (Bordo and Levin 2017).

VI. Open Economy Considerations

CBDC has very important implications for the open economy. CBDC could greatly improve cross-border payments which at present are costly and slow. ¹³ At present cross border transactions are done through an elaborate network of correspondent banks which has evolved over centuries (Schenk 2021). With digitalization, payments could be done almost instantly. This innovation echoes back to the installation of the first Atlantic cable in 1866 which reduced the time it took to make an international payment from several weeks to a matter of hours (Garbade and Silber, 1978; Bordo, Eichengreen and Irwin, 1999). Some of the proposed stable coins promise to arrange peer to peer payments through their established networks via block chain. However, were stable coin providers to dominate these arrangements they could threaten monetary sovereignty and also be subject to credit risks. This makes the case for some

¹³ The cost of small transactions through the correspondent banking system costs up to 10% of the value of transactions. (IMF 2020).

regulation or a CBDC to do the job. ¹⁴ Central bank provided digital currencies, however, would need to make arrangements for interoperability with their foreign counterparts using new technologies. This could be arranged with the help of the BIS (BIS 2020).

A system of CBDCs which closely linked together the monetary and payments systems of different countries could lead to an amplification of the spillover effects of domestic monetary policy on other countries. Small open economies could face asymmetric threats to their monetary policy independence from shocks in larger economies. This would work through uncovered arbitrage between the interest rates in countries with CBDCs (Mehl et al 2020). Spillover effects after monetary shocks can lead to amplified exchange rate movements. As is the case today, these can be mitigated by the pursuit of rule-like policies and monetary policy coordination (Taylor 1985, Bordo and Schenk 2017).

CBDC (and stable coins) could lead to currency substitution. Currency substitution—dollar digitalization—could impact the monetary sovereignty and monetary policy capabilities of small open economies and, especially those with weak monetary and fiscal institutions. This is the case today and digitalization would just amplify it (IMF 2020). Brunnermier et al (2019) argue that stable coins like libra/diem, which are based on extensive global networks, to the extent that they are fully and credibly backed by safe assets could compete with official currencies and hence fulfill Hayek (1976) vision of currency competition. ¹⁵

1 /

This analysis is most relevant for advanced countries. Some emerging countries with challenged institutions, poor governance and underdeveloped financial systems may benefit from the proposed stable coins.
 Havek (1976) 's solution to the currency mismanagement of the inflationary 1970s was currency competition.

¹⁵ Hayek (1976) 's solution to the currency mismanagement of the inflationary 1970s was currency competition by currencies with superior stores of value (lower inflation rates). However, that did not happen because of the high costs of switching between currencies with different units of account (like learning a new language). Whereas, today's digital technology makes it easier for currency competition to be successful because switching costs are lower. Stable coin platforms can operate currencies across countries because they are based on a common network, e.g., Facebook. Stable coins can unbundle the functions of money because a common unit of account is

The advent of CBDC (stablecoins) could in turn, it is argued, alter the international monetary system which at present is dominated by the U.S. dollar as the predominant invoicing currency (Gopinath 2019). Brunnermeier et al (2019) and others argue that stable coins (and possibly CBDCs from other countries like China) could eventually subvert the dollar's dominance because of the superiority of their networks. Effective currency competition could occur because of the ability of stable coins to separate the functions of money. However, currency competition from private platforms would run into the problems of interoperability and coordination and, just as in the case of nineteenth century currency competition, information asymmetry would make the case for CBDC. A system of convertible CBDCs would eliminate the imperfect substitutability of private digital currencies (Eichengreen 2019).

Finally, the key reason why the dollar is the dominant currency, as was the pound before it, is its economic and political power, its extensive trade and payments networks, its deep and liquid financial markets, and its track record of stable and credible monetary policy that took decades to create. It seems far-fetched that a stable coin or even a CBDC from China, which lacks most of these attributes, could supplant it in the foreseeable future.

not needed. Currencies can compete on the medium of exchange function or standard of value function depending on the currency platform's comparative advantage (Brunnermier et al 2019).

VII. Lessons from an Historical Perspective

Four key lessons follow from the perspective of history on the case for central bank provided digital currencies.

- 1. Technical change in money/financial innovation which improves the efficiency of the monetary/financial system is inevitable. It is driven by the financial incentives of a market economy. This process goes back to the beginning of civilization but has increased since the early modern period preceding the industrial revolution. This can be seen in the development of banks and fiduciary money, advances in war finance, and in central banking and monetary policy. The timing of adoption of these new technologies is strongly influenced by large shocks: big and expensive wars; the Great Depression and today, the Covid 19 pandemic. CBDC may be an innovation whose time has come.
- 2. Government has a key role in the provision of money. Because outside money is a public good it will be necessary for the central bank to provide it. This holds for fiat as well as digital currency. However, the ability to effectively provide fiat/ digital currency depends on the credibility of the issuer. If that falters currency competition from other CBDCs or stable coins will erode monetary sovereignty. However, as Friedman and Schwartz (1986) argued, the private sector may be most efficient at providing inside money, as long as there is an impartial government authority to maintain financial stability. This principal holds for digital deposits.
- 3. Interest-bearing CBDC could improve the transmission mechanism and transparency of monetary policy. This approach could greatly simplify central banks' balance sheets and help them move back to the simpler framework that existed before the GFC. Moreover

CBDC could revolutionize monetary policy if the interest rate on CBDC is used as the policy rate. If the ELB is eliminated, as cash becomes obsolete or is reduced by charging variable fees on its holding, then the interest rate on CBDC could be used to always maintain both price level and macro stability in a rule-like manner as well as maintain financial stability.

4. CBDC is a global innovation. It will revolutionize international payments in the way that the first Atlantic cable did for capital flows and international payments in 1866. It could exacerbate currency substitution/ currency competition and could, as in the present international monetary system (IMS) , require international monetary cooperation. CBDC (and stable coins) could also challenge the IMS. The fundamental forces leading to currency domination are unlikely to change but digitalization could accelerate shifts driven by them, as did occur in the twentieth century when the dollar eclipsed the pound.

In sum, digitalization in money and finance may promise to be the future. Central banks could learn the lessons from history and provide digital currency (likely in partnership with

private sec or financial institutions) to effectively fulfill their mandates.

References

Andolfatto, David (2021). "Assessing the Impact of Central bank Digital Currency on Private Banks." *Economic Journal* 131 pp 525-540

Bagehot, Walter (1873). *Lombard Street: A Description of the Money Market*. London: Scribner, Armstrong and Company.

Baltensperger, Ernst and Kugler, Ernst (2017). Swiss Monetary History Since the Early 19th Century (Studies in Macroeconomic History). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Barrdear, J and Kumhof, M. (2021). "The Macroeconomics of Central bank Digital Currencies" *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*. Vol 10. Pp 41-48

Bindseil, Ulrich (2019). *Central banking Before 1800: A Rehabilitation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press

Bindseil, Ulrich (2020). "Tiered CBDC and the Financial System" ECB Working Paper. No. 2351

BIS (2020). CBDC: Foundational Principles and Core Features. Report 1. Basel

Bordo, Michael and Capie, Forrest (1993). *Monetary Regimes in Transition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press

Bordo, Michael, Irwin, Douglas, and Eichengreen, Barry (1999). "Is Globalization Today Different Than Globalization a Hundred years Ago?" *Brookings Trade Policy Forum.* (eds). Susan Collins and Robert Lawrence. Washington Dc: Brookings Institution.

Bordo, Michael and Flandreau, Marc (2003). "Core, Periphery, Exchange Rate Regimes and Globalization" in Michael D Bodo, Alan Taylor and Jeffrey Williamson (eds). *Globalization in Historical Perspective*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press

Bordo, Michael and James, Harold (2007). "From 1907 to 1946: A Happy Childhood or a Troubled Adolescence?" Ch 2 in *The Swiss National Bank. 1907-2007.* Zurich: Swiss National Bank

Bordo, Michael and Wheelock, David (2013). "The Promise and Performance of the Federal Reserve as Lender of Last Resort 1914-1933" in Michael D Bordo and William Roberds (eds). *A Return to Jekyll Island*. New York: Cambridge University Press

Bordo, Michael and MacDonald , Ronald (2012). *Credibility and the International Monetary Regime*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press

Bordo, Michael D. and Levin, Andrew (2017). "Central Bank Digital Currency and the Future of Monetary Policy" NBER Working Paper 23711. August.

Bordo, Michael and Schenk, Catharine (2017). "Monetary Policy Cooperation and Coordination: an Historical Perspective on the Importance of Rules' in Michael D Bordo and John B. Taylor eds. *Rules in for International Monetary Stability*. Stanford: Hoover Institution Press. Pp 205-249.

Bordo, Michael and Levin, Andrew (2019). "Improving the Monetary Regime: The Case For U.S. Digital Cash" *Cato Journal*: 39 (1). pp 383-401.

Bordo, Michael and Prescott, Edward S. (2019). "Federal Reserve Structure, Economic Ideas, and Monetary and Financial Policy" NBER Working Paper 26098. July.

Bordo, Michael and Levy, Mickey (2021). "Do Enlarged Fiscal Deficits Cause Inflation? The Historical Record" *Journal of Economic Affairs*. Vol 41. Issue 1 pp 59-83.

Brunnermier, Markus, James, Harold and Landau, Jean Pierre (2019). "The Digitalization of Money" NBER Working Paper 26300. September

Brunnermier, Markus and Niepelt, D (2019). "On the Equivalence of Private and Public Money" *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 106: 27-41

Capie, Forrest (1986). "Conditions in Which Very Rapid Inflation Has Appeared 'Carnegie Rochester Series on Public Policy. Vol 24 pp 115-1687

Carstens, Agustin (2021). "Digital Currencies and the Future of the Monetary System" BIS January

Cecchetti Stephen (2021). "Central Bank Digital Currency: The Battle for the Soul of the Financial System" Money and Banking blog June 21

Clapham, John (1945). *The Bank of England: A History.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Dyson, Ben and Hodgson, Graham (2018). "Digital Cash: Why Central banks Should Start Issuing Electronic Money" Bank of England Discussion paper

Eichengreen, Barry (2019). "From Commodity to Fiat to Crypto" What Does History Tell Us.?" NBER Working Paper 25426 December.

Fernandez-Villaverde, J, Sanches, D, Schilling I, and Uhlig, H. (2020). "Central bank Digital Currency; Central banking for all?" *Review of Economic Dynamics*

Friedman, Milton (1960). A Program For Monetary Stability. New York: Fordham University Press

Friedman Milton (1969). *The Optimum Quantity of Money and Other Essays*. Chicago: Aldine Publishers

Friedman, Milton and Schwartz, Anna (1986). "Has Government Any Role in Money?" *Journal of Monetary Economics* 17(1), 37-62

Frost, Jon, Shin Hyun Song and Wierts, Peter (2020). "An Early Stablecoin? The Bank of Amsterdam and the Governance of Money" BIS Working Paper 902 November

Garbade, Kenneth and Silber, William (1978). "Technology, Communication and the Performance of Financial markets: 1845-1975" *Journal of Finance* pp 819-832

Goetzmann, William (2017). *Money Changes Everything: How Finance Made Civilization Possible*. Princeton: Princeton University Press

Goodfriend, Marvin (2016). "The Case for Unencumbering Interest rate Policy at the Zero Lower bound" *Economic Review*. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City

Goodhart, Charles A.E. (1988). *The Evolution of Central banks: A Natural Development.* Cambridge Mass: MIT Press.

Gopinath, Gita (2016). "The International Price System" Jackson Hole Symposium Proceedings.

Gorton, Gary (1996). Reputation Formation in Early Bank Note Markets. *Journal of Political Economy*

Hammond, Bray (1957). Banks and Politics in America: From the Revolution to the Civil War. Princeton: Princeton University Press

Hayek, Friederich (1976). Denationalization of Money, London: Institute of Economic Affairs.

International Monetary Fund (2020). "Digital Money Across Borders: Macro Financial Implications" Policy Paper September

Keister, T and Sanches, D (2019). "Should Central Banks Issue Digital Currency? "Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia Working Paper 19-26

Klein, Benjamin (1974). "The Competitive Supply of Money" *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, 6, 423

Kumhof, Michael and Noone, Claire (2018). "Central Bank Digital Currencies-design principles" Bank of England Staff working Papers no.725.

Mancini- Grifoli, T, Peria, M, Agur, I Ari, A, Kiff, I, Popescu, A, and Rochon C (2018). "Casting Light on Central Bank Digital Currency" IMF Staff discussion Note

Meaning, Jack, Dyson, Ben, Barker, James and Clayton, Emily (2018). "Broadening Narrow Money: Monetary Policy with a Central bank Digital Currency' bank of England Staff working Paper No.724. May

Mehl, Arnaud, Stracca, Livio, and Ferrari, Massimo (2020). "Central Bank Digital Currency in an Open Economy" CEPR Discussion Paper 15335. October

Presnell, Leslie (1956). *Country Banking in the Industrial Revolution*. Oxford: Oxford University Press

Quinn, Stephan and William Roberds (2019). "A Policy Framework for the Bank of Amsterdam, 1736-1791" *Journal of Economic History* 79 no.3 (September): 736-72

Roberts, William and Francois R. Velde (2016a). "Early Public Banks I: Ledger-Money Banks" in *Money in the Western Legal Tradition: Middle Ages to Bretton Woods*, (eds). David Fox and Wolfgang Erns. Oxford: Oxford University Press pp 465-488.

Roberts, William and Francois R. Velde (2016b). "Early Public Banks II: Banks of Issue" in Money in the Western Legal Tradition: Middle Ages to Bretton Woods. (eds). David Fox and Wolfgang Ernst, Oxford: Oxford University Press 4 pp 65-488

Rockoff, Hugh (1986). "New Evidence on Free Banking in the United Sates" *American Economic Review* 76 (September). :886-889

Rogoff, Kenneth (2016). *The Curse of Cash: How Large -Denomination Bills Aid Crime and Tax Evasion and Constrain Monetary Policy*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Rolnick, Arthur.J and Weber, Warren E (1984). "The Causes of the Free bank Failures: A Detailed Examination" *Journal of Monetary Economics* 14(3): 267-91

Sayers, Richard (1957). Central banking After Bagehot. Oxford: Clarendon Press

Schenk, Catharine (2021). "Constructing and Deconstructing the Global Payments System 1870-2010" Presentation at the Monetary and Financial history Workshop. Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta. May 20-1-22

Selgin, George A. (1988). *The Theory of Free banking: Money Supply under Competitive* Note *Issue*. NJ: Rowman and Littlefield

Siklos, Pierre (2021). "Central Bank Digital Currency and Governance: Fit for Purpose?". Centre for International Governance Innovation. 250. April

Simons, Henry (1936). "Rules Versus authorities in Monetary Policy" Journal of Political Economy

Smith, Vera (1934). The Rationale of Central banking. London

Smith, Adam ([1776], 1981). An Inquiry into the Nature of Causes of the Wealth of Nations. Indianapolis: Liberty Fund

Taylor, John (1985). "International Coordination in the Design of Macroeconomic policy Rules' *European Economic Review* 28; pp 53-81

Tobin, James (1987). "A Case for preserving Regulatory Distinctions" *Challenge*. November/December. Vol 30. No.5 10-17

Ugolini, Stefano (2017). The evolution of Central banking: Theory and History. London: Palgrave Macmillan.

Vaubel, Roland (1984). "The Government's Money Monopoly: Externalities or Natural Monopoly?" *Kyklos*, 37(1). 27-58

Velde, Francois (2020). "The Money Doctors of Seventeenth Century Naples" *Capitalism; A Journal of History and Economics vol1. No.1 Spring pp 405-455.*

Viner, Jacob (1937). Studies in the Theory of International Trade. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

White, Eugene (1995). "The French Revolution and the Politics of Government Finance 1770-1815" *Journal of Economic History* June, vol 51, No.2 pp 227-255.

White, Lawrence (1984). *Free banking in Britain: Theory, Experience and Debate, 1800-1845* London: Cambridge University Press

Williamson, Stephen (2019). "Central Bank Digital Currency: Welfare and Policy Implications" *Society for Economic Dynamics*. Meeting papers 386.