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ABSTRACT

During 2013-2014, China launched a nation-wide real-time air quality monitoring and disclosure program, a watershed moment in the history of its environmental regulations. We present the first empirical analysis of this natural experiment by exploiting its staggered introduction across cities. The program has transformed the landscape of China's environmental protection, substantially expanded public access to pollution information, and dramatically increased households' awareness about pollution issues. These transformations, in turn, triggered a cascade of behavioral changes in household activities such as online searches, day-to-day shopping, and housing demand when pollution was elevated. As a result, air pollution's mortality cost was reduced by nearly 7% post the program. A conservative estimate of the annual benefit is RMB 130 billion, which is at least one order of magnitude larger than the cost of the program and the associated avoidance behavior. Our findings highlight considerable benefits from improving access to pollution information in developing countries, many of which are experiencing the world's worst air pollution but do not systematically collect or disseminate pollution information.
1 Introduction

Economists have long emphasized the importance of information in decision making. In almost any decision environment, perfect information is necessary to ensure individually optimal choices and general market efficiency (e.g., Stigler, 1961; Hirshleifer, 1971; Grossman and Stiglitz, 1976). However, information as an input to decision making is often under-provided in real-world settings, especially for information with public good properties (such as forecasts on weather, pollution, and disease prevention). The difficulties in appropriating private returns for this type of information call for government intervention. Understanding the value of providing such information is crucial for the optimal level of government investment in information gathering and reporting (Nelson and Winter, 1964; Craft, 1998).

There is little research on the value of providing pollution-related information in developing countries despite them experiencing the worst pollution in the world, mainly because pollution information is either not collected or deliberately withheld by the government.\(^1\) Consequently, questions like the benefit of information provision and how much public support is optimal remain mostly unanswered. These issues are pressing since public funding for improving information infrastructure often competes with meeting basic needs in health care, nutrition, and education for the poor.

China provides a perfect setting for studying the role of pollution information. During the 2000s, its daily average concentration of fine particulate matter (PM\(_{2.5}\)) exceeded 50 \(\mu g/m^3\), five times over the World Health Organization guideline. Despite the hazardous level of exposure, a comprehensive monitoring network was non-existent. Dissemination of the scant data that were collected was politically controlled and, in many cases, forbidden. In 2013, amid the social outcry on the lack of transparency and a dramatic shift in government position on air pollution, China launched a nation-wide real-time air-quality monitoring and disclosure program (henceforth, the information program) that covers 98% of its population, a watershed moment in the history of its environmental regulations. The emergence of the information program provides a unique opportunity to study changes in household behavior upon a sharp and permanent increase in the availability of pollution information.

We present the first empirical analysis of this natural experiment and provide the first estimate of the value of pollution information through this program. The basis of our identification strategy is the staggered introduction of the information program across three waves of cities and completed over the course of two years. The sequence of the staggered roll-out is based on cities’ administrative hierarchy (e.g., provincial capitals) and pre-determined desig-

\(^1\)Among the 20 countries with the worst PM\(_{2.5}\) level in 2018 (annual median > 46 \(\mu g/m^3\)), only four (Nepal, Saudi Arabia, India, and China) installed a pollution monitoring system.
nations (e.g., environmental protection priority cities of 2007). The program implementation date is a top-down decision driven by the physical constraints of installing monitor stations and uncorrelated with the day-to-day variation of local pollution levels as shown in our analysis. In addition, there was no other program that follows a similar roll-out schedule during our sample period. To causally identify how information has altered consumer responses to (potentially endogenous) pollution, we formally derive two orthogonality conditions that allow our estimator to “difference out” the pollution endogeneity before and after the policy introduction, thus isolating the causal effect of the policy. We show that the validity of the identifying assumptions can be examined with two empirical tests: (1) a pre-trend test analogous to the test for parallel trends assumption in the standard difference-in-differences setting, and (2) a balance test for the absence of level shifts in pollution before vs. after the policy. Our methodology allows us to estimate, using simple OLS, how information causes a “change-in-level” in information availability and public awareness, and a “change-in-slope” between household behavior and outdoor air quality.

We build the most comprehensive database ever compiled on a rich set of outcomes including social awareness, air quality measure from satellite, short- and long-run economic activities, and health outcomes that covers the period before and after the information program. We first document that the information program has profoundly transformed the landscape of public access to pollution information and dramatically increased households’ awareness about pollution issues. The frequency of air-pollution related articles in People’s Daily, the government’s official newspaper, rises from less than once-per-week to almost daily. The number of mobile phone applications (“apps”) released each year that stream air pollution data to users surges by 500%, four times faster than the growth of other apps. Immediately after the program is launched, the term “smog” (“wu mai” in Chinese) has become, for the first time, a buzzword in social media. Within one year of the program implementation in a city, purchases of air purifiers more than double and become highly correlated with the local pollution level.

These changes in information access and public awareness have triggered a cascade of short-run and long-run behavioral changes in household activities such as day-to-day shopping and housing demand when pollution is elevated. In our short-run analysis, we exploit the universe of credit- and debit-card transactions in China from 2011 to 2015 to build a measure of outdoor purchase trips for all cities in the country. Linking purchase activities to local ambient air pollution, we show that the information program has boosted pollution avoidance by triggering a negative purchase-pollution elasticity of 3%, a pattern that is robust across a host of econometric specifications. As expected, the increase in avoidance concentrates in plausibly “deferrable” consumption categories, such as supermarket shop-
ping, outdoor dining, and entertainment, rather than in “scheduled” trips such as bill-pays, business-to-business wholesales, and cancer treatment sessions.

Our long-run analysis focuses on the capitalization of pollution information in the housing market. Leveraging geolocation information from the near-universe of new home sales in Beijing spanning nine years from 2006 to 2014, we examine the information-induced changes in the relationship between housing prices and local pollution levels using two different research designs. First, we employ the pixel-averaging technique (“oversampling”) to enhance the original satellite pollution data’s spatial resolution from 10-by-10 km to 1-by-1 km (Fioletov et al., 2011; Streets et al., 2013). The high-resolution measure allows us to conduct comparison within fine geographic units, such as communities that are similar to census block-groups in the U.S. We estimate a home value-pollution elasticity of -0.6 to -0.8 post disclosure; one standard deviation increase in pollution is associated with a 4-6% reduction in housing price. In contrast, the elasticity is small and statistically insignificant (-0.10 to 0.09) before the information program.

Second, we link China’s emission inventory database with business registries to identify addresses of mega polluters in Beijing: the 10% facilities that account for 90% of total industrial air emissions. Following the literature (Currie et al., 2015), we estimate separate “distance gradient” curves that express the home value as a function of proximity to the nearest major polluter before and after the information program. While there is no correlation between housing prices and proximity to polluters prior to the program, houses within three km of a major polluter depreciate 27% afterward, which corresponds to 42% of the inter-quartile range of the housing price dispersion. While somewhat larger than Currie et al. (2015) where properties within 1km of a toxic plant experience an 11% reduction in value, these estimates are plausible in light of Beijing’s three-fold increase in housing prices over the sample period. Thus, the information program facilitates the capitalization of air quality in the housing market, potentially improving social welfare through residential sorting.

These behavior changes could significantly mitigate the devastating consequences of severe air pollution in China. Our last set of empirical analyses examines changes in the mortality-pollution relationship as access to information improves. Using nationally representative mortality data from the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), we find a five percentage-point reduction in the mortality-pollution elasticity post monitoring that concentrates in cardio-respiratory causes and is more precisely estimated among the age groups more vulnerable to pollution exposure. The impact is more pronounced in cities that have a larger share of urban population, have more hospitals per capita, consume more electricity, and have a higher mobile phone penetration. These patterns are remarkably similar to heterogeneous short-term avoidance responses uncovered using the card spending
data, suggesting a plausible pathway from effective avoidance to beneficial health outcomes.

Combining our findings with existing estimates on the causal effect of pollution on mortality in China (e.g., Ebenstein et al., 2017), access to pollution information has reduced premature deaths attributable to air pollution exposure by nearly 7% and generates a health benefit that is equivalent to a 10 μg/m$^3$ reduction in PM$_{10}$. Based on recent estimates in the literature (Ito and Zhang, 2018; Ashenfelter and Greenstone, 2004; Murphy and Topel, 2006), the associated annual benefits vary from RMB 130 billion (using willingness-to-pay for clean air) and RMB 520 billion (using age-adjusted value of statistical life). By our calculation, such social benefits outweigh the costs from defensive investments (such as air purifier purchases), avoidance behavior (such as foregone consumption), and deploying and maintaining the program by at least one order of magnitude, making the information program among the most successful environmental policies in a developing country.

We make three main contributions to the literature. First, our study provides to our knowledge the first empirical estimate of the value of a nation-wide program on pollution monitoring and disclosure. Our empirical findings highlight the considerable benefits of collecting and disseminating pollution information in developing countries, many of which are experiencing the worst mortality damage from pollution exposure in the world (Landrigan et al., 2018). The success of China’s program provides a benchmark for policy discussions (e.g., the cost-benefit analysis) on building information infrastructure in these countries.

Second, our study shows that information is a crucial determinant of avoidance behavior and defensive spending. Consumer activities (online searches, day-to-day shopping, and housing demand) exhibit little response to pollution until such information becomes widely available. This contrasts with the implicit assumption of perfect information on pollution exposure commonly made in the existing literature that uses revealed-preference to estimate the value of non-marketed environmental goods, which is perhaps more tenable for developed economies. To the extent that access to information is lacking in developing countries, the perfect-information assumption could underestimate consumers’ true willingness-to-pay for environmental goods. Our findings provide a potential explanation for why environmental quality is severely undervalued in developing countries (Greenstone and Jack, 2015) and why the dose relationship between pollution and mortality differ across developed and developing countries (Arceo, Hanna and Oliva, 2015).

Third, this study contributes to the broad empirical literature on the role of information in consumer choices. Growing evidence suggests that consumers misperceive product

---

2A similar literature quantifies the value of weather forecasts, another type of government-provided information, as an important input to production decisions (Lave, 1963; Craft, 1998; Shrader, 2018; Jagnani et al., 2018).
attributes in a wide range of contexts such as food nutritional contents (Bollinger, Leslie and Sorensen, 2011), insurance policy costs (Kling et al., 2012), vehicle fuel economy (Allcott, 2013), retirement savings (Bernheim, Fradkin and Popov, 2015), taxation (Chetty, Looney and Kroft, 2009), and energy prices (Shin, 1985; Ito, 2014). Information provision programs can improve consumers’ perception of product attributes (Smith and Johnson, 1988; Oberholzer-Gee and Mitsunari, 2006), change consumer choices (Hastings and Weinstein, 2008; Dranove and Jin, 2010; Jessoe and Rapson, 2014; Newell and Siikamäki, 2014; Mastromonaco, 2015; Wichman, 2017), and drive up average product quality (Jin and Leslie, 2003; Bai, 2018). In the context of air quality, recent studies have documented behavioral responses to pollution exposure in both the short- and long-terms. Our analysis shows that these behavioral responses could lead to improved health conditions and we use the associated benefits in dollar terms to provide a lower bound estimate of the value of pollution information.3

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews institutional details on the information program and describes data sources. Section 3 presents the theoretical framework. Section 4 documents the dramatic changes in information access and awareness after the program. Section 5 employs a unified framework to examine the effect of the program on short- and long-term avoidance behavior and mortality. Section 6 calculates the value of information. Section 7 concludes.

2 Institutional Background and Data

2.1 Environmental Regulations

The real-time PM$_{2.5}$ monitoring and disclosure program started in 2013 is a watershed moment in the history of China’s environmental regulations. The program brought about a sharp and sudden change in the access of pollution information for the average residents and drastically enhanced the public awareness of the health impact of PM$_{2.5}$. To help understand this change, we provide a brief history of China’s environmental regulations.

Environmental Regulations Prior to 2012  China established its first national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) in 1982 which set limits for six air pollutants including

---

3 Cutter and Neidell (2009); Graff Zivin and Neidell (2009); Sun, Kahn and Zheng (2017); Zhang and Mu (2018) document changes in short-run avoidance and defensive spending while Chay and Greenstone (2005); Banzhaf and Walsh (2008); Bayer, Keohane and Timmins (2009); Mastromonaco (2015); Chen, Oliva and Zhang (2017); Freeman et al. (2019) analyze long-term housing choices and migration decisions in response to pollution.
Total Suspended Particulate (TSP), coarse particulate matter (PM$_{10}$), sulfur dioxide (SO$_2$), nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$), carbon monoxide (CO), and ozone (O$_3$). The standards were subsequently amended in 1996, 2000, and 2012. The 1996 amendment strengthened and expanded the standards to reflect the improvement in abatement capabilities while the 2000 amendment removed NO$_x$ from the list and relaxed the standards for NO$_2$ and O$_3$ in response to non-compliance due to the increase in automobile usage.

Throughout much of the 1980s to early 2000s, the primary threat of air quality was considered to be SO$_2$ due to coal burning. As acid rain caused widespread and visible damages to crops, forest, and the aquatic environment, the control of acid rain and SO$_2$ emissions was the focus of the environmental regulations (Yi, Hao and Tang, 2007). The prominent regulation was the two-control zone policy (TCZ) implemented in 1998 where prefectures with high PH values of precipitation and SO$_2$ concentration were designated as either the acid rain control zone (located in the south) or the SO$_2$ control zone (mostly in the north). A series of measures were imposed in these zones, such as mandating the installation of flue gas desulfurization in coal-fired power plants and closing down small coal-fired power plants (Tanaka, 2015). As a result of aggressive emissions control and clean energy policies, the average SO$_2$ concentration was reduced by nearly 45% from 1990 to 2002, with the majority of the cities achieving the national standard by 1998 (Hao and Wang, 2005).

Starting from early 2000, the source of air pollution shifted from coal-burning to mixed sources, and particulate matter (PM) rather than SO$_2$ became the primary pollutant. This shift was driven by the fact that while emissions from coal-fired power plants have reduced significantly, emissions from automobiles, manufacturing facilities, and construction have skyrocketed due to the dramatic growth in vehicle ownership, industrial activities (after China’s WTO accession in 2001) and rapid urbanization. The regulatory focus was shifted to reducing urban air pollution through city-level efforts (Ghanem and Zhang, 2014), which proved to be ineffective due to the strong competing incentives for economic growth at the local level together with the weak monitoring and enforcement from the central government. Episodes of extreme air pollution were frequent especially during winters in many urban centers. U.S. Embassy in Beijing and consulates in Guangzhou and Shanghai started to report hourly PM$_{2.5}$ in 2008 based on monitoring stations installed on-site. The PM$_{2.5}$ readings from these sites were often inconsistent with the official pollution reports and became sources of diplomatic tensions.

---

4 The fraction of the acid rain zone in China’s total terrain decreased from the peak level of about 30% in the mid-1990s to 8.8% in 2015.

5 The then-vice minister of the Ministry of Environmental Protection (MEP), Wu, Xiaoqing, openly requested the U.S. embassy and consulates to stop releasing PM$_{2.5}$ data from their monitoring stations during the press conference on the World Environment Day in 2012. He stated that the public release
Limited Pollution Awareness Prior to 2012  While air pollution has been a long-standing issue, public access to daily pollution measures was almost absent prior to 2013. Although the Ministry of Environmental Protection (MEP) publishes the daily Air Pollution Index (API) for major cities starting from 2000, the reported API only partially reflected true air quality because it did not incorporate PM$_{2.5}$, which was the major air pollutant in many Chinese cities since the 2000s. In addition, the API index was not incorporated into the mass media publications or broadcasts. Furthermore, the API data was gathered and reported by local environmental bureaus whose leaders were appointed by local governments. The MEP did not control the monitoring stations and had limited ability to monitor the data quality. Recent research has found evidence of widespread manipulation of the API data (Andrews, 2008; Chen et al., 2012; Ghanem and Zhang, 2014; Greenstone et al., 2019).

While the dominant pollutant had shifted from SO$_2$ to particulate matter in the 2000s, there was no systematic collection of PM$_{2.5}$ measures. As a result, consumer awareness of PM$_{2.5}$ concentration was extremely limited prior to 2013. Poor visibility due to high levels of PM$_{2.5}$ was often characterized as fog rather than smog by both government agencies and the media. For example, newspaper headlines, as well as the China Meteorological Administration, characterized widespread flight delays and cancellations as being caused by dense fog in Beijing and northern cities on November 27, 2011. In fact, the low visibility was caused by extreme pollution, as shown in Figure 1 that displays NASA’s satellite view of China and the AOD reading of 4.5 or higher for many northern cities. A similar pollution event occurred on December 4-6, in 2011 when it was again covered as dense fog by major news media including China Central Television, the predominant state television broadcaster in Mainland China, and popular websites such as sina.com.

The lack of awareness of PM$_{2.5}$ and the ‘fog-smog’ confusion among the public and the media were reflected upon by the prominent journalist-turned-environmentalist Chai Jing in her high-profile documentary on China’s air pollution titled Under the Dome released in February 2015: “… I go back and check the headline from that day’s newspaper (on December 1st, 2004): ‘Fog at Beijing Capital Airport Causes Worst Flight Delays in Recent Years.’ We all believed that it was fog back then. That’s what we called it…. as a former journalist, I started to blame myself because for all these years I had been reporting stories on pollution all across the country, I always thought pollution was about mining sites and

---

6API coverts the concentration of PM$_{10}$, SO$_2$, and NO$_2$ into a single index through a set of piece-wise linear transformations. The dominant pollutant on each day determines the level of API.

7The AOD measure is usually between 0.1 to 0.15 in the US. For China, the average is about 0.5.
those places near factories spewing smoke plumes. I never thought the skies that we saw every day could be polluted.\footnote{The documentary has been compared with Al Gore’s *An Inconvenient Truth* in terms of its style and impact. The film was viewed over 150 million times on popular website tencent.com within three days of its release, and viewed another 150 million times by the time it was taken offline by the government four days later. \textit{Tu et al.} (2020) show that the documentary increases household WTP for clean air based on a longitudinal survey conduct in the city of Nanjing and a regression discontinuity (in time) design.}

**The Information Program and Environmental Regulation Post 2012** In 2012, China’s MEP revised the NAAQS and for the first time in history set the national standards for PM$_{2.5}$. The new standards were slated to take effect nationwide in 2016, but some cities and regions were required to implement the standards earlier.\footnote{The cities in Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region, the Yangtze River Delta, and the Pearl River Delta, as well as provincial capitals, are required to implement the standards in 2012 while all prefecture-level cities are required to implement the standards by 2015.} To help achieve the standards, China’s State Council released the *Action Plan on Air Pollution Prevention and Control* in September 2013, which set specific targets for PM$_{2.5}$ reduction from 2013 to 2017 and outlined ten concrete policies such as promoting the role of market-based mechanisms and establishing monitoring and warning systems to cope with severe air pollution events.\footnote{The plan may have been China’s most influential environmental policy during the past decade. Under this plan, PM$_{2.5}$ reduced by over 37% in Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei Region, 35% in the Yangtze River Delta, 26% in the Pearl River Delta, and over 30% on average in over 70 major cities (\textit{Huang et al.}, 2018).} In addition to this action plan, for the first time in the history of national five-year plans, the 13th Five-year Plan required prefecture-level cities or higher to reduce the PM$_{2.5}$ concentration by 18% from 2015 to 2020.

The recognition of PM$_{2.5}$ as a primary pollutant and the aggressive policies to reduce PM$_{2.5}$ concentration marked a significant shift of the China’s long-standing strategy of prioritizing economic growth over environmental concerns and happened under the backdrop of China’s 12th and 13th Five-Year Plans that set pollution reduction as one of the bureaucratic hard targets in the cadre evaluation system (\textit{Wang}, 2017).\footnote{The mandate to reduce air pollution comes from the highest level of government officials. Premier Li, Keqiang described smog as “nature’s red-light warning against inefficient and blind development” and declared war against pollution at the opening of the annual meeting of People’s Congress in March 2014. The phrase, *war on pollution*, has been quoted by President Xi, Jinping in national meetings since then.} To effectively monitor local air pollution levels and to address the pitfalls of the previous reporting system of API (\textit{Greenstone et al.}, 2019), the MEP implemented a nationwide monitoring and disclosure program starting from 2013 with the focus of building a scientific and efficient system to monitor air quality and disclose publicly the real-time data.

The program contained two major provisions. First, it initiated continuous monitoring of major air pollutants, including PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, O$_3$, CO, NO$_2$, and SO$_2$. This led to the installation of a comprehensive network of monitors that were built in three waves. In the first wave,
monitoring networks were built between May and December 2012 in 74 major cities that represented the country’s key population and economic centers (the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei Metropolitan Region, the Yangtze River Delta, the Pearl River Delta, Direct-administered municipalities, and provincial capitals). Real-time readings on all major air pollutants were posted online and ready for streaming by December 31, 2012. By October 31, 2013, the second wave was completed, adding 116 cities from the list of the Environmental Improvement Priority Cities and the National Environmental Protection Exemplary Cities. In the final wave, achieved by November 20, 2014, the program reached the remaining 177 cities. The roll-out of the program is plotted in Figure 2. By the end of the third wave, the program had built more than 1,400 monitoring stations in 337 cities covering an estimated 98% of the country’s population.

Second, and more importantly, the information program established a dissemination system to report a real-time Air Quality Index (AQI) that is on a single scale of 0-500. Monitoring results are displayed in real-time on MEP’s website. Different from the previous API reporting system, the new monitors are under the direct control of MEP, and data are directly transmitted to MEP’s information center in real-time to avoid manipulation by the local government. Both hourly and daily AQIs, as well as concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, O$_3$, CO, NO$_2$, and SO$_2$, are available at individual station- and city-levels, with an interactive map showing the location of each monitoring station. Appendix Figure C.2 provides a screen-shot of the website interface. Importantly, the government allows private parties to access and stream data directly from the web, which has spurred a surge in private websites and mobile phone applications that report real-time air quality information. We provide more details on how information access and public awareness on PM$_{2.5}$ and its health consequences have been affected by the program in section 4.

**Concurrent Government Policies and Potential Confounders** A key feature of the information program’s roll-out is that it is based on cities’ administrative hierarchy and well-known groupings that were designated long before the information program was initiated. The pre-determined nature of these groupings indicates that there is little scope of selecting cities into different roll-out waves based on unobservable characteristics or future projections of outcome variables. In addition, the program implementation date is a top-down decision driven by the physical constraints of installing monitor stations and uncorrelated with day-

---

12 The Environmental Improvement Priority Cities were designated in 2007 during the Eleventh-Five-Year period and contain important regional economic centers and cities face significant environmental challenges. The National Environmental Protection Exemplary Cities program was created during the Ninth-Five-Year period; 68 cites were awarded the title from 1997 to 2007 based on a host of environmental quality criteria. Appendix Figure C.1 tabulates cities by waves and their associated city clusters.
to-day variation in local pollution, as shown in our balance tests.\footnote{Appendix Table C.1 tabulates economics attributes for cities in each wave. Cities in earlier-waves tend to have a larger population, higher GDP per capita, higher levels of air pollution and industrial emissions. On the other hand, as shown in balance tests in Appendix Table C.2, these economic and environmental conditions do not change systematically before and after the program roll-out. Together, the nature of the program and the evidence there suggest that the choice of cities and the timing of the program roll-out are unlikely to be based on city-level unobservables.}

We are unaware of any other concurrent government policies during our study period that coincide with the geography and the timeline of the information program’s roll-out. Nevertheless, several major environmental and energy programs are worth noting. First, China rolled out pilot CO$_2$ cap and trade programs targeted at heavy polluting industries in two provinces (Guangdong in Dec. 2013 and Hubei in Apr. 2014) and five individual cities (Shenzhen in Jun. 2013, Beijing in Nov. 2013, Shanghai in Nov. 2013, Tianjin in Dec. 2013, and Chongqing in Jun. 2014).\footnote{As a proof of concept for the national CO$_2$ cap and trade program slated to come online in 2020, these seven regional pilots had limited impact on carbon emission and air quality given the generous allocation and the lack of strong punishment mechanism for noncompliance (Zhang, Wang and Du, 2017).} The second policy is the energy reduction plan among over 16,000 largest energy users that collectively accounted for over 60% of total energy consumption in 2010. The policy, started in 2012, aimed to reduce energy intensity and carbon intensity as outlined in the 12th five-year plan. The third policy is fuel switching from coal to natural gas for winter heating in Northern China from the winter season of 2013 as part of the Action Plan on Air Pollution Prevention and Control.

To the extent that there is no significant overlap in the geography and timeline of implementation of these policies with the monitoring roll-out schedule, we expect the impact of these policies to be picked up by the rich set of spatial and temporal controls we use in our econometric models (e.g., city fixed effects and region by week-of-sample fixed effects). Consistent with this view, in a series of tests we report in Appendix Table C.2 we show that the information roll-out is not associated with any significant changes in the level of pollution in the treated city conditional on the fixed effects controls, while the time fixed effects dummies picked up a substantial reduction of the overall pollution level since 2014.

\subsection*{2.2 Data}

We compile multiple data sets to allow for a comprehensive study of the impacts of the information disclosure program on a variety of outcomes. The data sets include data on consumer awareness and online search behavior, air purifier sales, bank card transactions, housing transactions, mortality rates, and air quality measure from satellite. The data on housing transactions is for Beijing and all the other data sets are national or nationally representative in scope (Appendix Table C.3).
Mass Media, Phone Apps, and Web Search  The high Internet and mobile phone penetration among the Chinese population provides a unique opportunity to investigate pollution awareness using digital sources.\footnote{Data from the China Internet Network Information Center show that, by the end of 2012, China had about 0.56 billion internet users (or 40% of its population). More than 99% of Internet users have heard of Baidu, the most popular search engine (seconded by Google, 87%), and 98% have used it in the past six months (seconded by 360.cn, 43%). Mobile phone prevalence rose from 73.5 per 100 people in 2011 to 95.6 per 100 people in 2016 (National Bureau of Statistics), with a smart-phone penetration rate of 72% in 2013 (Nielsen).} We draw on several digital sources to illustrate the evolution of public access to pollution information. First, we look at the publication trends by People’s Daily, the government’s official newspaper, and pull articles that contain the word “smog” (or “air pollution”, “atmospheric pollution”) from People’s Daily’s digital archive. For each article about “smog”, we also identify a list of relevant cities mentioned in the same article.

Second, we scrape Apple’s App Store to obtain Chinese mobile apps that contain air pollution information, using keywords including “air pollution”, “atmospheric pollution”, and “smog”.\footnote{The API returns the 200 most relevant apps for a given keyword.} These apps typically display current hourly pollution levels; some also provide health-related guidelines (e.g., avoiding outdoor activities) when pollution levels are high. Appendix Figure C.3 is a screenshot from a typical pollution app. Apps in other major categories such as gaming, reading, and shopping serve as a control group.

Third, the most widely used search engine in China, Baidu, publishes a search index that summarizes the number of queries for certain words in a city and day among both desktop and mobile users since 2011. We focus on the search index for “smog”, the buzzword for air pollution. The search index is generated using an algorithm similar to Google Trends and is based on the underlying search traffic and reflects search intensity.

Air Purifier Sales  Air purifier sales data come from a leading market research firm and report the total units of air purifiers sold for both residential and institutional purposes at the monthly frequency for fifty cities from 2012-2015.\footnote{The firm name is withheld per our data use agreement.} Among these fifty cities, thirty-four, eleven, and five are in the first, second, and third waves of the program roll-out, respectively.

Bank-Card Transactions Data  Households’ shopping trips are constructed using the universe of credit and debit card transactions during 2011-2015 from UnionPay, the only inter-bank payment clearinghouse in China and the largest such network in the world. The database covers 59% of China’s national consumption and 22% of its GDP in 2015 as shown in Appendix Figure C.4. For each transaction, we observe the merchant name and location,
and transaction amount and time. Appendix Figure C.5 shows the spatial pattern of cards and transactions. Credit and debit cards are widely adopted across the country as the most commonly used transaction method, especially in urban areas (Barwick et al., 2018). The data set is the most comprehensive data to our know with such fine spatial and temporal resolution on consumption activities for China.

Two features of the data are worth mentioning. First, our data contains a small fraction of transactions that are made online. We drop online transactions as it is difficult to trace these buyers’ physical locations. Second, we do not observe specific items purchased in each transaction. Instead, UnionPay classifies merchants into over 300 categories, such as department stores and supermarkets. We use the category information in our analysis below.

Our key outcome variable is purchase rate, defined as the ratio between (1) the total number of transactions occurred in a city \times week, and (2) the total number of active cards with positive transactions in a city year. We focus on all transactions of a 1% random sample of cards, with an average of 18.3 million active cards at any given point in time.

**Housing Transactions Data (Beijing)** Our housing data contains a total of over 660,000 new home transactions in about 1,300 apartment complexes in Beijing from January 2006 to April 2014, with a near-universe coverage. Variables recorded include the transaction date and price, housing unit characteristics (floor, size of the unit, etc.), as well as attributes and geo-location of the apartment complex. Among the 1,300 apartment complexes, 64% of the complexes are sold out in three years. The temporal variation in price among apartments within the same complex is used as one key source of variation in one of the empirical strategies.

**Polluter Data (Beijing)** MEP conducts an annual survey of all major industrial polluters and compiles the Chinese Environmental Statistics database (CES), the most comprehensive coverage of firm emissions in China and the source of the annual Environmental Yearbook (Liu, Shadbegian and Zhang, 2017; Zhang, Chen and Guo, 2018). We have access to the 2007 CES, which reports total industrial emissions across all pollutants for 587 polluters in Beijing. We obtain firm address and operation status by linking CES with firm registration records from Qixin (www.qixin.com) and geocode addresses using Baidu’s Map API. Our study focuses on 407 polluters that operated throughout 2006-2014, the sample period of

---

18 Since 2015, mobile payment apps including Alipay and Wechat have become very popular but cards transactions still account for more than 50% of national consumption.

19 Online transactions accounted for 5.1% of total transactions by volume and 3.6% by transaction amount between 2013 and 2015. The shares were smaller in earlier years.
Mortality Data Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) operates a Disease Surveillance Points system (DSP) that covers 161 counties and city districts and 73 million individuals during 2011-2016, a 5% representative sample of China’s population. DSP’s mortality database, drawn from hospital records and surveys of the deceased’s household, is one of the highest-quality health databases that have been used in recent medical and economic research (Zhou et al., 2016; Ebenstein et al., 2017). We observe the number of persons and total deaths by each county \( \times \) week \( \times \) gender \( \times \) age-group and separately for the following six categories: chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases, heart diseases, cerebrovascular diseases, respiratory infections, digestive diseases, and traffic accidents. The first three groups are closely related to cardiovascular diseases and are affected by air pollution exposure, while the latter two causes serve as placebo-style outcomes. To use the same geographic unit of analysis throughout the paper, we aggregate the county mortality data to the city level for a total of 131 cities. Among these 131 cities, 38 implemented the information program in the first wave, 38 in the second wave, and 55 in the last wave.

Satellite Data To overcome the challenge that reliable pollution data are only available post the information program, we obtain ambient air quality measures from Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) via NASA’s MODIS algorithm installed on satellite Terra’s platform. The original data has a geographic resolution of 10 km \( \times \) 10 km and a scanning frequency of 30 minutes, which we average to the city \( \times \) day level from 2006-2015. MODIS records the degree to which sunlight is scattered or absorbed in the entire atmospheric column corresponding to the overpassed area under the cloud-clear condition. As such, AOD captures concentration of particles such as sulfates, nitrates, black carbons, and sea salts, and serve as a proxy for outdoor particulate matter pollution (Van Donkelaar, Martin and Park, 2006). Appendix Figure C.6 documents a strong correspondence between AOD and PM\(_{2.5}\) post the information program.

We favor the MODIS AOD measure over alternatives (such as satellite-based ground-level PM\(_{2.5}\) predictions) for several reasons. First, MODIS data can be easily aggregated from daily to weekly or quarterly levels. This allows us to use the same pollution measure throughout our analysis. In contrast, processed satellite-based PM\(_{2.5}\) data are only distributed at certain temporal intervals (e.g., annual) and cannot be dis-aggregated in a straightforward manner. Second, MODIS AOD allows us to observe overlapping 10 km \( \times \) 10 km grid cells, which is essential for the oversampling exercise in Section 5.3. Finally, while MODIS AOD

\[ \text{PM}_{2.5} \]

20In China, counties are comparable to city districts and are smaller geo-units than cities.
is a common input in predicted ground-level PM$_{2.5}$, there is no consensus on the precise relationship between AOD and PM2.5 in the atmospheric science literature.

3 Theoretical Model

Classical economic theory argues that the value of information stems from the fact that information as an input to the decision process can help economic agents make better decisions, for example by resolving market uncertainty in demand and supply conditions (Stigler, 1961, 1962) or technological uncertainty in investment and production decisions (Lave, 1963; Hirshleifer, 1971). Access to pollution information affects the behavior of informed individuals who could take measures to reduce the harm from pollution. In this section, we present a stylized model to illustrate how the information program affects individual behavior and utility by incorporating the elements of information economics (Hirshleifer, 1971; Hilton, 1981) into the classical model of health demand and production (Grossman, 1972; Deschenes, Greenstone and Shapiro, 2017).

3.1 Model Setup

Individuals derive utility $U(x, h)$ from the consumption of a numeraire good $x$, whose price is normalized to one, and health stock $h$. Health stock depends on both the pollution level $c$ and the extent of avoidance $a$ (individuals’ actions that mitigate the negative impact of pollution): $h = h(c, a)$.

Individuals face a budget constraint that is given by: $I + w \cdot g(h) \geq x + p_a \cdot a$, where $I$ is non-labor income and $w$ is the wage rate. Hours worked is denoted by $g(h)$ and is a function of the health stock. Individuals allocate their wage and non-wage income between consumption and engaging in avoidance behavior $a$, where $p_a$ is the associated price (e.g., the cost of an air purifier or medication). We assume away dynamics and savings to ease exposition. In addition, we use $a$ to include broadly-defined (costly) adaptation behavior.$^{21}$

Under imperfect information on pollution, consumers may or may not know the real pollution level $c$. They maximize utility by choosing the optimal consumption $x$ and defensive

---

$^{21}$Examples include reducing outdoor activities (Zivin and Neidell, 2009; Saberian, Heyes and Rivers, 2017), engaging in defensive spending (e.g., face masks and air purifiers) (Ito and Zhang, 2018; Zhang and Mu, 2018), and location choices and migration (Chay and Greenstone, 2005; Banzhaf and Walsh, 2008; Bayer, Keohane and Timmins, 2009; Chen, Oliva and Zhang, 2017).
investment \(a\) based on the *perceived* pollution level \(c_0\):

\[
\max_{x,a} U(x, h) \\
\text{s.t. } I + w(h) \geq x + p_a \cdot a \\
\quad h = h(c_0, a)
\]

The health function \(h = h(c_0, a)\) in the optimization can be viewed as an ex-ante health function which consumers rely on for decisions before the health outcome is realized. It is different from the ex-post health outcome \(h = h(c, a)\) experienced by consumers. This difference gives rise to the discrepancy between the (ex-ante) decision utility and the (ex-post) experience utility as described in Bernheim and Rangel (2009) and Allcott (2013).

Let avoidance under the perceived pollution \(c_0\) be denoted by \(a(c_0)\). Individuals’ wage income is determined by the actual pollution level \(c\) and avoidance \(a(c_0)\): \(w[h(c, a(c_0))]\). Let \(X(c, c_0)\) denote consumption of the numeraire good. The experience utility based on the perceived pollution prior to the information program is:

\[
U[X(c, c_0), h(c, a(c_0))] \equiv V(c, c_0)
\]

where \(V(\cdot, \cdot)\) denotes the indirect utility: the first argument is the actual pollution \(c\) and the second argument is the perceived pollution level. To examine the behavioral changes associated with and the welfare impacts of the information program, we make the following assumptions:

**Assumption A1** Health stock is bounded and decreases in pollution and increases in avoidance:

\[
\frac{\partial h}{\partial c} \leq 0, \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial a} \geq 0.
\]

In addition, the marginal health benefit of avoidance is decreasing:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial a^2} \leq 0.
\]

This assumption ensures that people don’t engage in an unreasonable amount of avoidance behavior. Similarly, we assume that hours worked increases in health, but at a decreasing rate:

\[
\frac{dg}{dh} \geq 0, \quad \frac{d^2 g}{dh^2} \leq 0.
\]

Finally, the worse the pollution, the larger the marginal health benefit of avoidance:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial a \partial c} \geq 0.
\]

The health benefit of avoidance is likely much higher when pollution is severe than when it is modest.

We focus on interior solutions for the optimal level of avoidance behavior \(a\).\(^{22}\) The assumption of \(\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial a \partial c} \geq 0\) is crucial in delivering ‘complementary’ between pollution and avoidance: the higher the pollution, the more intense avoidance is likely to be. At low levels of pollution, the marginal health benefit \(\frac{\partial h}{\partial a}\) is likely to be limited. As pollution elevates, individuals will engage in a greater extent of avoidance to mitigate the wage impact of pollution.

---

\(^{22}\)A necessary condition for an interior solution is \(w \cdot \frac{dg}{dh} \cdot \frac{\partial h}{\partial a} \mid_{a=0} > p_a\).
(via the reduced health stock). There are many choices of different defensive mechanisms, some of which have low costs. For example, avoiding outdoor activities at times of high PM$_{2.5}$, wearing facial masks, or purchasing air purifiers are all cheap and effective defensive mechanisms.

**Assumption A2** Utility is quasi-linear $U(x, h) = x + u(h)$ and increases in health at a decreasing rate: $\frac{\partial U}{\partial h} \geq 0, \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial h^2} \leq 0$. Quasi-linear utility functions are commonly used in the literature and help to simplify the exposition.

**Assumption A3** Let $c_0$ denote individuals’ perception of air pollution before the information program. We assume that $c_0 < c$, that is, the perceived level of pollution is lower than the actual level. Another interpretation of Assumption 3 is that people underestimate the negative health impact of pollution. Pollution concentration $c$ is perfectly observed post the program.

**Proposition 1.** Under assumptions A1-A3, the information program is predicted to result in the following impacts:

1. **Avoidance behavior increases:** $a(c) > a(c_0)$

2. **Health improves and the (downward sloping) health-pollution response curve flattens:**

   $$h(c, a(c)) > h(c, a(c_0)), \left| \frac{dh}{dc} \right|_{c_0=\infty} \geq \left| \frac{dh}{dc} \right|_{c_0<\infty}$$

3. **Indirect utility increases:** $V(c, c) > V(c, c_0)$

   Appendix A provides the proof. The theoretical model predicts that post the information program, individuals engage in more pollution avoidance, which in turn reduces the health damages from pollution and increases consumer welfare. Our empirical analysis provides empirical tests on the first two predictions and uses the third prediction to quantify the value of the information program.

**3.2 Value of information**

To derive the value of information (VOI), recall that:

$$V(c, c) = U[x, h(c, a(c))] + \lambda \{ I + w [h(c, a(c))] - x - p_a(a(c)) \}$$
where $V(c, c)$ denotes the indirect utility when individuals correctly perceive pollution, and avoidance is chosen optimally according to the following condition:

$$[U_h(c, a) + \lambda \cdot w \cdot g_h(h(c, a))] \frac{\partial h(c, a)}{\partial a} - \lambda p_a = 0$$

(1)

The indirect utility before the information program is:

$$V(c, c_0) = U[x, h(c, a(c_0))] + \lambda \{ I + \omega \cdot h(c, a(c_0)) \} - x - p_a(a(c_0))$$

The key difference between $V(c, c)$ and $V(c, c_0)$ is in the choice of avoidance: $a(c)$ is determined by equation (1) rather than equation (A.1). To derive the value of information, we apply the Tailor’s expansion to the indirect utility function $V(c, c)$ at the second argument $c = c_0$: $V(c, c) = V(c, c_0) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial c_0}(c - c_0) + O\{c - c_0\}$. The value of information is therefore:

$$VOI = V(c, c) - V(c, c_0)$$

$$= \{ U_h \cdot \frac{\partial h}{\partial a} \cdot \frac{\partial a}{\partial c_0} + \lambda \cdot g_h \cdot \frac{\partial h}{\partial a} \cdot \frac{\partial a}{\partial c_0} - \lambda \cdot p_a \cdot \frac{\partial a}{\partial c_0} \}(c - c_0) + O\{c - c_0\}$$

where $O\{c - c_0\}$ denotes higher order terms of $(c - c_0)$. There are three terms in the curly bracket. The first refers to changes in utility as health improves from the avoidance behavior. The second denotes changes in wage income. The third includes changes in the avoidance cost. The benefit of the program, or the value of information, is bounded below by the first and third terms, which we measure in our empirical analysis.

4 The Sea Change in Information Access and Awareness

4.1 Information Access: News and Mobile Apps

The government’s official newspaper, People’s Daily, and mobile phone apps are among the primary venues for the general public to access pollution information. In Figure 3a, we count the number of days in each month when People’s Daily mentions “smog” in any articles. “Smog” is rarely mentioned in the 1990s and 2000s. Almost immediately following the information program’s initial roll-out, the frequency of “smog” appearing in People’s Daily jumped from three days per month in 2012 to seventeen days per month in 2013. It remained high for the rest of the sample period, unlikely to be driven by the coverage of the

\footnote{$x$ drops out from the indirect utility function since $U(x, h)$ is quasi-linear.}
information program itself.\textsuperscript{24}

One might be concerned that the sharp increase in “smog” mentions post 2013 is confounded by changes in the general environment (e.g., shifts in government policies), instead of driven by the information program that is gradually rolled out across cities. To examine this, we scan each smog article in \textit{People’s Daily} to determine the list of cities that are mentioned. This allows us to construct a city panel data set of “smog” and conduct a test for a sharp change in “smog” mentions after a city begins to monitor pollution, conditional on general within-year seasonality and year-by-year changes in pollution. Assuming unobserved changes in the overall environment do not correlate with the timing of the monitoring roll-out (an assumption we return to in Section 4.3), the difference between pre ($t < 0$) vs. post ($t \geq 0$) coefficients identifies the causal impact of the information program.

Figure 3b plots standardized “smog” as a function of time since the roll-out of the information program in a local city, controlling for month dummies and year dummies. The graphical pattern features a discrete increase exactly on the roll-out date (event month $t=0$). By one year after the roll-out, “smog” mentions in cities with the monitoring stations have increased by 50\% of a standard deviation. We have repeated this analysis using other keywords, including “air pollution” and “atmospheric pollution”, with very similar results.

We then examine the availability of pollution-related mobile phone apps. Unlike newspapers that provide pollution information at a daily frequency, information from apps is more readily accessible in real-time. Given the high mobile phone penetration in China, pollution apps serve as a significant venue through which the public learns about their pollution exposure at the moment. We compare the distribution of release time for pollution apps with apps from other popular categories including gaming, music, video, reading, finance, sports, education, shopping, and navigation, which capture the majority of commonly-used apps.

As shown in Figure 4, there is a clear surge in the density of pollution apps released after the information program, relative to non-pollution apps. The largest increase in the probability of releasing a pollution app occurs one quarter after the initial monitoring roll-out. In total, about 82\% of pollution apps are released between 2013 and 2015, vs. 18\% released between 2009 and 2013. The availability of pollution apps has grown nearly 500\% post 2013, which is four times faster than the growth of other apps.\textsuperscript{25}

\textsuperscript{24}There was a modest increase in the frequency of “smog” before 2013. A close read of the articles indicate that these phrases were mostly used to describe dense fog and rarely associated with pollution.

\textsuperscript{25}There was a mass of pollution apps released before 2013 that initially streamed weather information and later incorporated air quality contents post the information program. These apps are categorized as pollution apps by the time we queried Apple’s App Store.
4.2 Awareness: Web Searches and Air Purifier Sales

We examine changes in the public awareness of air pollution issues in two ways. First, we measure the demand for pollution-related information by internet keyword searches on Baidu. This analysis is analogous to the examination of “smog” news (and news on “air pollution”) in section 4.1. Here we focus on keyword “smog”, though the patterns for keywords “air pollution”, “mask” and “air purifier” are very similar.

Figure 5a plots the time-series pattern of the search index for keyword “smog” at the national level. The index varies between zero and fifty for most of 2011 and 2012 and jumps overnight from forty-five in December 2012 to 4000 in January 2013, the month of the initial roll-out. This is a hundred-fold increase. In addition to remaining at a high level, post-2013 searches exhibit a strong seasonal pattern where the index is highest in winter seasons, as smog is more severe in winter partly due to coal-fueled heating. Not surprisingly, while the search index and AOD are essentially uncorrelated before 2013, they are strongly correlated afterward. The two outliers in Figure 5a, 9,000 in Dec 2014 and 11,000 in Jan 2015 correspond to the two worst smog episodes in the country’s recent history.

Leveraging the search index at the city × daily level for over 300 cities, Figure 5b plots the mean of the standardized search indexes in the year before and the year after the roll-out at a local city. Echoing results in section 4.1, the index is flat and near zero before the information program and rises rapidly when monitoring starts. Within one year after the roll-out, smog searches have increased by 75% of a standard deviation.

Our second measure of awareness is public and private investments in specific defensive equipment: air purifiers. We repeat the same analysis described above using data on monthly air purifier sales for fifty cities. Air purifier sales more than double, rising from 11,000 units per month in 2012 to over 25,000 units per month after 2013 (Figure 6a). Similar to web searches, air purifier sales are invariant to weather and pollution conditions prior to the information program, but exhibit a strong seasonality with more sales in winter afterward. Finally, analogous to what we see in web search patterns, the increase in sales coincides with the timing of the local roll-out (Figure 6b).

The surge in internet searches and air purifier sales both nationally and locally and their strong correlation with air pollution post the information program provide strong evidence that concepts like “smog” and “air pollution”, as well as their adverse health consequences, have entered into the public domain post the monitoring and disclosure program as a result of easy access to related information. As forcefully put by Wainwright (2014) and quoted by Greenstone and Schwarz (2018), by 2014, “daily talk of the AQI has become a national pastime amongst ex-pats and Chinese locals alike. Air-quality apps are the staple of every smartphone. Chinese micro blogs and parenting forums are monopolized by discussions about
the best air filters (sales of the top brands have tripled over the last year alone) and chatter about holidays to ‘clean-air destinations’.” In Section 5, we turn to the analysis of changes in households’ short-term and long-term behavior as a result of the information program. In the remaining part of this section, we present a balance test showing that the timing of the information program is unlikely to be correlated with unobservables in day-to-day variation in local air quality.

4.3 Changes in Social and Economic Conditions

As we will discuss in greater detail in the next section, our research design relies on the assumption that there are no confounding factors that systematically coincide with the timing of the information roll-out. China is experiencing rapid social and economic changes during the sample period. While our statistical analyses control for general as well as city-specific time trends using fixed effects, one might be concerned about differential trends or confounding factors. For example, the enforcement of the national PM$_{2.5}$ standards established in 2012 might be systematically correlated with the roll-out schedule.

To examine whether this is the case, Appendix Table C.2 presents a series of balance tests on differential shifts in city-level observables before and after the program. We focus on three classes of social and economic conditions: pollution levels using satellite-based AOD (both the weekly average and the maximum pollution reading in a city and week), political and regulatory environment (the number of downfall local officials during the anti-corruption campaign, demographics of local political leaders, news mentions of regulation policies), and healthcare access (the number of medical facilities). Each cell in Table C.2 is a regression of these observables on a dummy variable indicating whether the information program has rolled out in a local city, controlling for city fixed effects and various trends (week of the sample or region dummies interacted with week of the sample). If changes in the (implementation of the) environmental regulations are systematically correlated with the program roll-out, then we should expect pollution levels as well as proxies for the regulatory environment to change before and after the program. Results for the seven measures discussed above across four different specifications with an increasingly demanding set of controls indicate no discernible differences before and after the program in any of these regressions, suggesting that the role of both observed and unobserved confounding factors is likely limited (Altonji, Elder and Taber, 2005).

The results from these balance tests are not inconsistent with recent evidence that China’s air quality has improved in recent years, especially after 2015 (Huang et al., 2018; Greenstone and Schwarz, 2018). Regional and national improvement in air quality is absorbed by various
trends in our empirical analysis below. Rather, these balance tests indicate that during the months surrounding the local implementation of the information program, economic and environmental measures do not display noticeable differences.

5 Pollution Disclosure, Behavior, and Health

5.1 Empirical Framework

As shown above, the information program has substantially expanded public access to pollution information and dramatically increased households’ awareness about pollution issues. In turn, these changes have triggered a cascade of short-run and long-run behavioral changes in household activities and health outcomes, including avoidance behavior, housing choice and prices, and mortality. Throughout this section, we use the same empirical framework to examine the change in the relationship between pollution exposure and the outcomes (i.e., the “slope”) before versus after the program:

\[
\text{Outcome}_{ct} = \alpha \times \text{P}_{ct} + \beta \times \text{P}_{ct} \times \text{d}_{ct} + \text{x}_{ct} \gamma + \varepsilon_{ct},
\]

(2)

where \( c \) denotes a city and \( t \) denotes time (e.g., week or month). \( \text{P}_{ct} \) is the AOD measure of the ambient air pollution. Dummy \( \text{d}_{ct} \) represents the information treatment and takes the value one for all periods after city \( t \) implements the information program based on the staggered roll-out schedule. Vector \( \text{x}_{ct} \) includes weather conditions and rich spatial and temporal fixed effects such as city fixed effects and time fixed effects. The last term \( \varepsilon_{ct} \) denotes remaining unexplained shocks.\(^{26}\) Note that \( \alpha \) represents the outcome-pollution gradient before the information program, and \( \beta \) denotes changes in the gradient after the program.

Equation (2) highlights the difference between our study and the previous literature that estimates the causal effect of air pollution exposure. Conventionally, the key threat to identification arises because pollution exposure is likely to be correlated with the error term: \( E (\text{P}_{ct} \times \varepsilon_{ct}) \neq 0 \). Such endogeneity could be due to omitted variables or errors in the measurement of pollution exposure.\(^{27}\) Addressing endogeneity in air pollution is challenging and has been the subject of recent research on understanding the morbidity and mortality cost of air pollution.\(^{28}\) In contrast, the scope of our empirical analysis differs in two ways.

\( ^{26} \)All analyses below include full interactions between \( \text{P}_{ct} \) and the treatment dummy \( \text{d}_{ct} \).

\( ^{27} \)For example, satellite-based AOD captures particulate concentration in the entire air column above a ground spot, which might differ from ground-level exposure. In addition, ambient pollution might differ from actual exposure due to the outdoor-indoor difference in the pollution level.

\( ^{28} \)See for example Bayer, Keohane and Timmins (2009); Chen et al. (2013); Arceo, Hanna and Oliva
First, in most cases, we are not interested in the causal effect of pollution per se (which is $\alpha$), but rather in the change in the causal effect before versus after the information program (which is $\beta$). Second, in our analysis, $P_{ct}$ is intended to be a direct measure of ambient pollution, rather than population exposure that is determined by the ambient air quality, avoidance behavior, and population distribution. In fact, in the analysis below we directly examine how avoidance and residential sorting respond to ambient air pollution with versus without readily available pollution information.

The key insight of our empirical framework is that, under reasonable assumptions, one can consistently estimate the change in pollution’s causal effects ($\beta$) using OLS, without having to consistently estimate the level of the effect ($\alpha$). If we were to separately estimate the slope using data before and after the treatment, the endogeneity in pollution would lead to inconsistency in both estimates. However, if the nature of the endogeneity is not affected by the treatment, the inconsistency in the slope estimates would cancel out, leaving the OLS estimate of $\beta$ to be consistent. The following two assumptions formalize this intuition:

**Assumption B1:** $\varepsilon \perp d|x$. This assumption implies that conditioning on city attributes and other controls $x_{ct}$ such as city and week fixed effects and weather conditions, the treatment $d_{ct}$ is exogenous.

As discussed in section 2.1, the information program was implemented against the backdrop of MEP’s promulgation of the national PM$_{2.5}$ standard, which marked a sudden and drastic change in the government’s stance regarding the importance of environmental quality. The roll-out schedule of the monitoring stations in three waves was primarily based on the pre-determined city designations (mostly administrative hierarchy, such as provincial capitals, and a list of environmental improvement priority cities designated in 2007), as shown in Figure 2 and Appendix Figure C.1. For a given city, the date of roll-out is a top-down decision driven by the physical constraints of installing monitor stations and uncorrelated with the day-to-day variation of local pollution levels as shown in our analysis.

One might be concerned about other contemporaneous regulations at both national and local levels to achieve the pollution reduction goals set out in the 12th (2011-2015) and 13th (2016-2020) five-year plans. As discussed in Section 2.1, other concurrent policies do not coincide with the information program in the roll-out schedule and the spatial coverage. In our regressions, we include a rich set of temporal and spatial fixed effects such as region by week-of-sample fixed effects to control for unobserved policies and other confounders. The estimate of the key parameter of interest $\beta$ is robust across specifications with different sets of fixed effects, lending additional support to this assumption.

---

(2015); Deschenes, Greenstone and Shapiro (2017); Ito and Zhang (2018); Barwick et al. (2018).
A more direct check of Assumption B1 is a pre-trend test in the same spirit of the test for parallel trends in standard difference-in-differences setting. If $\beta$ in equation 2 captures the causal effect of the information roll-out, the coefficient estimates should be stable in event time, followed by a trend break that occurs exactly at the time of roll-out. In the analyses below, we plot the event study of the coefficients, which are flat and stable in all estimations we have conducted. This contrasts sharply with a sizeable break at the time of the information treatment that is both economically and statistically significant and stable post the treatment.

**Assumption B2:** \( d \perp P|x \). This assumption implies that conditioning on \( x_{ct} \), the treatment is independent of the level of pollution.\(^{29}\)

An intuitive way to conceptualize this assumption is perhaps to imagine a binary context where \( P \) indicates places with “high” vs. “low” pollution. Note that equation 2 reduces to a difference-in-differences style setting that compares outcome in regions with high vs. low pollution, before vs. after policy introduction. The “slope”, i.e., the outcome-pollution gradient, in this case is simply the difference in outcome between high vs. low pollution places. Assumption B2 ensures that any change in the slope is not driven by a “compositional change” of which places experience high vs. low pollution after the policy introduction. In other words, the assumption implies that the nature of the endogeneity in pollution does not change before and after the policy.

As a direct test for this assumption, we report a series of balance checks in Appendix Table C.2 showing the pollution level does not change after policy introduction, conditional on \( x_{ct} \). We also extend the balance table to show there is no statistically significant changes across a rich set of economics, political and social variables before and after the program.

**Proposition 2.** Under Assumptions (B1) and (B2), the OLS estimate of $\beta$ in equation (2) is consistent.

The proof is provided in Appendix B. There are two sources of inconsistency in the OLS estimate of $\beta$: one from the endogeneity of the interaction term \( P_{ct} \times d_{ct} \), and the other from smearing due to the endogeneity of \( P_{ct} \). Under Assumptions (B1) and (B2), the inconsistency from these two sources cancels out, leaving the OLS estimate of the difference (the change in slope that we are interested in) to be consistent. Based on Proposition 2, our subsequent analysis focuses on the OLS estimate of $\beta$. In Section 5.4 where we are interested in the baseline impact of pollution on mortality ($\alpha$), we use both the regression discontinuity design and the IV strategy from the literature to address the endogeneity of \( P_{ct} \).

\(^{29}\)This assumption is stronger than what we need for the consistency of $\beta$. As we show in Appendix B, a sufficient condition is $E[d|M_xP] = c$, where $M_x$ is the projection matrix.
5.2 Pollution Disclosure and Avoidance

With access to reliable pollution information, households can take different measures to avoid or mitigate pollution exposure. Low-cost and effective solutions include staying indoors, wearing facial masks, or using air purifiers when pollution is elevated. We use outdoor purchase trips as a proxy for short-run avoidance behavior and examine how the relationship between outdoor purchase trips and ambient pollution levels changes after the information program is implemented in a city via an event study:

$$\text{PurchaseRate}_{ct} = \sum_{k=-24}^{15} \beta_k \times \ln P_{ct} \times 1(t = k) + \sum_{k=-24}^{15} \eta_k \times 1(t = k) + x'_{ct} \gamma + \varepsilon_{ct}$$  (3)

where $c$ denotes city and $t$ denotes week. The outcome variable “PurchaseRate$_{ct}$” is the number of card transactions in city $c$ at week $t$ per 10,000 active cards (Section 2.2). The pollution measure “ln $P_{ct}$” is logged average AOD. The key parameters of interest are the $\beta$’s, which represent changes in purchase rate for one percent increase in AOD. We allow $\beta$’s to vary over time relative to the roll-out month. Cities in different waves have different numbers of available pre and post periods. We examine an event window that spans 39 months (24 months before and 15 months after the program) and dummy out the remaining sample periods. This guarantees that there are nearly identical number of city × week observations underlying each event month.

We identify $\beta$’s using week-to-week variations in air pollution net of a flexible set of geographic and time controls ($x_{ct}$) that include prefecture-city FEs, week-of-year FEs, and year FEs. Standard errors are clustered at the city level to allow for arbitrary serial-correlations among the sample periods (weekly observations over five years). In order for the $\beta$’s estimates to be representative of the population impact, we weight the regression using the number of active cards in a city and year as cities differ significantly in size.

Figure 7 summarizes the estimates of $\beta_k$ coefficients. We restrict $\beta_k$ to vary quarterly (3-month) to average out noises in time trends. Two patterns emerge. First, before the program, the $\beta_k$ estimates are flat and statistically indistinguishable from zero, suggesting a lack of behavioral responses to pollution when individuals have limited access to information. Second, $\beta_k$ estimates exhibit a level-shift and become strongly negative after the program.

To examine the robustness of these patterns, we repeat the analysis across a range of specification choices (Table 1), modifying equation (3) in two ways. First, instead of the event dummies, we include the full interactions between the pollution term and the post-treatment dummy as in equation (2). Second, we increasingly tighten the fixed effects to exploit finer variation in the data. Column 1 uses city, week-of-year, and year fixed effects,
which corresponds to the specification of Figure 7. Column 2 uses city and week-of-sample fixed effects (fixed effects for all weeks in 2011-2015), exploiting variation in pollution across cities in the same week-in-time. Column 3 further adds region × year fixed effects, allowing for common trends in transactions and pollution that are specific to each region. Column 4 is our most stringent specification, controlling for city and region × week-of-sample fixed effects. We obtain similar results across the board.

Consistent with the evidence in Figure 7, outdoor consumption trips are invariant to pollution before the program. While our transaction-pollution slope estimate prior to the information program need not be causal as we discussed before, this evidence does suggest that households are less likely to be engaging in any mitigating measures in the absence of information. In contrast, after a city implements the monitoring and disclosure program, purchase trips become responsive to pollution levels: doubling the pollution level reduces purchase trips by 3 percentage points, according to our preferred specification in Column 4. This is not a trivial change given our analysis covers all consumption categories that represent 59% of national consumption. Furthermore, the week-level analysis by construction has already incorporated within-week inter-temporal substitution. The estimate could reflect to some extent permanently displaced outdoor consumption trips as households seek to mitigate pollution exposure.

As a point of reference, Cutter and Neidell (2009) find that when ‘Spare the Air’ alert is issued in San Francisco Bay Area, daily traffic is reduced by 2.5-3.5% with the largest effect during and just after the morning commuting period. Graff Zivin and Neidell (2009) estimate that a 1-day smog alert issued in Southern California leads to an 8-15% reduction in attendance at two major outdoor facilities (the Los Angeles Zoo and the Griffith Park Observatory) on the same day, though the effect dissipates quickly in consecutive days. These two studies focus on immediate (daily) behavioral changes after government-issued air quality warnings while our elasticity estimates measure behavioral responses to air quality over the course of a week post the information program.

In Appendix C, we report three sets of additional analyses that support our main findings. First, we examine heterogeneity by the “deferrable” vs. “scheduled” nature of consumption (Appendix Tables C.4 and C.5). Deferrable categories include supermarkets, dining, and 30

30“Region” is a conventional partition of cities by location: North (36 cities), Northeast (38 cities), East (105 cities), Centralsouth (81 cities), Southwest (54 cities), and Northwest (52 cities).

31The ‘Spare the Air’ (STA) advisories, designed to elicit voluntary reductions in vehicle usage and encourage the usage of public transit and ride-sharing, are issued on days when ground-level ozone is predicted to exceed National Ambient Air Quality Standards.

32To facilitate interpretation of the magnitude across different categories, we use the inverse hyperbolic sine function (ArcSinh) of transactions, so that the key coefficient can be roughly interpreted as elasticities. The ArcSinh function is preferable to logs due to a non-trivial fraction of zero transactions in some categories.
entertainment. These categories experience a 4 to 9 percentage point increase in purchase-pollution elasticity with the most stringent set of controls and explain over 75% of the change in overall purchase-pollution gradient. On the other hand, we conduct placebo-style tests looking at the impact of information roll-out on “scheduled” consumption including billings (bills in utilities, insurance, telecommunication, and cable services), government services (court costs, fines, taxes), business-to-business wholesales, as well as cancer treatment centers. There is no statistical evidence that information availability changes “scheduled” consumption’s responses to air pollution.

Second, we conduct a battery of robustness checks in Appendix Table C.6. To highlight a few examples, we find that the inclusion of flexible weather controls are not consequential to our estimation, that online transactions cannot explain away our findings, and that our conclusion holds for cities without U.S. Embassy or Consulates Offices (these offices have independent PM$_{2.5}$ monitoring, and so residents in these cities might have better information on air quality prior to 2013). In addition, our results are robust to excluding top 10% of the cities with the highest number of official fall-outs during the anti-corruption campaign, which has been associated with a reduction in luxury consumption (Qian and Wen, 2015). Finally, results are similar when we use maximum pollution readings instead of average pollution readings.

To even better account for geographically correlated unobservables that might confound our identification, we implement a more saturated research design where, for each wave of “treatment” cities, we introduce a group of “control” cities that neighbor the treatment cities, but have not yet experienced monitoring. These “control” cities are assigned the same roll-out time as their treated counterparts. We then estimate differential change in the transaction-pollution gradient across the treatment vs. control cities. Appendix Table C.7 summarizes the results. Two patterns emerge. First, the triple interaction terms suggest a differential change in the transaction-pollution gradient in treatment cities relative to their neighbors, by an amount similar to our main effect estimate reported in Table 1. Second, the double interaction terms show there is no detectable changes in the transaction-pollution gradient in the neighbor cities at the time information program rolled out in the treated cities. These findings suggest an isolated effect that occur only in cities that actually experience monitoring.

The patterns are very similar if we use levels instead of ArcSinh.

33 The logic of this test is similar to a triple difference design comparing purchase behavior before vs. after the information program, in treatment vs. control cities, on high vs. low pollution days. Notice cities in wave 3 (the last wave) of the roll-out do not have control cities. We include wave 3 cities in our regression simply as treated units. With reduced power, we can estimate our triple difference design leaving out wave 3 cities altogether, and we find the results do not change qualitatively.

34 Our triple difference effect size and precision drop with the inclusion of region fixed effects as shown in
5.3 Pollution Disclosure and Housing Choices

Our analysis so far suggests that the information disclosure program has resulted in short-term behavioral changes that reflect greater mitigation efforts in response to air pollution after the program. To examine the change in consumer response to pollution in the long run, we turn to the capitalization of air quality in the housing market before and after the program. The housing market has been used as a classical market to study consumer preference for non-marketed environmental goods whereby a house can be viewed as a bundle of attributes including the environmental quality (Oates, 1969; Chay and Greenstone, 2005; Banzhaf and Walsh, 2008; Bayer et al., 2016). The price differential among otherwise similar properties but different environmental quality would help us infer consumer preference for the environmental quality. Many studies have used the housing market response to examine the impact of one of the most prominent examples of pollution information program in the U.S., the Toxic Release Inventory (TRI) that publicizes toxic emissions from major emitters (Bui and Mayer, 2003; Oberholzer-Gee and Mitsunari, 2006; Konar and Cohen, 2001; Mastromonaco, 2015).

Our analysis focuses on Beijing for which we have access to the near-universe transactions of new homes sold from January 2006 to April 2014. Analogous to the previous analysis in section 5.2, we study the housing price-pollution relationship across neighborhoods with varying degrees of air pollution. Our parameter of interest is the degree to which this relationship shifts before and after the information program is implemented in Beijing in January 2013. The municipality of the Metropolitan Beijing area is divided into sixteen districts, which is further divided into 180 communities and 1,200 apartment complexes. A community is comparable to a zip-code in the U.S. in terms of geographical coverage, while an apartment complex is similar to a census block-group. Our analysis examines how complex-level housing prices vary with pollution.\footnote{35}

As housing purchase decisions are likely affected by the long-run pollution level rather than day-to-day variations, we focus on year-to-year changes in housing prices. To do so, we first take all housing transactions and estimate the following equation:

$$\ln \text{TransactionPrice}_{ict} = w'_{ict} \gamma + \eta_{cy} + \varepsilon_{ict},$$

columns 3 and 4 of Appendix Table C.7. We find this is largely due to the lack of independent treated-vs-neighbor variation within a region especially for western China where cities are large in geographic extent, as shown in Figure 2. In unreported analysis, we show that we restore effect size and precision by simply using a less stringent “region” definition where the Northwest and Southwest regions are counted as one region.\footnote{35}Because we only have 16 months of transactions post the treatment, we skip the event study and only estimate the change in the price-pollution gradient.
where \( \ln \text{TransactionPrice}_{ict} \) is the log transaction price of unit \( i \) in apartment-complex \( c \) on date \( t \). The vector of unit characteristics \( \mathbf{w}_{ict} \) includes floor fixed effects, sale month-of-year fixed effects, unit size and its quadratic term. Our variable of interest is \( \eta_{cy} \), which are apartment-complex \( \times \) year level averages of housing prices after controlling for observable attributes. There are on average 153 underlying housing transactions for each apartment-complex and year.

Once we obtain the estimated quality-adjusted housing price index at the apartment-complex \( \times \) year level, \( \hat{\eta}_{cy} \), we examine the relationship between housing price and pollution using a framework similar to equation (2):

\[
\hat{\eta}_{cy} = \alpha \cdot \ln P_{cy} + \beta \cdot \ln P_{cy} \times 1 \text{ (after monitoring)} + \mathbf{x}'_{cy} \gamma + \varepsilon_{cy}
\]

where \( \beta \) captures the change in pollution-housing gradient before and after the program. We use two different measures of pollution at the sub-city level: fine-scale ambient air quality (AOD) at the 1km-by-1km \( \times \) year resolution and distance to major polluters. We discuss each of the these two sets of analysis below.

**Fine-scale AOD and Housing Prices.** To obtain a pollution measure with a high level of spatial resolution, we employ a frontier method in atmospheric science called “oversampling” that re-processes the original AOD data to increase its spatial resolution from 10-by-10 km to 1-by-1 km, while sacrificing the temporal resolution from daily to annual. Oversampling takes advantage of the fact that MODIS scans a slightly different, but overlapping, set of pixels at a given location on each of the satellite’s overpass. When the researcher is not interested in the high temporal dimension (as in our case where we only need the annual pollution), it is possible to average across the overlapping overpasses to enhance the geospatial resolution of the AOD measure.\(^{36}\) Figure 8 presents the pre- and post-oversampling average AOD concentration for the city of Beijing. Our first pollution measure in the housing analysis is therefore the oversampled AOD level in year \( y \) in the 1-by-1 km region that contains the apartment-complex \( c \).

We report results for equation 5 in Table 2. Column 1 includes apartment-complex fixed effects, year fixed effects, and year-on-market fixed effects. Column 2 is similar but controls additionally local pollution in the previous year. These specifications exploit the fact that we sometimes observe transactions in the same apartment-complex to occur over different years. Columns 3 and 4 replicate Columns 1 and 2 but control for community fixed effects and district by year fixed effects, in addition to year-on-market fixed effects. These specifi-

\(^{36}\)Appendix Figure C.7 illustrates the oversampling idea using two consecutive days of MODIS AOD data.
cations compare transaction prices within the same district and year, but across apartment-
complexes with high versus low pollution levels, controlling for time-invariant differences in
community-level characteristics. To flexibly account for potential autocorrelation in both
housing price and pollution across time and over space, we two-way cluster standard errors
at the community level and the district × year level.

Prior to the information program, a doubling of annual pollution corresponds to an
insignificant 9% increase in housing prices. After the program, the price elasticity becomes
negative and the change in elasticity varies from 59 percentage points (Column 1) to 85
percentage points (Column 3) and significant at the 10% confidence level. In other words,
housing prices do not respond to variation in pollution levels before the program, while after
the program, air quality is capitalized in housing prices.

Our estimates of Beijing’s housing price-pollution elasticity for the post-monitoring pe-
riod therefore ranges from -0.6 to -0.8. One standard deviation increase in pollution is
associated with a 4-6% reduction in housing price. This is slightly larger than those ob-
tained in the U.S. setting but comparable to those obtained in China’s context. Chay and
Greenstone (2005) exploit permanent reduction in Total Suspended Particle pollution (TSP)
due to the 1970s U.S. Clean Air Act and estimate a price-pollution elasticity of -0.25. Tak-
ing into account moving costs and variation in air quality across U.S. metro areas, Bayer,
Keohane and Timmins (2009) show a price-pollution elasticity of roughly -0.34 to -0.42. In a
hedonic regression exercise using Beijing’s housing transactions and land parcel data, Zheng
and Kahn (2008) find a price-PM$_{10}$ elasticity of -0.41. Using moving costs and housing
value information from the China Population Census micro-level data, Freeman et al. (2019)
estimate a price-PM$_{2.5}$ elasticity of -0.71 to -1.10.

One might be concerned about using the fine-scale AOD information in the hedonic
analysis given there were less than 30 monitoring stations in the metropolitan area of Beijing
during our data period. In practice, some residents are likely to have more localized pollution
information than that from monitoring stations. For example, air purifiers have become a
common household appliance since 2013 and provide real-time PM$_{2.5}$ readings where they
locate. Nearly a quarter of a million units of air purifiers were sold in Beijing in 2015 alone.
In addition, portable PM$_{2.5}$ monitors are popular household products as well. Nevertheless,
to address this concern, we analyze price-pollution relationship based on coarse pollution
information next.

**Proximity to Major Polluters and Housing Prices.** Our second pollution measure
is the distance to the nearest major pollution source, following the literature (e.g., Davis,
2011; Currie et al., 2015; Muehlenbachs, Spiller and Timmins, 2015). While large polluters
might be visible landmarks in a city, the information program could raise the salience on the health impacts of these large polluters in residents’ housing choice decisions.

Our distance-gradient analysis begins with the forty-one top 10% polluters in Beijing that are in operation from 2007 – 2014 and account for nearly 90% of total emissions according to the 2007 CES emission inventory (Appendix Figure C.8). Using geo-locations of all polluters, we construct a time-invariant “distance to top-decile polluter” variable as our second pollution measure while controlling for distance to other polluters. We drop apartment-complex fixed effects that are perfectly colinear with the distance measure and control for district by year fixed effects, community fixed effects, and year-on-market fixed effects.

Figure 9 presents the estimates graphically. Figure 9a shows the estimated distance gradients separately for before and after the information program. We detect no statistically significant distance gradient curve before the program. The slope of the curve shifted substantially after the program, where a near-monotonic price-distance relationship emerges. Figure 9b plots the difference in the distance gradient. Houses within three km of the top polluters experienced the largest depreciation of about 27%. The effect fades with distance and becomes insignificant over six km. In comparison, Currie et al. (2015) estimate a 11% reduction for properties located within 1km of a toxic plant in the U.S. Our magnitude is large but not implausible given the unprecedented housing boom in the city, where the average housing price in Beijing grew by 262% during our sample period and the effect size corresponds to 42% of the inter-quartile range of the housing price dispersion.

5.4 Pollution Disclosure and Health Benefit

Our previous analyses have documented a range of behavioral responses to the information program. To quantify the value of pollution information, our endpoint analysis examines whether the same amount of pollution exposure is associated with fewer deaths after pollution information becomes widely available using mortality data in 131 cities from 2011 to 2015. Similar to Section 5.2, we conduct an event study and regress logged mortality rate in county $c$ and quarter $t$ on the corresponding logged pollution level, allowing the coefficient to vary by event quarter $k$, i.e., the $k^{th}$ quarter since pollution monitoring:

$$\ln \text{Mortality}_{ct} = \sum_{k=-10}^{6} \beta_k \times \ln P_{ct} \times 1(t = k) + \sum_{k=-10}^{6} \eta_k \times 1(t = k) + X_{ct}' \gamma + \varepsilon_{ct} \quad (6)$$

We made several specification choices based on the nature of our data. First, we aggregate weekly mortality rate to quarterly to better capture the longer-term impact, though the
qualitative findings remain the same whether we conduct our analysis at the weekly, monthly, or quarterly level, with the $\beta_k$ estimates being smaller (but statistically more precise) using the weekly or monthly data. Second, we allow the $\beta_k$ coefficients to vary from 10 quarters before to 6 quarters after the information program to ensure a roughly balanced number of underlying counties for each event quarter. The remaining quarters are grouped in a separate dummy variable.

Figure 10 plots the $\beta_k$ coefficient estimates for the event study where we control for city, quarter-of-year, and year fixed effects. The mortality-pollution elasticity exhibits a flat trend before the program, followed by a noticeable decline after the program that appears to strengthen after a couple of quarters, though our sample is too short to examine the dynamic response formally. We repeat the analysis in Table 3, replace the event dummies with interactions between the pollution term and the post-treatment dummy, and experiment with increasingly stringent controls varying from city and quarter fixed effects to city and region $\times$ quarter-of-sample fixed effects. The coefficient estimate suggests a statistically significant 5 percentage point reduction in the mortality-pollution elasticity after the program. The results are consistent with the graphical evidence in Figure 10. In addition, the estimates are remarkably similar across specifications with different fixed effects, suggesting a limited role of potential confounding factors.

Our heterogeneity analysis provides suggestive evidence of the underlying mechanism behind the mortality effect. Specifically, we repeatedly split the sample into two using the average value of a series of city-level characteristics, including per capita income, share of the urban population, per capita number of hospitals, per capita residential electricity use, and share of mobile phone users. Panel A of Table 4 reports the results where we focus on the interaction between the change in the pollution-gradient and city-level characteristics. Columns 1 to 5 tabulate the interaction coefficient for each of the five city characteristics. While there is no heterogeneity between cities with above- or below-average per capita income, there exists a larger reduction in mortality damage (between 7 and 8 percentage points) in cities that are more urban, having more hospitals, having a higher rate of residential electricity use, and with a higher mobile phone penetration. These findings are consistent with the hypothesis that residents in these cities are more likely to benefit from pollution information and at the same time engage in defensive activities to reduce the health damages from air pollution exposure.

To better understand the pathway from avoidance to health outcomes, we examine heterogeneity in short-run outdoor consumption trips based on card transactions across the same set of city attributes. Results in Panel B of Table 4 are consistent with the patterns in Panel A: residents in cities that are more urban, having more hospitals, having a higher rate
of residential electricity use, and with a higher mobile phone penetration exhibit a stronger and more precisely estimated behavior response to elevated pollution. While there are many defensive mechanisms, the evidence here suggests one plausible pathway from effective avoidance to improved health outcomes.

In Appendix C, we conduct a series of additional tests to examine the plausibility of the reduction in the mortality-pollution elasticity estimates. First, Appendix Figure C.9a examines age-specific mortality rates. The effect is most precisely estimated among people aged over 40 (including age groups 40-49, 50-59, 60-69, and 70+) who are more vulnerable to pollution exposure than younger age groups. There is no change in the mortality-pollution relationship for infants under one-year-old. This could be associated with the low pollution exposure among infants due to the traditional Chinese practice of keeping infants strictly indoors within the first few months of their birth to minimize the outdoor exposure. Somewhat surprisingly, the 10-19 age group experiences a significant reduction in mortality, although this accounts for a small number of total mortality reduction as the baseline mortality rate is the lowest across age groups as shown in Figure C.9a.37

Appendix Figure C.9b illustrates that changes in the mortality-pollution relationship concentrate in cardio-respiratory causes, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases, heart diseases, and cerebrovascular diseases, which are widely considered as the most relevant consequences of pollution exposure. The impact for respiratory infection and digestive diseases is both small and insignificant. For traffic fatalities, the pollution-mortality relationship post disclosure appears to become flatten though the change is not statistically significant.38 Lastly, we have explored non-linear specifications and found that the reduction in the mortality-pollution gradient is insignificantly convex in the level of pollution shock (Appendix Figure C.10).

6 The Value of Pollution Information

The value of information (VOI) arises from the power of information in changing decisions. Our analyses above illustrate that disclosing pollution information has affected a range of behavioral and market outcomes that reflect households’ effort to mitigate the negative health consequences of air pollution. We measure VOI as the fraction of pollution-caused deaths

---

37 Anecdotally, some middle- and high-schools would limit student outdoor schedules in response to high pollution period, e.g. <cn.nytimes.com/education/20130525/cc25PE/>.

38 Air pollution could affect visibility as well as cognitive function (Zhang, Chen and Zhang, 2018), both of which could result in increased risks from traffic accidents.
that are avoided by providing information access, *holding pollution exposure constant*:

\[
\text{VOI} = \frac{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_0}{\epsilon_0} 
\]

where the ratio is between the *change* in the mortality-pollution elasticity due to the program \((\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_0)\) and the *level* of the mortality-pollution elasticity prior to the program \((\epsilon_0)\). The numerator corresponds to \(\beta\) in equation (2) and is the interaction coefficient reported in Table 3. The denominator corresponds to \(\alpha\) in equation (2) and is the coefficient estimate on “Log(Pollution)” in Table 3. As we mentioned earlier, our \(\alpha\) estimate does not reflect the causal effect of pollution due to potential endogeneity in pollution exposure.\(^{39}\) Rather, we consider estimates based on quasi-experimental methods that have yielded much larger effect sizes (e.g., Chen et al., 2013; He, Fan and Zhou, 2016; Ebenstein et al., 2017).

To obtain a consistent estimate of \(\epsilon_0\), we replicate the RD analysis in Ebenstein et al. (2017) that examines the long-term mortality effects of PM exposure. We favor this study because it is based on a well-established quasi-experimental method and uses a similar data source for mortality measurement. Using a regression discontinuity (RD) design that leverages a free coal-based heating policy available only to cities to the north of the Huai River, the authors find a mortality-PM\(_{10}\) elasticity of 0.70. We obtain very similar baseline mortality estimates as shown in Appendix Figure C.11 and Appendix Table C.8.\(^{40}\) In unreported analysis, we use the instrumental variable approach in Barwick et al. (2018) that exploits long-range transport of pollution from upwind cities and also obtain similar estimates on the baseline mortality impact.

To conceptualize the effect size, under the assumption of a linear dosage-response function (which appears reasonable as shown in Section 5.4), our estimate of a 5 percentage point reduction in the mortality-pollution elasticity attributable to the information program delivers roughly the same benefit as a 7% reduction in pollution concentration.\(^{41}\) This corresponds to a 10 \(\mu g/m^3\) reduction in PM\(_{10}\) or 5 \(\mu g/m^3\) reduction in PM\(_{2.5}\) in China. We perceive the effect size as plausible for several reasons. First, these magnitudes are moderate compared to the average cross-city variation in PM\(_{2.5}\) post 2013, which has a standard derivation of 20.4 \(\mu g/m^3\) and an interquartile range of 25.2 \(\mu g/m^3\). Second, several government programs have been shown to shift pollution levels significantly. For example, the winter heating policy

\(^{39}\)It is perhaps important to point out that the magnitude of our OLS-based \(\alpha\) estimate is similar to the OLS estimates in the literature using the correlation between PM exposure and mortality in China (e.g., Yin et al., 2017; Ebenstein et al., 2017).

\(^{40}\)Ebenstein et al. (2017) also report an OLS regression between logged cardio-respiratory mortality and logged PM\(_{10}\) exposure and yields a correlational elasticity estimate of 0.02, which is similar to our OLS estimate.

\(^{41}\)The 7% reduction is based on the mortality-PM\(_{10}\) elasticity of 0.7.
implemented to the north of the Huai River is shown to increase PM$_{10}$ by about 41.7 ug/m$^3$ (Ebenstein et al., 2017).

We estimate the benefit of program using two methods. The first method is based on consumer willingness to pay for clean air and the benefit would include the health benefit, the benefit on productivity and the quality of life improvement. The second method is based on the dose-response function of pollution and health and the age-adjusted Value of Statistical Life (VSL). Using Ito and Zhang (2018)’s WTP estimate based on air purifier purchases from 2006 to 2014 in 80 cities in China, a 10 ug/m$^3$ reduction in PM$_{10}$ is associated with consumer surplus gains in the order of around RMB 130 billion per year nationwide. As pointed out by Ito and Zhang (2018), the estimates therein are likely to be lower bounds because consumers may not have full information on the health consequences of pollution as in more developed countries even after the information program.\footnote{Interestingly, Ito and Zhang (2018) show that the WTP for clear air increased after 2013, consistent with stronger consumer awareness of pollution. They do not use the staggered roll-out of the information program to separate cities with the program from those without.}

We also calculate the benefit in terms of the age-adjusted VSL using a benefit transfer approach that infers the VSL for Chinese residents from U.S.-based VSL estimate. The VSL transfer elasticity (income elasticity of VSL) is 1.2 (WorldBank, 2016), and income ratio is 1/9. We then use age-adjusted VSL from Ashenfelter and Greenstone (2004) and Murphy and Topel (2006) and scale the VSL for China accordingly. The VSL for China is $200,000 for age 0-39 and decreases to $20,000 for age 85 and above. Based on the mortality impact by age groups shown in Figure C.9a, the benefit from mortality reduction is about RMB 520 billion per year. This estimate is much larger than that based on WTP, consistent with the fact that the estimate based on WTP provides a conservative lower bound.\footnote{In addition, Barwick et al. (2018) estimate that an individual saves RMB 38 in out-of-pocket health spending from a 5 ug/m$^3$ reduction in PM$_{2.5}$ exposure, aggregating to RMB 52 billion per year nationwide.}

We then compare these benefit estimates to the total cost including increased defensive spending, the welfare loss from foregone consumption, as well as the cost of the program itself. First, total sales of air purifiers and PM$_{2.5}$ masks have increased at a rate of RMB 7 billion and RMB 0.55 billion per year post 2013, respectively. Because cities in waves two and three started the information program toward the end of 2013 and 2014, these numbers are upper bounds on the increased defensive investments. Second, the total cost should also include the welfare loss from foregone consumption as a pollution avoidance strategy. Our estimates from Table 1 suggest that the upper bound of annual foregone consumption would be RMB 457 billion (or 2.3 percent of total card transactions) resulted from the program. Assuming a price elasticity of demand of -1 or -2, the implied loss of consumer surplus would be about RMB 5.26 and 2.63 billion, respectively. The price elasticity of most
consumer goods and services tends to be between -0.5 and -1.5 (Deaton and Muellbauer, 1980; Blundell, Pashardes and Weber, 1993; Banks, Blundell and Lewbel, 1997). Using the price elasticity of -1 hence provides an upper bound estimate of the consumer welfare loss from foregone consumption. Third, the one-time cost to set up the monitoring stations and broadcast the pollution information online is estimated to be RMB 2-5 billion, and the annual operation cost (staff and maintenance) is about RMB 0.5 billion a year. Take together, the upper bound of the total cost is about RMB 18 billion in its first year (including the capital cost) and 13 billion annually thereafter.

The estimated benefits brought by the program, varying from RMB 130 billion to RMB 520 billion annually, relative to the associated cost of less than 20 billion annually, underscore the cost-effectiveness of the information monitoring and disclosure program. While this policy is not a substitute for other policies directly aimed at reducing pollution, the increased awareness on pollution among residents could put pressure on polluters and complement other environmental regulations in reducing pollution (Konar and Cohen, 1997). Perhaps more importantly, empowering the public with real-time pollution information mobilizes individuals’ ability to mitigate the adverse consequences of pollution. This together with other environmental regulations can more effectively reduce the societal cost of pollution.

7 Conclusion

This paper examines the role of pollution information in shaping how ambient air pollution affects household behavior and health outcomes. The focus is on a watershed policy change in China whereby air pollution monitoring stations are installed and real-time pollution information is made public by the government. Based on several rich and unique data sets, our analysis provides strong evidence that the pollution monitoring and disclosure program has led to a cascade of changes such as increased pollution access and awareness, more pronounced short- and long-term avoidance behavior, as well as muted pollution-health relationship. The findings suggest that the value of the information monitoring and disclosure program arising from improved health is at least one order of magnitude larger than its cost.

China’s experience offers an important lesson for other developing countries that are experiencing severe environmental challenges. The infrastructure for monitoring environmental quality and disclosing information is often inadequate in those countries. As income rises, the demand for environmental quality increases and households are better able to adapt to the changing environment. Improving information access can be a low-hanging fruit in the ongoing battle with environmental challenges. Providing real-time pollution monitoring data, combined with effective dissemination infrastructure such as smartphones and the in-
ternet that are now commonly available among developing countries, could prove to be a powerful tool to help households mitigate health damages from environmental pollution, and to enhance the effectiveness of other environmental regulations.

Finally, while our study is in the context of environmental quality, lessons gleaned from this large-scale information program could offer guidance in other settings such as traffic safety, risky health behaviors, as well as food and nutrition. Well-designed information provision could mobilize household efforts and complement government regulations to help address market failures associated with information asymmetry and externalities, especially in developing countries.
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Figure 1: November 2011 “Widespread, Dense Fog Event”

(a) News coverage

(b) Satellite picture of the event

(c) Satellite-retrieved pollution levels

Notes: This figure illustrates a “widespread, dense fog event” on November 27, 2011 which is likely a major pollution event. Panel A, sourced from China Meteorological Administration, shows official news coverage of the event. Panel B, sourced from NASA, shows the satellite view of China on the same day. Panel C, sourced from NASA MODIS algorithm, shows the satellite-based measure of pollution (aerosol optical depth, or ‘AOD’).
Figure 2: Information Program Roll-out and Location of Mortality Data

Notes: This map shows prefecture-cities by the completion date of the air pollution monitoring and disclosure program. “Not mentioned” are cities where the timing of monitoring is not mentioned in the government’s policy notice. “Mortality sample” are centroids of counties included in the DSP mortality data.
Figure 3: Changes in Pollution Information Access: News Mentions

(a) People’s Daily’s “smog” news mentions

(b) “Smog” mentions before and after monitoring

Notes: Panel A plots the number of days in each month when the *People’s Daily* (the official newspaper of the Chinese government) published articles containing “smog” in content. Each dot represents a month. Line shows annual averages. Panel B plots standardized city-level “smog” mentions, defined as news that mentions both “smog” and the city name, as a function of month since the local completion of the program. News mentions is normalized to 0 for event month -1. The underlying regression controls for month-of-year and year indicators. Line shows quarterly averages.
Figure 4: Changes in Pollution Information Access: Mobile Phone Apps

Notes: This chart shows release-date distribution of Apple App Store apps related to pollution (solid dots and line). Release-time distribution for apps in other categories (dashed dots and line) includes game, music, video, reading, finance, sports, education, shopping, and navigation. For each category, sample is restricted to the first 200 apps returned by the Apple API given the search key. Data are accessed on December 27, 2015. Pollution apps released before 2013 typically stream weather information and later incorporate real-time air quality content post 2013. These apps are (re)categorized as pollution apps when we queried for the Appstore data in 2015.
Figure 5: Changes in Pollution Awareness: Baidu Smog Search Index

(a) Baidu “smog” search index at the national level

(b) Baidu “Smog” search index before and after a city implements the information program

Notes: Panel A plots raw monthly trends in Baidu Search Index for the word “smog”. The graph omits two dots with exceptionally high search index for readability purpose. These dots correspond to December 2013 (index = 20,942) and December 2015 (index = 24,679). Line shows annual averages. Panel B plots standardized “smog” search index as a function of months since the completion of the information program in a given city. The search index is normalized to 0 for event month -1. The underlying regression controls for month-of-year and year indicators. Line shows quarterly averages.
Figure 6: Changes in Pollution Awareness: Air Purifier Sales in 50 Cities

Notes: Panel A plots raw monthly trends in national air purifier sales from offline venues. The graph omits two dots with exceptionally high sales for readability purpose. These dots correspond to December 2013 (sales = 61,605 units) and December 2015 (sales = 74,352 units). Line shows annual averages. Panel B plots log per capita air purifier sales as a function of months since the completion of the information program in a given city. Air purifier sales are normalized to 0 for event month -1. The underlying regression controls for month-of-year and year indicators. Line shows quarterly averages.
Figure 7: Changes in Short-Run Avoidance: Weekly Card Transaction-Pollution Gradient

Notes: This graph shows the relationship between weekly bank card transaction rate and log satellite-based pollution as a function of time since the completion of the information program in a given city. Each dot is a regression coefficient. The regression controls for prefecture-city FE, week-of-year FE, and year FE. Regressions are weighted by the number of active cards in a city. Shaded region shows 95% confidence interval constructed from standard errors clustered at the prefecture-city level. Number of observations = 83,122.
Notes: This map shows the 2006-2014 average aerosol optical depth (AOD) level for the municipality of Beijing. Left panel shows MODIS AOD at the original 10×10km resolution. Right panel shows AOD oversampled to 1×1km resolution. Dots show centroid locations of communities in the housing transaction data.
Figure 9: Changes in Long-run Capitalization: Housing Price against Polluter Distance, Beijing

Notes: This graph shows coefficients from regressions of attribute-adjusted complex × annual log housing prices on distance in 1-km bins to the nearest major polluter before and after January 2013 when Beijing initiated ambient pollution monitoring. In Panel A, estimations are done separately for periods before (dashed line) and after (solid line) the information program, with prices normalized to 0 for the >10-km bin. The histogram (right axis) plots the total number of observations by distance bins. Panel B pools the sample and estimates the difference. All regressions control for district × year FEs, community FEs, and years-on-market FEs. Shaded region shows the 95% confidence interval constructed from standard errors two-way clustered at the community level and the district × year level. Number of observations = 3,827.
Figure 10: Changes in Health Outcome: Quarterly Mortality-Pollution Gradient

Notes: This graph shows coefficients from a regression of log mortality rate on log satellite-based pollution as a function of quarters since the completion of the information program in a given city. Each dot represents a coefficient estimate. The -10 to 6 quarter event window is chosen so that the underlying sample for each reported coefficient is a balanced panel of cities. The underlying regression controls for prefecture-city FEs, quarter-of-year FEs, and year FEs. Shaded region shows the 95% confidence interval constructed from standard errors clustered at the prefecture-city level. Number of observations = 2,620.
Table 1: Changes in Short-Run Avoidance: Weekly Card Transaction-Pollution Gradient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dep. var.: Number of transactions per 10,000 active cards in a city×week</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution)</td>
<td>8.39</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(8.19)</td>
<td>(8.78)</td>
<td>(5.75)</td>
<td>(7.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution) × 1(after monitoring)</td>
<td>-19.8**</td>
<td>-22.8**</td>
<td>-19.4**</td>
<td>-25.1**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(8.67)</td>
<td>(10.8)</td>
<td>(7.77)</td>
<td>(10.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEs: city</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEs: week-of-year</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEs: year</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEs: week-of-sample</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEs: region×year</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEs: region×week-of-sample</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| N                                                                      | 83,122| 83,122| 83,122| 83,122|

Notes: “Log(Pollution)” is logged AOD in a city×week. Mean of the dependent variable is 869.1. The coefficient for the Log(Pollution) × 1(after monitoring) interaction term is equivalent to a three-percentage point change in the transaction-pollution elasticity. “region” is a conventional partition of cities by location: North (36 cities), Northeast (38 cities), East (105 cities), Central South (81 cities), Southwest (54 cities), and Northwest (52 cities). Standard errors are clustered at the prefecture-city level. *: p < 0.10; **: p < 0.05; ***: p < 0.01.
Table 2: Changes in Long-run Capitalization: Beijing’s Housing Price-Pollution Gradient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Log(pollution)</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-0.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.104)</td>
<td>(0.121)</td>
<td>(0.239)</td>
<td>(0.244)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(lagged pollution)</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.124)</td>
<td>(0.216)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(pollution)×1(after 2013)</td>
<td>-0.591*</td>
<td>-0.730*</td>
<td>-0.850*</td>
<td>-0.753*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.299)</td>
<td>(0.434)</td>
<td>(0.436)</td>
<td>(0.432)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(lagged pollution)×1(after 2013)</td>
<td>-0.377</td>
<td>-0.216</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.490)</td>
<td>(0.754)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEs: complex ✓ ✓
FEs: year ✓ ✓
FEs: years on-market ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓
FEs: community ✓ ✓
FEs: district×year ✓ ✓

N 3,372 2,715 3,827 3,266
N (complex) 988 801 1,224 1,129
N (community) 179 167 180 172
N (district) 16 16 16 16

Notes: Beijing is divided into sixteen districts, 180 communities, and roughly 1,200 apartment complexes. A community is comparable to a zip-code in the U.S. in terms of geographical coverage while an apartment complex is similar to a census block-group. This analysis examines how complex-level housing prices vary with pollution. The dependent variable is logged nominal housing price adjusted for quadratic floor size, floor indicators, and sale month-of-year indicators. “Log(pollution)” is logged AOD at the over-sampled 1km resolution corresponding to the complex’s geographic coordinates. Standard errors are two-way clustered by community and district×year. *: p < 0.10; **: p < 0.05; ***: p < 0.01.
Table 3: Changes in the Health Outcome: Quarterly Mortality-Pollution Gradient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.039*</td>
<td>0.041*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.019)</td>
<td>(0.021)</td>
<td>(0.020)</td>
<td>(0.023)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution) × 1(after monitoring)</td>
<td>-0.048***</td>
<td>-0.055***</td>
<td>-0.055***</td>
<td>-0.046**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.017)</td>
<td>(0.020)</td>
<td>(0.021)</td>
<td>(0.021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEs: city</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEs: quarter-of-year</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEs: year</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEs: quarter-of-sample</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEs: region×year</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEs: region×quarter-of-sample</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>2,620</td>
<td>2,620</td>
<td>2,620</td>
<td>2,620</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: “Log(Pollution)” is logged AOD in a city×quarter. “region” is a conventional partition of cities by location: North (36 cities), Northeast (38 cities), East (105 cities), Central South (81 cities), Southwest (54 cities), and Northwest (52 cities). Standard errors are clustered at the prefecture-city level. *: p < 0.10; **: p < 0.05; ***: p < 0.01.
Table 4: Changes in the Health Outcome: Heterogeneity by City Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City characteristics:</th>
<th>(1) Per cap. income</th>
<th>(2) Frac. urban</th>
<th>(3) Per cap. hospitals</th>
<th>(4) Per cap. residential electricity</th>
<th>(5) Per cap. mobile phones</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Panel A. Dep. var. = Log mortality rate in a city×quarter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution) × 1(after monitoring) × 1(below average)</td>
<td>-0.052**</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>-0.036</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>-0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.023)</td>
<td>(0.020)</td>
<td>(0.026)</td>
<td>(0.025)</td>
<td>(0.020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution) × 1(after monitoring) × 1(above average)</td>
<td>-0.046</td>
<td>-0.081***</td>
<td>-0.066***</td>
<td>-0.073*</td>
<td>-0.080**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.029)</td>
<td>(0.030)</td>
<td>(0.021)</td>
<td>(0.044)</td>
<td>(0.035)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equality p−value</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>0.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>2,560</td>
<td>2,220</td>
<td>2,220</td>
<td>2,120</td>
<td>2,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel B. Dep. var. = Number of transactions per 10,000 active cards in a city×week</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution) × 1(after monitoring) × 1(below average)</td>
<td>-13.0*</td>
<td>-14.2</td>
<td>-15.1*</td>
<td>-20.2**</td>
<td>-0.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(7.48)</td>
<td>(9.10)</td>
<td>(9.11)</td>
<td>(8.20)</td>
<td>(7.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution) × 1(after monitoring) × 1(above average)</td>
<td>-25.5**</td>
<td>-25.8**</td>
<td>-33.1***</td>
<td>-22.6**</td>
<td>-35.1***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(12.0)</td>
<td>(10.3)</td>
<td>(10.6)</td>
<td>(10.6)</td>
<td>(11.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equality p−value</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.859</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>66,854</td>
<td>66,854</td>
<td>67,046</td>
<td>64,540</td>
<td>67,046</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: This table reports heterogeneous changes in the mortality-pollution gradient (panel A) and purchase-pollution gradient (panel B) by above and below average city characteristics. Each column corresponds to a separate regression: column 1 = per capita personal dispensable income; column 2 = share of urban population; column 3 = per capita number of hospitals; column 4 = per capita residential electricity use; column 5 = share of mobile phone users. City characteristics are computed as 2011-2015 averages. Cities with missing attributes are omitted from the analysis. “Equality p−value” tests for equality between the above/below average coefficients. All regressions control for city, month-of-sample, and region-by-year fixed effects, as well as full sets of lower-order interaction terms which are not reported in the table in the interest of space. Standard errors are clustered at the prefecture-city level. *: \( p < 0.10 \); **: \( p < 0.05 \); ***: \( p < 0.01 \).
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Appendix A: Proof of Proposition 1

Individuals choose optimal consumption $x$ and defensive investment $a$ to maximize utility under the perceived pollution level $c_0$ as described in Section 3.1. The Lagrangian equation is:

$$L = U(x, h(c_0, a)) + \lambda [I + w(h(c_0, a)) - x - p_a \cdot a]$$

where $\lambda$ is the Lagrange multiplier and denotes the marginal utility per dollar. The first order conditions are:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = 0 \Rightarrow U_x - \lambda = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial a} = 0 \Rightarrow (U_h + \lambda \cdot w \cdot g_h) \frac{\partial h(c_0, a)}{\partial a} - \lambda p_a = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = 0 \Rightarrow I + w(h) - x - p_a \cdot a = 0$$

where $U_x, U_h$, and $g_h$ denote partial derivatives. We first show that under Assumptions 1-3, optimal avoidance (weakly) increases in perceived pollution:

$$\frac{da}{dc} \geq 0.$$

Let $f$ denote the first order condition w.r.t avoidance (equation A.1):

$$f = (U_h + \lambda \cdot w_h) \frac{\partial h}{\partial a} - \lambda p_a = 0$$

Applying the implicit function theorem to $f$, we obtain:

$$\frac{da}{dc} = - \frac{\partial f / \partial c}{\partial f / \partial a} = \frac{[U_{hh} + \lambda \cdot w \cdot g_{hh}] \cdot \frac{\partial h}{\partial c} \cdot \frac{\partial h}{\partial a} + (U_h + \lambda \cdot w \cdot g_h) \cdot \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial a \partial c}}{(U_{hh} + \lambda \cdot w \cdot g_{hh}) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial a}\right)^2 + (U_h + \lambda \cdot w \cdot g_h) \cdot \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial a^2}}$$

$$= \frac{A + B}{C + D}$$

where $U_{hh}, U_{hh}, g_{hh}$ are second order derivatives. Under the assumption of diminishing marginal utility, decreasing marginal labor product of health, and decreasing health benefit of avoidance, $C + D \leq 0$.\(^{44}\) Similarly, $A + B \geq 0$. Hence, avoidance increases weakly

\(^{44}\)At the optimal $a$ and $X$, $U_h + \lambda \cdot w \cdot g(h) > 0$ by construction. In addition, $U_{hh}, g_{hh}, \partial^2 h / \partial a^2 < 0$. Another way to show $C + D \leq 0$ is that this is the second order condition for the optimal $a$. 

A-1
in (perceived) pollution. The key assumption for this result is $dh^2/dadc > 0$. When pollution deteriorates, avoidance restores health more effectively (that is, the marginal benefit of avoidance is large with bad pollution). After the information program, individuals observe the actual pollution $c$, which is higher than previously perceived level: $c_0$. The above analysis indicates that individuals would increase the level of avoidance post the policy intervention:

$$a(c) \geq a(c_0).$$

As the marginal health benefit of avoidance is positive from Assumption (A1) in Section 3.1, the health condition improves with avoidance:

$$h(c, a(c)) \geq h(c, a(c_0)).$$

Due to the lack of real-time information on pollution prior to the information program, perceived pollution $c_0$ is unlikely to respond to day-to-day changes in the actual pollution. Hence, the total derivative of health w.r.t. pollution is:

$$\frac{dh}{dc} \mid_{c_0} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial c} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial a} \cdot \frac{da}{dc_0} \cdot \frac{dc_0}{dc} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial c},$$

where the second equation follows from the fact that $dc_0/dc = 0$. Post the information program, the perceived pollution is equal to the actual pollution and individuals can engage in effective avoidance to moderate the negative impact of pollution. The total derivative of health w.r.t. pollution is:

$$\frac{dh}{dc} \mid_c = \frac{\partial h}{\partial c} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial a} \cdot \frac{da}{dc} \geq \frac{\partial h}{\partial c}$$

The second line follows from the fact that avoidance increases in (perceived) pollution and improves the health stock.

Lastly, let $V(c, c)$ denote the indirect utility when individuals accurately perceive pollution $c_0 = c$. In that case, the experience utility and decision utility coincides. $V(c, c_0)$ is the utility achieved by maximizing the decision utility under perceived pollution of $c_0$. Since utility is maximized under full information, we have:

$$V(c, c) \geq V(c, c_0).$$

Putting these together, we derive the following predictions of the information program:

- Avoidance behavior increases after the program: $a(c) > a(c_0)$;
• Health improves and the (downward sloping) health-pollution response curve flattens:

\[ h(c, a(c)) > h(c, a(c_0)), \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{dh}{dc}|_{c_0=c} \geq \frac{dh}{dc}|_{c_0<c}; \]

• Individual utility increases: \( V(c, c) > V(c, c_0). \)
Appendix B: Proof of Proposition 2

To examine the impact of the information program on the pollution-outcome relationship, our analysis uses the framework as outlined in equation 2, in matrix form:

\[ Y = \alpha \times P + \beta \times P \cdot d + X \gamma + \varepsilon, \]  

(B.2)

where ‘\cdot’ is an element-by-element product. Y, P, d, and \( \varepsilon \) are \( N \) by 1 vectors, X is a \( N \) by \( k \) matrix. \( \alpha, \beta \) are scalars while \( \gamma \) is a \( k \) by 1 vector. \( P \) measures ambient air quality and could be correlated with \( \varepsilon \) due to unobservables or measurement error as discussed in the main text. \( d \) represents the treatment dummy and is equal to one based on the staggered roll-out schedule. The key parameter of interest is \( \beta \), the change in the slope of pollution-outcome relationship.

To simplify proof, we first partial out regressors \( X \). Let \( M_x \) denote the projection matrix: 
\[ M_x = I - X(X'X)^{-1}X'. \]

Multiplying both sides of equation B.2 with \( M_x \), we have:

\[ M_x Y = \alpha M_x P + \beta M_x P \cdot d + M_x \varepsilon \]

where \( M_x P \) is an \( N \) by 1 vector, the projection residual of \( P \) on \( X \). Collect the two key regressors in \( Z = [M_x P, M_x P \cdot d] \). Here we show that the OLS estimate of \( \beta \) is consistent under the following assumption:

**Assumption B1:** \( \varepsilon \perp d | X \). Conditioning on city attributes and other controls in \( X \) such as city and week fixed effects and weather conditions, the treatment \( d \) is exogenous.

**Assumption B2:** \( d \perp P | X \) Conditioning on \( X \), the treatment is independent of the level of pollution.

**Proof:** Let the OLS estimates of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) be denoted as \( \hat{\alpha} \) and \( \hat{\beta} \).

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\hat{\alpha} - \alpha \\
\hat{\beta} - \beta 
\end{pmatrix}
= \frac{1}{\det[(Z'Z)]}
\begin{pmatrix}
(M_x P \cdot d)' (M_x P \cdot d) & -(M_x P)' (M_x P \cdot d) \\
(M_x P \cdot d)' (M_x P) & (M_x P)' (M_x P) 
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
(M_x P)'(M_x \varepsilon) \\
(M_x P \cdot d)'(M_x \varepsilon) 
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The probability limit of \( \hat{\beta} - \beta \) converges to the following term multiplied by a constant:

\[ p \lim(\hat{\beta} - \beta) = -E[(M_x P \cdot d)'(M_x P)] E[(M_x P)'(M_x \varepsilon)] + E[(M_x P)'(M_x P)] E[(M_x P \cdot d)'(M_x \varepsilon)] \]

(B.3)
Assumption B2 implies that $E(d|M_x P) = c$. Hence:

$$E[(M_x P \cdot d)'(M_x P)] = E[(M_x P)'(M_x P)E(d|M_x P)] = cE[(M_x P)'(M_x P)].$$

Assumptions B1 and B2 imply that:

$$E[(M_x P \cdot d)'(M_x \epsilon)] = cE[(M_x P)'(M_x \epsilon)].$$

Therefore, $\lim_p(\hat{\beta} - \beta) = 0$ and the OLS estimate $\hat{\beta}$ is consistent.
Appendix C: Figures and Tables

Figure C.1: List of Cities by Roll-out Waves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wave 1 cities</th>
<th>Wave 2 cities</th>
<th>Wave 3 cities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>Wuhan</td>
<td>Tongling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tianjin</td>
<td>Jinhua</td>
<td>Xuejiao</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shijiazhuang</td>
<td>Maanshan</td>
<td>Nantong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangshan</td>
<td>Datong</td>
<td>Haining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qinhuangdao</td>
<td>Yangquan</td>
<td>Wujiang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handan</td>
<td>Changzhi</td>
<td>Jinzhou</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xingtai</td>
<td>Linfen</td>
<td>Changshu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baoding</td>
<td>Chifeng</td>
<td>Panjin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhangjiakou</td>
<td>Anshan</td>
<td>Zibo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chengde</td>
<td>Fushun</td>
<td>Kunshan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cangzhou</td>
<td>Benwi</td>
<td>Taizhou</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langfang</td>
<td>Yan’an</td>
<td>Wuyang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hengshui</td>
<td>Jinhua</td>
<td>Wujiang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiyuan</td>
<td>Yichang</td>
<td>Jinzhou</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huhehaote</td>
<td>inchangshu</td>
<td>Heihe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shenyang</td>
<td>Xiangyang</td>
<td>Hengyang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yangzhou</td>
<td>Jinzhong</td>
<td>Changsha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changchun</td>
<td>Jinci</td>
<td>Dalian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haerbin</td>
<td>Yichang</td>
<td>Dalian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbin</td>
<td>Jilin</td>
<td>Dalian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shenyang</td>
<td>Yangzhou</td>
<td>Dalian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanjing</td>
<td>Jiaxing</td>
<td>Dalian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wuxi</td>
<td>Zhangqiu</td>
<td>Dalian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yancheng</td>
<td>Xining</td>
<td>Dalian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changzhou</td>
<td>Liyang</td>
<td>Dalian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suzhou</td>
<td>Jintan</td>
<td>Dalian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legend:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jing-Jin-Ji Metropolitan Region, Yangtze River Delta Economic Zone, Pearl River Delta Metropolitan Region, Direct-administered municipalities, Provincial Capitals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Improvement Priority Cities (designated 2007), National Environmental Protection Exemplary Cities (awarded between 1997-2012)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The three panels show cities included in each roll-out wave of the information program. Color coding indicates how cities are logistically divided into roll-out waves, according to the 2012 government notice (GB3095-2012).
Notes: This figure shows a screenshot of the Ministry of Environmental Protection’s real time air quality disclosure platform web interface as of September 25, 2016. The left panel is an interactive map that displays locations of all monitoring stations. The right panel reports real-time measures of six major pollutants for all monitoring stations in the city that is specified (Beijing).
Figure C.3: Screenshot of an Air Quality App

Notes: This figure shows a screenshot of a typical air quality app. The left panel shows the air quality index (AQI) in the city of Shanghai for that hour is 101 and PM$_{2.5}$ is 75 ug/m$^3$. The right panel shows PM$_{2.5}$ and AQI readings at different locations within Shanghai.
Figure C.4: Consumption Trends: UnionPay vs. National Accounts

Notes: This figure plots annual GDP (triangles), consumption (squares) reported by the National Bureau of Statistics of China (NBS), and total bank card spendings ×100 (circles) aggregated from the UnionPay 1% bank card data, excluding transactions in the business wholesale categories.
Figure C.5: UnionPay Bank Card Transaction by Prefecture-City, 2011-2015 Average

(a) Number of active cards

(b) Number of transactions per 100,000 cards

Notes: The maps show 2011-2015 average number of active UnionPay bank cards (panel A) and transactions per 100,000 cards (panel B) at the prefecture-city level. Orange lines show inter-provincial borders.
Figure C.6: Correlation between PM$_{2.5}$ and AOD

Notes: This graph shows city×day level average PM$_{2.5}$ concentration (y-axis) by 100 equal bins of AOD (x-axis), for periods after the information program. There is reliable information on PM$_{2.5}$ before the program. Histograms show the distribution of the two variables.
Figure C.7: Illustration of Satellite AOD Oversampling

Notes: Left panel shows original MODIS AOD (10×10km) around Beijing on August 30, 2008. Right panel shows an overlay with data on August 31, 2008. In both panels, darker colors indicate higher pollution levels.
Figure C.8: Total Air Emissions by Emission Deciles, Beijing Polluter Census 2007

Notes: This graph shows Beijing polluters’ total air emissions in billion m$^3$ for each decile of the annual emission distribution according to the Polluter Census 2007. The sample includes about 440 polluters. Firms in the top decile account for 89.5% of total emissions.
Figure C.9: Heterogeneous Changes in Quarterly Mortality-Pollution Gradient

(a) Heterogeneity by age groups

(b) Heterogeneity by causes-of-death

Notes: the figures illustrate heterogeneity in changes in the mortality-pollution elasticity (i.e., coef of $\text{Log}(\text{Pollution}) \times 1$ (after monitoring)) across age groups (panel a) and by causes of death (panel b). Each dot is from a separate regression using sub-group log mortality rate as the outcome variable. All regressions control for prefecture-city FE$s$ and quarter-of-sample FE$s$. Range bars show 95% confidence interval constructed from standard errors clustered at the prefecture-city level.
Figure C.10: Changes in Quarterly Mortality-Pollution Gradient: Nonlinear Specification

Notes: This graph shows residualized plot between logged mortality rate by ten equal bins of residualized Log(Pollution) × 1(after monitoring). There is limited evidence of nonlinearity. All regressions control for prefecture-city FE s, quarter-of-year FE s, and year FE s.
Figure C.11: Regression Discontinuity at the Huai River (2011-2012 Sample)

Notes: Scatter plot in each panel shows the local means of the corresponding outcome variable with a bin size of 1 degree (observations = 161). The horizontal axis is the distance (in degree) to the north of the Huai River, following Ebenstein et al. (2017). Solid lines are from local linear regressions estimated separately on each side of the river. Size of circles corresponds to total population in the distance bin. “Local” AOD = AOD residualized of inverse-distance weighted PM$_{2.5}$ from cities within 1,000 km radius, following Ebenstein et al. (2017).
Table C.1: Characteristics of Cities by Monitoring Roll-out Waves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wave 1</th>
<th>Wave 2</th>
<th>Wave 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of cities</strong></td>
<td>74</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population (million)</strong></td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(4.85)</td>
<td>(2.10)</td>
<td>(1.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GDP per capita (yuan)</strong></td>
<td>69,836</td>
<td>42,881</td>
<td>27,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(27,627)</td>
<td>(23,110)</td>
<td>(13,143)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AOD level</strong></td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.239)</td>
<td>(0.242)</td>
<td>(0.237)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PM$_{2.5}$ level (ug/m$^3$)</strong></td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>46.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(22.1)</td>
<td>(20.2)</td>
<td>(17.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Industrial SO$_2$ emissions (ton)</strong></td>
<td>37,569</td>
<td>29,609</td>
<td>18,214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(40,186)</td>
<td>(24,695)</td>
<td>(17,550)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average temperature (F)</strong></td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>55.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(8.52)</td>
<td>(9.59)</td>
<td>(10.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total precipitation (inches)</strong></td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>40.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(21.9)</td>
<td>(23.2)</td>
<td>(24.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average wind speed (m/s)</strong></td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.63)</td>
<td>(0.62)</td>
<td>(0.68)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: all characteristics are measured by the 2011-2015 average, except for PM$_{2.5}$ (average over the post-monitoring periods) and industrial SO$_2$ emissions (year 2006). The table report average characteristics for cities in different waves. Standard deviations are in parentheses.
Table C.2: Changes in the Economic and Regulatory Environment Before and After Monitoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indep. var.: l(after monitoring)</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Panel A. Pollution levels</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution)</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>-0.0011</td>
<td>-0.0062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.0106)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0097)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(max Pollution)</td>
<td>-0.0045</td>
<td>-0.0121</td>
<td>-0.0132</td>
<td>-0.0155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.0148)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0118)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Panel B. Political/regulatory environment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a N(anti-corruption cases)</td>
<td>-0.037</td>
<td>-0.069</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>-0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.052)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.056)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b Age(mayor)</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.184)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.195)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c Likelihood(doc. mayor)</td>
<td>-0.013</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.026)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.027)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d N(&quot;pollution regulation&quot; news mention)</td>
<td>-0.0048</td>
<td>-0.0074</td>
<td>-0.0067</td>
<td>-0.0071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.0064)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0070)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Panel C. Healthcare access</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a Log N(hospitals per 1,000 people)</td>
<td>-0.044</td>
<td>-0.047</td>
<td>-0.042</td>
<td>-0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.028)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.029)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE: city</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE: week-of-year</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE: year</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE: week-of-sample</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE: region×year</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE: region×week×sample</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a N(anti-corruption cases)</td>
<td>mean = 0.24,</td>
<td>sd = 0.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b Age(mayor)</td>
<td>mean = 50.8,</td>
<td>sd = 3.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c Likelihood(doc. mayor)</td>
<td>mean = 0.234,</td>
<td>sd = 0.423</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d N(&quot;pollution regulation&quot; news)</td>
<td>mean = 0.052,</td>
<td>sd = 0.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e N(hospitals per 1,000 people), annual frequency</td>
<td>mean = 1.61,</td>
<td>sd = 2.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Row names show the dependent variable. “Log(Pollution)” is logged AOD in a city×week. “Anti-corruption cases” are the number of local officials downfalls during the anti-corruption campaign, “doc. mayor” indicates whether the current city mayor has a doctoral degree, “pollution regulation news” is the number of People’s Daily news articles that mention both smog and the city name. “region” is a conventional partition of cities by location: North (36 cities), Northeast (38 cities), East (105 cities), Central South (81 cities), Southwest (54 cities), and Northwest (52 cities). Estimation data are at the city×weekly level, except for Panel C which uses city×annual observations of hospital counts. Standard errors are clustered at the prefecture-city level. *: p < 0.10; **: p < 0.05; ***: p < 0.01.
Table C.3: Primary Data Sources Coverage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Coverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baidu web searches</td>
<td>2011-2015</td>
<td>all cites; 97% of Internet users</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air purifier sales</td>
<td>2012-2015</td>
<td>50 cities; 28% of population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UnionPay card</td>
<td>2011-2015</td>
<td>all cities; 50% of national consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing transaction</td>
<td>2006-2014</td>
<td>Beijing; 4.8 million households</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>2011-2015</td>
<td>161 counties; 5% nationally representative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite data</td>
<td>2011-2015</td>
<td>all cities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: A 2013 survey by the China Internet Network Information Center on more than 2,800 phone respondents shows more than 99% of Internet users have heard of the Baidu search engine, and 98% have used it in the past six months.
Table C.4: Changes in Weekly Bank Card Transaction-Pollution Gradient: “Deferrable” Consumption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dep. var.: arcsinh(Number of transactions per 10,000 active cards in a city×week)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel A. Merchant type = supermarkets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution)</td>
<td>0.037***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution) × 1(after monitoring)</td>
<td>-0.061***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel B. Merchant type = dining</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution)</td>
<td>0.042***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution) × 1(after monitoring)</td>
<td>-0.078***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel C. Merchant type = entertainment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution)</td>
<td>0.029*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution) × 1(after monitoring)</td>
<td>-0.043***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.022)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEs: city: ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓
FEs: week-of-year: ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓
FEs: year: ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓
FEs: week-of-sample: ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓
FEs: region×year: ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓
FEs: region×week-of-sample: ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓

N: 83,122 83,122 83,122 83,122

Notes: “Log(Pollution)” is logged AOD in a city×week. To facilitate interpretation of the magnitude across different categories, we use the inverse hyperbolic sine function (ArcSinh) of transactions, so that the key coefficient can be roughly interpreted as elasticities. The ArcSinh function is preferable to logs due to a non-trivial fraction of zero transactions in small city-categories. The patterns are similar if we use levels instead of ArcSinh. “region” is a conventional partition of cities by location: North (36 cities), Northeast (38 cities), East (105 cities), Central South (81 cities), Southwest (54 cities), and Northwest (52 cities). Standard errors are clustered at the prefecture-city level. *: p < 0.10; **: p < 0.05; ***: p < 0.01.
Table C.5: Changes in Weekly Bank Card Transaction-Pollution Gradient: “Scheduled” Consumption (Placebo Tests)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dep. var.: arcsinh(Number of transactions per 10,000 active cards in a city × week)</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Panel A. Merchant type = billings</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.037)</td>
<td>(0.040)</td>
<td>(0.028)</td>
<td>(0.030)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution) × 1(after monitoring)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>-0.014</td>
<td>-0.048</td>
<td>-0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.056)</td>
<td>(0.060)</td>
<td>(0.044)</td>
<td>(0.049)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Panel B. Merchant type = government services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution)</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.027)</td>
<td>(0.030)</td>
<td>(0.031)</td>
<td>(0.035)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution) × 1(after monitoring)</td>
<td>-0.034</td>
<td>-0.036</td>
<td>-0.026</td>
<td>-0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.040)</td>
<td>(0.043)</td>
<td>(0.042)</td>
<td>(0.049)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Panel C. Merchant type = business-to-business wholesales</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.018)</td>
<td>(0.020)</td>
<td>(0.014)</td>
<td>(0.017)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution) × 1(after monitoring)</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
<td>-0.027</td>
<td>-0.010</td>
<td>-0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.028)</td>
<td>(0.030)</td>
<td>(0.021)</td>
<td>(0.025)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Panel D. Merchant type = cancer treatment centers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution) × 1(after monitoring)</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEs: city</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEs: week-of-year</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEs: year</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEs: week-of-sample</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEs: region×year</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEs: region×week-of-sample</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N)</td>
<td>83,122</td>
<td>83,122</td>
<td>83,122</td>
<td>83,122</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: “Log(Pollution)” is logged AOD in a city × week. “billings” include transactions in utilities, insurance contribution, telecommunications and cable services. “government services” include transactions in political organizations, court costs, fines, taxes, and consulate charges. To facilitate interpretation of the magnitude across different categories, we use the inverse hyperbolic sine function (ArcSinh) of transactions, so that the key coefficient can be roughly interpreted as elasticities. The ArcSinh function is preferable to logs due to a non-trivial fraction of zero transactions in small city-categories. The patterns are similar if we use levels instead of ArcSinh. “region” is a conventional partition of cities by location: North (36 cities), Northeast (38 cities), East (105 cities), Central South (81 cities), Southwest (54 cities), Northwest (52 cities). Standard errors are clustered at the prefecture-city level. *: \(p < 0.10\); **: \(p < 0.05\); ***: \(p < 0.01\).
Table C.6: Changes in Weekly Bank Card Transaction-Pollution Gradient: Robustness Checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coef. of interest: Log(Pollution)×1(after monitoring)</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drop U.S. embassy/consulate cities</td>
<td>-14.1*</td>
<td>-16.6**</td>
<td>-16.9**</td>
<td>-21.0**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(7.18)</td>
<td>(7.93)</td>
<td>(8.29)</td>
<td>(10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drop cities with top 10% anti-corruption cases</td>
<td>-16.3*</td>
<td>-18.8*</td>
<td>-18.0**</td>
<td>-23.4**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(8.62)</td>
<td>(10.9)</td>
<td>(8.14)</td>
<td>(10.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control for online shopping shares</td>
<td>-20.7**</td>
<td>-23.4**</td>
<td>-19.9***</td>
<td>-25.8***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(8.43)</td>
<td>(10.4)</td>
<td>(7.63)</td>
<td>(9.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control for weather variables</td>
<td>-22.3**</td>
<td>-25.8**</td>
<td>-24.3***</td>
<td>-30.6***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(9.17)</td>
<td>(11.4)</td>
<td>(8.23)</td>
<td>(10.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use weekly max pollution level</td>
<td>-28.2***</td>
<td>-29.6***</td>
<td>-16.5**</td>
<td>-21.0**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(9.76)</td>
<td>(10.4)</td>
<td>(7.33)</td>
<td>(9.08)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEs: city  ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓
FEs: week-of-year ✓
FEs: year ✓
FEs: week-of-sample ✓ ✓
FEs: region×year ✓
FEs: region×week-of-sample ✓

Notes: This table examines the robustness of the changes in the transaction - pollution gradient. Each cell represents a separate regression. The main effect Log(Pollution) term is not reported in the interest of space. Embassy cities include Beijing, Chengdu, Guangzhou, and Shanghai where PM$_{2.5}$ monitoring data were available before 2013. Weather controls include linear terms of weekly temperature, precipitation, wind speed, barometric pressure, and their full interactions. Standard errors are clustered at the prefecture-city level. *: p < 0.10; **: p < 0.05; ***: p < 0.01.
Table C.7: Changes in Weekly Bank Card Transaction-Pollution Gradient: Triple Difference

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution) × 1(after monitoring)</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>4.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(7.78)</td>
<td>(8.56)</td>
<td>(7.35)</td>
<td>(8.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(Pollution) × 1(after monitoring) × 1(Treated)</td>
<td>-27.5**</td>
<td>-27.2**</td>
<td>-24.6**</td>
<td>-14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(12.2)</td>
<td>(12.8)</td>
<td>(12.2 )</td>
<td>(15.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEs: city-pair ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓
FEs: week-of-year ✓
FEs: year ✓
FEs: week-of-sample ✓ ✓
FEs: region×year ✓
FEs: region×week-of-sample ✓

| N            | 193,563 | 193,563 | 193,563 | 193,563 |

Notes: “Log(Pollution)” is logged AOD in a city×week. “1(Treated)” equals 1 for cities actually in the roll-out wave, 0 for neighboring cities not yet experiencing the roll-out. “region” is a conventional partition of cities by location: North (36 cities), Northeast (38 cities), East (105 cities), Central South (81 cities), Southwest (54 cities), and Northwest (52 cities). Standard errors are clustered at the prefecture-city level. *: \( p < 0.10 \); **: \( p < 0.05 \); ***: \( p < 0.01 \).
Table C.8: Regression Discontinuity at the Huai River (2011-2012 Sample)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run. var.: Degrees north of the Huai River</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local polynomial:</td>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>Quadratic</td>
<td>Cubic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(Raw AOD)</td>
<td>-0.059</td>
<td>-0.059</td>
<td>0.348*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.074)</td>
<td>(0.093)</td>
<td>(0.184)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(“Local” AOD)</td>
<td>0.326***</td>
<td>0.351***</td>
<td>0.247***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.157)</td>
<td>(0.064)</td>
<td>(0.096)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(PM$_{10}$)</td>
<td>0.347***</td>
<td>0.440**</td>
<td>0.474**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.130)</td>
<td>(0.219)</td>
<td>(0.239)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log(Mortality rate)</td>
<td>0.219***</td>
<td>0.240**</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.072)</td>
<td>(0.101)</td>
<td>(0.173)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Panel B. IV estimates: Log(Mortality rate) $\sim$ Log(Pollution)

| ŶLog(“Local” AOD)                        | 0.660*  | 0.591** | 0.875   |
|                                          | (0.344) | (0.299) | (0.650) |
| ŶLog(PM$_{10}$)                          | 0.538   | 0.420   | 0.463   |
|                                          | (0.348) | (0.369) | (0.427) |

Notes: In panel A, each row corresponds to an outcome variable, and each cell reports the coefficient estimate for a dummy variable indicating north of the Huai River in a separate regression (observations = 161). “Local” AOD = AOD residualized of inverse-distance weighted PM$_{2.5}$ from cities within 1,000 km radius, following Ebenstein et al. (2017). PM$_{10}$ data are directly from Ebenstein et al. (2017). Panel B reports fuzzy RD estimates of the effect of Log(Pollution) on Log(Mortality). Columns 1-3 show RD with locally linear, quadratic, and cubic control function for the running variable. All regressions use triangular kernel and Imbens and Kalyanaraman (2012) bandwidth selection. *: $p < 0.10$; **: $p < 0.05$; ***: $p < 0.01$. 
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