

NBER WORKING PAPER SERIES

CONGESTION PRICING, AIR POLLUTION AND CHILDREN'S HEALTH

Emilia Simeonova  
Janet Currie  
Peter Nilsson  
Reed Walker

Working Paper 24410  
<http://www.nber.org/papers/w24410>

NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH  
1050 Massachusetts Avenue  
Cambridge, MA 02138  
March 2018

We would like to thank Nicholas Sanders and participants at the 2017 AEA meetings for helpful comments. The authors also gratefully acknowledge support from Princeton's Center for Health and Wellbeing, the NIH, the Swedish Research Council, and IFAU (The Institute for Evaluation of Labour Market and Education Policy of the Swedish Ministry of Employment) is gratefully acknowledged. The views expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Bureau of Economic Research.

NBER working papers are circulated for discussion and comment purposes. They have not been peer-reviewed or been subject to the review by the NBER Board of Directors that accompanies official NBER publications.

© 2018 by Emilia Simeonova, Janet Currie, Peter Nilsson, and Reed Walker. All rights reserved. Short sections of text, not to exceed two paragraphs, may be quoted without explicit permission provided that full credit, including © notice, is given to the source.

Congestion Pricing, Air Pollution and Children's Health  
Emilia Simeonova, Janet Currie, Peter Nilsson, and Reed Walker  
NBER Working Paper No. 24410  
March 2018  
JEL No. H23,I18

**ABSTRACT**

This study examines the effects of implementing a congestion tax in central Stockholm on both ambient air pollution and the population health of local children. We demonstrate that the tax reduced ambient air pollution by 5 to 15 percent, and that this reduction in air pollution was associated with a significant decrease in the rate of acute asthma attacks among young children. The change in health was more gradual than the change in pollution suggesting that it may take time for the full health effects of changes in pollution to be felt. Given the sluggish adjustment of health to pollution changes, short-run estimates of the pollution reduction programs may understate the long-run health benefits.

Emilia Simeonova  
JHU Carey School of Business  
100 International Drive  
Baltimore, MD 21202  
and NBER  
emilia.simeonova@gmail.com

Peter Nilsson  
Assistant Professor  
IIES, Stockholm University  
peter.nilsson(iies.su.se  
nilssonjanpeter@gmail.com

Janet Currie  
Department of Economics  
Center for Health and Wellbeing  
185A Julis Romo Rabinowitz Building  
Princeton University  
Princeton, NJ 08544  
and NBER  
jcurrie@princeton.edu

Reed Walker  
Haas School of Business  
University of California, Berkeley  
2220 Piedmont Ave  
Berkeley, CA 94720  
and NBER  
rwalker@haas.berkeley.edu

Economists have long recognized the negative externalities associated with traffic congestion and have suggested congestion pricing as a possible solution (Vickery, 1969). Typically, research and policy making surrounding congestion pricing have been concerned with the effects on time lost commuting, fuel costs, and business activity (Leape, 2006).<sup>2</sup> However, recent research suggests that congestion also significantly contributes to local air pollution levels, and this pollution has the ability to impact the health and well-being of nearby residents (Currie and Walker, 2011; Knittel, Miller, and Sanders, 2016).

As traffic congestion in cities has increased, rates of respiratory illness such as asthma have risen (Centers for Disease Control 2011). Asthma is now the leading cause of hospitalization among children in the United States. The increase in asthma rates is most pronounced amongst low socio-economic status individuals who disproportionately live in densely populated areas characterized by frequent and often severe traffic congestion. Currently, the highest rates of asthma incidence in the United States are found in the Bronx, New York (Garg et al. 2003). This area of northern New York City is bisected by 5 major highways that rank among the most congested in the United States (Bruner 2009). With both traffic congestion and children's asthma rates trending upwards in recent years, some have speculated that the two may be causally related.

This study is the first to examine the link between congestion pricing, ambient air pollution, and inpatient and outpatient visits for asthma in the context of a congestion pricing program in Stockholm, Sweden. Since August 2007, Stockholm has levied charges on most vehicles entering the city center. Permanent implementation of the charges followed a seven-month trial period between January and July, 2006. We combine this program variation in congestion fees with data on ambient air pollution and administrative data on all inpatient and outpatient health visits. We

---

<sup>2</sup> Travel delays and extra fuel consumption cost the United States an estimated \$70 Billion each year (Schrank and Lomax 2007). The average time per year an urban motorist loses to congestion during peak hours was estimated to be 62 hours in 2000.

compare outcomes within the Stockholm city center to outcomes in other city centers within Sweden that did not have a congestion pricing program, in an effort to form a counterfactual for what would have happened in the absence of the program.

The findings suggest that congestion pricing in Stockholm reduced pollution from automobiles significantly. Nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) and particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>) levels fell by 5 to 7.5 and 15 to 20 percent, respectively. There are no reliable data on changes in other pollutants from cars, such as PM<sub>2.5</sub> for this period, but because PM<sub>2.5</sub> is formed through combustion or atmospheric reactions to traffic pollution, the concentration of fine particles likely also decreased. This policy-induced reduction in air pollution levels accompanied significant reductions in the incidence of childhood asthma in Stockholm in the months and years after the program went into place. Reductions in air pollution from traffic by one unit (1 mg/m<sup>3</sup>) decreased visits for acute asthma to inpatient and outpatient providers by 4 to 15 percent, depending on the length of exposure to reduced pollution. The estimated health effects are comparable with evidence from the epidemiology literature.<sup>3</sup> The slow adjustment of individual health stocks to pollution changes suggests that short-run estimates of the effects of pollution reduction programs may significantly understate the long-run health benefits.

A rigorous investigation of the link between congestion pricing, pollution, and children's health is important for several reasons. First, congestion pricing has been evaluated largely in the context of its effects on traffic and commuting times, but it may also have significant benefits in terms of pollution reduction and health, and it is important to quantify those benefits.

---

<sup>3</sup> For example, Keet et al (2016) analyze cross-sectional correlations between zip-code level exposures and hospitalizations and emergency department visits in the US Medicaid population aged 5 to 20. According to their analysis, increases of 1mg/m<sup>3</sup> in PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> were associated with 4.4% and 2.7% increases in asthma-related episodes. We find that congestion pricing reduced PM<sub>10</sub> by 1 mg/m<sup>3</sup> and NO<sub>2</sub> by 0.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> respectively. Because their health utilization data only include hospital-based visits rather than all inpatient and outpatient visits, their estimates are smaller than ours.

Second, the mechanisms through which children are affected by traffic-generated pollution may differ from those in adults – children spend more time outdoors and engage in more physical activity. Lung development continues post-natally until the adolescent years and is susceptible to negative environmental shocks (Pinkerton et al, 2000; Dietert et al, 2000). For all these reasons, current standards for air pollution may not adequately protect children. Recent recommendations of the American Academy of Pediatrics’ Committee on Environmental Health include a revision of the current U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) standards for common traffic-related pollutants and strongly encourage traffic-reducing state- and city-level policies such as car-pooling and increased access to mass public transport (Kim et al, 2004).

Third, because the implementation of the Stockholm congestion pricing program was preceded by a trial, it offers a unique opportunity to assess both the immediate effects of a drop in pollution, and the somewhat longer-term effects. Since health is a stock, it may not be surprising that the longer-term effects, which allow for adjustment of the underlying health stock, are larger than the shorter-term effects. However, empirical evidence documenting whether and to what extent short-run changes in pollution have different effects on population health than longer-run changes is limited.

Fourth, the Stockholm congestion pricing program took place in the context of low ambient pollution levels, even by the standards of other developed countries. Hence, it offers insight into the question of whether pollution levels well below current U.S. regulatory standards can nevertheless have negative effects on children’s health.

The rest of the paper is laid out as follows. The next section reviews the institutional framework of the congestion pricing experiment and permanent implementation, as well as the relevant prior literature. In the third section we describe the data. Section 4 presents the empirical framework, and Section 5 shows the estimation results. Section 6 concludes.

## II. Background

The purpose of the Stockholm congestion pricing zone (CPZ) was to reduce traffic entering the central city in order to reduce traffic congestion. The tax varies between 0 and 2.6 USD per vehicle, depending on the time of the day. There are no charges at night, on weekends and public holidays, or during July. The toll is automatically collected using license plate scanning technology as cars cross the perimeter of the congestion zone.

The implementation of the tax started with a seven-month trial period, the Stockholm Congestion Trials (*Stockholmsförsöket*). The trial period lasted from the 3<sup>rd</sup> of January 2006 through the end of July 2006. The trial period was considered a success by the government, with government estimates suggesting reductions in inner city traffic counts during the trial of around 20 to 25 percent (Stockholmsforsoket, 2006b). Based on the success of the trial program, the Swedish government decided to make the program permanent. The toll charges were adopted again starting August 1<sup>st</sup>, 2007 and have been in place ever since.

Automobile exhaust contains carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, particulate matter, and other harmful pollutants. In urban areas motor vehicle emissions are the leading cause of ambient air pollution. The medical literature shows that particulate matter (PM10 and PM2.5) affects lung growth and lung function in children (Gauderman et al, 2000; Yu et al, 2000; Hoek et al, 2000) and the biological channels are well understood. Exposure to nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) also worsens asthma symptoms and is associated with inferior respiratory health (Lipsett et al, 1997; Shima et al, 2000).

According to the U.S. National Asthma Education and Prevention Program Third Expert Panel on the Diagnosis and Management of Asthma (2007), asthma is a chronic inflammatory disorder of the airways. In susceptible individuals, this inflammation causes recurrent episodes of

wheezing, breathlessness, chest tightness, and coughing. These episodes are usually associated with widespread but variable airflow obstruction. The inflammation also causes bronchial hyper-responsiveness to a variety of stimuli. Reversibility of airflow limitation after an initial negative stimulus is removed may be incomplete, which suggests that once asthma is induced, it may not immediately improve to baseline after triggers are removed. In fact, onset of asthma in childhood can be associated with significant deficits in lung growth, which are permanent. Conversely, if the initiation of asthma is avoided, the child's lung growth and health will be better than it would have been in the presence of asthma.

Previous research asking how air pollution affects children's respiratory health has generally focused on the impacts of very short-term variations in air pollution lasting between several hours and a few days (Friedman et al. 2001; Neidell, 2004; Neidell and Moretti, 2011; Schlenker and Walker, 2015; Jans et al, 2016). For example, Schlenker and Walker (2012) utilize variation in daily airport congestion rates as a cause of increased carbon monoxide emissions that are then linked to hospital admissions for respiratory conditions. Bauernschuster and co-authors (2015) show that during days in which the German public transport workers strike, there is increased pollution due to heavier car traffic into major cities as well as increased rates of hospitalizations for respiratory conditions among children younger than 5 and the elderly. Both studies rely on high-frequency short-term (day-to-day) variation in pollution levels to isolate congestion effects on health. In contrast, we examine both the effect of a six-month long reduction in air pollution (associated with the trial of congestion pricing) and the effects of the later longer-term reduction in pollution that accompanied the permanent implementation of the congestion tax.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>4</sup> The most closely related study to the effect of permanent congestion pricing may be Currie and Walker (2011) who examine the effect of implementing Electronic Toll Collection technology on air pollution and infant health near highway toll plazas. Given that they examine birth records, they were however, only able to examine the effects of in-utero exposure, and not exposure after birth.

There is considerable evidence in the medical and epidemiology literatures that the severity of asthma is affected by both the level of pollution and the length of exposure to it. Avol et al. (2001) show that children who relocated from areas with high air pollution to areas with lower air pollution experienced improved lung functioning, with the effects being larger for children who relocated 3 to 5 years ago compared to those who moved within the past 1 to 2 years. Similarly, among individuals who had lived close to a major road in Los Angeles for several years there was a strong correlation between distance from the road and asthma prevalence, but there was no correlation between distance and asthma prevalence among people who had just moved to the neighborhood (McConnell et al., 2006). These studies suggest then that it may take some time after an initial change in pollution levels for a new equilibrium level of asthma to be reached: Because pollution has a cumulative effect on asthma that is not immediately reversed, the effect of a short-run decrease in pollution may be quite a bit smaller than the longer-term effect of a permanent decrease in pollution.

### **III. Data**

We use detailed data on the residential location and timing of all hospital inpatient and acute (unplanned) outpatient visits among residents in inner city in Stockholm and 102 other Swedish central cities between 2004 and 2010. The analysis focuses on all children up to and including five years of age who resided in major Swedish municipalities that monitor ambient air pollution. We restrict our analysis to children whose mothers were born in Sweden. The focus on children under the age of six is motivated by the fact that these children are the most likely to experience acute asthma episodes perhaps because they and their parents are less likely to have learned how to prevent and control attacks. For example, Moorman, Person, and Zahran (2013)



estimate that in the U.S., children 0 to 4 are 1.9 times more likely to have an asthma attack other things being equal than children 12 to 17.

We use GIS to determine whether the residence of the parents is located inside or outside the congestion pricing zone in Stockholm. We drop observations for children who live in the Stockholm region but outside the CPZ. We omit these children for two main reasons. First, it is not clear whether and how they were affected by the CPZ. For example, children who live outside the CPZ, but close to a major traffic artery that leads into the inner city may have experienced a decrease in ambient pollution levels. Alternatively, they may have experienced increases if traffic was diverted from the inner city to surrounding areas. Second, there are very few pollution monitors located in the Stockholm region outside of the CPZ, which impedes our ability to assess how air pollution changed outside central Stockholm.

Data on ambient air pollution were collected from cities' Environmental Agencies (Miljöförvaltningen) from the period 2004-2010 from ambient air monitors. In total we have air pollution data from 103 Swedish municipalities, including central Stockholm. We focus on how the congestion trial affected levels of nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) and PM10. Our choice of these pollutants is motivated by the facts that automobiles account for a disproportionate share of both particulate and nitrogen emissions, and that the monitor data is sufficiently detailed to examine these pollutants (but not others).

We aggregate the daily monitor data for each city to the monthly level, weighting by the number of monitor observations within each month. The first two rows of Table 1 show the average levels and growth rates in NO<sub>2</sub> and PM10 levels for central Stockholm ("inside CPZ") and all other central cities in the 2 years preceding the CPZ trial.<sup>5</sup> The levels of 33.32 and 33.28

---

<sup>5</sup> For current EPA standards see: <https://www3.epa.gov/region1/airquality/pm-aq-standards.html> and <https://www.epa.gov/no2-pollution/fact-sheets-and-additional-information-regarding-2010-revision-primary-national>. Note that for NO<sub>2</sub>, one part per billion is equal to 1.25 micrograms per cubic meter.

micrograms per cubic meter for these two pollutants respectively, can be compared to current EPA standards for annual average levels of 66.25 micrograms per cubic meter for NO<sub>2</sub> and 50 micrograms per cubic meter for PM<sub>10</sub>. Hence Table 1 shows that the levels of pollution in Stockholm were below current U.S. EPA standards for these pollutants even prior to the implementation of congestion pricing. Our results should therefore be interpreted as illustrating the health benefits of reducing pollution levels below already relatively low levels of ambient air pollution.

Column (5) of Table 1 presents p-values from a test of the null hypothesis that the levels are the same inside Stockholm and in other central cities. Column (6) shows p-values from a test of the null hypothesis that the pre-trends in these pollutants are the same. These p-values are based on permutation tests (Fisher, 1935; Good, 2005; Dinardo and Lee, 2011). These tests are likely to yield more reliable p-values for differences between treatments and controls with small samples (such as our 103 municipalities). The permutation-based test assumes exchangeability of treatments and controls under the null. In order to conduct the test, we assign treatment status to different Swedish cities and then re-calculate the differences in mean levels and in pre-trends between the index city and all other Swedish cities. The p-value corresponds to the percentile of the distribution where the observed difference falls, relative to the other permutations. For example, if none of the permutation differences exceeded the actual difference, then the p-value would be 0. In this group of 103 cities, if for 76 permutations the difference was greater than the difference between Stockholm and all other cities, then the p-value would be 0.738 (as it is in the first row of Column 5 of Table 1). The results in the first two rows of columns (5) and (6) suggest there are no significant differences in pollution pre-trends in the 2 years preceding the CPZ trial.

Figure 1 shows the differences in PM<sub>10</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> between the Stockholm CPZ and other Swedish central cities in each month of the sample. The vertical lines indicate the “pre,” “trial,”

“in between,” and “permanent CPZ” periods. The points show the differences in monthly averages representing data from the entire set of available monitors, and the horizontal lines show the mean differences in pollution levels within each time period. In total, we have 72 months of data. For both PM10 and NO<sub>2</sub>, one sees a relative reduction in pollution during the trial period, a rebound in the “in-between” period, and a larger relative reduction in Stockholm compared to other central cities once the CPZ is made permanent.

Health data were collected from the inpatient (Swedish National Patient Register (Socialstyrelsen, 2009)) and outpatient registries. The inpatient register contains administrative information such as date of admission, number of days in hospital care, as well as discharge diagnoses classified according to the 9th and 10th versions of International Classification of Diseases (ICD). The National Patient Register records all hospital admission that included an overnight hospital stay whether or not it originated in the Emergency Room.

The outpatient register contains information on all outpatient visits to primary care providers and specialists including visits to Emergency Rooms that did not result in inpatient admissions. The date of the visit and the primary ICD 9 (or ICD10) code that was the main reason for the visit are also provided. Importantly, the register records whether the visit was planned (such as a routine yearly physical check-up) or urgent. Urgent outpatient visits are same-day visits that are initiated at the request of the patient and usually concern an acute health problem that would be treated as an emergency on an outpatient basis.

To construct an acute asthma rate, we add the number of overnight hospital visits and the unscheduled outpatient visits which record asthma as the primary reason for the visit. We then calculate the cumulative number of acute asthma episodes for each calendar month among children aged 0 to 5 in the municipality and divide by the total number of resident children.

Table 1 shows that at 18.7 cases per 10,000 children 0 to 5, the asthma rate was higher in central Stockholm than in other central cities, and the rate was rising during the pre-period in both Stockholm and in other central cities. The p-values shown in column (6) show that there were, however, no significant differences in these trends between Stockholm and other central cities.

For comparison, we also examine visits for injuries (accidents) among children 0 to 5. Injuries are one of the most common reasons for children to seek medical attention, and they should not be mechanically related to air pollution and/or asthma though of course injuries from car accidents could be reduced by the absence of cars from the inner city. The baseline incidence of accidents was lower in Stockholm than in other central cities. However, once again the p-values in Table 1 show that there was no difference in pre-trends.

Table 1 also shows pre-trends in several measures of the socioeconomic status of parents as well as a measure of city size. While the Stockholm CPZ is much larger, has higher income, more college graduates, and fewer people on social assistance than other Swedish central cities, differences in the pre-trends in these variables are not generally statistically significant.

In all of our regression results, we control for weather conditions that may affect the extent of ambient air pollution independent of the congestion pricing policy. We use data from the Swedish Meteorological Institute that come from weather stations in each municipality. The weather data is linked to each city using the inverse distance weighted average of all weather monitors within 100km of the municipal center. Daily data on rain (mm), rain squared, mean temperature, temperature squared, maximum temperature, minimum temperature, average wind speed, and maximum wind speed is calculated for each weather monitor and then aggregated to the municipality by month level.

#### IV. Methods

We first investigate the extent to which both the Stockholm congestion trial and the eventual full implementation of the congestion fee affected ambient air pollution. Formally, we estimate the following equation which allows the effects of the trial, the “in-between” period, and the period after the charges were made permanent to be distinguished:

$$(1) \text{ Poll}_{it} = \beta_1 \text{CPZ}_i * \text{Trial}_t + \beta_2 \text{CPZ}_i * \text{InBetween}_t + \beta_3 \text{CPZ}_i * \text{Permanent}_t + Z_{it} \gamma + \upsilon_i + W_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

where *Trial*, *InBetween*, and *Permanent* are dummy variables equal to one during the relevant periods. Pollution at monitor *i* in month *t* ( $\text{Poll}_{it}$ ) is regressed on a set of interactions, where, for example,  $\text{CPZ}_i * \text{Trial}_t$  is an indicator equal to one if the period is one in which the congestion trial is in place and the pollution monitor is in the CPZ zone. Equation (1) also includes monitor fixed effects  $\upsilon_i$ , weather controls,  $W_{it}$ , year by month fixed effects, and monitor-specific time trends,  $Z_{it}$ .

The coefficients  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_3$  measure the shorter and longer-run effects of implementing congestion pricing, while  $\beta_2$  measures whether the dependent variable returned to “baseline” during the “in-between” period. Monitor fixed effects ensure that the identifying variation comes from within-monitor changes in air pollution in periods with congestion pricing versus periods without. The main identifying assumption is that even if the levels of pollution were different between Stockholm and other municipalities, the trends did not differ systematically for reasons other than the implementation of congestion pricing. This assumption is the motivation for testing for differing pre-trends in pollution, as was discussed above. Since the issue of differential trends is potentially important, we also include both region-specific time trends and interactions between municipality and weather controls. These latter interactions allow for the fact that the same weather patterns could have different impacts on pollution levels in different cities.

We examine asthma rates using models that take much the same form as equation (1) except that they use measures of asthma rates constructed at the municipality, month, and year level as the dependent variable. Now  $Z_{jt}$  is a vector of time-varying controls including the average family income in municipality  $j$  in month  $t$ , the proportion of the population on social support, and the proportion with a college degree, as well as a vector of year by month fixed effects. Once again we include controls for monthly weather conditions. Instead of fixed effects for pollution monitors, we include fixed effects for each municipality, so that our models are identified using within-municipality variation in asthma rates. The identifying assumption is that there would have been parallel trends in asthma in the absence of the CPZ. We provided some evidence in support of this assumption by examining pre-trends in Table 1.

We also use all of the data, aside from that collected in central Stockholm while congestion pricing was in effect, in order to model asthma rates in the rest of the sample, and then ask whether the predicted asthma rates in central Stockholm from this model changed with the implementation of the CPZ. This test assesses the degree to which the underlying demographics and other observables changed in Stockholm in a way that would predict changes in asthma rates during the CPZ period. Finally, as a comparison we also examine the “effects” of the CPZ on childhood injuries.

All regressions with asthma or injury as a dependent variable are estimated by weighted least squares using the number of children aged 0 to 5 in the municipality as weights.

## **V. Results**

### *a) Congestion Pricing and Pollution Levels*

Table 2 presents estimates for the effects of the CPZ on levels of PM10 and NO<sub>2</sub>. We show the usual analytical standard errors in parentheses, and we present two sets of p-values: p-values

that correspond to the analytical standard errors are shown in curly brackets, and permutation-based p-values which are shown in square brackets. The permutation-based values are based on 500 simulations in which the type of CPZ “treatment” (trial, in between, or permanent) is randomly assigned across municipalities semi-annually, so that the permuted cells represent each municipality in each 6-month period during the observation window (see e.g., Cesarini et al (2016)). For each outcome and each permuted sample we estimate equation (1). We then examine the fraction of the times that the coefficient estimate exceeds the estimated value when the CPZ is correctly assigned to Stockholm.

The estimates and analytic p-values in columns (1) and (4) of Table 2 are consistent with Figure 1 in that they suggest that both PM10 and NO<sub>2</sub> declined during the trial, rebounded during the in-between period, and settled at a lower level similar to that seen during the trial when the CPZ became permanent. Specifications including controls for location-specific weather and region-specific seasonality confirm these findings. The permutation based p-values are more conservative but also support this story. While we cannot completely rule out the hypothesis that PM10 and NO<sub>2</sub> levels went back to the pre-trial levels during the in-between period, there is evidence that they remained at somewhat lower levels. This inference is consistent with the available data on traffic flows, which show a persistent reduction of 10 percent relative to baseline levels during the in-between period. (See Appendix Figure A1).

The 3.36 unit decline in PM10 and the 1.58 unit decline in NO<sub>2</sub> after the CPZ was made permanent correspond to a 10% and a 5% reduction in these two pollutants relative to the mean levels of pre-CPZ pollution in Stockholm shown in Table 1. The estimates in column (3) show that if one did not allow for the lack of a full “rebound” effect during the in-between period, one would get a slightly lower estimate of the impact of congestion pricing on pollution.

Appendix Table 1 shows an alternative specification which uses only data from monitors that were continuously operating. Using a fixed set of monitors alleviates any concerns about selection due to monitor entry and exit but on the other hand, there are many fewer continuously operating monitors. The point estimates in Appendix Table 1 are remarkably similar to those discussed above, and the analytic p-values suggest significant negative effects on pollution in both the trial and the permanent CPZ periods. The permutation based tests confirm a negative effect of congestion pricing on PM10, but do not allow rejections of the null during the permanent implementation period. Overall, Appendix Table 1 re-affirms that the Stockholm CPZ was associated with significant pollution reductions.

#### *b) Congestion Pricing and Asthma*

The estimated effects of the CPZ on asthma rates in children aged 0-5 are shown in columns (1) through (4) of Table 3. Column (1) shows the basic specification controlling for month-specific and municipality-specific fixed effects. In column (2) we add controls for average income, the fraction of individuals on social assistance, and the fraction of mothers with completed college education in the municipality. The coefficients of interest are not affected by these additions. A comparison of columns (1) and (3) shows that the estimates are also quite robust to the inclusion of interactions of month fixed effects with region (in order to allow for different regional seasonal effects) and interactions of weather and municipality (in order to allow weather conditions to have differential effects in different cities).

However, unlike the pollution estimates which show a reduction in pollution, followed by a rebound, and then a permanent reduction, column (3) shows that the congestion pricing trial was associated with a continuous decline in asthma cases in Stockholm relative to other central cities from the trial period onwards. There was a reduction of 2.3 asthma visits per 10,000 children (on



a baseline of 18.7 visits per 10,000) during the trial. The “in-between” period saw a reduction of 4.8 cases per 10,000, while the permanent CPZ reduced asthma visits by about 8.7 per 10,000. These estimates suggest that the trial brought an immediate reduction in asthma rates, but that the permanent CPZ had a much larger effect, reducing urgent visits and hospitalizations for asthma by almost half among children 0 to 5. In these models the analytical and permutation-based p-values are very close to each other, and both suggest that the estimated effects are strongly statistically significant. In the last column we group the trial and the permanentization period under one category of congestion pricing and consider the in-between period as a non-congestion pricing period. Column (4) indicates that overall, congestion pricing was associated with a reduction of 5.83 asthma visits per 10,000 children.

Figure 2 follows a format similar to Figure 1 and shows the difference in asthma rates by calendar month in Stockholm compared to other central cities before and after the adoption of congestion pricing. This figure shows an initial decline in asthma in Stockholm relative to the other central cities during the trial period. However, instead of rebounding, relative asthma rates in Stockholm vs. other cities continued to decline in the “in between” period, and fell to their lowest levels after the CPZ became permanent.

Figure 3 provides some insight into whether the changes in asthma rates accompanying congestion pricing are “reasonable” given the overall relationships observed between pollution and asthma in Sweden. The figure is based on data for January and February 2004 to 2009 for each month and municipality *except* Stockholm. We use January and February because asthma is highly seasonal, and these are peak months. The line shows the relationship between the monthly asthma rate and the mean monthly PM10 level conditional on municipal fixed effects, mean temperature, mean rainfall, and average wind speed. The shaded area is the 99 percent confidence interval. The indicated points show values for Stockholm. The blue triangle indicates Stockholm

in the pre-CPZ period; the red circle indicates Stockholm during the trial period; the black rectangle indicates the values for Stockholm after the CPZ became permanent. All Stockholm-specific data points are within the 99 percent confidence interval of the estimated association between asthma and mean PM10. The figure demonstrates that the fall in asthma rates in Stockholm is within the range that one would expect given the relationship between pollution and asthma rates in the rest of Sweden.

*c) Robustness*

Table 4 presents results from several robustness checks of our main results. The specification in column (1) includes municipality-specific linear trends. Including these trends should absorb any unobserved municipality-specific changes in the asthma prevalence that happen during the observation period. The coefficients of interest do not change significantly. In column (2) we assign the first municipality in which children are observed as their permanent municipality of residence. For example, if a child was born in Stockholm, but moved outside the city after a couple of years, we would now include this child as part of the Stockholm sample for all years included in the observation window. Similarly, if a child moved into Stockholm, but was first observed as residing in another city, we would now assign the original city as that child's residence throughout the observation window. Constructing the analysis sample in this fashion may address concerns about children differentially moving in or out of Stockholm based on their health status. On the other hand, we are introducing noise in the treatment variable, which could bias our estimates downward. However, the estimates shown in Column (3) appear quite robust to specifying the analysis sample in this way.

Column (3) of Table 4 shows estimates from a model using predicted asthma rates as the dependent variable. The prediction equation itself is shown in Appendix Table 2. Because we saw

in Table 1 that rates of social assistance use and income levels in Stockholm are different than in other central cities, it is reassuring that neither of these variables are predictive of asthma rates in our baseline model which includes municipality fixed effects, interactions between month and year, interactions between region and month, and interactions between municipality and weather are included in the model. However, with an R-squared of .544, we do a reasonably good job explaining variation in asthma rates.

The estimated models using predicted asthma as the dependent variable suggest that changes in the congestion pricing program led to changes in observable characteristics that are predictive of small but significant *increases* in the asthma rate in the short run, followed by an equally small decrease in asthma rates when the CPZ became permanent. While there may be other changes in unobservables that are correlated with both asthma and the congestion program, the evidence presented here suggests that changes in observable characteristics cannot explain the baseline asthma results.

Column (4) of Table 4 presents estimates where the dependent variable is visits due to injuries. This model takes the same form as the model of asthma. The analytical standard errors suggest small but significant effects: An initial decline during the trial followed by a rebounding during the in-between and CPZ permanent periods so that by the end of the period there was no overall change. The permutation-based p-values are more conservative and suggest that none of the estimated effects on injuries are statistically significant. This “placebo” like test suggests then that the CPZ implementation had limited or no effect on non-respiratory health outcomes in this age group.

Table 5 presents models in the same form but using demographic characteristics as the dependent variables. The purpose of these regressions is to assess the extent to which the reductions in asthma rates that accompanied congestion pricing could have been due to changes in

demographic characteristics rather than with congestion pricing per se. Table 5 shows that there were significant changes. More children came to Stockholm than to other central cities. However, this tended to reduce the number of children on social assistance, reduce the fraction whose mothers had a college education, and increase relative income levels. As we show in Appendix Table 2, demographic characteristics are at best weakly correlated with asthma rates in Sweden. Still, for the two variables that are close to statistically significantly predictive of asthma – college education and income - the population changes we document in Stockholm would have been expected to increase, rather than to decrease, asthma rates. Hence, it appears that congestion pricing reduced asthma rates in the face of demographic changes that might have been expected to increase them.

## **VI. Discussion and Conclusions**

This paper estimates the impact of a congestion pricing program in a large urban center on ambient pollution and children's health as proxied by childhood asthma rates. Our findings indicate that the congestion tax in central Stockholm reduced ambient pollution levels. The two pollutants we can measure best, PM10 and NO2, declined between 5 and 20 percent. This decline suggests that other pollutants from traffic are also likely to have fallen. Declines in relative ambient pollution levels in Stockholm compared to other central cities show a step-wise pattern, first falling during the trial period, then rebounding somewhat during the period in between the trial and permanent adoption, and then showing a sustained decline following permanent adoption of congestion pricing.

This policy-induced change in pollution from traffic is associated with a significant reduction in the rate of acute asthma attacks among children 0 to 5 years of age in the years after the program. Although congestion pricing had an immediate impact on asthma, the impact grew

over time and asthma rates actually continued to decline during what proved to be a temporary hiatus in congestion pricing before the permanent adoption of the policy. Our findings therefore suggest that congestion charges in large cities can have significant positive effects on health in the short-term, but even larger effects in the longer term as the stock of health evolves to a new lower-pollution equilibrium level. This finding is consistent with our understanding of health as a stock that often changes relatively slowly over time, as suggested by the medical literature.

Our estimates are among the first to demonstrate that congestion pricing as implemented in Stockholm resulted in a significant decrease in ambient air pollution due to automobiles, and significant impacts in children's respiratory health. These improvements in health occurred even though initial pollution levels were well below the current U.S. EPA standards, suggesting that reductions in pollution from traffic can have large positive effects on children's respiratory health in many settings.

## References

- Avol, Edward, James Gauderman, Sylvia Tan, Stephanie London and John Peters (2001) “Respiratory Effects of Relocating to Areas of Differing Air Pollution Levels” *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, Vol 164, No 11
- Bauernschuster, Stefan, Timo Hener and Helmut Rainer (2015). “When Labor Disputes Bring Cities to a Standstill: The Impact of Public Transit Strikes on Traffic, Accidents, Air Pollution and Health,” Ifo Institute Working paper.
- Bruner, Jon. 2009. “America’s Worst Intersections.” *Forbes*
- Cesarini, David, Erik Lindqvist, Robert Ostling and Björn Wallace (2016) “Wealth, Health, and Child Development: Evidence from Administrative Data on Swedish Lottery Players”, forthcoming, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*.
- Chen, Yuyu, Avraham Ebenstein, Michael Greenstone, and Hongbin Li (2013). ”Evidence on the Impact of Sustained Exposure to Air Pollution on Life Expectancy from China’s Huai River Policy,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, v 110 #32, pp 12936–12941.
- Currie, Janet and Reed Walker (2011) ”Traffic Congestion and Infant Health: Evidence from E-Zpass” *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* v3, January, 65-90.
- Currie, Janet, Joshua Graff Zivin, Jamie Mullins and Matthew Neidell (2014). “What Do We Know about Short and Long-Term Effects of Early Life Exposure to Pollution?” *Annual Review of Resource Economics* v. 6, October, 217-247.
- Dietert RR, Etzel RA, Chen D, et al. (2000). Workshop to identify critical windows of exposure for children’s health: immune and respiratory systems work group summary. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, v108(suppl 3):483– 490.
- Dinardo, John and David Lee (2011). “Program Evaluation and Research Designs,” in Orley Ashenfelter and David E. Card (eds.) *Handbook of Labor Economics*, v4A (North Holland: New York, Amsterdam).
- Fisher, Sir Ronald Aylmer (1935). *Design of Experiments* (Edinburgh, London: Oliver and Boyd).
- Fletcher, Jason, Jeremy Green and Matthew Neidell (2010) “Long term effects of childhood asthma on adult health” *Journal of Health Economics*, Volume 29, Issue 3, May, 377–387.
- Friedman, Michael S., Kenneth E. Powell, Lori Hutwagner, LeRoy M. Graham, and W. Gerald Teague. 2001. “Impact of Changes in Transportation and Commuting Behaviors During the 1996 Summer Olympic Games in Atlanta on Air Quality and Childhood Asthma.” *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 285(7): 897–905

Garg, Renu, Adam Karpati, Jessica Leighton, Mary Perrin, and Mona Shah. 2003. *Asthma Facts*. Second ed., New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

Gauderman WJ, McConnell R, Gilliland F, et al. (2000). "Association between air pollution and lung function growth in southern California children," Am J Respir Crit Care Med. v162:1383–1390.

Good, Phillip (2005). Permutation, Parametric, and Bootstrap Tests of Hypotheses (Springer, New York).

Hoek G, Dockery DW, Pope A, Neas L, Roemer W, Brunekreef B. (1998). "Association between PM10 and decrements in peak expiratory flow rates in children: reanalysis of data from five panel studies," Eur Respir J. v11:1307–1311.

Jans, Jenny, Per Johansson, and J Peter Nilsson (2016), "Economic Status, Air Quality, and Child Health: Evidence from Inversion Episodes" manuscript April 2016, Stockholm university

Kim, J.J. et al. (2004) "Ambient Air Pollution: Health Hazards to Children" American Academy of Pediatrics Policy Statement; Pediatrics v114, 1699.

Knittel, Miller, and Sanders (2016) "Caution, Drivers! Children Present: Traffic, Pollution, and Infant Health" *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, May 2016, Vol. 98, No. 2, Pages: 350-366

Leape, Jonathan. 2006. "The London Congestion Charge." Journal of Economic Perspectives, 20(4): 157-176.

Lipsett M, Hurley S, Ostro B (1997). "Air pollution and emergency room visits for asthma in Santa Clara County, California," Environmental Health Perspectives v105:216 –222.

McConnell, Rob, Kiros Berhane, Ling Yao, Michael Jerrett, Fred Lurmann, Frank Gilliland, Nino Kunzli, Jim Gauderman, Ed Avol, Duncan Thomas, and John Peters (2006) "Traffic, Susceptibility, and Childhood Asthma," Environmental Health Perspectives, Volume 114 #5, May, 766-772.

Moorman, Jeanne, Cara Person, Hatice Zahran (2013). "Asthma Attacks Among Persons with Current Asthma – United States, 2001-2010," Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, Nov. 22, v62 #3: 93-98.

Neidell, Matthew (2004) "Air pollution, health, and socio-economic status: the effect of outdoor air quality on childhood asthma," Journal of Health Economics, Volume 23, Issue 6, November, 1209–1236

Enrico Moretti and Matthew Neidell (2011) "Pollution, Health, and Avoidance Behavior Evidence from the Ports of Los Angeles" *Journal of Human Resources*, vol 46, no 1, pp 154-175

Pinkerton KE, Joad JP (2000). "The mammalian respiratory system and critical windows of exposure for children's health," Environmental Health Perspectives v108(suppl 3):457– 462.

Pope, C. Arden, R.T. Burnett, M.J. Thun, E.E. Calle, D. Krewski, K. Ito, G.D. Thurston (2002). “Lung Cancer, Cardiopulmonary Mortality, and Long-Term Exposure to Fine Particulate Air Pollution,” JAMA 287 #9, 1132-1141.

Schlenker, Wolfram and Reed Walker (2015) “Airports, Air Pollution and Contemporaneous Health” Review of Economic Studies, October, 2015

Shima M, Adachi M (2000). “Effect of outdoor and indoor nitrogen dioxide on respiratory symptoms in schoolchildren,” Int J Epidemiol. v29: 862– 870.

David Schrank and Tim Lomax (2007) “The 2007 Urban Mobility Report” Texas Transportation Institute, Texas A&M University, September 2007 (accessible at [http://www.ncga.state.nc.us/documentsites/committees/21stcenturytransportation/prioritization-best%20practices-efficiency/presentations/mobility\\_report\\_2007\\_wappx.pdf](http://www.ncga.state.nc.us/documentsites/committees/21stcenturytransportation/prioritization-best%20practices-efficiency/presentations/mobility_report_2007_wappx.pdf))

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency NAAQS table (<https://www.epa.gov/criteria-air-pollutants/naaqs-table>)

U.S. National Asthma Education and Prevention Program (2007). Third Expert Panel on the Diagnosis and Management of Asthma. Bethesda (MD): [National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute \(US\)](#); Aug.

Yu O, Sheppard L, Lumley T, Koenig JQ, Shapiro GG (2000). “Effects of ambient air pollution on symptoms of asthma in Seattle-area children enrolled in the CAMP study,” Environmental Health Perspectives v108: 1209 –1214.



Tables and Figures

Table 1: Means and Pre-trends of Outcome and Key Control Variables in the Pre-CPZ Period

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Levels		Difference		p-value	p-value
	inside CPZ	other cities	Dec. 2005-Dec. 2004 inside CPZ	Dec. 2005-Dec. 2004 other cities	(2)-(1)	(4)-(3)
NO2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	33.322	17.555	-0.153	-0.105	0.738	0.981
PM10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	33.279	13.958	0.237	-0.067	0.660	0.854
Asthma per 10,000	18.7	15.9	1.2	1.4	0.447	0.835
Accidents per 10,000	5.5	8.3	-2.32	0.0	0.272	0.641
Ln Annual Income	7.150	6.838	-0.005	-0.002	0.019	0.913
Ln Ratio College Graduates	-0.834	-0.859	0.084	0.046	0.883	0.320
Ln Ratio on Social Assistance	-2.247	-1.585	-0.129	-0.021	0.019	0.592
Log # children 0-5	10.685	7.774	0.114	0.050	0.000	0.078

Notes: Columns (1) and (2) display the average characteristics inside the Stockholm Congestion Pricing Zone (CPZ) and other Swedish cities that did not have a congestion pricing program. The data in columns (1) and (2) come from the month preceding the trial, December 2005. Columns (3) and (4) present the average 2 year change in characteristics in the years preceding the trial. Column (5) presents p-values from a two-tailed permutation test of the null hypothesis that the difference between columns (1) and (2) is equal to zero. Column (6) presents p-values from a similar test, comparing Column (3) to Column (4).

Table 2: Effects of Congestion Pricing on Ambient Air Pollution – PM10 and NO2

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Dependent Variable:	PM10	PM10	PM10	NO2	NO2	NO2
CPZ*Trial	-3.390 (0.692) {0.000} [0.004]	-3.961 (0.767) {0.000} [0.036]		-3.457 (0.937) {0.000} [0.327]	-1.262 (0.493) {0.000} [0.10]	
CPZ*in between	0.602 (0.524) {0.250} [0.47]	-1.766 (0.664) {0.009} [0.05]		-1.492 (1.331) {0.267} [0.339]	-0.498 (0.679) {0.470} [0.355]	
CPZ*Perm	-4.916 (0.622) {0.000} [0.09]	-3.361 (0.838) {0.001} [0.301]		-4.387 (1.393) {.0026} [0.243]	-1.580 (0.473) {0.002} [0.110]	
CPZ*Congest (trial+permanent)			-2.826 (0.527) {0.000} [0.180]			-1.262 (0.247) {0.000} [0.072]
Monitor FE	*	*	*	*	*	*
Month * year FE	*	*	*	*	*	*
Region * month FE		*	*		*	*
Municipality*weather		*	*		*	*
Observations	2,879	2,879	2,879	2,669	2,669	2,669
Adjusted R-squared	0.66	0.67	0.67	0.44	0.90	0.90
Mean	20.28	20.28	20.28	22.64	22.64	22.64
Clusters	99	99	99	58	58	58

**Notes:** Columns (1)-(6) present regression results from six separate regressions, one per column. The regressions relate pollution monitor readings at the monitor-month level to indicator variables describing the various phases of the CPZ implementation and whether or not the monitor is in the CPZ region. For each regression coefficient, we present the coefficient estimate, the standard error (in parentheses) and two sets of p-values. Analytical p-values are in curly brackets and clustered at the monitor level. Permutation-based two-tailed P-values appear in square brackets and are estimated using 500 permutations of treatment assignments across monitors and time.

Table 3: Acute illness episodes per 10,000 children 0-5 per month

	(1) Asthma	(2) Asthma	(3) Asthma	(4) Asthma
CPZ*Trial	-2.54 (0.923 ) {0.007} [0.004 ]	-3.043 (1.174) {0.010} [0.010]	-2.272 (1.145) {0.050} [0.007]	
CPZ*in between	-6.34 (1.101) {0.000} [0.004]	-6.399 (1.175) {0.000} [0.007]	-4.849 (1.194) {0.000} [0.007]	
CPZ*Perm	-9.10 (2.03 ) {0.000} [0.004]	-8.735 (1.898) {0.000} [0.007]	-8.731 (1.860) {0.000} [0.007]	
CPZ*Congest (Trial+Perm)				-5.83 (1.358) {0.000} [0.070]
Demographics		*	*	*
Month * year FE	*	*	*	*
Municipal FE	*	*	*	*
Region * month FE			*	*
Municipality*weather			*	*
Observations	7,416	7,416	7,416	7,416
R-squared	0.447	0.447	0.544	0.543
Mean	19.06	19.06	19.06	19.06
Clusters	103	103	103	103

*Notes:* Each column presents results from a separate regression, relating the variable indicated in the column heading to indicator variables describing the various phases of the CPZ implementation and whether or not the municipality is in the CPZ region. For each regression coefficient, we present the coefficient estimate, the standard error (in parentheses) and two sets of p-values. Analytical p-values are in curly brackets and clustered at the monitor level. Permutation-based two-tailed P-values appear in square brackets and are estimated using 500 permutations of treatment assignment across municipalities and time. Predicted asthma is constructed using an auxiliary regression (shown in Appendix Table 2) based on all observations except those in the CPZ during the periods with congestion pricing. The predicted values from this auxiliary regression are used as the dependent variable in Column (4).

Table 4: Robustness checks: predicted asthma, accidents and including municipality trends

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Asthma	Asthma	Predicted Asthma	Injuries
CPZ* <i>Trial</i>	-3.35 (1.5) {0.03} [0.004]	-1.875 (1.25) {0.138} [0.004]	0.457 (0.118) {0.000} [0.000]	-1.614 (0.376) {0.000} [0.677]
CPZ* <i>in between</i>	-6.911 (2.055) {0.001} [0.004]	-5.15 (1.29) {0.000} [0.004]	0.165 (0.149) {0.271} [0.000]	1.018 (0.298) {0.000} [0.458]
CPZ* <i>Perm</i>	-9.087 (2.893) {0.001} [0.004]	-8.77 (1.88) {0.000} [0.004]	-0.127 (0.257) {0.621} [0.000]	0.966 (0.337) {0.005} [0.737]
Month * year FE	*	*	*	*
Municipal FE	*	*	*	*
Region * month FE	*	*	*	*
Municipality* <i>weather</i>	*	*	*	*
Municipality trends	*			
Observations	7,416	7,416	7,416	7,416
R-squared	0.447	0.544	0.999	0.211
Mean	19.06	18.80	19.06	8.711
Clusters	103	103	103	103


Notes: Columns (1)-(4) present regression results from four separate models, one per column. Analytical p-values in curly brackets under the SEs. They are clustered at the municipality level. Permutation-based two-tailed P-values in square brackets under the analytical p-value estimates. Permutation-based P-values estimated using 500 permutations of treatment assignment across municipalities and time. Predicted asthma is constructed using an auxiliary regression (shown in Appendix Table 2) based on all observations except those in the CPZ during the periods with congestion pricing. The predicted values from this auxiliary regression are used as the dependent variable in Column (3).

Table 5: Demographic controls as the dependent variables.


Dependent Variable:	(1) Log N children	(2) Social Assistance	(3) College	(4) Log income
CPZ*Trial	0.030 (0.003) {0.000} [0.000]	-0.005 (0.001) {0.000} [0.000]	-0.004 (0.001) {0.000} [0.000]	0.016 (0.006) {0.006} [0.000]
CPZ*in between	0.051 (0.004) {0.000} [0.000]	-0.008 (0.001) {0.000} [0.000]	-0.002 (0.001) {0.050} [0.006]	0.009 (0.006) {0.116} [0.000]
CPZ*Permanent	0.123 (0.006) {0.000} [0.000]	-0.011 (0.001) {0.000} [0.000]	0.001 (0.002) {0.453} [0.640]	0.006 (0.006) {0.315} [0.000]
Observations	7,416	7,416	7,416	7,416
R-squared	0.981	0.999	0.996	0.997
Mean	7.275	0.038	0.41	7.236
Clusters	103	103	103	103
Municipality FE	*	*	*	*
Month * year FE	*	*	*	*
Region * month FE	*	*	*	*
Municipality*weather	*	*	*	*

Notes: Columns (1)-(4) present regression results from four separate models, one per column. Analytical p-values in curly brackets under the SEs. They are clustered at the municipality level. Permutation-based two-tailed P-values in square brackets under the analytical p-value estimates. Permutation-based P-values estimated using 500 permutations of treatment assignment across municipalities and time.

Figure 1: Scatterplot of differences in measured PM10 and NO2 inside the CPZ and in other central cities by implementation period.




Notes: This figure plots the differences in the unadjusted monthly mean PM10 levels inside versus outside the CPZ. The vertical lines indicate the beginning and end of the trial period and the beginning of the permanent CPZ implementation. Red horizontal lines represent the mean value within each period.




Notes: This figure plots the differences in the unadjusted monthly mean NO2 levels inside versus outside the CPZ. The vertical lines indicate the beginning and end of the trial period and the beginning of the permanent CPZ implementation. Red horizontal lines represent the mean value within each period.

Figure 2: Asthma differences inside CPZ vs. other central cities



Notes: This figure plots the differences in the unadjusted monthly mean asthma rates inside versus outside the CPZ. The vertical lines indicate the beginning and end of the trial period and the beginning of the permanent CPZ implementation. Red horizontal lines represent the mean value within each period.

Figure 3: PM10 and the incidence of acute asthma attacks in Swedish municipalities



Data for January and February 2004-2009. The shaded area is the 99 percent confidence interval around the estimated relationship between the mean number of acute asthma episodes per month and municipality and the mean PM10 measured in the municipality during the month, net of municipality fixed effects and controlling for weather parameters such as mean temperature, rainfall, and wind. The relationship is estimated using data excluding Stockholm. The blue triangle indicates the values for Stockholm in the pre-CPZ period; the red circle indicates the values for Stockholm during the Trial period; the black rectangle indicates the values for Stockholm after the CPZ became permanent.

Appendix Tables

Appendix Table 1: Effects of congestion pricing on ambient air pollution – Continuously operating monitors only

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	PM10	PM10	PM10	NO2	NO2	NO2
CPZ*Trial	-3.703 (1.087) {0.003} [0.132]	-3.811 (1.390) {0.013} [0.032]		-2.260 (1.084) {0.050} [0.556]	-1.804 (0.522) {0.030} [0.323]	
CPZ*in between	1.019 (0.504) {0.98} [0.272]	-2.318 (0.634) {0.002} [0.100]		-0.570 (1.059) {0.596} [0.894]	-0.968 (0.672) {0.160} [0.447]	
CPZ*Perm	-3.586 (0.705) {0.000} [0.547]	-3.073 (0.606) {0.000}		-2.351 (1.484) {0.129} [0.682]	-1.071 (0.467) {0.033} [0.59]	
CPZ*Congest (trial+permanent)			-2.405 (0.464) {0.000} [0.29]			-0.922 (0.271) {0.003} [0.550]
Monitor FE	*	*	*	*	*	*
Month * year FE	*	*	*	*	*	*
Region * month FE		*	*		*	*
Municipality*weather		*	*		*	*
Observations	1,379	1,379	1,379	1,525	1,525	1,525
Adjusted R-squared	0.52	0.90	0.90	0.91	0.92	0.92
Mean	21.63	21.63	21.63	22.57	22.57	22.57
Clusters	20	20	20	20	20	20

*Notes:* Each column presents results from a separate regression, relating the variable indicated in the column heading to indicator variables describing the various phases of the CPZ implementation and whether or not the monitor is in the CPZ region. For each regression coefficient, we present the coefficient estimate, the standard error (in parentheses) and two sets of p-values. Analytical p-values are in curly brackets and clustered at the monitor level. Permutation-based P-values appear in square brackets and are estimated using 300 permutations of treatment assignment across municipalities and time (in quarters).




Appendix Table 2: Demographic determinants of asthma.

	(1) Asthma rate
Social assistance	47.951 (91.294) {0.600} [0.578]
Ln(Income)	23.089 (14.63) {0.117} [0.119]
College	-47.948 (47.562) {0.316} [0.179]
Observations	7,368
R-squared	0.544
Mean	19.34
Clusters	103
Municipality FE	*
Month * year FE	*
Region * month FE	*
Municipality*weather	*

*Notes:* This table presents results relating the asthma rate to observable predictors of asthma using observations outside the CPZ region. We use this predictive model to predict asthma rates as a function of these demographics, which we use as an index measure of demographic change in Column (4) of Table 3. For each regression coefficient, we present the coefficient estimate, the standard error (in parentheses) and two sets of p-values. Analytical p-values are in curly brackets and clustered at the monitor level. Permutation-based P-values appear in square brackets and are estimated using 300 permutations of treatment assignment across municipalities and time (in quarters).

Figure A1: Number of cars passing the CPZ toll boundary on an average week day



**Note:** average number of cars per day (by month) passing toll-boundary connected by black line. Hollow diamonds indicate predicted traffic in years with missing data on July traffic. Mean traffic levels for the four periods are indicated using solid maroon lines.