FAMILY RUPTURES, STRESS, AND THE MENTAL HEALTH OF THE NEXT
GENERATION

Petra Persson
Maya Rossin-Slater

Family Ruptures, Stress, and the Mental Health of the Next Generation
Petra Persson and Maya Rossin-Slater
NBER Working Paper No. 22229
May 2016, Revised June 2016
JEL No. I10,I31,J13

ABSTRACT

This paper studies how in utero exposure to maternal stress from family ruptures affects later mental health. We find that prenatal exposure to the death of a maternal relative increases take-up of ADHD medications during childhood and anti-anxiety and depression medications in adulthood. Further, family ruptures during pregnancy depress birth outcomes and raise the risk of perinatal complications necessitating hospitalization. Our results suggest large welfare gains from preventing fetal stress from family ruptures and possibly from economically induced stressors such as unemployment. They further suggest that greater stress exposure among the poor may partially explain the intergenerational persistence of poverty.

Petra Persson
Department of Economics
Stanford University
579 Serra Mall
Stanford, CA 94305
and NBER
perssonp@stanford.edu

Maya Rossin-Slater
Department of Economics
University of California, Santa Barbara
2127 North Hall
Santa Barbara, CA 93106
maya.rossin-slater@ucsb.edu

1 Introduction

Mental illness generates vast private and social costs. In 2008, the market for prescription drugs treating depression totaled $9.6 billion in the United States, a sales volume exceeded only by cholesterol regulators and pain medications (Dickstein, 2014). In 2013, one in seven school-age boys were treated with prescription drugs for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), fueling a $9 billion market, which is more than five times larger than the $1.7 billion market just a decade earlier (Visser, 2014). Estimates also suggest that mental illness accounts for over half of the rise in disability receipt among men in the last two decades (Duggan and Imberman, 2009). Moreover, in Sweden (the setting for this paper), mental illness accounts for a larger share of health expenditures on prescription drugs than any other therapeutic class.[1]

The high and rapidly increasing incidence of mental conditions such as depression, anxiety, ADHD, and autism-spectrum disorders has prompted fervent debates regarding their causes and correlates both in popular media and across scientific disciplines. While this question is undeniably complex—a variety of factors are likely important—the understanding of specific causes is necessary for prevention and cost-effective policy design. Existing research has documented correlations between different mental conditions and a range of socioeconomic, hereditary, and environmental factors. Yet, as discussed further in Section 2, the evidence on causal drivers is limited and misperceptions abound. For example, a widely popularized (yet repeatedly refuted) claim that the Measles, Mumps, and Rubella (MMR) vaccine causes autism-spectrum disorders has contributed to a substantial decline in vaccination rates, causing measles to re-emerge in Europe and the U.S. after having been effectively eliminated (see, e.g., McIntyre and Leask, 2008).

In this paper, we focus on one possible causal factor at a critical stage of human development: *in utero* exposure to maternal stress. Specifically, we use Swedish administrative data to analyze how a mother's stress resulting from a death in the family during pregnancy affects her unborn child's well-being from birth to adulthood, with a particular emphasis on the child's mental health.

Our focus on the fetal stage is consonant with two recent studies in economics that trace adult mental illness to malnutrition during the fetal stage, using data from Uganda and Iraq (Almond and Mazumder, 2011), as well as Ghana (Adhvaryu et al., 2014). Our study offers complementary causal evidence linking early-life circumstance to adult mental health, but breaks new ground by focusing on stress—which may be more pertinent than malnutrition in modern developed countries such as the U.S. and Sweden—and by tracing health outcomes throughout the time period between the fetal shock and adulthood.[2]

---

[1] See Table 11 in Socialstyrelsen (2013) for Sweden's health expenditures by therapeutic class.

[2] Consistent with this evidence, epidemiological and medical studies have documented a correlation between *in utero* exposure to stress, stemming from deaths in the family as well as from other stressors such as the Dutch famine of 1944, and the onset of mental disease in adulthood (see, e.g.: Huttunen and Niskanen, 1978; Khashan et al., 2008; Khashan et al., 2011; Class et al., 2014; Susser and Lin, 1992; Susser et al., 1996; Neugebauer et al., 1999; McClellan et al., 2006). Further, recent neuroscientific evidence shows that mental illness is related to brain abnormalities that likely arise before birth, which further emphasizes the importance of the fetal environment. See, for example, Liu et

Our emphasis on stress is influenced by a growing literature documenting persistent intergenerational transmission of socioeconomic status (see, e.g., Solon, 2001; Chetty et al., Forthcoming for evidence from the U.S. and Boserup et al., 2013 for evidence from Scandinavia). As low socioeconomic status women experience higher levels of stress than their more advantaged counterparts,[3] a causal link between fetal stress exposure and mental disease later in life could shed light on one channel through which disadvantage is transmitted across generations.

Our focus on stress is also motivated by prior evidence of a correlation between mothers' pregnancy levels of the stress hormone cortisol and their children's mental health.[4] Yet, to the best of our knowledge, no existing study establishes credible evidence of a *causal* link between antenatal exposure to maternal stress—from family bereavement or from other stressors—and later life mental health.

To investigate whether the uterine environment propagates the impact of stress to the unborn child, we leverage administrative data from Sweden. As we detail in Section 3, we start from the universe of children born in Sweden between 1973 and 2011, and use multigenerational population registers to construct family trees that span four generations, from the child to his/her maternal great-grandparents. Our sample includes all children whose mother loses a family member—a sibling, a parent, a maternal grandparent, the child's father, or an own (older) child—in the nine months after the child's date of conception or in the year after the child's date of birth. By considering the deaths of different relatives, our approach presents a new measure of the intensity of stress exposure—the strength of the family tie that is severed.[5] We then merge these data with information about the children's health throughout childhood and into adulthood stemming from birth and inpatient records. We also merge our data to novel, unique data from Sweden's prescription drug registry, which contain the universe of prescription drug purchases with information on the exact substance and dose prescribed.

For identification, we take advantage of quasi-random variation in the exact timing of bereavement relative to the child's *expected* date of delivery at full-term, as described in Section 4. Intuitively, we exploit the fact that some mothers experience the death of a relative during pregnancy, while others experience such a death shortly after giving birth. While all these children are exposed to the post-natal consequences of the relative's passing (e.g., the associated income shocks), only the former group is exposed to the mother's experience of the death through the uterine environment. By comparing the outcomes of these two groups, we isolate any additional

---

al. (2012) for depression and Berquin et al. (1998) and Stoner et al. (2014) for ADHD and other autism-spectrum diseases.

[3] See the recent discussion in Thompson, 2014 for evidence on self-reported stress levels. Additionally, estimated levels of the stress hormone cortisol have been shown to be negatively correlated with socioeconomic status (Kunz-Ebrecht et al., 2004; Cohen et al., 2006).

[4] A multitude of epidemiological papers have documented a correlation between antenatal stress and ADHD; see Online Appendix F for details.

[5] This measure is motivated by a psychological literature, which documents that losses of closer family members induce greater levels of self-reported grief and produce stronger cortisol responses (see, e.g.: Segal and Bouchard, 1993; O'Connor et al., 2012).

effects of fetal exposure to maternal stress from family bereavement, *relative to the consequences of such exposure shortly after birth.* Our analysis relies on the assumption that the precise timing of death within a narrow time frame of the estimated expected birth date, which is pre-determined at conception, is uncorrelated with other determinants of child well-being, and we provide evidence that there is no significant association between the timing of death and a variety of observable family characteristics.

This paper makes two primary contributions. First, to the best of our knowledge, our study is the first to document a causal link between fetal stress exposure and mental health in later life.[6] As presented in Section 5, we find that *in utero* exposure to the death of a mother's close relative has substantial effects on the consumption of prescription drugs treating mental health conditions both during childhood (around age 10) and in adulthood (around age 35). For children, these effects are driven by a 25 percent rise in the likelihood of purchasing a drug used to treat ADHD and a 24 percent increase in the average daily dose of ADHD medications. For adults, we see 13 and 8 percent increases in the likelihood of consuming prescription drugs for anxiety and depression, respectively, as well as 19 and 12 percent increases in the average daily doses of these medications. The estimated effects are stronger when the deceased is a close relative of the mother, suggesting that the severity of stress exposure is important for its mental health consequences.

Second, by following the same children from birth to adulthood, we can trace the onset of adverse effects of exposure to maternal bereavement *in utero.* We document that important physical health consequences are already evident at birth and in early childhood. In particular, we see 12, 24, and 12 percent increases in the likelihoods of low-birth-weight (less than 2,500 grams), very-low-birth-weight (less than 1,500 grams), and pre-term (less than 37 weeks gestation) births, respectively. Further, after birth, we find that *in utero* exposure to stress due to the death of a relative increases a child's likelihood of being hospitalized for a condition originating in the perinatal period during the first year of life.

Our analysis is closely related to recent work in economics by Black, Devereux and Salvanes (2016) in Norway, who study the impacts of deaths of maternal parents during pregnancy using a sibling fixed effects design, as well as a methodology similar to ours that compares outcomes of children whose mothers' parents died during pregnancy to those of children whose mothers' parents died shortly before or after pregnancy. They find small adverse effects on birth outcomes, but no effects on adult body mass index (BMI), educational attainment, or labor market outcomes. Our paper is complementary as we show that—despite the limited impacts on physical health or adult economic outcomes—there are important consequences of *in utero* exposure to maternal bereavement for childhood and adult mental health. Additionally, by analyzing the impacts of exposure to deaths of different relatives, we create a novel way of testing for heterogeneity in effects

---

[6]Here, we reference the existing literature on humans, which we discuss further in Section 2. Animal studies have provided credible causal evidence of a link between *in utero* exposure to stress and adverse offspring outcomes. See, e.g., the experimental work on rats of Welberg et al. (2001).

by the severity of antenatal stress exposure, which we find to be especially relevant for the mental health analysis. Finally, unlike Black, Devereux and Salvanes (2016), we do not use a sibling fixed effects design, as—in our particular context—we provide some evidence that the presence of younger siblings is endogenous due to maternal fertility responses.

Our results on mental health also relate our work to recent studies in epidemiology that examine the association between mental health and *in utero* exposure to family ruptures (Khashan et al., 2008, 2011; Class et al., 2014). Using large-scale Scandinavian data, these studies compare the outcomes of children whose mothers experienced a close relative death during pregnancy (or in the surrounding years) to the outcomes of children whose mothers did not, and demonstrate an increased risk of hospitalizations for mental health conditions among those exposed *in utero*. However, an important limitation of this type of comparison is that it suffers from omitted variable bias as it does not fully account for non-random exposure to death, an issue that our identification strategy is designed to alleviate.[7]

In sum, our results show that the death of a relative up to three generations apart during pregnancy has far-reaching consequences for physical health at birth and in the first year of life, as well as for mental health during childhood and adulthood. A number of medical studies show that the loss of a loved one is associated with a physiological response in the human body characterized by an increase in the level of the stress hormone cortisol (Irwin et al., 1988; Pfeffer et al., 2007; Dietz et al., 2013; Holland et al., 2014). While it is impossible to rule out all other mechanisms aside from *in utero* exposure to maternal grief-induced stress, we provide evidence against key alternative explanations such as changes in maternal behaviors (e.g., smoking and weight gain) or physical health conditions (e.g., hypertension) or adverse income effects that might produce separate insults to child health. Our findings suggest large general welfare gains of preventing fetal exposure to severe stress: for example, based on the 2008 figure for the U.S. market, the 8 percent decrease in the consumption of prescription drugs treating depression alone can be valued at around $800 million annually.

While we recognize that stress from grief is in some ways different from stress induced by economic hardship (e.g., as a result of unemployment or poverty), we believe that our findings may nevertheless be applicable to understanding how economic sources of stress could have intergenerational impacts on mental health. In Section 6, we conduct a back-of-the-envelope calculation to understand how exposure to maternal economically-induced stress during the fetal stage might affect the mental well-being of the next generation by relying on past research estimating cortisol responses to grief (Irwin et al., 1988; Pfeffer et al., 2007; Dietz et al., 2013; Holland et al., 2014) and to economic shocks like unemployment and poverty (Arnetz et al., 1991; Haushofer and Shapiro, 2013). Our calculation suggests that *in utero* exposure to stress from unemployment may lead to

---

[7]Related, Class et al. (2011) use a similar methodology to demonstrate a negative association between exposure to death *in utero* and birth outcomes. Our results, along with those of Black, Devereux and Salvanes (2016), lend credence to a causal interpretation of these earlier correlational findings.

a 17.3 percent increase in the likelihood of ever purchasing a drug to treat ADHD in middle child-hood, and 9 and 5.5 percent increases in the likelihoods of ever purchasing drugs to treat anxiety and depression in adulthood, respectively.

The causal link between antenatal stress and mental disease that we establish points to one potential reason for why so few children born into disadvantage are able to escape it in adulthood. Indeed, a growing literature has highlighted how early-life health disparities may perpetuate eco-nomic inequality in adulthood (Currie, 2011; Aizer and Currie, 2014). Our results, combined with prior research documenting a strong socioeconomic gradient in stress exposure (see Thompson, 2014 for an overview), contribute to this literature by providing novel evidence on how disparities in early-life health may also translate into lasting disparities in adult mental illness.

2 Hypotheses

The primary contribution of this paper is to shed light on the *mental* health effects of fetal exposure to maternal stress. In this section, we discuss our hypotheses regarding the expected effects on mental health outcomes, as well as the expected timing of the onset of these effects. Our analysis also considers the impacts on physical health at birth and later in life, and analyzes differential effects across gestational age at exposure and with respect to the severity of stress. We provide a brief description of our hypotheses regarding these other impacts; for a longer discussion, see Online Appendix B.

Mental Health Outcomes The existing evidence on the mental health effects of fetal stress exposure is extremely limited. We are only aware of two recent studies in economics that show that malnutrition *in utero* may lead to mental and learning disabilities later in life (Almond and Mazumder, 2011; Adhvaryu et al., 2014). Both papers focus on adult measures of mental health and neither investigates more precisely where in the life cycle these effects appear.

Further, to the best of our knowledge, no existing study in economics analyzes the impact of stress during the fetal stage—or, more generally, of any *in utero* shock—on mental health in *childhood*. Our focus on stress is most closely related to the work of Aizer, Stroud and Buka (Forthcoming), who implement a sibling fixed effects estimation and show that exposure to elevated cortisol *in-utero* adversely affects cognition at age seven and educational attainment later in life.[8] Some of these effects on cognition could potentially be driven by mental health issues, consistent with psychiatric studies showing a correlation between cognitive impairment and the use of ADHD prescription drugs (Simon et al., 2000).

Outside of economics, there is more direct evidence on correlations between mental illness and adverse conditions during the fetal stage. For instance, recent neuroscientific research traces the

---

[8]Though this design controls for time-invariant differences between mothers that might be correlated with stress, it cannot fully control for time-varying factors that might lead to variation in cortisol levels across pregnancies within the same mother.

origins of depression and autism-spectrum diseases such as ADHD to the fetal period (Liu et al., 2012; Berquin et al., 1998; Stoner et al., 2014). Other epidemiological studies have also established a correlation between mothers' cortisol levels during pregnancy and their children's mental health.[9] Related, *in utero* exposure to stressors such as the Six-Day Arab-Israeli War (Malaspina et al., 2008) and deaths in the family (e.g., Khashan et al., 2008; Khashan et al., 2011; Class et al., 2014) have been shown to be associated with adverse mental health.[10]

Thus, taken together, while credible causal evidence on the impact of early-life shocks on mental health is scant, existing evidence does suggest that we may expect mental health effects both in childhood and adulthood. Our analysis specifically focuses on three conditions: ADHD, anxiety, and depression. We focus on ADHD in childhood because it is the most prevalent mental health condition among children in Sweden that can be measured by drug consumption (as well as in many other developed countries like the United States) (Socialstyrelsen, 2015), and since medical research has determined that environmental influences—including fetal stress exposure—are important for its etiology (Berquin et al., 1998; Van den Bergh BRH, 2004, 2005). For adults, we study depression and anxiety, which are also some of the most common mental illnesses in Sweden (Socialstyrelsen, 2013), and which have been shown to be related to ADHD diagnosis in childhood.[11]

Timing of the Onset of Mental Health Effects Importantly, our data allow us to try to pin down when in the life cycle mental health effects appear. Since our analysis uses Swedish prescription registry data to measure these effects, we discuss here the specific institutional context that informs the pattern of results we may expect.

When it comes to ADHD, prescription drugs have only been readily available since 2002 in Sweden, when the first prescription drug with the active substance Methylphenidate was permitted for treatment of ADHD in children below age 18.[12] Though treatment rates were low during the first couple of years, Sweden's National Board of Health and Welfare (NBHW) has documented a continuous and substantial increase in the prescription rate of this substance since 2005 (Socialstyrelsen, 2012), which is the year when our prescription drug data begins.

---

[9]See Online Appendix F for details.

[10]Another study by Abel et al. (2014) does not find any significant correlations between antenatal stress and the risk of psychosis in later life. Two important limitations of these empirical designs are that: (i) they do not account for non-random exposure to the stressor; and (ii) they cannot separate the impact of fetal exposure to stress from post-natal consequences of, and responses to, the stressor. Huttunen and Niskanen (1978) attempt to filter out the post-natal effects by comparing the mental health of 167 individuals whose fathers died before their birth to that of the 168 individuals whose fathers died in the year after their birth. However, since *in utero* exposure to the death of a relative affects gestation length (and hence, the date of birth), this approach also suffers from endogeneity concerns. As we detail in Section 4, our empirical methodology is designed to overcome all these challenges.

[11]Tables 7, 8 and 12 in Socialstyrelsen (2013) show that depression and anxiety are the most prevalent conditions treated by pharmaceuticals for neurological conditions, after painkillers and sleeping pills. See http://www.adaa.org/understanding-anxiety/related-illnesses/other-related-conditions/adult-adhd for more information on the relationship between ADHD and anxiety and depression.

[12]In Sweden, Methylphenidate is consumed by 89 percent of all individuals using any prescription drug treating ADHD, with trade names in the U.S. such as Concerta, Methylin, Ritalin, and Equasym XL.

The NBHW has also documented that both prevalence (share treated) and incidence (share initiating treatment) are highest among individuals aged 10-17 years old during the time period covered by our prescription drug data (Socialstyrelsen, 2015).[13] These ages coincide with the end of primary school and the entirety of middle school in Sweden.

The fact that initiation of prescription drugs treating ADHD is most common at these school ages may be explained by the structure of the Swedish school health care system (*Skolhälsovården*). All children attending primary and middle school in Sweden go through free annual health check-ups. Further, according to the most recent guidelines issued by the NBHW in 2002 (Socialstyrelsen, 2002), there is a particularly detailed health check-up in grade 4—at age 10—at which each child's concentration skills and mental health are evaluated. The guidelines also state that all students have the right to further evaluations, and to get help with any mental or concentration issues that are detected at the age of 10.

Additionally, there is reason to believe that Sweden's school financing rules give schools a direct economic incentive to help detect and initiate treatment of children's mental health problems.[14] For example, Hjörne (2012) argues that most evaluations of whether a child has ADHD are initiated by teachers or schools, who alert parents of problems and suggest further evaluation. In sum, given that all children are screened for mental health issues at age 10 and the schools' direct incentives in promoting ADHD treatment, it is plausible that the detection of any consequences of *in utero* stress on ADHD may appear around that age in our data.

With regard to anxiety and depression—the other mental health conditions we focus on—there are fewer specific institutional factors that might guide our expectations. In general, according to the NBHW, nationwide prevalence of prescription drugs treating anxiety and depression in Sweden is higher in older age groups (Socialstyrelsen, 2013).[15] This pattern may suggest that detection of any consequences of *in utero* stress on anxiety and depression may appear at relatively old ages in our sample.

Other Hypotheses When it comes to the expected impacts of fetal stress exposure on birth outcomes and physical health in later life, we draw on the large existing literature that points to adverse short- and long-term effects of exposure to *physical* insults during the fetal period (see Almond and Currie, 2011 for a review).[16] The evidence on the consequences of purely *psychological*

---

[13]The considered age groups are: 5-9; 10-17; 18-24; 25-34; 45-54; and 55-64.

[14]In Sweden, schools are financed at the municipal level—direct school fees imposed on parents are prohibited by law—and municipalities often offer schools extra transfers for pupils with special needs. Hence, these rules impose direct financial incentives on school principals and teachers to help parents detect, and commence treatment of, ADHD in their children.

[15]See table 72 for anxiety and table 74 for depression.

[16]See, also, e.g.,Van den Berg, Lindeboom and Portrait (2006); Almond, Edlund, Li and Zhang (2010); Hoynes, Page and Stevens (2011); Almond, Hoynes and Schanzenbach (2011); Almond and Mazumder (2012); Hoynes, Schanzenbach and Almond (Forthcoming); Scholte, Van Den Berg and Lindeboom (2015); Rossin-Slater (2013) on malnutrition; Almond (2006); Barreca (2010) on disease outbreaks; Almond, Edlund and Palme (2009); Black, Butikofer, Devereux and Salvanes (2013) on radiation; and Sanders (2012); Isen, Rossin-Slater and Walker (Forthcoming) on air pollution.

stressors is more scarce, as studies that exploit variation from extreme and rare events like natural disasters and terrorist attacks are limited in their ability to separate the effects of *in utero* stress exposure from any post-natal responses, as well as from the physical health and economic insults associated with these events.[17] Our empirical methodology (described in detail in Section 4 below) and focus on a nearly universal stressor are designed to overcome these limitations.

An important caveat to the analysis of long-run physical health is that our cohorts—whom we can only follow into their thirties—may be too young to detect any effects on conditions such as obesity and diabetes. Indeed, evidence in support of David J. Barker's "fetal origins hypothesis" (Barker, 1990), which argues that poor conditions *in-utero* can lead to latent effects on disease much later in life, comes from studies of adults who are much older than the individuals in our sample.[18]

Additionally, throughout the paper, we explore whether there are any differential effects of exposure to maternal stress across different months or trimesters of pregnancy. The existing literature does not provide a clear picture of whether we should expect *in utero* exposure to maternal stress to have differential effects across gestational age at the time of shock. While some studies find differential effects with respect to gestational age, other studies—including some that are most closely related to ours (Almond and Mazumder, 2011; Mansour and Rees, 2012; Currie and Rossin-Slater, 2013; Black, Devereux and Salvanes, 2016)—fail to find such heterogeneity.

Finally, in contrast with the abundance of studies estimating differential effects across gestational age at the time of shock, the existing literature provides relatively little guidance on whether we might expect to see heterogeneous effects with respect to the intensity of stress exposure. Most closely related to our paper, Aizer et al. (Forthcoming) explore potential non-linearities in the effect of stress by separately analyzing different quartile ranges of the maternal cortisol distribution. Interestingly, the effects on birth outcomes do not vary with the severity of stress exposure. By contrast, the adverse impacts on cognition—captured by child IQ at age 7 and educational attainment—are the largest for the most severe stress; in fact, the effects on cognitive outcomes are not statistically significant in the linear specifications, but are instead driven entirely by the highest quartile of the maternal cortisol distribution. This evidence suggests that mental health and cognition outcomes may be more sensitive to the severity of stress exposure than birth outcomes.

3   Data

Our analysis uses administrative population-level data from Sweden. We have data on the universe of children born in Sweden from 1973 to 2011, who experienced the death of a relative (other than

---

[17]See, for example, evidence on hurricanes (Simeonova, 2011; Currie and Rossin-Slater, 2013), earthquakes (Tan et al., 2009; Glynn et al., 2001; Torche, 2011), and the terrorist attacks of September 11 (Berkowitz et al., 2003; Lederman et al., 2004; Lauderdale, 2006; Eskenazi et al., 2007). Another recent paper uses *in utero* exposure to the Superbowl to identify the effects of prenatal stress on birth outcomes (Duncan et al., 2015).

[18]See, e.g.: Susser and Lin (1992); Almond (2006); Hoynes et al. (Forthcoming).

the mother) in the 40 weeks after their date of conception or in the one year after their date of birth. Put differently, our baseline sample includes all children whose mother loses a family member—a sibling, a parent, a maternal grandparent, the child's father, or an own (older) child—either during her pregnancy or in the year after childbirth. Our data include both live births and stillbirths (at 22 weeks gestation or later), allowing us to examine changes to the composition of live births. For each relative who died, we have information on the cause and exact date of death. We also have information about the mothers' and fathers' educational attainment, labor market income, and marital status measured around the time of conception.

For each child in our sample, we have data on the exact date of birth, birth weight, birth length, head circumference, gestation (in days), and a variety of diagnosis codes at birth. We also have variables related to the mother's pregnancy and delivery: tobacco use during pregnancy, pregnancy risk factors (diabetes, kidney disease, epilepsy, asthma, hypertension, or urinary infection), the first date of prenatal care and the number of prenatal visits, caesarean section (c-section) delivery, induction of labor, and any complications at delivery.

To trace health outcomes after birth and throughout life, we add information from inpatient records and the prescription drug registry. For all of these, we have the universe of records associated with pre-specified health conditions described below. Inpatient records exist from 1964 to 2012, while the prescription drug data exist for the years 2005 to 2014. For each occasion when a prescription drug was bought, the data contain detailed information about the drug name, active substance, average daily dose, and the drug's exact ATC code.[19] The ATC classification allows us to link the drugs to the conditions they are most commonly used to treat.

To select the inpatient and prescription drug records, we pre-specified certain health conditions before undertaking any analysis.[20] First, we include all mental illnesses. We further pre-specified the eight sub-categories of mental disorders that were recently selected by the NBHW to track prevalence and prescription drug use (Socialstyrelsen, 2012): ADHD, anxiety, depression, bipolar disorder, psychotic disorders, sleeping disorders, addiction, and Parkinson's disease. While we pre-specified all eight subcategories for completeness, our analysis focuses on ADHD, anxiety, and depression, as we discussed in Section 2.

Second, although our primary focus is mental health, we pre-specified a small set of physical health conditions that have been linked to stress *in utero* or after birth in the epidemiological and medical literature: type II diabetes, heart disease, Cushing's syndrome, hypo- and hyperthyroidism, cholesterol, neoplasms, and conditions originating in the perinatal period.[21] We include all of these

---

[19]The Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) Classification System is controlled by the World Health Organization Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology (WHOCC), and was first published in 1976.

[20]We have access only to the subset of the inpatient and prescription drug records described here; not to the entire universe of inpatient and prescription drug records for all possible conditions. We are therefore unable to explore health effects beyond the pre-specified ones in our analysis.

[21]We are grateful to Johannes Haushofer for help in compiling this list. See Online Appendix E for exact ICD codes for these conditions, as well as ATC codes for prescription drugs that can be linked to their treatment. Cushing's syndrome is a condition that occurs when the body is exposed to high levels of the hormone cortisol for a long time.

for completeness, although our cohorts may be too young to detect any effects on physical health other than conditions originating in the perinatal period.[22]

4   Empirical Methodology

Our goal is to examine the causal link between antenatal exposure to the death of a family member and children's physical and mental well-being at birth and later in life. The loss of a relative is a traumatic event that induces acute and immediate stress in the expectant mother (Irwin et al., 1988; Pfeffer et al., 2007; Dietz et al., 2013; Holland et al., 2014). However, the occurrence of death is likely correlated with unobserved family characteristics. For example, some types of accidental deaths are negatively associated with socioeconomic status (Adda, Björklund and Holmlund, 2011). Additionally, this loss may have many consequences for families aside from stress. For instance, a relative's passing may constitute either a financial burden or a source of income through bequests or insurance payouts. A death in the family may lead to a decline in household productivity and necessitate time away from work for the survivors. If a relative's death is due to a hereditary condition, then it may also provide other family members with information about their own genetic makeup, life expectancy, and expected health costs. All of these factors can also affect the child after birth.

To identify the impact of antenatal exposure to a family rupture, we must therefore address two challenges: (i) separation of impacts that operate through the uterine environment from other impacts that also operate through the post-natal environment, and (ii) non-random selection into death. We do this by exploiting variation in the exact timing of family rupture relative to the expected date of delivery (at full term). Our analysis essentially compares individuals who experience the death of a relative during gestation with individuals who experience such a death in the year after birth. Thus, while all children included in this analysis are exposed to the post-natal consequences of the relative's passing, only the former group is exposed *through the uterine environment*.

Isolation of Antenatal Effects   More concretely, to see how we address (i), let the causal relationship between an outcome of interest, y_i, and the occurrence of a family rupture be given by:

$$y_i = \gamma RelativeDeath_i + \mathbf{x}_i'\kappa + u_i, \tag{1}$$

Online Appendix F has details and references relating to the biological mechanisms through which stress affects human health.

[22]As outlined in Online Appendix E, the inpatient records also include visits related to health outcomes that might be impacted through a behavioral channel: sexually transmitted disease, injury, suicide, and lifestyle issues. These we do not capture through prescription drugs, either because no prescription drug is used, or because no drug can uniquely be linked to their treatment.

where $\mathbf{x}_i$ is a vector of all other relevant determinants of y_i, and u_i is a random vector of predetermined and unobservable characteristics. Here, γ captures the combined impact of all pre- and post-natal consequences of the relative's passing.

Now instead consider a sample of children who either experience the death of a relative during gestation, or shortly after birth:

$$S = \{i : \mathbf{1}[c \leq RelativeDeath < b]_i = 1 \,|\, \mathbf{1}[b \leq RelativeDeath < b + w]_i = 1\},$$

where c denotes the child's date of conception, b denotes the child's date of birth, and w denotes a time window after birth (in days), so that $\mathbf{1}[c \leq RelativeDeath < b]_i = 1$ indicates that the family rupture occurred during pregnancy, and $\mathbf{1}[b \leq RelativeDeath < b + w]_i = 1$ indicates that it occurred within w days of the child's birth, respectively.

For all $i \in \{S\}$, suppose we estimate:

$$y_i = \sigma \mathbf{1}[c \leq RelativeDeath < b]_i + \mathbf{x}_i'\eta + \epsilon_i, \tag{2}$$

where all of the variables are defined as above. Here, σ captures the effect of bereavement *in utero* relative to the effect of bereavement immediately after birth, and *not* the entire effect of bereavement. Comparing individuals who experience a stressful shock during gestation with those who experience such a shock shortly after birth effectively addresses issue (i) above, and has a distinct advantage over the existing studies in this literature that rely on exposure to war or other disasters. These studies cannot rule out that the documented effects on adult outcomes arise from post-natal differences that were induced by the events that occurred during pregnancy, rather than by the differences in the uterine environments. A compelling feature of our methodology is that our estimates are not contaminated by such post-natal effects—these effects are borne by all children in our sample, while only the treatment group is exposed to maternal trauma *in utero*.

By separating antenatal effects from post-natal consequences, our estimate captures the impact of the unborn child's physiological exposure to maternal stress through the uterine environment. The extent to which σ isolates *only* the effect of this stress exposure depends on whether other consequences of the family rupture—e.g. positive or negative income effects or changes in household productivity—are the same across the pre- and post-natal periods, or whether some of them have differential impacts during the pre-natal period. To be more precise, two different assumptions on the separability of the effects of a relative's passing translate into two different interpretations of σ:

A1: Strong additive separability. First, interpreting σ in (2) as the impact of intrauterine stress exposure alone is equivalent to coupling model (1) with the following assumption, which we refer to as "strong additive separability":

$$RelativeDeath_i = \alpha_1 UteroStress_i^* \mathbf{1}[c \leq RelativeDeath < b]_i + \alpha_2 Other_i + \varepsilon_i, \tag{3}$$

where $UteroStress_i$ represents intrauterine exposure to the physiological stress experienced by the mother, and $Other_i$ captures all other consequences and correlates of family bereavement, including shocks to family income, changes to the mother's work schedule, changes to the mother's information regarding her own health status, and any family characteristics that make death more likely. Given (1) and (3), children whose mothers experience a death shortly after giving birth face the same income shocks and other consequences as the children whose mothers experience a death during pregnancy. But unlike the children who are *in utero* when the death occurs, the former group does not have intrauterine exposure to the physiological stress experienced by the mother. Consequently, if $A1$ holds, σ obtained from estimation of (2) on sample S isolates the impact of intrauterine stress caused by the family rupture.

A2: Weak additive separability. Second, if instead income shocks associated with the death of a family member affect the child differently depending on whether the loss occurs during pregnancy or if it happens shortly after childbirth, then we would interpret σ in (2) as capturing both the effect of physiological exposure to maternal stress and the differential impact of income during pregnancy relative to post-partum (which may interact with the stress exposure). This is equivalent to coupling model (1) with the following, less restrictive assumption, which we refer to as "weak additive separability":

$$RelativeDeath_i = \alpha_1 UteroStress_i^* \mathbf{1}[c \leq RelativeDeath < b]_i +$$
$$\alpha_2 UteroStress_i^* \mathbf{1}[c \leq RelativeDeath < b]_i^* Income_i + \alpha_3 Other_i + \varepsilon_i, \quad (4)$$

and assuming that the new term is additively separable from any other income effects.

In Section 5, we examine whether there are any additional income effects stemming from the pre-natal period—that is, income effects that do not only operate through the post-natal environment—and find little evidence of their presence. We also examine a range of mechanisms other than maternal stress. As we discuss further in Section 5, all these tests support the interpretation of σ in (2) as largely capturing the impact of intrauterine stress exposure (though we, of course, cannot rule out all other mechanisms with certainty).

Causality   Model (2) represents a causal relationship between *in utero* exposure to bereavement and child outcomes if, for all $i \in \{S\}$, $E(\mathbf{1}[c \leq RelativeDeath < b]_i \epsilon_i) = 0$. However, as discussed further below, we find that exposure to the death of a relative *in utero* reduces gestational age. Since the key treatment variable in equation (2), $\mathbf{1}[c \leq RelativeDeath < b]_i$, is defined based on the child's actual birth date, b, we face a violation of the excludability restriction. Moreover, there is a mechanical correlation between the length of the pregnancy and the likelihood that the death occurs during it.[23]

To address these issues, we adjust our treatment variable by defining it relative to the *expected*

---

[23]See Currie and Rossin-Slater (2013) and Black, Devereux and Salvanes (2016) for more discussion of these issues.

date of birth at full term instead of the actual date of birth. More precisely, we define a child's estimated date of birth as $e_b = c + 280$, that is, 280 days (40 weeks) after the date of conception, c. Unlike the actual date of birth, this expected date of birth is pre-determined at the relative's death date.

Consequently, instead of estimating equation (2), we estimate the following equation on the sample with $i \in \{S\}$:

$$y_{iymp} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \mathbf{1}[c \leq RelativeDeath < e_b]_{iymp} + \psi_y + \phi_m + \rho_p + \mathbf{x}'_i \beta_2 + \nu_{iymp}, \tag{5}$$

where $\mathbf{1}[c \leq RelativeDeath < e_b]_{iymp}$ captures "treatment": a discontinuous variable that takes the value of 1 if the relative's death occurs before the child's estimated date of birth at full term, and 0 otherwise. Intuitively, our empirical strategy exploits a discontinuity around the threshold of 280 days after conception, and assigns a child to intrauterine stress exposure if the relative's death occurred before this date.[24]

In model (5), y_{iymp} is an outcome of individual i, conceived in year and month (y, m), with a mother residing in municipality p in the year before conception. ψ_y and ϕ_m are year and month of conception fixed effects, respectively, and ρ_p are pre-conception municipality fixed effects. Further, $\mathbf{x}_i$ is a vector of variables capturing mother- and child-specific characteristics, including indicator variables for the mother's age at conception (five categories: < 20, $20 - 24$, $25 - 34$, > 35), the mother's education in the year prior to conception (four categories: <HS, HS diploma, some college, college+), indicators for the mother being born outside of Sweden and being married in the year prior to conception, and dummies for parity (three categories: 1, 2, 3+). Additionally, $\mathbf{x}_i$ includes the relative's age and age squared at the time of death. Standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception. Under the identifying assumption discussed below, the estimate of interest, $\hat{\beta}_1$, captures the causal impact of exposure to maternal stress due to family rupture through the uterine environment.[25]

In parts of our analysis, we also analyze pregnancy trimester- and month-specific impacts, replacing $\mathbf{1}[c \leq RelativeDeath < e_b]_{iymp}$ with indicator variables capturing whether the death occurred in the expected first, second, or third trimester or the expected first through ninth months

---

[24]We also can estimate models where we use $\mathbf{1}[c \leq RelativeDeath < e_b]_{iymp}$ to instrument for exposure to death before the child's *actual* date of birth. As the instrument (relative death before expected birth date) is different from the actual exposure variable (relative death before actual birth date) for only about 1 percent of the individuals in our data, the first stage is very strong with a coefficient of around 0.97. The 2SLS results (presented in Appendix D are very similar to those from our main specifications.

[25]Equation (5) represents a reduced-form relationship between a relative's death during the mother's *expected* length of the pregnancy and child outcomes. We also present some results from two-stage least squares (2SLS) specifications where we use $\mathbf{1}[c \leq RelativeDeath < e_b]$ to instrument for exposure to death during the mother's *actual* length of pregnancy. In these specifications, the first stage takes the form of:

$$\mathbf{1}[c \leq RelativeDeath < b]_{iymp} = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \mathbf{1}[c \leq RelativeDeath < e_b]_{iymp} + \eta_y + \epsilon_m + \theta_p + \mathbf{x}'_i \gamma_2 + \zeta_{iymp}, \tag{6}$$

with the 2SLS estimate given by $\hat{\beta}_1 / \hat{\gamma}_1$.

of pregnancy, respectively.

Identifying Assumption   This methodology yields an estimate of the causal effect of antenatal maternal stress under the identifying assumption that the exact timing of death within a short timeframe around the expected date of birth is uncorrelated with unobserved characteristics of the child or family. Put differently, we assume that there is no selection on unobservables into treatment, where treatment is defined as experiencing death during the first 40 weeks (280 days) after conception.

While less restrictive than assuming no selection into death *per se*, the assumption is nonetheless not innocuous. We therefore subject it to several "plausibility tests," since the exact assumption is inherently untestable. First, we test whether selection into treatment is correlated with a range of parental characteristics that are observed prior to conception: each parent's age, first parity birth, each parent's marital status, each parent's educational attainment (indicators for below high school, high school degree, some college; with college degree or higher as the omitted category), each parent's wage income, and an indicator for the mother being born outside Sweden.[26] As shown in Appendix Tables A1 and A2 for maternal and paternal characteristics, respectively, we find little evidence for a systematic relationship between parental characteristics and the occurrence of death during pregnancy.[27] Out of the 16 coefficients reported in these tables, only two are statistically significant—we find a positive correlation between treatment and first parity births and a negative correlation between treatment and the likelihood of the mother being foreign-born—and the magnitudes are relatively small when compared to sample means.

We explored the correlation between treatment and first parity births in detail, and conclude that it is mechanically driven by differential seasonality in conceptions by parity that coincides with a seasonal pattern in relative deaths. We discuss this issue at length in Online Appendix C. For this reason, all of our analyses include month of conception and parity fixed effects, and we show that our results are also robust to the inclusion of parity×month of conception interactions in Online Appendix D.[28]

A second, and related, concern for our identification assumption is that the death of a relative during pregnancy may cause an increase in miscarriages or fetal or infant deaths, leading to selection

---

[26]Information on child parity and whether the mother is born outside Sweden comes from the medical birth register; we do not have information on child parity or nativity for fathers. We do not include father characteristics as controls in our main analysis as they are missing for some children in our sample and we want to maximize our sample size. However, results that include father characteristics as controls are generally very similar to those reported here.

[27]Since our analyses compare individuals who experience a relative death *in utero* to those who experience a relative death after birth while controlling for year-of-conception fixed effects, there is a mechanical correlation between the treatment variable and age of the relative—those who die during the mother's pregnancy are mechanically slightly younger than those who die in the year after childbirth. Thus, all of the regressions in Appendix Tables A1 and A2 control for the relative's age and age squared.

[28]The correlation between treatment and the likelihood of the mother being born outside Sweden is driven by a highly skewed distribution of relative deaths in the sample of children of foreign-born mothers that exhibits extra mass of relative deaths around 400-500 days post-conception (i.e., after birth). In Online Appendix D, we show that our results are robust to dropping children of foreign-born mothers from our sample.

in our sample of surviving children. Moreover, there may be differential selection by parity, which could introduce the correlation between treatment and first parity that we see in Appendix Table A1. While we do not have data on miscarriages, we explore the impacts of treatment on stillbirths (at 22 weeks gestation or more), perinatal deaths (stillbirths or deaths in the first 28 days of life), and the sex ratio at birth separately by parity in Appendix Table A3, finding no statistically significant effects.[29]

As a third test of the identification assumption, we link our sample of children to their older siblings (if they exist), and test whether a younger child's *in utero* exposure to the death of a relative has any spurious impacts on his/her older sibling's outcomes.[30] In Appendix Table A4 we present results from these specifications where the older sibling's outcomes considered are: an indicator for a low-birth-weight birth (less than 2,500 grams), an indicator for a pre-term birth (less than 37 weeks gestation), an indicator for ever being hospitalized before age one for a condition originating in the perinatal period, an indicator for ever consuming drugs treating ADHD between ages 9 and 11, and indicators for ever consuming drugs treating anxiety and depression betwen ages 34 and 36.[31] These are the main outcomes for which we find effects in Section 5, and we therefore use them as "placebo outcomes" in this analysis. Just as in the main analysis, we focus the placebo analysis of mental health outcomes on a sub-sample limited to mothers who experience a parental or sibling death. Appendix Table A4 shows that there is no statistically significant relationship between a younger child's prenatal exposure to a relative's death and the older child's outcomes.[32]

These results are reassuring as they suggest that the timing of a family member's death in relation to the child's expected date of birth is uncorrelated with a variety of family characteristics. Nevertheless, we also examine the robustness of our results to limitations in types of death causes that have been shown to be more exogenous and less anticipated than others; see Section 5 and Online Appendix D for details.

Sample and Summary Statistics Table 1 presents summary statistics. As described above, we define the set of treated individuals as those experiencing the death of a relative during the

---

[29]We follow several papers in this literature by examining the sex ratio as a signal of changes to miscarriage rates (e.g., Sanders and Stoecker, 2015; Halla and Zweimüller, 2013). Since male fetuses are more likely to miscarry, a reduction in male births may indicate an increase in miscarriages.

[30]Siblings data are only available to us for children born in selected years: 1973, 1977, 1983, 1988, 1995, 1999, 2001, and 2005.

[31]When we analyze the indicator for being hospitalized for a condition originating in the perinatal period as an outcome, we limit the sample to siblings born in 1987 or later (as the definition of perinatal conditions is not comparable with earlier years).

[32]We should note that the interpretation of these placebo results is less clear in light of the correlation between treatment and child parity. As discussed above, the correlation between treatment and child parity is mostly mechanical and does not affect our main results. Another concern with this placebo analysis is that we have less power to detect statistically significant effects due to the smaller sample size of cohorts that can be linked to siblings. However, we have replicated our main analysis only using children in the "sibling sample" cohorts (i.e., those who were born in 1973, 1977, 1983, 1988, 1995, 1999, 2001, and 2005). In contrast to the results for older siblings, we find statistically significant deleterious effects of exposure to a relative's death during pregnancy on our main outcomes of interest for children born in these years (results available upon request).

40 weeks after conception (i.e., in days, the time interval of $[c, c+280]$). Our comparison group includes all children who experience a relative death at any point between the estimated date of birth and one year after their actual birth date.[33] Column one displays statistics for our full sample, while the second and third columns consider the treatment and comparison groups separately. In our sample, mean maternal age at childbirth is about 28 years, and about 31 percent of mothers are married in the year prior to conception. The modal mother has a high school degree in the year before conception. Average birth weight is $3,544$ grams, with 3 percent of children born low-birth-weight and 5 percent of children born pre-term. Notably, the maternal characteristics are quite similar across the treatment and comparison groups. However, even this simple unadjusted comparison shows that treatment children tend to have slightly worse birth outcomes relative to the comparison group. In the subsequent section, we explore the differences between the outcomes of the two groups more rigorously using the methods described above.

Column four displays related statistics for the universe of all births in Sweden during the same time period. Relative to the universe of births, average birth weight in our sample is slightly higher, while the likelihoods of pre-term and low-birth-weight births are slightly lower.[34] Additionally, mothers in our sample are slightly less likely to have a high school degree than all mothers giving birth in Sweden, but this difference is at least partially driven by differences in how educational attainment is measured between the two sources of data.[35]

5   Results

We present results in chronological order. We start with the analysis of birth outcomes, and then study physical and mental health throughout childhood and into adulthood. We also present some additional results that examine the possibility of alternative explanations besides stress in our analyses, and that test the robustness of our main findings.

---

[33]To estimate the date of conception, c, we subtract the number of gestation days from the date of birth, b.

[34]We believe that these differences arise as a result of the fact that our sample—which is conditional on being linked to a relative death—has a slightly smaller share of all births from the earlier years than the later years. The multigenerational register has lower quality data further back in time, and we therefore observe fewer great-grandparent deaths for children born in the 1970s than for those born in the later years. Since birth outcomes have been improving over time, our sample has slightly better infant health measures than the overall population of births.

[35]Specifically, in Table 1, the variables marked by an asterisk are measured slightly differently in the sample that we use (columns (1)-(3)) than in the universe of births (column (4)). In particular, in our sample, all variables indicated by * are measured at conception. In the universe of births, these variables are instead measured at the first prenatal visit. In addition, the three educational attainment categories would not be directly comparable even if they were measured at the same point in time. For our sample, our dataset contains the official educational attainment variable, matched from records from Statistics Sweden. For the universe of births, we use the variable from The Swedish Board of Health and Welfare, where the educational categories are defined slightly differently. Most importantly, high school attainment includes a broader range of programs than regular three-year high school programs (e.g., various two-year programs). We do not have information about marital status for the universe of births.

5.1 Birth Outcomes

Table 2 presents the results on the effects of exposure to a relative death *in utero* on average birth weight, and indicators for low-birth-weight, very-low-birth-weight, and high-birth-weight (more than 4,000 grams) and pre-term births. In Appendix Table A5, we report results for additional outcomes: indicators for small-for-gestational-age (SGA) and large-for-gestational-age (LGA), birth length and head circumference (in centimeters), and indicators for procedures at delivery (c-section, induction of labor). All of our analyses include the vector $\mathbf{x}_i$ described above, as well as fixed effects for the year and month of conception and the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception.

To examine whether the effects are different depending on the severity of the stressful event, these tables are split into three panels. Panel A presents results for our entire analysis sample. Panel B limits the sample to children whose mothers lose *close* relatives, who are defined as those within one generation from the mother—a mother's sibling, a mother's parent, the child's father, or a mother's own older child (i.e., we drop grandparent deaths). Finally, Panel C further limits the sample to children whose mothers experience the death of a parent or a sibling (i.e., a sub-sample of the "close relative" group). The death of a maternal parent or sibling likely generates severe stress for the mother, but leads to fewer other changes to household resources and immediate family structure than the death of the child's father or the mother's own older child would.

Our estimates suggest that *in utero* stress due to family bereavement leads to a small negative effect on average birth weight of 11 grams. However, much of this effect is driven by impacts at the lower end of the birth weight distribution. Prenatally exposed infants are 12 percent more likely to be born low-birth-weight, and 24 percent more likely to be born very-low-birth-weight. In contrast, there is only a 3 percent decline in the likelihood of a high-birth-weight birth.[36] These children are also 12 percent more likely to be born pre-term, are 0.18 percent shorter, and have 0.1 percent smaller head circumference. The mothers are 3 percent more likely to have a c-section delivery. Additionally, comparing the results across panels suggests that the effects of *in utero* exposure to the death of a relative are similar across different relative types. The lack of heterogeneous treatment effects with respect to our measure of the intensity of stress exposure for birth outcomes is consistent with other studies of maternal cortisol (Aizer et al., Forthcoming) and stressful shocks like hurricanes (Currie and Rossin-Slater, 2013).

In Figure 1 and Appendix Figure A1, we examine whether our estimated impacts are different across the nine months of pregnancy for low-birth-weight and pre-term births, respectively. The graphs present the coefficients (and 95% confidence intervals) from a single regression that includes indicators for exposure to the death of a relative in each of the 9 (expected) months of pregnancy,

---

[36]High birth weight (defined as more than 4,000 grams) is typically seen as a negative health outcome, which is correlated with a greater incidence of obesity and other adverse conditions like diabetes in later life (see, e.g.: Cnattingius et al., 2012). Thus, the decline in the likelihood of a high-birth-weight birth can be seen as a small beneficial effect of *in utero* stress exposure. However, the magnitude of this decline is much smaller than the corresponding magnitudes of the increases in low-birth-weight and very-low-birth-weight births.

17

with the omitted category being exposure after 280 days (40 weeks) of gestation.

Both figures show positive coefficients on exposure to stress during most months of the pregnancy relative to post-partum, with slightly higher effects during the fourth month. In Appendix Tables A6 and A7 we also display trimester-specific effects on all of the birth outcomes. In general, however, the coefficients tend to be quite similar throughout the pregnancy, and with overlapping confidence intervals. As discussed in more detail in Section 2, the lack of significant differences across the gestational age at exposure is consistent with other recent studies on the effects of *in utero* shocks on birth outcomes (e.g.: Almond and Mazumder, 2011; Mansour and Rees, 2012; Currie and Rossin-Slater, 2013; Black, Devereux and Salvanes, 2016).

5.2 Physical Health Outcomes Beyond Birth

Having documented that exposure to family bereavement *in utero* adversely impacts health at birth, we turn to the analysis of physical health measures later in life. First, we examine the effects on the occurrence of hospitalizations by different ages. Our inpatient data exist for years 1964 to 2012 and thus allow us to study cumulative hospitalizations into adulthood.

Table 3 presents results on the effects of *in utero* exposure to a relative death on child hospitalizations by age one. We find that *in utero* stress is associated with a 3 percent increase in the likelihood that a child is ever hospitalized by age one (column 1).[37] We explored in detail the diagnoses codes to try to understand which causes are driving these results and found that they are entirely driven by treatments for conditions originating in the perinatal period, as seen in columns 2 and 4 of Table 3.[38] As with the results on birth outcomes, we do not see substantial differences in effects across relative types (Panels A to C). In Appendix Figure A2 and Appendix Table A8, we also present the results by month and trimester of pregnancy, respectively. The estimates suggest that the health effects may be stronger when exposure occurs during the first trimester, although we again cannot reject the null hypothesis that the coefficients are the same across different months of exposure.

On the whole, our physical health results suggest that the adverse consequences of fetal stress exposure last beyond birth and impact child health through age one. However, the impacts seem

---

[37]We also examined outpatient visits, and found suggestive evidence of similar increases in outpatient visits occurring by age one, although we have less power due to smaller sample sizes in these analyses (outpatient data is only available for years 2001 to 2012). These results, as well as a description of the outpatient data, are available upon request.

[38]The analysis of perinatal conditions is limited to cohorts born in 1987 or later as the definition is not directly comparable to earlier years. For these years, we use the entire set of perinatal conditions, which include all conditions with ICD-10 codes in the range P00-P96. These include the following categories of conditions: 1) Fetus and newborn affected by maternal factors and by complications of pregnancy, labour and delivery, 2) Disorders related to length of gestation and fetal growth, 3) Birth trauma, 4) Respiratory and cardiovascular disorders specific to the perinatal period, 5) Infections specific to the perinatal period, 6) Haemorrhagic and haematological disorders of fetus and newborn, 7) Transitory endocrine and metabolic disorders specific to fetus and newborn, 8) Digestive system disorders of fetus and newborn, 9) Conditions involving the integument and temperature regulation of fetus and newborn, 10) Other disorders originating in the perinatal period.

to fade after early childhood—we find no effects on hospitalizations at later ages (see Appendix Table A9).[39] Though, as we pointed out in Section 2, our results do not rule out the possibility of latent physical health consequences for individuals at older ages (Barker, 1990); our cohorts are too young to detect such effects.

5.3 Mental Health Outcomes

We next use the prescription drug registry data to analyze effects on mental health. As described in Section 3, these data contain information about prescription drugs bought during 2005-2014. We create variables capturing the incidence of prescription drug consumption at different ages throughout childhood and adulthood. Specifically, we focus on drugs consumed around ages 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, and 35. To reduce measurement error and maximize sample size, we focus on the consumption of prescription drugs in three-year age ranges centered around these multiples of five (e.g., ages 4 to 6, 9 to 11, etc.). While some individuals appear in the drug registry data at all three of the ages in a given range (e.g., children born in 2001 appear at ages 4, 5, and 6), others only appear at one or two of the ages (e.g., children born in 1999 appear at age 6 only). To calculate our outcomes, we include everyone who appears in the data at least at one of the ages in any given range.

Figure 2 graphs the coefficients (and associated 95% confidence intervals in dashed vertical lines) from separate regressions where the outcomes are indicators for individuals consuming prescription drugs used to treat any of the mental health conditions described in Section 3 at 5-year age intervals. In Figure 2a, which plots the estimates for our entire sample, none of the coefficients is statistically significant. However, a pattern begins to emerge—mental health impacts seem more likely to arise in middle childhood (ages 9 to 11) and adulthood (ages 34 to 36). When we limit the sample to individuals whose mothers experience close relative deaths in Figure 2b, the pattern becomes more pronounced, with the coefficient for consuming mental health drugs at ages 9 to 11 now statistically significant. The pattern remains strong in Figure 2c when the sample is further limited to maternal parent and sibling deaths.

The above figures capture the incidence of purchasing any mental health drugs; we explore the specific conditions driving these results further in Table 4. In the close relative sample (Panel B), we find that the mental health effects in middle childhood are driven primarily by increases in the consumption of ADHD medications—a 25 percent increase in the likelihood of ever purchasing a drug to treat ADHD and a 24 percent increase in the average daily dose. Among adults in their 30s, the effects are concentrated among anti-anxiety and depression medications—we see 13 and 8 percent increases in the likelihood of ever purchasing drugs to treat anxiety and depression, respec-

---

[39]Additionally, we have used our prescription drug registry data to explore effects on the consumption of drugs used to treat any of the following health conditions at ages 4 through 36: obesity, diabetes, Cushing's Syndrome, hypo- and hyperthyroidism, cholesterol, and heart conditions (i.e., beta blockers). We find little evidence that exposure to a relative death during pregnancy increases the consumption of these prescription drugs at any of our observable ages (see Appendix Table A10).

tively; and 19 and 12 percent increases in the average daily doses of anti-anxiety and depression medications, respectively. Panel C shows that these effects still remain in the sub-sample further limited to individuals whose mothers lose a parent or a sibling. As with the impacts on the physical health outcomes, we fail to detect statistically significant differences in effects across pregnancy months of exposure (see Figure 3 for ADHD drug consumption among 9 to 11 year-olds and Figure 4 for anxiety and depression drug consumption among 34 to 36 year-olds).

As we discussed in Section 2, the age pattern of mental health effects that we find is consistent with certain features of our prescription registry data and the institutional context in Sweden. To interpret our results, it is important to keep in mind that we do not observe whether drugs were *ever* consumed by certain ages; instead, we observe the prescription drug purchases of some cohorts (i.e., those born in the late 1990s and 2000s) during early and middle childhood, of other cohorts (i.e., those born in the late 1980s and early 1990s) during high school, and of still others (i.e., those born in the 1970s and early 1980s) during adulthood.[40] As we have pointed out, ADHD prescription drugs have only been available in Sweden since 2002, and the prescription rate has been steadily increasing since 2005. Thus, intuitively, the x-axes in Figure 2 indicate the age ranges of different cohorts during this "ADHD revolution." The fact that we see the strongest effects on ADHD prescription drug use among cohorts who were aged 9 to 11 during the "ADHD revolution" is also very consistent with Sweden's guidelines that require mental health screenings of children at age 10, and with the direct economic incentives for schools to detect and treat ADHD among students, described in detail in Section 2.

In Appendix Table A11, we attempt to shed more light on this explanation. We split the sample according to the age at which different cohorts would have been at most 11 years old in 2002. Specifically, the first three columns consider the consumption of any mental health drugs, any ADHD drugs, and the ADHD average dose observed at any age betwen 4 and 14 in our data, while the last three columns consider these outcomes at ages 15 to 36 in our data. Individuals who are at most 14 years old in our data were born in $2005 - 14 = 1991$ or later, and were thus at most 11 years old in 2002. Consequently, only individuals who are represented in the first three columns were likely exposed to a mandated mental health screening and had access to ADHD drugs at the time of the screening. The results demonstrate that, despite the fact that the sample size in the younger age group is only about half that of the size of the older age group, the effects on ADHD drug purchases are much stronger for cohorts who are observed at ages 4 to 14 in our prescription data. In other words, we find positive treatment effects on the consumption of ADHD drugs only for cohorts that were in elementary and middle school during the time period when ADHD drugs were available and mental health screenings were mandated in the transition between elementary and middle school.

---

[40]In supplementary analyses, we explored whether there are any heterogeneous effects on birth outcomes across these cohorts. We find that these cohorts experience similar adverse impacts on birth outcomes (results available upon request).

An alternative interpretation of the fact that we only observe impacts on ADHD among school-aged children is that symptoms of ADHD vanish over time. This story is inconsistent, however, with evidence that treatment often continues for many years once it is commenced, indicating that symptoms may not disappear at the end of school age, even among individuals who are treated with the medications.[41] Thus, the absence of effects beyond school age may instead suggest that ADHD is more readily *detected* while children are in school, which is again consistent with school financing rules that offer schools extra transfers for pupils with special needs. Indeed, when we interact our treatment variable with the share of municipal resources allocated based on special education needs, we obtain a positive (albeit insignificant) coefficient, providing suggestive evidence of this mechanism.[42]

For individuals who were already out of school when the "ADHD revolution" took place, detection of mental health issues may take a longer time. In fact, it may take a "precipitating event," such as marriage or childbirth, for one to seek mental health treatment. Consistent with this idea, in Appendix Table A12, we show that the effects on the consumption of anti-anxiety and anti-depression drugs at ages 34-36 are driven entirely by individuals who are married during those ages.[43]

Overall, our results suggest that experiencing a very stressful event *in utero* is more deleterious for mental health than experiencing such an event shortly post-birth. Our estimates also imply that the adverse mental health impacts of exposure to stress *in utero* are larger when the stress is more severe, as captured by the mother losing a closer relative. The finding that adverse mental health impacts seem to be sensitive to the intensity of the stressor is consistent with Aizer et al. (Forthcoming)'s evidence that only the highest levels of maternal cortisol *in utero* impair children's later cognitive outcomes. In contrast, we showed above that the physical health impacts are less sensitive to the severity of stress exposure (again, consistent with evidence from Aizer et al. (Forthcoming) on birth outcomes).

5.4   Magnitudes

To gauge the plausibility of our estimates, we compare the magnitudes of our effect sizes to those reported in the existing literature on causal effects. First, our 11 gram decrease in birth weight is within the confidence interval of Black, Devereux and Salvanes (2016)'s 23 gram decrease associated with the death of a maternal parent in Norway. However, we show relatively large effects on the incidence of low-birth-weight and very-low-birth-weight births (12 percent and 24 percent,

---

[41]Among individuals in Sweden who begun treatment with an ADHD prescription drug in 2006, at the age of 18 to 24, approximately 50 percent remained on these drugs five years later. The figure is similar in all older age groups where treatment is begun before the age of 55 (Socialstyrelsen, 2012).

[42]We use a 2012 cross-section of municipal shares devoted to special needs education. The results are available on request.

[43]There is no effect of treatment on the likelihood of being married (results available upon request). We do not have information on the fertility of the cohorts in our sample, and thus cannot study the effects separately by whether or not they have children.

respectively), while Black, Devereux and Salvanes (2016) find statistically insignificant impacts on these outcomes. Additionally, Black, Devereux and Salvanes (2016) report a 12 percent increase in the likelihood of a c-section delivery, while we only find a 3 percent increase for this outcome. The differences between our estimates and those in Black, Devereux and Salvanes (2016) likely reflect different institutional settings (Sweden vs. Norway), and the fact that Black, Devereux and Salvanes (2016) use a sample of siblings, while we focus on all individuals who experience a relative death *in utero* or in the year after birth.

It is also informative to compare our estimates for birth outcomes to those found in studies on the effects of natural disasters and terrorist attacks. For example, our 12 percent increase in low-birth-weight births is substantially smaller than the corresponding 40 percent increase in Torche (2011) resulting from exposure to a Chilean earthquake in a "high-intensity" region. Similarly, Eskenazi et al. (2007) find that exposure to the September 11th attacks in New York City was associated with a 44 percent increase in very-low-birth-weight births, a magnitude much higher than our estimated 24 percent increase. The fact that the impacts we find are smaller than those reported in these studies suggests that analyses of disasters and attacks may be bundling the effects of multiple "treatments" (i.e., combining stress with the economic and physical health consequences of these events), whereas our research design is more precisely able to isolate *in utero* exposure to maternal stress.

With regard to mental health, we can compare our estimates to the two existing causal studies in economics that have examined the impacts of *in utero* exposure to malnutrition. Almond and Mazumder (2011) find that exposure to Ramadan *in utero* doubles the likelihood of having a mental disability in adulthood in data from Uganda and Iraq, while Adhvaryu et al. (2014) show that a one standard deviation increase in cocoa prices (which improves nutrition during pregnancy) leads to a 50 percent decrease in the likelihood of suffering from severe mental distress in adulthood in Ghana. Our 25 percent, 13 percent, and 8 percent impacts on the take-up of ADHD, anxiety, and depression medications, respectively, are considerably smaller. These differences in effect sizes could arise for a number of reasons, including that we are (a) studying different institutional contexts (a high-income country with a large social safety net vs. developing countries), (b) estimating effects of different types of shocks (*in utero* exposure to maternal stress from bereavement vs. malnutrition), and (c) measuring mental health in different ways (prescription drug take-up vs. survey responses). Nevertheless, it is reassuring that our estimates are within the bounds of the recent limited literature in economics on this question.

5.5 Alternative Channels

Thus far, we have argued that the adverse physical and mental health consequences of family bereavement *in utero* are driven by physiological exposure to maternal stress. In particular, as discussed in detail in Section 4, we posit that the other consequences of a death in the family are netted out when our comparison group consists of children who experience such a death in the year

22

after birth. Additionally, we argue that the severity of stress exposure is important for affecting child mental health. However, our method leaves room for some alternative explanations, which we discuss here.

Maternal Behaviors and Physical Conditions   First, it is possible that a fetus is not affected by the stress on its own, but rather by a maternal behavior or physical health condition during pregnancy that is induced by stress. For example, if a woman responds to a stressful event by taking up smoking, developing hypertension, changing her eating habits, or adjusting her labor supply, then this may adversely affect the child. Additionally, if the mother has to travel to another location as a result of the relative's death (e.g., to attend the funeral), and if she therefore must give birth in a different hospital than where she had planned to, then the child may be impacted by this sudden hospital change. In Appendix Table A13, we examine these potential mechanisms in more detail. We study whether the death of a relative during pregnancy is associated with changes in prenatal care, the presence of "high-risk" factors (diabetes, kidney disease, epilepsy, asthma, hypertension, or urinary infection), initiation of smoking during pregnancy, pregnancy weight gain (in kilograms), an indicator for the child's hospital of birth being in a different municipality than the mother's municipality of residence (our proxy for unplanned travel), and an indicator for the mother having any positive wage income during the year of conception or the year after.[44]

In the overall sample, we find no effects on any of these outcomes. When we limit to the close relative and maternal parent/sibling sub-samples, we see statistically significant reductions in the adequacy of prenatal care, as measured by the Kotelchuk Index (Kotelchuck, 1994).[45] The magnitudes of these estimates are quite small, however—for example, there is a 1 percentage point decline in the likelihood of the mother having adequate prenatal care in the close relative sample, relative to a sample mean of 81 percent. In practice, this effect likely translates into one missed prenatal visit within a small fraction of the treated population (e.g., to attend the relative's funeral).[46] Given that the number of prenatal visits has been shown to have very little effect on children's health at birth (Sikorski et al., 1996; Fiscella, 1995; Evans and Lien, 2005), we do not think that our main results could be plausibly explained by such a small reduction in prenatal care.

In sum, we believe that changes in pregnancy behaviors and conditions that we can observe are unlikely to drive our estimated effects on birth outcomes, hospitalizations during the first year of life, and mental health in later childhood and adulthood.

---

[44]We measure any wage income in the year of conception and the year after to try to capture labor supply during pregnancy. Unfortunately, we cannot look at a more precise measure of labor supply since our wage income data is at an annual level.

[45]The Kotelchuk Index compares the number of prenatal visits received to the number of expected visits, adjusting for gestational age when care began and gestational age at delivery. Adequate prenatal care means that the ratio of observed to expected visits is at least 80%. Intermediate prenatal care means that the ratio of observed to expected visits is 50-79%.

[46]The death of a relative does not affect the likelihood that a woman is eligible for prenatal care due to the existence of universal health insurance coverage.

Differences in Maternal Reactions to Stress   Second, the mother's own mental health may respond differently to a stressful event that occurs during pregnancy than to an event occurring after giving birth. For example, relative to pregnant women, mothers of infants may, on the one hand, be less vulnerable as they can divert their attention toward childrearing; on the other hand, mothers of newborns may be prone to post-partum depression, or generally be more sensitive to additional stressors. In Appendix Table A14, we try to examine the plausibility of this mechanism by studying *maternal* mental health outcomes as measured by our prescription variables. We find no evidence that experiencing a parent's or sibling's death during pregnancy has a differential effect on maternal mental health relative to experiencing such a death post-childbirth.[47] Thus, our results suggest that the adverse effects of *in utero* exposure to family bereavement are not driven by differences in maternal experiences of the event between pregnancy and post-childbirth, but rather signify the critical nature of the fetal period in propagating the effects of stress, through a biological channel, from mother to fetus.

Differential Income Shocks   Third, it may be the case that any income shocks associated with the death of a family member affect the child differently depending on whether the loss occurs during pregnancy or if it happens shortly after childbirth. In the notation of our framework presented in Section 4, this possibility would entail that the less restrictive assumption, that of weak additive separability, is appropriate. Then, our estimates would capture both the effect of physiological exposure to maternal stress and the differential impact of income during pregnancy relative to post-partum.

This issue is most relevant for income shocks that affect families immediately following the death of a relative—for example, funeral expenses. However, in Sweden, 90 percent of all estates can fully cover the funeral expenses, and then also leave some inheritance to the surviving relatives (Erixson and Ohlsson, 2014). Moreover, immediate income shocks may arise if, for example, when a maternal parent dies, the other maternal parent moves in with her child (the [expectant] mother). In Sweden, however, co-residence between adult children and their parents or other extended family members is very uncommon, largely due to cultural reasons and the fact that the government provides assistance for the care and financial support of the elderly. Therefore, this channel is likely not very relevant in our context.

Moreover, relative to other countries such as the U.S., income shocks—and hence their precise timing—likely matter less in Sweden due to the extensive social security and benefits system. In Appendix Table A15, we present some indirect evidence that differential income effects are likely unimportant in our context. In particular, if income effects were to matter *in utero*, then we would expect them to matter more for lower-income families, which would translate into heterogeneous treatment effects with respect to the socioeconomic status of the mother. Appendix Table A15

---

[47]In these specifications, we study the incidence of consuming mental health medications at any point between 2005 and 2014 when our drug registry data are available (i.e., we do not limit to specific age ranges of the mother). We also examined all other mental health conditions and found no effects.

shows the results from regressions that interact our treatment variable with an indicator for the mother having a high school degree or less at the time of conception. We find no evidence that the impacts of *in utero* exposure to family bereavement are stronger for children of less-educated mothers.

In sum, while we of course cannot rule out all potential alternative mechanisms, the evidence in this section is suggestive of maternal stress as the primary driver of our main results.

5.6   Additional Results

This section presents two sets of results that test the robustness of our main findings and explore an important maternal behavioral response. In addition, in Online Appendix D, we: present results from two-stage least squares specifications for our main outcomes of interest; explore the sensitivity of our findings to sample limitations based on causes of death that are determined to be more exogenous than others; explore the heterogeneity in effects by the physical proximity of the mother to the deceased relative; assess an alternative interpretation of our measure of intensity of emotional stress related to the size of inheritances; and perform various additional robustness checks addressing the correlation between treatment, parity, and foreign-born mothers.

Adjusting for Multiple Hypothesis Testing   First, an important concern for our analysis is that we may find spurious effects due to the number of outcomes we consider. To address this issue, we follow Kling, Liebman and Katz (2007) and create two outcome indices: one for physical health and one for mental health. The physical health index consists of the 28 outcomes analyzed in Tables 2, 3, A3, A5, A9, and A10, described in the notes to Table 5. The mental health index consists of 49 outcomes: 7 indicators for ever purchasing a mental health drug at any of the main age categories we consider in Figure 2 (4-6, 9-11, 14-16, 19-21, 24-26, 29-31, 34-36), as well as $2 \times 3 \times 7 = 42$ other outcomes comprised of our two measures—an indicator for ever purchasing the drug and the average daily dose—per condition (ADHD, anxiety, depression) and per age group (4-6, 9-11, 14-16, 19-21, 24-26, 29-31, 34-36).

To create the indices, we first orient each outcome such that a higher value represents a better outcome (e.g., the indicator for low-birth-weight is inversed such that we instead consider an indicator for *not* being low-birth-weight). Then, we standardize each oriented outcome by subtracting the comparison group mean and dividing by the comparison group standard deviation. Finally, we take an equally weighted average of the standardized outcomes.

Table 5 presents the results from our main specifications using the two indices as outcomes. Just like our main results, these estimates suggest that physical health is adversely affected by exposure to any relative death *in utero*. Mental health is also impacted, but only in the case of severe stress, as measured by the death of the mother's close relative, and specifically, parent or sibling.[48]

---

[48]The magnitudes of the effect sizes for the two indices are small. This is not unexpected as there are effects for

Maternal Responses to *In Utero* Shocks: Effects on Subsequent Fertility   Second, we study whether our *in utero* shock of interest is correlated with an important maternal behavioral response: fertility. This analysis is motivated by recent work studying parental responses to fetal shocks. For example, Halla and Zweimüller (2013) find that low-education Austrian mothers who were exposed to radiation fallout from the Chernobyl accident during pregnancy reduced their subsequent fertility. The authors interpret this response as a form of compensating behavior as the mothers were able to allocate more resources to the affected children by reducing the quantity of children that they had.

We examine maternal fertility in Appendix Table A16, which shows that women who experience a relative death during pregnancy are more likely to have a subsequent child in our data. Since some women in our sample have not yet completed their childbearing years, this effect could be driven by a retiming of births rather than an increase in lifetime fertility. Nevertheless, our findings suggest that, unlike Austrian mothers in the context of Chernobyl, the mothers in our data do not reduce their fertility after an adverse shock during pregnancy, but instead are more likely to have additional children.

While our data do not allow us to better understand the mechanism behind this fertility effect, this analysis suggests caution in the interpretation of estimates from sibling fixed effects designs. The possibility of endogenous subsequent fertility suggests that comparisons of treated children with younger siblings could be biased. This problem is not entirely alleviated by comparing treated children to only their older siblings, as the older siblings are likely to be affected by the endogenous change in family size, and they may be differentially affected than the treated children.

6   Implications for the Costs of Economically Induced Stress

Throughout this paper, we have analyzed the internal validity of our estimates by conducting a variety of robustness checks and indirect tests of mechanisms. However, it is also worth discussing whether our results on the effects of *in utero* exposure to maternal stress from the death of a relative have any external validity. In particular, in light of evidence on the intergenerational persistence of socioeconomic status in the U.S. and other developed countries (Solon, 2001; Chetty et al., Forthcoming; Boserup et al., 2013), and the strong socioeconomic gradient in reported stress levels (Kunz-Ebrecht et al., 2004; Cohen et al., 2006; Thompson, 2014), the question of how economically induced stress can affect individual well-being across generations is of interest to both academics and policy-makers.

Although grief-induced stress resulting from the death of a family member and stress stemming from adverse economic shocks are in many ways not the same, both types of stress produce a physiological response in the human body characterized by an increase in the level of the cortisol hormone (which controls the "fight-or-flight" response in the human body). Thus, we conduct an

---

only some parts of the indices, but not others.

exploratory back-of-the-envelope calculation to "translate" our estimates into the costs of economically induced stress. Specifically, we proceed in three steps. First, we use existing studies that quantify the effect of the death of a relative on cortisol levels. Second, we use studies that quantify the impact of adverse economic conditions on cortisol. These two steps together allow us to translate the impact of economic hardship on cortisol into our "relative death scale". In the final step, we use our results to speak to how *in utero* exposure to maternal stress from economic hardship may affect long-term mental health.

The Impact of a Relative Death on Cortisol   Several recent studies show that the death of a loved one is associated with increased cortisol levels. Cortisol levels can be measured in blood (plasma) and in saliva. Because levels estimated in blood are higher than levels estimated in cortisol, we distinguish between studies that use these two types of measurements.[49]

Irwin et al. (1988) compare morning plasma cortisol levels of women who experienced the death of a spouse six months earlier with women in a non-bereaved control group. They find that the mean plasma cortisol level is 99.3 $nmol/l$ higher in the bereaved group.

Similarly, Pfeffer et al. (2007) compare the salivary cortisol levels of individuals who lost a parent with individuals in a non-bereaved control group. They find that the mean salivary cortisol level is 2.75 $nmol/l$ higher in the bereaved group, measured four months after bereavement.[50]

The Impact of Economically Induced Stress on Cortisol   A number of studies present correlational evidence documenting a strong socioeconomic gradient in cortisol. Individuals with lower levels of education, income, and lifetime economic status tend to have elevated cortisol when compared to their more educated, higher income, and higher economic status counterparts (see, e.g., Cohen et al., 2006; Li et al., 2007).

There are also several studies that present more rigorous quasi-experimental and experimental evidence on this question. In Sweden, Arnetz et al. (1991) find that individuals who were laid off in a mass layoff had blood plasma cortisol levels that were 68 $nmol/l$ higher than individuals who were securely employed, measured one year after the layoff. Comparing to the results in Irwin et al. (1988) discussed above, this study suggests that the impact of economically induced stress through unemployment on cortisol is about 69 ($= 68/99$) percent of the impact of the death of a close relative.

---

[49]Different studies also measure cortisol levels using different units. For the purpose of comparison, we here convert all results that we discuss to $nmol/l$. (Conversion rate: 1 $\mu g/dl = 27.59$ $nmol/l$.)

[50]In addition, several studies show evidence on the impact of bereavement on diurnal cortisol regulation, i.e., the ability of cortisol to be broken down over the course over the day. The evidence suggests that, recently bereaved individuals not only have higher morning cortisol levels, but also experience a flatter slope during the course of the day (meaning that cortisol falls less during the day). See Dietz et al. (2013) on the impact on cortisol regulation of the loss of a parent and Holland et al. (2014) on the impact of the loss of a spouse. Further, O'Connor et al. (2012) examine diurnal cortisol production patterns in women who have experienced the death of different relatives, and find that more intense grieving is associated with a flatter slope across the day.

Similarly, in a developing country context, Haushofer and Shapiro (2013) conduct a randomized controlled trial that investigates the impact of poverty on stress by randomly allocating cash transfers to households. They find that cortisol levels are 2.03 $nmol/l$ lower in households that received large transfers ($1525) than in households that received small transfers ($404). The difference corresponds to a substantial income effect, given that Kenya's GDP per capita was $1184 in 2012, at the time of the intervention.[51] Comparing to the results in Pfeffer et al. (2007), this estimate suggests that the effect of economically induced stress through lower income on cortisol is about 74 ($= 2.03/2.75$) percent of the impact of the death of a close relative.

Economically Induced Stress *In Utero* and Later Mental Health Using the above estimate that the impact on cortisol from a layoff is approximately 69 percent of the impact of the death of a close relative, we can calculate how *in utero* exposure to maternal economically induced stress (resulting from unemployment) might affect the future mental health of the unborn child. This calculation implies that *in utero* exposure to stress from maternal unemployment induces a 17.3 ($= 0.69 * 25$) percent increase in the likelihood of ever purchasing a drug to treat ADHD in middle childhood, and a 16.6 ($= 0.69 * 24$) percent increase in the average daily dose. Further, among adults in their 30s, the calculations suggest that *in utero* exposure to stress from maternal unemployment leads to 9 ($= 0.69 * 13$) and 5.5 ($= 0.69 * 8$) percent increases in the likelihoods of ever purchasing drugs to treat anxiety and depression, respectively; and in 13.1 ($= 0.69 * 19$) and 8.3 ($= 0.69 * 12$) percent increases in the average daily doses of anti-anxiety and depression medications.[52]

Of course, these back-of-the-envelope calculations rely on a strong assumption of linearity in the effect of cortisol. Nevertheless, this exercise implies that the effects of economically induced stress on the mental health of the next generation could be quite large.

7 Conclusion

This paper analyzes whether the uterine environment propagates the impact of stress across generations. We exploit multigenerational registers in Sweden to create family trees that span four generations, and study how deaths of family members during pregnancy affect the unborn child. Unlike other studies of shocks to the prenatal environment, our empirical strategy isolates the effect of physiological fetal exposure to stress by comparing the outcomes of children whose relatives die within 280 days post-conception to those whose relatives die in the year after their expected date of birth.

We find that *in utero* exposure to the death of a relative up to three generations apart negatively affects physical health at birth and in the first year of life. We also provide novel evidence that severe

---

[51]GDP Per Capita in current US $ is available at: http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.PCAP.CD

[52]If we instead use the estimated relationship between household income and cortisol in the context of Kenya, we obtain very similar impacts of *in utero* exposure to maternal stress due to poverty.

antenatal stress—as measured by bereavement of closer family members—has causal impacts on the onset of psychological conditions, including ADHD during childhood and anxiety and depression in adulthood. Our findings suggest large general welfare gains of preventing fetal exposure to severe stress: for example, based on the 2008 figure for the U.S. market, the 8 percent decrease in the consumption of prescription drugs treating depression alone can be valued at $800 million per year.

While our findings may not generalize to all other possible sources of stress, we believe that we make some important headway toward understanding the potentially far-reaching consequences of stress during pregnancy. This is pertinent in light of the fact that stress is a growing health problem around the world. For example, according to recent survey evidence from the U.S. using a 10-item Perceived Stress Scale, women's average stress levels have increased by about 18 percent between 1983 and 2009 (Cohen and Janicki-Deverts, 2012). Concurrently, over these last few decades, mental health diagnoses and prescription drug use among both children and adults have risen substantially. For instance, a recent study by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention shows that antidepressant consumption among individuals aged 12 years or older has increased by 400 percent from 1988 to 2008.[53] Certainly, it is likely that some of the growth in antidepressant use is driven by increases in diagnoses and in the availability of prescription drugs. Nevertheless, our results present some of the first evidence on a causal link between these two trends in the population—the prevalence of stress and the incidence of mental health issues—perpetuated by the fetal environment.

The presence of such a causal link may point to novel avenues for curbing the high and rapidly rising private and social costs associated with mental illness. Specifically, if a mother's stress during pregnancy harms her unborn child's mental health later in life, measures that help reduce stress during pregnancy may come at low costs relative to their social benefits. For example, although most countries have some kind of family leave policy that facilitates reductions in women's labor supply in the weeks or months following childbirth, regulation allowing women to take protected time off from work during pregnancy may also be important.

Finally, as poor women are subject to more stress than women who have more resources, our results suggest that fetal stress exposure may play a potentially important role in the intergenerational transmission of disadvantage. Future research might explore these conjectures in more detail by examining the effects of specific interventions that reduce pregnant women's stress levels on their children's mental health, especially among low-income populations.

---

[53]See http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/databriefs/db76.htm for more details.

References

Abel, KM, HP Heuvelman, L Jörgensen, C Magnusson, S Wicks, E Susser, J Hallkvist, and C Dalman, "Severe bereavement stress during the prenatal and childhood periods and risk of psychosis in later life: population based cohort study," *British Medical Journal*, 2014, *348*, f7679.

Adda, Jérôme, Anders Björklund, and Helena Holmlund, "The Role of Mothers and Fathers in Providing Skills: Evidence from Parental Deaths," Discussion Paper 5425, Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA) 2011.

Adhvaryu, Achyuta, James Fenske, and Anant Nyshadham, "Early Life Circumstance and Adult Mental Health," 2014. University of Michigan, Working Paper.

Aizer, Anna and Janet Currie, "The intergenerational transmission of inequality: Maternal disadvantage and health at birth," *Science*, 2014, *344* (6186), 856–861.

_ , **Laura Stroud, and Stephen Buka**, "Maternal stress and child well-being: Evidence from siblings," *Journal of Human Resources*, Forthcoming.

Almond, D. and J. Currie, "Human Capital Development before Age Five," in O. Ashenfleter and D. Card, eds., *Handbook of Labor Economics*, Vol. 4, Elsevier, 2011, pp. 1315–1486.

Almond, Doug, "Is the 1918 Influenza pandemic over? Long-term effects of in utero Influenza exposure in the post-1940 US population," *Journal of Political Economy*, 2006, *114* (4), 672–712.

_ **and Bhash Mazumder**, "Health Capital and the Prenatal Environment: The Effect of Ramadan Observance during Pregnancy," *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 2011, *3* (4), 56–85.

Almond, Douglas and Bhashkar Mazumder, "Fetal origins and Parental Responses," Working Paper 2012-14, Federal Reserve Board of Chicago 2012.

_ , **Hilary W Hoynes, and Diane Whitmore Schanzenbach**, "Inside the war on poverty: The impact of food stamps on birth outcomes," *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 2011, *93* (2), 387–403.

_ , **Lena Edlund, and Mårten Palme**, "Chernobyl's Subclinical Legacy: Prenatal Exposure to Radioactive Fallout and School Outcomes in Sweden," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, November 2009, *124* (4), 1729–1772.

_ , _ , **Hongbin Li, and Junsen Zhang**, "Long-Term Effects of Early-Life Development: Evidence from the 1959 to 1961 China Famine," in "The Economic Consequences of Demographic Change in East Asia, NBER-EASE Volume 19," University of Chicago Press, 2010, pp. 321–345.

Arnetz, B. B., S. O. Brenner, L. Levi, R. Hjelm, I. L. Petterson, J. Wasserman, B. Petrini, P. Eneroth, A. Kallner, and R. Kvetnansky, "Neuroendocrine and immunologic effects of unemployment and job insecurity," *Psychother Psychosom*, 1991, *55* (2-4), 76–80.

Barker, David J, "The fetal and infant origins of adult disease," *BMJ: British Medical Journal*, 1990, *301* (6761), 1111.

Barreca, Alan I, "The long-term economic impact of in utero and postnatal exposure to malaria," *Journal of Human Resources*, 2010, *45* (4), 865–892.

Berkowitz, Gertrud S, Mary S Wolff, Teresa M Janevic, Ian R Holzman, Rachel Yehuda, and Philip J Landrigan, "The World Trade Center disaster and intrauterine growth restriction," *Jama*, 2003, *290* (5), 595–596.

Berquin, P. C., J. N. Giedd, L. K. Jacobsen, S. D. Hamburger, A. L. Krain, J. L. Rapoport, and F. X. Castellanos, "Cerebellum in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: A morphometric MRI study," *Neurology*, 1998, *50* (4), 1087–1093.

Black, Sandra E, Aline Butikofer, Paul J Devereux, and Kjell G Salvanes, "This Is Only a Test? Long-Run Impacts of Prenatal Exposure to Radioactive Downfall," *NBER Working Paper 18987*, 2013.

_ , **Paul J Devereux, and Kjell G. Salvanes**, "Does Grief Transfer across Generations? Bereavements during Pregnancy and Child Outcomes," *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 2016, *8* (1).

Boserup, Simon, Claus Kreiner, and Wojciech Kopczuk, "Intergenerational Wealth Mobility: Evidence from Danish Wealth Records of Three Generations," 2013. Columbia University, Working Paper.

Chetty, Raj, Nathaniel Hendren, Patrick Kline, and Emmanuel Saez, "Where is the Land of Opportunity? The Geography of Intergenerational Mobility in the United States," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Forthcoming.

Class, Q. A., K. M. Abel, A. S. Khashan, M. E. Rickert, C. Dalman, H. Larsson, C. M. Hultman, N. Langstrom, P. Lichtenstein, and B. M. D'Onofrio, "Offspring psychopathology following preconception, prenatal and postnatal maternal bereavement stress," *Psychologial Medicine*, Jan 2014, *44* (1), 71–84.

Class, Quetzal A, Paul Lichtenstein, Niklas Långström, and Brian M D'Onofrio, "Timing of prenatal maternal exposure to severe life events and adverse pregnancy outcomes: a population study of 2.6 million pregnancies," *Psychosomatic medicine*, 2011, *73* (3), 234.

Cnattingius, Sven, E Villamor, YT Lagerros, Anna-Karin Wikström, and Fredrik Granath, "High birth weight and obesity—a vicious circle across generations," *International Journal of Obesity*, 2012, *36* (10), 1320–1324.

Cohen, S., W. J. Doyle, and A. Baum, "Socioeconomic status is associated with stress hormones," *Psychosom Med*, 2006, *68* (3), 414–420.

Cohen, Sheldon and Denise Janicki-Deverts, "Who's stressed? Distributions of psychological stress in the United States in probability samples from 1983, 2006, and 2009," *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 2012, *42* (6), 1320–1334.

Currie, Janet, "Inequality at Birth: Some Causes and Consequences," *The American Economic Review*, 2011, *101* (3), 1–22.

_ **and Maya Rossin-Slater**, "Weathering the storm: Hurricanes and birth outcomes," *Journal of Health Economics*, 2013, *32* (3), 487 – 503.

den Bergh BRH, Marcoen A. Van, "High antenatal maternal anxiety is related to ADHD symptoms, externalizing problems and anxiety in 8/9-year-olds," *Child Development*, 2004, *75* (4), 1085:1097.

den Bergh BRH Mennes M, Oosterlaan J Stevens V Stiers P Marcoen A Lagae L. Van, "High antenatal maternal anxiety is related to impulsivity during performance on cognitive tasks in 14- and 15-year-olds," *Neuroscience and biobehavioral reviews*, 2005, *29* (2), 259:269.

Dickstein, Michael J., "Efficient Provision of Experience Goods: Evidence from Antidepressant Choice," 2014. Stanford University, Working Paper.

Dietz, L. J., S. Stoyak, N. Melhem, G. Porta, K. A. Matthews, M. Walker Payne, and D. A. Brent, "Cortisol response to social stress in parentally bereaved youth," *Biol. Psychiatry*, Feb 2013, *73* (4), 379–387.

Duggan, Mark and Scott A. Imberman, *Why Are the Disability Rolls Skyrocketing? The Contribution of Population Characteristics, Economic Conditions, and Program Generosity*, University of Chicago Press, January

Duncan, Brian, Hani Mansour, and Daniel I Rees, "Prenatal Stress and Low Birth Weight: Evidence from the Super Bowl," Discussion Paper 9053, Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA) 2015.

Erixson, Oscar and Henry Ohlsson, "Estate division: Equal sharing as choice, social norm, and legal requirement," *Uppsala University Department of Economics Working Paper*, 2014.

Eskenazi, Brenda, Amy R Marks, Ralph Catalano, Tim Bruckner, and Paolo G Toniolo, "Low birthweight in New York City and upstate New York following the events of September 11th," *Human Reproduction*, 2007, *22* (11), 3013–3020.

Evans, William N and Diana S Lien, "The benefits of prenatal care: evidence from the PAT bus strike," *Journal of Econometrics*, 2005, *125* (1), 207–239.

Fiscella, Kevin, "Does prenatal care improve birth outcomes? A critical review," *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 1995, *85* (3), 468–479.

Glynn, Laura M, Pathik D Wadhwa, Christine Dunkel-Schetter, Aleksandra Chicz-DeMet, and Curt A Sandman, "When stress happens matters: effects of earthquake timing on stress responsivity in pregnancy," *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*, 2001, *184* (4), 637–642.

Halla, Martin and Martina Zweimüller, "Parental Response to Early Human Capital Shocks: Evidence from the Chernobyl Accident," Discussion Paper 7968, Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA) 2013.

Haushofer, Johannes and Jeremy Shapiro, "Household response to income changes: Evidence from an unconditional cash transfer program in Kenya.," *Mimeo, MIT*, 2013.

Hjörne, Eva, "Det har skett en stor förändring hemma," *Elevidentitet, föräldrars motstånd och ADHD i den svenska skolan. Utbildning & demokrati*, 2012, pp. 91–96.

Holland, J. M., V. Rozalski, K. L. Thompson, R. J. Tiongson, A. F. Schatzberg, R. O'Hara, and D. Gallagher-Thompson, "The unique impact of late-life bereavement and prolonged grief on diurnal cortisol," *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*, Jan 2014, *69* (1), 4–11.

Hoynes, Hilary, Marianne Page, and Ann Huff Stevens, "Can targeted transfers improve birth outcomes?: Evidence from the introduction of the WIC program," *Journal of Public Economics*, 2011, *95* (7), 813–827.

Hoynes, Hilary W., Diane W. Schanzenbach, and Doug Almond, "Long Run Impacts of Childhood Access to the Safety Net," *The American Economic Review*, Forthcoming.

Huttunen, Matti O and Pekka Niskanen, "Prenatal loss of father and psychiatric disorders," *Archives of general psychiatry*, 1978, *35* (4), 429–431.

Irwin, Michael, Marcia Daniels, S. Craig Risch, Eda Bloom, and Herbert Weiner, "Plasma cortisol and natural killer cell activity during bereavement," *Biological Psychiatry*, 1988, *24* (2), 173 – 178.

Isen, Adam, Maya Rossin-Slater, and Reed Walker, "Every Breath You Take — Every Dollar You'll Make: The Long-Term Consequences of the Clean Air Act of 1970," *Journal of Political Economy*, Forthcoming.

Khashan, Ali S, Kathryn M Abel, Roseanne McNamee, Marianne G Pedersen, Roger T Webb, Philip N Baker, Louise C Kenny, and Preben Bo Mortensen, "Higher risk of offspring schizophrenia following antenatal maternal exposure to severe adverse life events," *Archives of general psychiatry*, 2008, *65* (2), 146–152.

__ , **Roseanne McNamee, Tine B Henriksen, Marianne G Pedersen, Louise C Kenny, Kathryn M Abel, and Preben B Mortensen**, "Risk of affective disorders following prenatal exposure to severe life events: a Danish population-based cohort study," *Journal of psychiatric research*, 2011, *45* (7), 879–885.

Kling, Jeffrey R, Jeffrey B Liebman, and Lawrence F Katz, "Experimental analysis of neighborhood effects," *Econometrica*, 2007, *75* (1), 83–119.

Kotelchuck, Milton, "An evaluation of the Kessner Adequacy of Prenatal Care Index and a proposed Adequacy of Prenatal Care Utilization Index.," *American journal of public health*, 1994, *84* (9), 1414–1420.

Kunz-Ebrecht, Sabine, Clemens Kirschbaum, and Andrew Steptoe, "Work Stress, Socioeconomic Status and Neuroendocrine Activation Over the Working Day," *Social Science and Medicine*, 2004, *58*, 1523–1530.

Lauderdale, Diane S, "Birth outcomes for Arabic-named women in California before and after September 11," *Demography*, 2006, *43* (1), 185–201.

Lederman, Sally Ann, Virginia Rauh, Lisa Weiss, Janet L Stein, Lori A Hoepner, Mark Becker, and Frederica P Perera, "The effects of the World Trade Center event on birth outcomes among term deliveries at three lower Manhattan hospitals," *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 2004, pp. 1772–1778.

Li, L., C. Power, S. Kelly, C. Kirschbaum, and C. Hertzman, "Life-time socio-economic position and cortisol patterns in mid-life," *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, Aug 2007, *32* (7), 824–833.

32

Liu, Li, Ling-Li Zeng, Yaming Li, Qiongmin Ma, Baojuan Li, Hui Shen, and Dewen Hu, "Altered Cerebellar Functional Connectivity with Intrinsic Connectivity Networks in Adults with Major Depressive Disorder," *PLoS ONE*, 06 2012, *7*, e39516.

Malaspina, D, C Corcoran, KR Kleinhaus, MC Perrin, S Fennig, D Nahon, Y Freidlander, and S Harlap, "Acute maternal stress in pregnancy and schizophrenia in offspring: A cohort prospective study," *BMC Psychiatry*, 2008, *8* (71), 1473–1491.

Mansour, Hani and Daniel I Rees, "Armed conflict and birth weight: Evidence from the al-Aqsa Intifada," *Journal of Development Economics*, 2012, *99* (1), 190–199.

McClellan, Jack M, Ezra Susser, and Mary-Claire King, "Maternal famine, de novo mutations, and schizophrenia," *JAMA*, 2006, *296* (5), 582–584.

McIntyre, Peter and Julie Leask, "Improving uptake of MMR vaccine," *British Medical Journal*, 4 2008, *336* (7647), 729–730.

N., Melissa L. Danielson Rebecca H. Bitsko Joseph R. Holbrook Michael D. Kogan Reem M. Ghandour Ruth Perou Stephen J. Blumberg Visser Susanna, "Trends in the Parent-Report of Health Care Provider-Diagnosed and Medicated Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: United States, 2003-2011," *Journal of The American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 2014.

Neugebauer, Richard, Hans Wijbrand Hoek, and Ezra Susser, "Prenatal exposure to wartime famine and development of antisocial personality disorder in early adulthood," *JAMA*, 1999, *282* (5), 455–462.

O'Connor, M. F., D. K. Wellisch, A. L. Stanton, R. Olmstead, and M. R. Irwin, "Diurnal cortisol in Complicated and Non-Complicated Grief: slope differences across the day," *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, May 2012, *37* (5), 725–728.

Pfeffer, Cynthia R., Margaret Altemus, Moonseong Heo, and Hong Jiang, "Salivary Cortisol and Psychopathology in Children Bereaved by the September 11, 2001 Terror Attacks," *Biological Psychiatry*, 2007, *61* (8), 957 – 965.

Rossin-Slater, Maya, "WIC in your neighborhood: New evidence on the impacts of geographic access to clinics," *Journal of Public Economics*, 2013, *102* (0), 51 – 69.

Sanders, Nicholas J and Charles Stoecker, "Where have all the young men gone? Using sex ratios to measure fetal death rates," *Journal of health economics*, 2015, *41*, 30–45.

Sanders, N.J., "What Doesn't Kill You Makes You Weaker: Prenatal Pollution Exposure and Educational Outcomes," *Journal of Human Resources*, 2012, *47* (3), 826–850.

Scholte, Robert S, Gerard J Van Den Berg, and Maarten Lindeboom, "Long-run effects of gestation during the Dutch Hunger Winter famine on labor market and hospitalization outcomes," *Journal of health economics*, 2015, *39*, 17–30.

Segal, Nancy L. and Thomas J. Bouchard, "Grief Intensity Following the Loss of a Twin and Other Relatives: Test of Kinship Genetic Hypotheses," *Human Biology*, 1993, *65* (1), 111187 – 105.

Sikorski, Jim, Jennifer Wilson, Sarah Clement, Sarah Das, and Nigel Smeeton, "A randomised controlled trial comparing two schedules of antenatal visits: the antenatal care project," *BMJ*, 3 1996, *312* (7030), 546–553.

Simeonova, Emilia, "Out of Sight, Out of Mind? Natural Disasters and Pregnancy Outcomes in the USA," *CESifo Economic Studies*, 2011, *57* (3), 403:431.

Simon, Sara L, Catherine Domier, Jennifer Carnell, Paul Brethen, Richard Rawson, and Walter Ling, "Cognitive impairment in individuals currently using methamphetamine," *The American Journal on Addictions*, 2000, *9* (3), 222–231.

Socialstyrelsen, "Socialstyrelsens riktlinjer för skolhälsovården," *Socialstyrelsens Rapporter*, 2002.

＿ , "Användning av centralstimulantia vid adhd," *Socialstyrelsens Rapporter*, 2012.

＿ , "Läkemedel – statistik fö år 2012," *Socialstyrelsens Rapporter*, 2013.

_ , "Förskrivning av centralstimulerande läkemedel vid adhd," *Socialstyrelsens Rapporter*, 2015.

Solon, Gary, "Intergenerational Mobility in the Labor Market," in O. Ashenfleter and D. Card, eds., *Handbook of Labor Economics*, Vol. 3, Elsevier, 2001, pp. 71–79.

Stoner, Rich, Maggie L. Chow, Maureen P. Boyle, Susan M. Sunkin, Peter R. Mouton, Subhojit Roy, Anthony Wynshaw-Boris, Sophia A. Colamarino, Ed S. Lein, and Eric Courchesne, "Patches of Disorganization in the Neocortex of Children with Autism," *New England Journal of Medicine*, 2014, *370* (13), 1209–1219. PMID: 24670167.

Susser, Ezra, Richard Neugebauer, Hans W Hoek, Alan S Brown, Shang Lin, Daniel Labovitz, and Jack M Gorman, "Schizophrenia after prenatal famine: further evidence," *Archives of general psychiatry*, 1996, *53* (1), 25.

Susser, Ezra S and Shang P Lin, "Schizophrenia after prenatal exposure to the Dutch Hunger Winter of 1944-1945," *Archives of general psychiatry*, 1992, *49* (12), 983.

Tan, Cong E, Hong Jun Li, Xian Geng Zhang, Hui Zhang, Pei Yu Han, Qu An, Wei Jun Ding, and Mi Qu Wang, "The impact of the Wenchuan earthquake on birth outcomes," *PLoS One*, 2009, *4* (12), e8200.

Thompson, Ross, "Stress and Child Development," *The Future of Children*, 2014, *24* (1), 41–59.

Torche, Florencia, "The effect of maternal stress on birth outcomes: exploiting a natural experiment," *Demography*, 2011, *48* (4), 1473–1491.

Van den Berg, G. J., M. Lindeboom, and F. Portrait, "Economic Conditions Early in Life and Individual Mortality," *American Economic Review*, 2006, *96*, 290–302.

Welberg, Lam, Jonathan Sekl, and Megan Homes, "Prenatal Glucocorticoid Programming of Brian Corticosteroid Receptors and Corticotrophin-Releasing Hormone: Possible Implications for Behaviour," *Neuroscience*, 2001, *104*, 71–79.

8 Figures

Figure 1: Effect of Relative Death on the Incidence of the Child Being Born Low-Birth-Weight

Notes: The sample includes all children whose mother loses a family member—a sibling, a parent, a grandparent, the child's father, or an own (older) child—within 280 days of the child's estimated date of conception or in the year after birth. To assign exposure to treatment, we first calculate each child's estimated date of conception by subtracting the number of gestation days from the date of birth. This figure plots the coefficients (and 95% confidence intervals in dashed blue lines) on the effects of the death of a relative during the 1st-9th months of pregnancy. The omitted category is an indicator for the relative death occurring after 280 days (40 weeks) of gestation (i.e., post-childbirth in most cases). The outcome is an indicator for the child being born low-birth-weight.

Figure 2: Effect of Relative Death on the Incidence of the Child Consuming Any Mental Health Medications by Age

(a) All Deaths

(b) Close Relative Deaths

(c) Maternal Parent/Sibling Deaths

Notes: See notes under Figure 1 for more information on the sample. These figures plot the coefficients (and 95% confidence intervals in vertical lines) on the effects of the death of a relative on the likelihood that the child consumes any mental health medications at different age intervals. Each of the three panels present results from a sample including a certain set of relative deaths. Intuitively, the samples capture different degrees of proximity in the family tree between the expectant mother and the deceased, and hence different intensities of stress exposure.

Figure 3: Effect of Maternal Parent/Sibling Death on the Incidence of the Child Consuming Any ADHD Medications at Ages 9-11

Notes: The sample includes all children whose mother loses a parent or a sibling within 280 days of the child's estimated date of conception or in the year after birth. To assign exposure to treatment, we first calculate each child's estimated date of conception by subtracting the number of gestation days from the date of birth. This figure plots the coefficients (and 95% confidence intervals in dashed blue lines) on the effects of the death of a relative during the 1st-9th months of pregnancy. The omitted category is an indicator for the relative death occurring after 280 days (40 weeks) of gestation (i.e., post-childbirth in most cases). The outcome is an indicator for the child ever consuming any medications used to treat ADHD at ages 9-11.

Figure 4: Effect of Maternal Parent/Sibling Death on the Incidence of the Child Consuming Any Anxiety or Depression Medications at Ages 34-36

(a) Any Anxiety RX   (b) Any Depression RX

Notes: The sample includes all children whose mother loses a parent or a sibling within 280 days of the child's estimated date of conception or in the year after birth. To assign exposure to treatment, we first calculate each child's estimated date of conception by subtracting the number of gestation days from the date of birth. These figures plot the coefficients (and 95% confidence intervals in dashed blue lines) on the effects of the death of a relative during the 1st-9th months of pregnancy. The omitted category is an indicator for the relative death occurring after 280 days (40 weeks) of gestation (i.e., post-childbirth in most cases). The outcome is an indicator for the child ever consuming any medications used to treat anxiety (in sub-figure (a)) or depression (in sub-figure (b)) at ages 34-36.

9   Tables

Table 1: Summary Statistics

|	(1) Our Whole Sample	(2) Death During Preg.	(3) Death After Preg.	(4) All Births in Sweden
Mother's age at conception	27.88 (5.058)	27.92 (5.061)	27.86 (5.056)	28.53*
Mother married pre-concep.	0.311 (0.463)	0.308 (0.462)	0.313 (0.464)	
Mother's ed: <HS pre-concep.	0.177 (0.382)	0.174 (0.379)	0.179 (0.383)	0.1543*
Mother's ed: HS pre-concep.	0.314 (0.464)	0.308 (0.462)	0.318 (0.466)	0.476*
Mother's ed: some college pre-concep.	0.202 (0.401)	0.205 (0.404)	0.199 (0.399)	0.1435*
Child's Birth Weight (g)	3543.9 (557.9)	3537.2 (564.7)	3549.0 (552.7)	3505.1
Child is Low Birth Weight (<2500g)	0.0323 (0.177)	0.0346 (0.183)	0.0305 (0.172)	0.0424
Child is Preterm (<37 weeks)	0.0497 (0.217)	0.0534 (0.225)	0.0469 (0.211)	0.0586
Observations	296,557	127,406	169,151	3,988,858

Note: In the first three columns, the sample includes all children whose mother loses a family member—a sibling, a parent, a grandparent, the child's father, or an own (older) child—within 280 days of the child's estimated date of conception or in the year after birth. To assign exposure to treatment, we first calculate each child's estimated date of conception, c, by subtracting the number of gestation days from the date of birth. We then define the set of treated individuals as those experiencing the death of a relative in the time interval $[c, c + 280]$. Column one displays statistics for the full sample, while the second and third columns consider the treatment and comparison groups separately. Column four displays related statistics for the universe of births in Sweden during the same time period. Note that the variables marked by an asterisk are measured slightly differently in the sample that we use (columns (1)-(3)) than in the universe of births (column (4)). In particular, in our sample, all variables indicated by * are measured at conception. In the universe of births, these variables are instead measured at the first prenatal visit. In addition, the three educational attainment categories would not be directly comparable even if they were measured at the same point in time. For our sample (columns (1)-(3)), our dataset contains the official educational attainment variable, matched from records from Statistics Sweden. For the universe of births, we use the variable from The Swedish Board of Health and Welfare, where the educational categories are defined slightly differently. Most importantly, high school attainment includes a broader range of programs than regular three-year high school programs (e.g., various two-year programs). We do not have information about marital status for the universe of births.

Table 2: Effects of Relative Death *In Utero* on Birth Outcomes

	(1) Birwt	(2) LBW	(3) VLBW	(4) HBW	(5) Pret.
Panel A: All Relative Deaths					
Death During Pregnancy	-11.47***	0.00392***	0.00124***	-0.00501***	0.00617***
	[2.067]	[0.000633]	[0.000269]	[0.00150]	[0.000838]
Mean, dept. var	3546.3	0.0320	0.00511	0.188	0.0494
Obs.	288337	288337	288337	288337	289087
Panel B: Close Relative Deaths					
Death During Pregnancy	-10.08***	0.00358**	0.000740	-0.00460*	0.00517***
	[3.563]	[0.00140]	[0.000526]	[0.00258]	[0.00145]
Mean, dept. var	3523.0	0.0372	0.00603	0.179	0.0511
Obs.	84584	84584	84584	84584	84817
Panel C: Maternal Parent/Sibling Deaths					
Death During Pregnancy	-10.76***	0.00420***	0.00119**	-0.00444*	0.00542***
	[3.780]	[0.00146]	[0.000519]	[0.00265]	[0.00150]
Mean, dept. var	3525.8	0.0365	0.00576	0.180	0.0504
Obs.	80956	80956	80956	80956	81177

Note: See table 1 for more information on the sample of analysis. Each column in each panel is a separate regression. Panel A uses the entire sample of analysis. In Panel B, we drop children of mothers who experience the death of a grandparent. In Panel C, we only include children of mothers who experience the death of a parent or a sibling. All regressions include controls for the mother's age at conception (five categories: < 20, $20 - 24$, $25 - 34$, > 35), maternal education in the year prior to conception (four categories: <HS, HS diploma, some college, college+), indicator variables for the mother being born outside of Sweden and being married in the year prior to conception year, dummies for parity (three categories: 1, 2, 3+), and the relative's age at death and age squared. Additionally, all regressions control for fixed effects for the year and month of conception, as well as the mother's municipality of residence during the year prior to conception. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception. Significance levels: * $p<0.1$ ** $p<0.05$ *** $p<0.01$

Table 3: Effects of Relative Death *In Utero* on Hospitalizations by Age 1

	(1) Any Hosp	(2) Tot Hosp	(3) Any Hosp-Peri.	(4) Tot Hosp-Peri.
Panel A: All Relative Deaths				
Death During Pregnancy	0.00192**	0.00148	0.00351***	0.00294***
	[0.000924]	[0.00176]	[0.000892]	[0.00107]
Mean, dept. var	0.0737	0.102	0.0575	0.0646
Obs.	288606	288606	231398	231398
Panel B: Close Relative Deaths				
Death During Pregnancy	0.00107	-0.000250	0.00402**	0.00335
	[0.00174]	[0.00291]	[0.00192]	[0.00249]
Mean, dept. var	0.0660	0.0914	0.0595	0.0681
Obs.	84676	84676	46500	46500
Panel C: Maternal Parent/Sibling Deaths				
Death During Pregnancy	0.00140	-0.0000993	0.00396**	0.00326
	[0.00183]	[0.00299]	[0.00197]	[0.00257]
Mean, dept. var	0.0659	0.0908	0.0595	0.0680
Obs.	81036	81036	44634	44634

Note: See tables 1 and 2 for more information on the sample and controls. "Any Hosp-Peri." refers to an indicator for ever being hospitalized for a condition originating in the perinatal period. "Tot Hosp-Peri." refers to the total number of hospitalizations for conditions originating in the perinatal period. The sample in columns (3) and (4) is limited to cohorts born in 1987 or later (as the definition of perinatal conditions is not comparable with earlier years). Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception.
Significance levels: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01

Table 4: Effects of Relative Death *In Utero* on Prescription Use for Mental Health Conditions at Ages 9-11 and 34-36

	ADHD,9-11		Anx,34-36		Dep,34-36	
	(1) Any RX	(2) Avg. dose	(3) Any RX	(4) Avg. dose	(5) Any RX	(6) Avg. dose
Panel A: All Relative Deaths						
Death During Pregnancy	0.000973	0.0373	0.00499	0.0202	0.00517	0.404*
	[0.000933]	[0.0337]	[0.00306]	[0.0195]	[0.00373]	[0.235]
Mean, dept. var	0.0228	0.667	0.0685	0.217	0.114	4.664
Obs.	114906	114906	27641	27641	27641	27641
Panel B: Close Relative Deaths						
Death During Pregnancy	0.00620***	0.172**	0.00719**	0.0304	0.00736*	0.472*
	[0.00205]	[0.0774]	[0.00358]	[0.0210]	[0.00436]	[0.246]
Mean, dept. var	0.0244	0.713	0.0674	0.205	0.112	4.559
Obs.	20380	20380	22907	22907	22907	22907
Panel C: Maternal Parent/Sibling Deaths						
Death During Pregnancy	0.00648***	0.169**	0.00864**	0.0390*	0.00915**	0.553**
	[0.00210]	[0.0811]	[0.00367]	[0.0223]	[0.00441]	[0.259]
Mean, dept. var	0.0238	0.702	0.0666	0.204	0.111	4.546
Obs.	19605	19605	21763	21763	21763	21763

Note: See tables 1 and 2 for more information on the sample and controls. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception. Exact definitions of the prescription drug categories are given in Online Appendix E.
Significance levels: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01

Table 5: Effects of Relative Death *In Utero* on Physical and Mental Health Indices

	Physical Health Index			Mental Health Index		
	(1) All	(2) Close	(3) Mom Par/Sib	(4) All	(5) Close	(6) Mom Par/Sib
Death During Pregnancy	-0.00905***	-0.00737**	-0.00824***	-0.000129	-0.00724**	-0.00904**
	[0.00175]	[0.00293]	[0.00297]	[0.00188]	[0.00363]	[0.00365]
Mean, dept. var	-0.00854	-0.0185	-0.0165	-0.00172	0.00188	0.00369
Obs.	289087	84817	81177	280699	83581	79980

Note: See tables 1 and 2 for more information on the sample and controls. The physical health index consists of the 28 outcomes analyzed in Tables 2, A3, A5, 3, A10, and A9: continuous birth weight, low-birth-weight indicator, very-low-birth-weight indicator, high-birth-weight indicator, pre-term indicator, stillbirth indicator, perinatal death indicator, SGA indicator, LGA indicator, birth length, head circumference, c-section indicator, induced labor indicator, any hospitalizations by age 1, total hospitalizations by age 1, any hospitalizations for perinatal causes by age 1, total hospitalizations for perinatal causes by age 1, 7 indicators for ever purchasing a physical health prescription at any of the age categories we consider (4-6, 9-11, 14-16, 19-21, 24-26, 29-31, 34-36), and indicators for any hospitalizations by ages 5, 10, 18, and 27. The mental health index consists of 7 indicators for ever purchasing a mental health drug at any of the main age categories we consider in Figure 2 (4-6, 9-11, 14-16, 19-21, 24-26, 29-31, 34-36), as well as $2 \times 3 \times 7 = 42$ other outcomes comprised of our two measures—an indicator for ever purchasing the drug and the average daily dose—per condition (ADHD, anxiety, depression) and per age group (4-6, 9-11, 14-16, 19-21, 24-26, 29-31, 34-36). See text in Section 5 for more information on how the indices are constructed. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception.

Significance levels: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01

A   Additional Results

Figure A1: Effect of Relative Death on the Incidence of the Child Being Born Pre-term

Notes: The sample includes all children whose mother loses a family member—a sibling, a parent, a grandparent, the child's father, or an own (older) child—within 280 days of the child's estimated date of conception or in the year after birth. To assign exposure to treatment, we first calculate each child's estimated date of conception by subtracting the number of gestation days from the date of birth. This figure plots the coefficients (and 95% confidence intervals in dashed blue lines) on the effects of the death of a relative during the 1st-9th months of pregnancy. The omitted category is an indicator for the relative death occurring after 280 days (40 weeks) of gestation (i.e., post-childbirth in most cases). The outcome is an indicator for the child being born pre-term.

Figure A2: Effect of Relative Death on the Incidence of the Child Being Hospitalized for a Perinatal Condition by Age 1

Notes: The sample includes all children whose mother loses a family member—a sibling, a parent, a grandparent, the child's father, or an own (older) child—within 280 days of the child's estimated date of conception or in the year after birth. The sample is further limited to cohorts born in 1987 or later (as the definition of perinatal conditions is not comparable with earlier years). To assign exposure to treatment, we first calculate each child's estimated date of conception by subtracting the number of gestation days from the date of birth. This figure plots the coefficients (and 95% confidence intervals in dashed blue lines) on the effects of the death of a relative during the 1st-9th months of pregnancy. The omitted category is an indicator for the relative death occurring after 280 days (40 weeks) of gestation (i.e., post-childbirth in most cases). The outcome is an indicator for the child being ever hospitalized for a condition arising from the perinatal period by age 1.

Table A1: Correlation Between the Timing of Relative Death and Maternal Characteristics

	(1) M.Age	(2) 1st Par.	(3) M.Mar.	(4) M.Div	(5) M.Ed:<HS	(6) M.Ed:HS	(7) M.Ed:SomeColl	(8) M. Wage	(9) M. Foreign
Death During Pregnancy	-0.0103	0.0133***	-0.00201	-0.000280	-0.00111	-0.00205	0.00120	388.3	-0.00156***
	[0.0155]	[0.00188]	[0.00177]	[0.000555]	[0.00137]	[0.00164]	[0.00156]	[489.5]	[0.000482]
Mean, dept. var	27.88	0.496	0.311	0.0303	0.177	0.314	0.202	124317.5	0.0216
Obs.	295678	295678	295678	295678	289087	289087	289087	191074	295678

Note: See table 1 for more information on the sample. This table reports the correlation between exposure to relative death during pregnancy and maternal characteristics measured prior to conception. "M." denotes mothers' characteristics. All regressions control for fixed effects for the year and month of conception, the relative's age and age squared, as well as the mother's municipality of residence during the year prior to conception. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception.

Significance levels: * $p<0.1$ ** $p<0.05$ *** $p<0.01$

Table A2: Correlation Between the Timing of Relative Death and Paternal Characteristics

	(1) F.Age	(2) F.Mar.	(3) F.Div	(4) F.Ed:<HS	(5) F.Ed:HS	(6) F.Ed:SomeColl	(7) F. Wage
Death During Pregnancy	-0.00854 [0.0203]	-0.00161 [0.00189]	-0.000448 [0.000654]	-0.000751 [0.00154]	0.000718 [0.00156]	-0.0000391 [0.00148]	1022.6 [666.2]
Mean, dept. var	30.53	0.315	0.0397	0.193	0.351	0.187	208987.8
Obs.	293497	290663	290663	278483	278483	278483	187081

Note: See table 1 for more information on the sample. This table reports the correlation between exposure to relative death during pregnancy and paternal characteristics measured prior to conception. "F." denotes fathers' characteristics. All regressions control for fixed effects for the year and month of conception, the relative's age and age squared, as well as the mother's municipality of residence during the year prior to conception. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception.

Significance levels: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01

Table A3: Effects of Relative Death *In Utero* on Stillbirths, Perinatal Deaths, and Sex Ratio

	1st Parity			2nd Parity		
	(1) Stillb.	(2) Peri.Death	(3) Male Child	(4) Stillb.	(5) Peri.Death	(6) Male Child
Panel A: All Relative Deaths						
Death During Pregnancy	-0.000132	0.0000845	0.00159	0.0000365	0.000231	0.00151
	[0.000245]	[0.000411]	[0.00262]	[0.000257]	[0.000413]	[0.00313]
Mean, dept. var	0.00156	0.00393	0.514	0.00157	0.00363	0.514
Obs.	143309	143309	143309	99898	99898	99898
Panel B: Close Relative Deaths						
Death During Pregnancy	-0.000171	0.000625	0.00453	-0.000160	0.000132	0.00457
	[0.000483]	[0.000870]	[0.00544]	[0.000427]	[0.000752]	[0.00516]
Mean, dept. var	0.00181	0.00563	0.513	0.00144	0.00461	0.510
Obs.	31442	31442	31442	31241	31241	31241
Panel C: Maternal Parent/Sibling Deaths						
Death During Pregnancy	-0.000190	0.000997	0.00555	-0.000190	0.000280	0.00324
	[0.000498]	[0.000900]	[0.00543]	[0.000448]	[0.000782]	[0.00509]
Mean, dept. var	0.00188	0.00548	0.513	0.00150	0.00440	0.509
Obs.	30304	30304	30304	29999	29999	29999

Note: See tables 1 and 2 for more information on the sample and controls. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception.
Significance levels: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01

Table A4: Placebo Effects of Relative Death During Pregnancy on *Older* Sibling's Outcomes

	(1) LBW	(2) Pret.	(3) Any Per. Hosp. 1	(4) Any ADHD 9-11	(5) Any Anx 34-36	(6) Any Dep 34-36
Death during younger sib's gestation	0.000838 [0.00236]	-0.00114 [0.00240]	-0.00107 [0.00323]	0.00135 [0.00584]	-0.00110 [0.0139]	-0.00621 [0.0124]
Mean, dept. var	0.0316	0.0502	0.0500	0.0160	0.0632	0.104
Obs.	31582	31678	23905	2443	2437	2437

Note: See table 1 for more information on the sample. In this table we link all of the children in our analysis sample to their older siblings (if they exist). Siblings data is only available for children born in years 1973, 1977, 1983, 1988, 1995, 1999, 2001, and 2005. The table reports the coefficients on the (placebo) effects of a relative death during the younger child's gestation on the older sibling's birth outcomes. In column (3), the sample is further limited to siblings born in 1987 or later (as the definition of perinatal conditions is not comparable with earlier years). In columns (4)-(6), the sample is further limited to older siblings of children of mothers who experience the death of a parent or sibling. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception. Exact definitions of the prescription drug categories are given in Online Appendix E. All regressions control for fixed effects for the younger child's year and month of conception, as well as the mother's municipality of residence during the year prior to conception. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception.
Significance levels: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01

Table A5: Effects of Relative Death *In Utero* on Additional Birth Outcomes

	(1) SGA	(2) LGA	(3) Length	(4) Head	(5) C-sect	(6) Induced
Panel A: All Relative Deaths						
Death During Pregnancy	0.000603	0.000184	-0.0449***	-0.0352***	0.00388***	-0.00108
	[0.000623]	[0.000708]	[0.00941]	[0.00602]	[0.00125]	[0.00102]
Mean, dept. var	0.0267	0.0336	50.46	34.82	0.128	0.0701
Obs.	288334	288334	286026	278395	289087	289087
Panel B: Close Relative Deaths						
Death During Pregnancy	0.000225	-0.000324	-0.0377**	-0.0352***	0.00542**	0.00132
	[0.00116]	[0.00124]	[0.0162]	[0.0105]	[0.00219]	[0.00155]
Mean, dept. var	0.0348	0.0348	50.40	34.76	0.131	0.0472
Obs.	84584	84584	84016	82300	84817	84817
Panel C: Maternal Parent/Sibling Deaths						
Death During Pregnancy	0.0000839	-0.000228	-0.0408**	-0.0368***	0.00452**	0.00115
	[0.00122]	[0.00129]	[0.0170]	[0.0106]	[0.00221]	[0.00156]
Mean, dept. var	0.0345	0.0348	50.41	34.76	0.130	0.0474
Obs.	80956	80956	80427	78778	81177	81177

Note: See tables 1 and 2 for more information on the sample and controls. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception.

Significance levels: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01

Table A6: Effects of Relative Death *In Utero* on Birth Outcomes: Results by Trimester

	(1) Birwt	(2) LBW	(3) VLBW	(4) HBW	(5) Pret.
Death in 1st Trimester	-11.93***	0.00382***	0.00131***	-0.00517**	0.00652***
	[3.376]	[0.000939]	[0.000470]	[0.00236]	[0.00144]
Death in 2nd Trimester	-10.69***	0.00450***	0.000854**	-0.00539***	0.00653***
	[2.563]	[0.000902]	[0.000400]	[0.00191]	[0.00122]
Death in 3rd Trimester	-11.79***	0.00349***	0.00154***	-0.00452**	0.00553***
	[2.925]	[0.000965]	[0.000349]	[0.00204]	[0.00117]
Mean, dept. var	3546.3	0.0320	0.00511	0.188	0.0494
Obs.	288337	288337	288337	288337	289087

Note: See tables 1 and 2 for more information on the sample and controls. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception.
Significance levels: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01

Table A7: Effects of Relative Death *In Utero* on Additional Birth Outcomes: Results by Trimester

	(1) SGA	(2) LGA	(3) Length	(4) Head	(5) C-sect	(6) Induced
Death in 1st Trimester	0.000846	0.00134	-0.0382***	-0.0409***	0.00212	-0.00309**
	[0.000929]	[0.000964]	[0.0142]	[0.0101]	[0.00200]	[0.00143]
Death in 2nd Trimester	0.000675	-0.000291	-0.0325***	-0.0253***	0.00493***	-0.00189
	[0.000930]	[0.000978]	[0.0116]	[0.00845]	[0.00177]	[0.00134]
Death in 3rd Trimester	0.000325	-0.000396	-0.0622***	-0.0394***	0.00445**	0.00143
	[0.000758]	[0.00108]	[0.0131]	[0.00818]	[0.00178]	[0.00162]
Mean, dept. var	0.0267	0.0336	50.46	34.82	0.128	0.0701
Obs.	288334	288334	286026	278395	289087	289087

Note: See tables 1 and 2 for more information on the sample and controls. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception.
Significance levels: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01

Table A8: Effects of Relative Death *In Utero* on Hospitalizations by Age 1: Results by Trimester

	(1) Any Hosp	(2) Tot Hosp	(3) Any Hosp-Peri.	(4) Tot Hosp-Peri.
Death in 1st Trimester	0.00360**	0.00278	0.00467***	0.00436**
	[0.00154]	[0.00319]	[0.00147]	[0.00169]
Death in 2nd Trimester	0.00164	0.00223	0.00335**	0.00301*
	[0.00134]	[0.00247]	[0.00143]	[0.00162]
Death in 3rd Trimester	0.000703	-0.000338	0.00264**	0.00164
	[0.00138]	[0.00249]	[0.00127]	[0.00159]
Mean, dept. var	0.0737	0.102	0.0575	0.0646
Obs.	288606	288606	231398	231398

Note: See tables 1 and 2 for more information on the sample and controls. "Any Hosp-Peri." refers to an indicator for ever being hospitalized for a condition originating in the perinatal period. In columns (3) and (4), the sample is further limited to cohorts born in 1987 or later (as the definition of perinatal conditions is not comparable with earlier years). Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception.
Significance levels: * $p<0.1$ ** $p<0.05$ *** $p<0.01$

Table A9: Effects of Relative Death *In Utero* on Hospitalizations by Ages 5, 10, 18, and 27

	Any Hospitalizations By Age			
	(1) 5	(2) 10	(3) 18	(4) 27
Panel A: All Relative Deaths				
Death During Pregnancy	0.00133	-0.00108	0.00200	0.000583
	[0.00122]	[0.00150]	[0.00200]	[0.00222]
Mean, dept. var	0.113	0.136	0.182	0.191
Obs.	288606	204794	143349	81540
Panel B: Close Relative Deaths				
Death During Pregnancy	0.000831	-0.000814	0.000588	-0.00443
	[0.00223]	[0.00252]	[0.00358]	[0.00403]
Mean, dept. var	0.105	0.137	0.200	0.280
Obs.	84676	72135	60131	39320
Panel C: Maternal Parent/Sibling Deaths				
Death During Pregnancy	0.000645	-0.000783	0.00120	-0.00352
	[0.00224]	[0.00263]	[0.00355]	[0.00413]
Mean, dept. var	0.105	0.137	0.199	0.277
Obs.	81036	69010	57446	37496

Note: See tables 1 and 2 for more information on the sample and controls. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception.

Significance levels: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01

Table A10: Effects of Relative Death *In Utero* on Prescription Use for Physical Health Conditions (Obesity, Diabetes, Cushing's Syndrome, Hypo- & Hyperthyroidism, Cholesterol, and Beta Blockers) by Age

	Any Physical Health Prescriptions at Ages...						
	(1) 4-6	(2) 9-11	(3) 14-16	(4) 19-21	(5) 24-26	(6) 29-31	(7) 34-36
Panel A: All Relative Deaths							
Death During Pregnancy	0.000122	-0.000310	0.0000419	-0.000335	-0.00278*	-0.000986	0.00571*
	[0.000372]	[0.000533]	[0.000650]	[0.000890]	[0.00144]	[0.00221]	[0.00314]
Mean, dept. var	0.00437	0.00899	0.0154	0.0242	0.0359	0.0514	0.0701
Obs.	112330	114906	114593	101776	70043	47506	27641
Panel B: Close Relative Deaths							
Death During Pregnancy	0.000218	-0.000342	-0.000429	-0.00167	-0.00454**	-0.0000740	0.00554*
	[0.000961]	[0.00134]	[0.00169]	[0.00163]	[0.00203]	[0.00292]	[0.00333]
Mean, dept. var	0.00446	0.00888	0.0152	0.0243	0.0347	0.0504	0.0708
Obs.	17258	20380	25781	30886	31600	32334	22907
Panel C: Maternal Parent/Sibling Deaths							
Death During Pregnancy	0.000397	-0.000525	-0.000274	-0.00134	-0.00400*	0.0000956	0.00611*
	[0.000940]	[0.00142]	[0.00177]	[0.00161]	[0.00212]	[0.00302]	[0.00338]
Mean, dept. var	0.00417	0.00882	0.0154	0.0242	0.0349	0.0502	0.0706
Obs.	16561	19605	24754	29626	30266	30863	21763

Note: See tables 1 and 2 for more information on the sample and controls. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception. Exact definitions of the prescription drug categories are given in Online Appendix E. Significance levels: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01

Table A11: Effects of Relative Death *In Utero* on ADHD Prescription Use: Differences by Age During 2002-2014

	Ages 4-14			Ages 15-36		
	(1) Any Mental RX	(2) Any ADHD RX	(3) ADHD Avg Dose	(4) Any Mental RX	(5) Any ADHD RX	(6) ADHD Avg Dose
Death During Pregnancy	0.00837** [0.00336]	0.00325** [0.00152]	0.0921* [0.0474]	0.00116 [0.00384]	0.00129 [0.00114]	0.0226 [0.0398]
Mean, dept. var	0.0824	0.0253	0.513	0.385	0.0247	0.517
Obs.	33126	33126	33126	64854	64854	64854

Note: See tables 1 and 2 for more information on the sample and controls. The sample here is further limited to children of mothers who experience the death of a parent or a sibling. The first three columns consider the outcomes listed at ages 4-14 in our data, while the last three columns consider the outcomes listed at ages 15-36 in our data. Individuals who are at most 14 years old in our data were born in 2005-14=1991 or later. These cohorts were at most 11 years old in 2002, the first year when ADHD prescription drugs became readily available in Sweden. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception. Exact definitions of the prescription drug categories are given in Online Appendix E.

Significance levels: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01

Table A12: Are Effects of Relative Death *In Utero* on Mental Health Prescription Use in Adulthood Driven by "Precipitating Events"?

	Married, 34-36				Not Married, 34-36			
	(1) Any Anx RX	(2) Anx Avg. dose	(3) Any Dep RX	(4) Dep Avg. dose	(5) Any Anx RX	(6) Anx Avg. dose	(7) Any Dep RX	(8) Dep Avg. dose
Death During Pregnancy	0.0160*** [0.00559]	0.0634*** [0.0203]	0.0136* [0.00764]	0.913** [0.441]	0.00347 [0.00518]	0.0181 [0.0378]	0.00467 [0.00574]	0.236 [0.376]
Mean, dept. var	0.0613	0.135	0.104	3.977	0.0702	0.250	0.115	4.923
Obs.	8669	8669	8669	8669	13094	13094	13094	13094

Note: See tables 1 and 2 for more information on the sample and controls. The sample here is further limited to children of mothers who experience the death of a parent or a sibling. The first four columns limit the sample to children who are observed be married at ages 34-36. The last four columns limit the sample to children who are observed to not be married at ages 34-36. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception. Exact definitions of the prescription drug categories are given in Online Appendix E.

Significance levels: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01

Table A13: Effects of Relative Death *In Utero* on Maternal Pregnancy Behaviors and Characteristics

	(1) Adeq. PC	(2) Int. PC	(3) Highrisk	(4) Start Smoking	(5) Wgt Gain (kg)	(6) Hosp.∉Muni.	(7) Any Wage Inc.
Panel A: All Relative Deaths							
Death During Pregnancy	-0.00287	-0.00138	-0.00150	0.000225	-0.0155	0.000534	0.0000877
	[0.00228]	[0.00177]	[0.00147]	[0.000242]	[0.0331]	[0.00103]	[0.00129]
Mean, dept. var	0.828	0.914	0.166	0.00370	13.96	0.117	0.927
Obs.	138453	138453	289087	288606	101330	289087	191916
Panel B: Close Relative Deaths							
Death During Pregnancy	-0.0116*	-0.0105**	0.00185	0.000628	-0.0837	0.00101	-0.00101
	[0.00631]	[0.00459]	[0.00277]	[0.000475]	[0.0700]	[0.00218]	[0.00277]
Mean, dept. var	0.814	0.900	0.111	0.00299	13.55	0.107	0.906
Obs.	22208	22208	84817	84676	26752	84817	34873
Panel C: Maternal Parent/Sibling Deaths							
Death During Pregnancy	-0.0126**	-0.0111**	0.00145	0.000502	-0.0715	0.00135	-0.00131
	[0.00632]	[0.00478]	[0.00277]	[0.000483]	[0.0714]	[0.00223]	[0.00287]
Mean, dept. var	0.816	0.902	0.112	0.00279	13.55	0.106	0.911
Obs.	21328	21328	81177	81036	25712	81177	33496

Note: See tables 1 and 2 for more information on the sample and controls. "Adeq. PC" and "Int. PC" are indicators for the mother's prenatal care being adequate and intermediate, respectively. These measures use the Kotelchuk Index (Kotelchuck, 1994), which compares the number of prenatal visits received to the number of expected visits, adjusting for gestational age when care began and gestational age at delivery. Adequate prenatal care means that the ratio of observed to expected visits is at least 80%. Intermediate prenatal care means that the ratio of observed to expected visits is 50-79%. "Highrisk" is an indicator for the mother having any of the following conditions during pregnancy: diabetes, kidney disease, epilepsy, asthma, hypertension, or urinary infection. "Start Smoking" is an indicator for the mother initiating smoking during pregnancy. "Wgt Gain" is the mother's total pregnancy weight gain in kilograms. "Hosp.∉Muni." is an indicator for the mother's hospital at which she gives birth being in a different municipality than her municipality of residence. "Any Wage Inc." is an indicator for the mother having positive wage income in the year of conception or the year after. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception.

Significance levels: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01

Table A14: Effects of Relative Death *In Utero* on the *Mother's* Prescription Use for Mental Health Conditions

	All mental	ADHD		Anxiety		Depression	
	(1) Any RX	(2) Any RX	(3) Avg. dose	(4) Any RX	(5) Avg. dose	(6) Any RX	(7) Avg. dose
Panel A: All Relative Deaths							
Death During Pregnancy	-0.000436	0.000434	-0.000589	-0.0000939	0.00571	0.000124	0.0243
	[0.00161]	[0.000292]	[0.00586]	[0.00115]	[0.00540]	[0.00127]	[0.0507]
Mean, dept. var	0.318	0.00560	0.0727	0.102	0.193	0.137	3.223
Obs.	288606	288606	288606	288606	288606	288606	288606
Panel B: Close Relative Deaths							
Death During Pregnancy	0.00146	-0.000304	-0.00316	-0.00364	0.00823	0.00298	0.0667
	[0.00332]	[0.000438]	[0.00961]	[0.00253]	[0.0128]	[0.00205]	[0.0765]
Mean, dept. var	0.337	0.00455	0.0535	0.110	0.234	0.141	2.937
Obs.	84676	84676	84676	84676	84676	84676	84676
Panel C: Maternal Parent/Sibling Deaths							
Death During Pregnancy	0.000164	-0.000272	-0.00161	-0.00363	0.00702	0.00318	0.0662
	[0.00335]	[0.000456]	[0.00951]	[0.00256]	[0.0129]	[0.00209]	[0.0746]
Mean, dept. var	0.335	0.00432	0.0514	0.109	0.230	0.139	2.922
Obs.	81036	81036	81036	81036	81036	81036	81036

Note: See tables 1 and 2 for more information on the sample and controls. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception. Exact definitions of the prescription drug categories are given in Online Appendix E. Significance levels: * $p<0.1$ ** $p<0.05$ *** $p<0.01$

Table A15: Effects of Relative Death *In Utero* on Main Outcomes: Heterogeneity by Maternal Education

	(1) LBW	(2) Pret.	(3) Any Per. Hosp. 1	(4) Any ADHD 9-11	(5) Any Anx 34-36	(6) Any Dep 34-36
Death During Pregnancy	0.00372***	0.00536***	0.00341***	0.00481	0.00779	0.0103
	[0.000817]	[0.00109]	[0.00120]	[0.00293]	[0.00535]	[0.00827]
Mom Low Ed (HS or	0.00853***	0.00759***	0.0114***	0.0101***	0.0152***	0.0138*
less)	[0.000929]	[0.00118]	[0.00147]	[0.00383]	[0.00432]	[0.00752]
Mom Low Ed*Death	-0.000135	0.00160	-0.0000795	0.00244	-0.00122	-0.00230
During Preg	[0.00126]	[0.00165]	[0.00190]	[0.00505]	[0.00697]	[0.0102]
Mean, dept. var	0.0307	0.0483	0.0577	0.0235	0.0658	0.110
Obs.	272907	273597	221999	18852	20387	20387

Note: See tables 1 and 2 for more information on the sample and controls. In column (3), the sample is further limited to cohorts born in 1987 or later (as the definition of perinatal conditions is not comparable with earlier years). In columns (4)-(6), the sample is further limited to children of mothers who experience the death of a parent or sibling. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception. Exact definitions of the prescription drug categories are given in Online Appendix E.

Significance levels: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01

Table A16: Effects of Relative Death *In Utero* on the Mother's Subsequent Fertility

	Dep. Var: Mother Has Subsequent Children		
	(1) All Deaths	(2) Close Relative Deaths	(3) Maternal Parent/Sib Deaths
Death During Pregnancy	0.0149*** [0.00356]	0.0133* [0.00679]	0.00636 [0.00663]
Mean, dept. var	0.488	0.407	0.408
Obs.	50802	16454	15724

Note: See tables 1 and 2 for more information on the sample and controls. In this table we link all of the children in our analysis sample to their older siblings (if they exist). Siblings data is only available for children born in years 1973, 1977, 1983, 1988, 1995, 1999, 2001, and 2005. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception.

Significance levels: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01

B   Hypotheses and Related Literature: An Extended Discussion

In Section 2, we provide a short description of our hypotheses regarding the impact of exposure to stress on physical health at birth and later in life, differential effects across gestational age at exposure, as well as differential effects with respect to the severity of stress. Here we provide a more extensive discussion of each of these hypotheses, by drawing on the burgeoning literature on early-life shocks (see Almond and Currie, 2011 for a review).

Implications from Evidence on Physical *In Utero* Shocks   First, a large number of existing studies point to adverse effects of exposure to *physical* insults during the fetal period on both birth outcomes and later life physical health and economic well-being.[54] The evidence on the consequences of purely *psychological* stressors is more limited, as studies that exploit variation from extreme and rare events like natural disasters and terrorist attacks are limited in their ability to separate the effects of *in utero* stress exposure from any post-natal responses, as well as from the physical health and economic insults associated with these events.[55] Our empirical methodology (described in detail in Section 4) and focus on a nearly universal stressor are designed to overcome these limitations.

Despite the scarce direct evidence on psychological stressors, the medical and epidemiological literature that tries to identify the mechanisms through which the effects of physical insults operate suggests that maternal stress during pregnancy plays a key role. For example, one hypothesis for why malnutrition during pregnancy harms the unborn child is that nutritional restrictions in the mother inhibit the development of a placental enzyme that is required to convert the stress hormone cortisol into inactive cortisone. Thus, as a consequence of maternal malnutrition, the fetus is exposed to excessive amounts of cortisol *in utero*. Overexposure to cortisol, in turn, is believed to lead to a reprogramming of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPA), which could lead to impaired fetal development and worse health in adult age.[56] If stress in fact drives the adverse effects of physical insults such as malnutrition, then a rigorous analysis of the causal effects of *in utero* exposure to stress can provide new insights on the determinants of health and human capital formation more broadly. As such, we expect that exposure to maternal stress due to the death of a relative during the fetal period may have damaging effects on outcomes at birth and in later life.

Long-Term Effects on Physical Health   Second, when it comes to physical health outcomes specifically, the "fetal origins hypothesis," originally put forth by epidemiologist David J. Barker, argues that poor

---

[54]See, e.g.,Van den Berg, Lindeboom and Portrait (2006); Almond, Edlund, Li and Zhang (2010); Hoynes, Page and Stevens (2011); Almond, Hoynes and Schanzenbach (2011); Almond and Mazumder (2012); Hoynes, Schanzenbach and Almond (Forthcoming); Scholte, Van Den Berg and Lindeboom (2015); Rossin-Slater (2013) on malnutrition; Almond (2006); Barreca (2010) on disease outbreaks; Almond, Edlund and Palme (2009); Black, Butikofer, Devereux and Salvanes (2013) on radiation; and Sanders (2012); Isen, Rossin-Slater and Walker (Forthcoming) on air pollution.

[55]See, for example, evidence on hurricanes (Simeonova, 2011; Currie and Rossin-Slater, 2013), earthquakes (Tan et al., 2009; Glynn et al., 2001; Torche, 2011), and the terrorist attacks of September 11 (Berkowitz et al., 2003; Lederman et al., 2004; Lauderdale, 2006; Eskenazi et al., 2007). Another recent paper uses *in utero* exposure to the Superbowl to identify the effects of prenatal stress on birth outcomes (Duncan et al., 2015).

[56]See Dunkel Schetter (2011) as well as a review of the literature in Jaddoe (2006). Also see Online Appendix F for a more detailed discussion.

conditions *in-utero* can lead to latent effects on disease much later in life (Barker, 1990). However, while there is ample evidence both from economics and epidemiology supporting Barker's hypothesis, this evidence comes from studies of adults who are older than the individuals in our sample. For example, Almond (2006) documents that individuals exposed to the 1918 influenza pandemic *in utero* are more likely to be disabled in their 50s and 60s, and Hoynes et al. (Forthcoming) show that access to food stamps early in life leads to a significant reduction in the incidence of "metabolic syndrome" in a sample that includes individuals up to age 55.[57] This evidence suggests that—even if *in utero* exposure to psychological stress from family ruptures has a latent effect on physical health that appears in older ages—the time horizon over which we track our sample may not be sufficient for us to measure it, as the oldest individuals that we observe are in their thirties.

Moreover, Black, Devereux and Salvanes (2016)'s analysis of deaths of maternal parents during pregnancy in Norway shows small detrimental impacts on birth outcomes, but no effects on adult BMI. Evidence from this closely related paper also suggests that we may not detect any adverse physical health effects in adulthood.[58]

Differential Effects Across Gestational Age at Time of Shock   Third, the existing literature provides some guidance on why we might expect to see differential effects across gestational age due to *physical* shocks such as infections. For example, Robinson (2013) argues that infections in early pregnancy increase the likelihood of symmetric growth restriction of the fetus (proportional growth restriction in the brain and body), while infections in later pregnancy may affect the likelihood of asymmetric growth restriction (brain growth not restricted; only body). While both types exhibit physical health impairments in later life, only the symmetric type shows long-term brain or cognitive impairments. Empirical evidence on the effects of disease outbreaks supports this hypothesis to some extent—for example, Almond (2006)'s seminal study on the 1918 influenza pandemic in the U.S. finds the strongest long-term economic effects for cohorts exposed during their first trimester. On the other hand, follow-up work on *in utero* exposure to the flu in Taiwan does not find differential impacts across the three trimesters (Lin and Liu, 2014). Moreover, studies on the impacts of nutritional and environmental shocks *in utero* offer mixed evidence—some find differential effects across gestational age while others do not.[59]

---

[57]The "metabolic syndrome" in Hoynes et al. (Forthcoming) is a composite index measure that includes obesity, high blood pressure, and diabetes. Consistent with this evidence, epidemiological studies have documented a correlation between *in utero* exposure to the Dutch famine of 1944 and a higher incidence of obesity and heart disease when the individuals reached middle age (Susser and Lin, 1992).

[58]Another related paper is Li Jiong and Sorensen (2010), who use Danish data to compare the Body Mass Index (BMI) of children of mothers who experienced a death during pregnancy to children of those who did not. However, an important limitation is that this study does not fully account for non-random exposure to death.

[59]For example, Almond et al. (2011) demonstrate that the effects of access to Food Stamps on birth weight are most apparent in the third trimester. By contrast, Almond and Mazumder (2011)'s study of Ramadan fasting finds that the effects on birth weight are not statistically different across different months of pregnancy, and the coefficients are individually significant for exposure in months 1, 2, 5, and 7. Unfortunately, Hoynes et al. (Forthcoming)'s work on the long-term effects of early-life access to Food Stamps does not explore differences in effects across gestational age. When it comes to the literature on environmental shocks, studies on the impacts of radiation exposure consistently find the largest damaging effects on cognitive ability in months 3 and 4 of pregnancy, during a particularly sensitive period of fetal brain development (Almond et al., 2009; Black et al., 2013).

Most relevant to our paper, however, is the literature that attempts to isolate the effects of psychological stress. Here, again, the evidence is quite inconclusive. Studies exploiting various extreme shocks stemming from natural disasters and terrorist attacks offer varying results.[60] Importantly, Black, Devereux and Salvanes (2016)—the only other study to examine the impacts of *in utero* exposure to maternal bereavement—find that the impacts on birth outcomes are very similar across different trimesters of exposure.

Finally, given the relative dearth of evidence on the relationship between *in utero* shocks and later life mental health, it is hard to determine what pattern one should expect. Almond and Mazumder (2011) find that Ramadan fasting in the first month of pregnancy has a statistically significant effect on mental disabilties in older age, while Adhvaryu et al. (2014) do not analyze differences in exposure across gestational age. Malaspina et al. (2008) show some differential impacts of exposure to the Arab-Israeli War on schizophrenia across months of pregnancy (strongest effects in months two and three), but find no statistically significant differences across trimesters.

Thus, we believe that the existing literature does not provide a clear picture of whether we should expect *in utero* exposure to maternal stress to have differential effects across gestational age, and hope that our analysis of this issue can contribute to the current evidence.

Differential Effects With Respect to the Severity of Stress Exposure   Fourth, throughout the paper, we explore differential effects of exposure to maternal stress with respect to the intensity of stress exposure, as captured by the distance in the family tree between the mother and the passing relative.

In contrast with the abundance of studies estimating differential effects across gestational age at the time of shock, the existing literature provides relatively little guidance on whether we might expect to see heterogeneous effects with respect to the intensity of the shock. To the best of our knowledge, only a few existing studies analyze a range of shocks of the same type but of differential intensity.[61] Most closely related to our paper, Aizer et al. (Forthcoming) explore potential non-linearities in the effect of stress by separately analyzing different quartile ranges of the maternal cortisol distribution. Interestingly, the effects on birth outcomes do not vary with the severity of stress exposure. By contrast, the adverse impacts on

---

On the other hand, Bharadwaj et al. (2014)'s work on the effects of air pollution on fourth grade test scores finds statistically significant effects of similar magnitudes in both the first and third trimesters in a disadvantaged sub-sample. Due to data constraints, Isen et al. (Forthcoming) are unable to explore differential effects across gestational age in their analysis of the impacts of air pollution on long-run earnings.

[60]For instance, Currie and Rossin-Slater (2013)'s analysis of hurricanes does not find any statistically different effects across trimesters of exposure. Similarly, Mansour and Rees (2012) show that the impacts of exposure to the Arab-Israeli war are similar across the different months of pregnancy. On the other hand, Eskenazi et al. (2007), Camacho (2008), and Torche (2011) find the strongest effects in the first trimester when analyzing the September 11th terrorist attacks, landmine explosions, and a large earthquake, respectively.

[61]There is more evidence if we compare *across* studies from different contexts. For example, when it comes to malnutrition, *in utero* exposure to the 1959-1961 Chinese famine (Almond et al., 2010) is likely associated with a more severe level of nutritional deprivation than exposure to regular fasting under Ramadan (Almond and Mazumder, 2011). However, differences in effects across these two studies cannot be entirely attributed to heterogeneous treatment effects with respect to the intensity of the *in utero* shock; there are many other factors that are different across the two contexts. In light of this issue, we view the fact that our methodology permits a detailed exploration of differential effects with respect to the intensity of shock *in the same context* as a contribution.

cognition—captured by child IQ at age 7 and educational attainment—are the largest for the most severe stress; in fact, the effects on cognitive outcomes are not statistically significant in the linear specifications, but are instead driven entirely by the highest quartile of the maternal cortisol distribution. This evidence suggests that mental health and cognition outcomes may be more sensitive to the severity of stress exposure than birth outcomes.[62] Medical research supports the conjecture that adverse impacts on mental health require a very high exposure to the stress hormone cortisol. The relationship between cortisol and cognitive function is believed to be non-linear: while exposure to lower levels of the stress hormone is not deemed harmful, a range of adverse mental conditions have been associated with excessive exposure to the stress hormone.[63]

C Analyzing the Correlation between Treatment and First Parity

We explored the correlation between treatment and first parity births in detail, and conclude that it is mechanically driven by differential seasonality in conceptions by parity that coincides with a seasonal pattern in relative deaths. In particular, Appendix Figure C1a plots the distribution of months of conception by parity. We see that first parity births are more likely than second parity births to be conceived during October-April (i.e., the winter months in Sweden). By contrast, second parity births are more likely than first parity births to be conceived in May-September (i.e., the summer months). Appendix Figure C1b plots the distribution of the relatives' months of death in our sample, showing that relatives are more likely to die in the winter months than in the summer months. Put differently, relatives are more likely to die in the same months when first parity births are more likely to be conceived, which leads to a mechanical correlation between treatment—death during pregnancy—and first parity. Appendix Figures C1c and C1d show that the same seasonal patterns of birth by parity and of death are present in the entire Swedish population (using all births and deaths between 1969 and 2009).[64]

Appendix Figure C2 plots histograms of the distribution of the distance in days between the relative's death date and the child's conception date for the whole sample and separately by first and second parity. The graphs show that the distribution of this distance is relatively uniform for first parity births in our sample. However, there are "missing" observations during the first half of the pregnancy among second parity births, consistent with the fact that second parity births are less likely to experience the death of a

---

[62]Aizer et al. (Forthcoming)'s finding that the impacts on birth outcomes do not vary with the severity of stress exposure is broadly consistent with Currie and Rossin-Slater (2013)'s analysis of hurricanes. For a range of close distances to the path of the hurricane, they find that the estimated impacts are relatively flat; the impacts only fade at larger distances with mild exposure.

[63]In humans, excessive cortisol exposure *in utero* is associated with impairment of brain development (see e.g., Yu et al. (2004)) and with poor mental and motor development (see e.g., Huizink et al. (2003)).

[64]The differential seasonality of births by parity arises from a financial incentive for tight child spacing in Sweden, which is often referred to as the "30 months rule" (Sundström and Stafford, 1992). This incentive stems from the structure of parental leave benefits: a mother who has a second child within 30 months of the birth of her first child is eligible to receive a parental leave benefit that is determined based on her earnings before the birth of her *first* (and not second) child. Since many mothers reduce labor force participation and earnings after the birth of their first child, having a second child within the 30 month window usually leads to a higher benefit. The seasonal pattern of deaths is attributed to exposure to cold weather in the winter months.

relative during early pregnancy due to the seasonal patterns discussed above.[65] To address this issue, all of our analyses include month of conception and parity fixed effects, and we show that our results are also robust to the inclusion of parity×month of conception interactions in Online Appendix D. Moreover, we demonstrate that our results remain strong when we limit our sample to first parity births only, which, as noted above, exhibit a relatively uniform distribution of the distance between the relative death date and the child's date of conception.

Figure C1: Distributions of Month of Conception by Parity and Relatives' Months of Death

(a) Our Sample: Child's Month of Conception

(b) Our Sample: Relative's Month of Death

(c) Population: Child's Month of Conception

(d) Population: Month of Death

Notes: Sub-figure (a) plots the distributions of the month of conception by parity. Sub-figure (b) plots the distribution of the relative month of death in our sample. Sub-figure (c) plots the distributions of month of conceptions by parity in the entire population. Note that, because we only have information on the date of birth, but not the date of conception, for the entire population, this graph is made assuming that the date of conception is 9 months before the date of birth. The sample includes all births in Sweden between 1969 and 2009. Sub-figure (d) plots the distribution of months of death in the entire population. The sample includes all deaths in Sweden between 1969 and 2009.

---

[65]Distributions for third and higher parity births are similar to the distribution for first parity births. Only second parity births exhibit the "missing observations" pattern.

Figure C2: Distribution of Relative Death Dates Around Child's Expected Birth Date

(a) All Observations

(b) 1st Parity

(c) 2nd Parity

Notes: The sample includes all children whose mother loses a family member—a sibling, a parent, a grandparent, the child's father, or an own (older) child—within 280 days of the child's estimated date of conception or in the year after birth. The graphs plot histograms of the distribution of the distance in days between the relative death date and the child's conception date. The vertical red line in each graph depicts the expected birth date at 280 days post-conception.

D   Supplemental Results

Two-Stage Least Squares Models   As described in Section 4, our key treatment variable is an indicator for a relative's death occurring between the child's date of conception and the *expected* date of birth at 280 days after conception. However, we can also use this variable to instrument for exposure to death before the child's *actual* date of birth. Appendix Table D1 presents results from two-stage least squares (2SLS) specifications for our main outcomes of interest. As the instrument (relative death before expected birth date) is different from the actual exposure variable (relative death before actual birth date) for only about 1 percent of the individuals in our data, the first stage is very strong with a coefficient of around 0.97. The 2SLS results are quite similar to the main ones we present above.

"Exogenous" and Unexpected Deaths   The reliability of our results rests on the assumption that the timing of relative death within a narrow time frame surrounding the expected date of birth is uncorrelated with other factors that may affect child outcomes. We have already shown that this timing is generally uncorrelated with a variety of observable parental characteristics, and that there are no placebo effects on older siblings' birth outcomes. Now, we also explore the sensitivity of our findings to sample limitations based on causes of death that are determined to be more exogenous than others.

More specifically, we turn to the work of Adda, Björklund and Holmlund (2011), who study the effect of parental death around age 18 on children's educational and labor market outcomes in Sweden. To find plausibly exogenous causes of deaths, Adda, Björklund and Holmlund (2011) test for a placebo correlation between a death occurring after an outcome is determined. So, for example, a death occurring shortly after age 18 cannot affect scores on a cognitive test taken at a younger age. They determine that the following causes of death pass this exogeneity test: endocrine and metabolic diseases, accidents, and other causes.[66] Appendix Table D2 presents results for our main outcomes where we limit the sample to only these three causes of death. Although we lose some power with the sample size reductions, the results are qualitatively similar to the main ones presented above.[67]

We also study plausibly unexpected causes of death by focusing on relative deaths from cardiovascular conditions (i.e., heart attacks) and instantaneous deaths from accidents in Appendix Table D3. Again, results remain qualitatively similar to our main ones (although both the point estimate and the standard errors are larger), suggesting that anticipation of relative deaths is unlikely to substantially bias our estimates.

Heterogeneity by Proximity of Mother to the Relative   So far, we have used the closeness of the deceased relative to the mother on the family tree as a proxy for the severity of stress. Alternatively, one could imagine using the geographical distance between the relative's home and the mother's home to measure

---

[66]Other causes are all causes except infectious and parasitic disease, neoplasms, endocrine and metabolic diseases, mental and behavioral disorders, circulatory system, respiratory system, digestive system, accidents, suicides and homicides.

[67]We unfortunately cannot replicate the method used by Adda et al. (2011) to determine which causes of death are exogenous in our sample. To do this, we would need to have a comparison group of children who do not experience a relative death surrounding the time of their birth. However, our sample contains only individuals who experience a relative death within a limited time frame of childbirth.

"closeness". However, physical proximity to a relative may not only capture the closeness between the mother and the relative, but also the closeness of the *child's* relationship with the relative. As a consequence, post-natal stress from bereavement experienced by the child may be greater when the relative lives nearby (e.g., the death of a frequently-visiting grandmother who lived close to the child may be a bigger shock if it happens after birth than before). In this case, comparing *in utero* with post-natal deaths would lead to an underestimate of the effect of pre-natal stress. Consistent with this story, when we explore the heterogeneity in effects by the physical proximity of the mother to the deceased relative in Appendix Table D4, we see somewhat stronger effects for deaths of relatives who lived in different municipalities than the mothers.

Inheritances and the Severity of Stress   We find that some of the adverse mental health effects arise when the deceased is a close relative of the expectant mother (such as her parent or sibling), but not when we consider deaths of other more distant relatives (namely, grandparents). As discussed above, we interpret this difference as resulting from varying degrees of emotional stress associated with the relative's passing. An alternative interpretation is that the adverse effects are equal, but that a grandparent's death entails a larger income transfer to the family than the death of other closer relatives. Such an income effect could assuage any adverse effects of stress associated with the passing of a grandparent.

To shed light on this alternative interpretation, three sources of income are relevant: bequests, generation-skipping transfers, and life insurance payouts. Appendix Table D5 displays these three sources of income following the death of a parent and grandparent, respectively, for the universe of deaths in Sweden occurring from 2002 to 2005.[68] The three leftmost columns display the average amount in SEK in each class of recipients, i.e., *not* the average amount conditional on the amount received being greater than zero. The rightmost column displays the sum of the three income classes.

Column 1 shows the average amount received as inheritance following the death of a relative: SEK $30,000$ ($\$4,560$) from a parent and SEK $7,000$ ($\$1,064$) from a grandparent.[69] The second relevant possibility to receive income in conjunction with a grandparent's passing is through a generation-skipping transfer. Column 2 shows that the unconditional mean of the generation-skipping transfer to grandchildren is SEK $32,000$ ($\$4,864$), an amount roughly similar to the unconditional average inheritance from a parent. While these numbers are averages based on the entire population rather than our sample alone, and while inheritances and generation-skipping transfers only occur for a strict subset of all deaths, these statistics indicate that inheritances and generation-skipping transfers together are likely not much larger when a grandparent dies than when a parent dies. Finally, column 3 shows that insurance payouts are small and uncommon. Together

---

[68]We display average amounts for the universe of deaths in Sweden—and not only for our sample—because the bequest data are not linked to our dataset. Moreover, bequests data exist for the years 2002 to 2005 only. We do not observe bequests or life insurance payouts from sibling deaths.

[69]Inheritance from a parent is far more common than inheritance from a grandparent. This is understandable in light of the fact that, in the absence of a will, an individual only inherits from her grandparent if her own parents are deceased. Moreover, less than 20 percent of all deceased in Sweden write a will; further, writing a will only enables transfer of 50% of the assets, while the remainder must be allocated according to the above-mentioned inheritance rules. These amounts presented in the table, however, represent averages across all spouses, children, or grandchildren of all deceased individuals, i.e., the table displays the unconditional amounts.

these facts suggest that losing a grandparent does not entail a larger positive income effect than losing other (closer) relatives.

Addressing the Correlation Between Treatment, Parity, and Foreign-Born Mothers As discussed in Section 4 and in detail in Online Appendix C, we find that our treatment variable—death during pregnancy—is statistically significantly correlated with two characteristics, child parity and the mother's place of origin. We conduct several analyses to show that these correlations are not driving our main results.

First, Appendix Table D6 presents the results for our main outcomes of interest separately by first and second parity births. Given that second parity births exhibit "missing" observations in the distribution of the distance between the relative's death date and the child's conception date, it is reassuring that our results remain strong when we only focus on first parity births in Panel A.

Second, to account for the differential seasonality in births by parity, we estimate specifications that control for parity×month-of-conception fixed effects in Appendix Table D7, with results similar to the main ones presented above.

Third, in Appendix Table D8, we drop foreign-born mothers as this group exhibits a highly skewed distribution of the distance between the relative's death date and the child's conception date. Our results remain largely unchanged.

Table D1: 2SLS Effects of Relative Death *In Utero* on Main Outcomes

	(1) LBW	(2) Pret.	(3) Any Per. Hosp. 1	(4) Any ADHD 9-11	(5) Any Anx 34-36	(6) Any Dep 34-36
Death Before Childbirth	0.00404*** [0.000651]	0.00635*** [0.000862]	0.00361*** [0.000917]	0.00667*** [0.00213]	0.00888** [0.00372]	0.00940** [0.00447]
Mean, dept. var	0.0320	0.0494	0.0575	0.0238	0.0666	0.111
First Stage Coef.	0.971	0.971	0.971	0.972	0.973	0.973
First Stage F-Stat	4732830.8	4745576.4	3688443.6	321520.3	358656.9	358656.9
Obs.	288294	289044	231398	19604	21715	21715

Note: See tables 1 and 2 for more information on the sample and controls. In column (3), the sample is further limited to cohorts born in 1987 or later (as the definition of perinatal conditions is not comparable with earlier years). In columns (4)-(6), the sample is further limited to children of mothers who experience the death of a parent or sibling. In these regressions, the explanatory variable is an indicator for the death of a relative occurring between a child's date of conception and date of birth. It is instrumented by an indicator for the death of a relative occurring between a child's date of conception and his *expected* date of birth (at 280 days post-conception). Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception. Exact definitions of the prescription drug categories are given in Online Appendix E.

Significance levels: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01

Table D2: Effects of Relative Death *In Utero* on Main Outcomes: "Exogenous Deaths"

	(1) LBW	(2) Pret.	(3) Any Per. Hosp. 1	(4) Any ADHD 9-11	(5) Any Anx 34-36	(6) Any Dep 34-36
Death During Pregnancy	0.00176	0.00687**	0.00457*	0.0185**	0.0159	0.0188
	[0.00207]	[0.00270]	[0.00276]	[0.00739]	[0.0121]	[0.0145]
Mean, dept. var	0.0323	0.0506	0.0564	0.0288	0.0680	0.111
Obs.	34349	34447	28560	2502	2352	2352

Note: See tables 1 and 2 for more information on the sample and controls. The sample is further limited to children of mothers who experience a relative death from causes determined to be exogenous in Adda et al. (2011). These are deaths from endocrine and metabolic causes, accidents, and other causes. In column (3), the sample is further limited to cohorts born in 1987 or later (as the definition of perinatal conditions is not comparable with earlier years). In columns (4)-(6), the sample is further limited to children of mothers who experience the death of a parent or sibling. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception.
Significance levels: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01

Table D3: Effects of Relative Death *In Utero* on Main Outcomes: "Sudden Deaths"

	(1) LBW	(2) Pret.	(3) Any Per. Hosp. 1	(4) Any ADHD 9-11	(5) Any Anx 34-36	(6) Any Dep 34-36
Death During Pregnancy	0.00341***	0.00692***	0.00362***	0.0117***	0.00898*	0.00779
	[0.000881]	[0.00131]	[0.00132]	[0.00359]	[0.00514]	[0.00679]
Mean, dept. var	0.0328	0.0502	0.0580	0.0247	0.0685	0.111
Obs.	148477	148836	117919	7419	10791	10791

Note: See tables 1 and 2 for more information on the sample and controls. The sample is further limited to children mothers who experience a relative death from "sudden" causes—cardiovascular causes (i.e., heart attacks) and instantaneous deaths from accidents. In column (3), the sample is further limited to cohorts born in 1987 or later (as the definition of perinatal conditions is not comparable with earlier years). In columns (4)-(6), the sample is further limited to children of mothers who experience the death of a parent or sibling. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception.
Significance levels: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01

Table D4: Effects of Relative Death *In Utero* on Main Outcomes: By Whether Relative Lived in Same Muni. as Mother

	(1) LBW	(2) Pret.	(3) Any Per. Hosp. 1	(4) Any ADHD 9-11	(5) Any Anx 34-36	(6) Any Dep 34-36
Panel A: Same Muni as Mother						
Death During Pregnancy	0.00404***	0.00687***	0.00219	0.00746**	-0.0000843	0.00119
	[0.00107]	[0.00146]	[0.00167]	[0.00310]	[0.00530]	[0.00714]
Mean, dept. var	0.0343	0.0519	0.0600	0.0233	0.0681	0.110
Obs.	113033	113338	86790	9103	9891	9891
Panel B: Different Muni than Mother						
Death During Pregnancy	0.00400***	0.00577***	0.00453***	0.00620**	0.0159***	0.0149**
	[0.000796]	[0.000987]	[0.00110]	[0.00291]	[0.00533]	[0.00645]
Mean, dept. var	0.0305	0.0478	0.0560	0.0242	0.0654	0.111
Obs.	175299	175744	144605	10502	11872	11872

Note: See tables 1 and 2 for more information on the sample and controls. In Panel A, the sample is limited to children of mothers whose relatives lived in the same municipalities as them. In Panel B, the sample is limited to children of mothers whose relatives lived in different municipalities than they did. In column (3), the sample is further limited to cohorts born in 1987 or later (as the definition of perinatal conditions is not comparable with earlier years). In columns (4)-(6), the sample is further limited to children of mothers who experience the death of a parent or sibling. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception.

Significance levels: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01

Table D5: Inheritances, Generation-Skipping Transfers, and Life Insurance Payouts

	Average amount (SEK), specific transfer class			Total amount (SEK)
Deceased relative	Inheritance	Generation-skipping transfer	Life Insurance Payout	All classes
Parent	30000	7000	1500	38500
Grandparent	7000	32000	500	39500

Note: The table presents average amounts of the three sources of income following the death of a relative—inheritances, generation-skipping transfers and life insurance payouts—from a deceased parent and grandparent, respectively. For each income type, the three leftmost columns displays the average amount in Swedish Krona (SEK) in each class of recipients, i.e., *not* the average amount conditional on the amount received being greater than zero. The rightmost column displays the sum of the three income classes.

Table D6: Effects of Relative Death *In Utero* on Main Outcomes: By Parity

	(1) LBW	(2) Pret.	(3) Any Per. Hosp. 1	(4) Any ADHD 9-11	(5) Any Anx 34-36	(6) Any Dep 34-36
Panel A: 1st Parity						
Death During Pregnancy	0.00504***	0.00753***	0.00488***	0.0101***	0.00982	0.0123*
	[0.000993]	[0.00131]	[0.00144]	[0.00351]	[0.00697]	[0.00739]
Mean, dept. var	0.0396	0.0585	0.0713	0.0259	0.0702	0.112
Obs.	142902	143309	117411	7910	7651	7651
Panel B: 2nd Parity						
Death During Pregnancy	0.00191**	0.00474***	0.00120	-0.00125	0.00787	0.0128*
	[0.000912]	[0.00115]	[0.00137]	[0.00356]	[0.00557]	[0.00750]
Mean, dept. var	0.0224	0.0373	0.0417	0.0205	0.0622	0.105
Obs.	99669	99898	79834	7020	8667	8667

Note: See tables 1 and 2 for more information on the sample and controls. In Panel A, the sample is limited to 1st parity children. In Panel B, the sample is limited to 2nd parity children. In column (3), the sample is further limited to cohorts born in 1987 or later (as the definition of perinatal conditions is not comparable with earlier years). In columns (4)-(6), the sample is further limited to children of mothers who experience the death of a parent or sibling. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception.
Significance levels: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01

Table D7: Effects of Relative Death *In Utero* on Main Outcomes: Control for Parity by Month of Conception FE

	(1) LBW	(2) Pret.	(3) Any Per. Hosp. 1	(4) Any ADHD 9-11	(5) Any Anx 34-36	(6) Any Dep 34-36
Death During Pregnancy	0.00393***	0.00618***	0.00352***	0.00654***	0.00863**	0.00919**
	[0.000632]	[0.000839]	[0.000890]	[0.00208]	[0.00369]	[0.00441]
Mean, dept. var	0.0320	0.0494	0.0575	0.0238	0.0666	0.111
Obs.	288337	289087	231398	19605	21763	21763

Note: See tables 1 and 2 for more information on the sample and controls. In column (3), the sample is further limited to cohorts born in 1987 or later (as the definition of perinatal conditions is not comparable with earlier years). In columns (4)-(6), the sample is further limited to children of mothers who experience the death of a parent or sibling. These regressions also control for a full set of interactions between parity indicators and month of conception indicators. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception. Exact definitions of the prescription drug categories are given in Online Appendix E.

Significance levels: * $p<0.1$ ** $p<0.05$ *** $p<0.01$

Table D8: Effects of Relative Death *In Utero* on Main Outcomes: Drop Foreign-Born Mothers

	(1) LBW	(2) Pret.	(3) Any Per. Hosp. 1	(4) Any ADHD 9-11	(5) Any Anx 34-36	(6) Any Dep 34-36
Death During Pregnancy	0.00393***	0.00627***	0.00347***	0.00678***	0.00869**	0.00921**
	[0.000630]	[0.000822]	[0.000914]	[0.00215]	[0.00376]	[0.00452]
Mean, dept. var	0.0317	0.0492	0.0574	0.0240	0.0661	0.111
Obs.	282581	283307	226674	18579	21297	21297

Note: See tables 1 and 2 for more information on the sample and controls. In column (3), the sample is further limited to cohorts born in 1987 or later (as the definition of perinatal conditions is not comparable with earlier years). In columns (4)-(6), the sample is further limited to children of mothers who experience the death of a parent or sibling. The sample drops children of mothers who are foreign-born. Robust standard errors are clustered on the mother's municipality of residence in the year prior to conception. Exact definitions of the prescription drug categories are given in Online Appendix E.
Significance levels: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01

E   Definitions of Health-Related Outcomes

Diagnosis (ICD) codes   For all children and siblings, we get obtain comprehensive inpatient medical records for all visits associated with the following diagnosis codes (ICD-10):

- Psychological disease (F00-F99)

- Suicide (X60-X84)

- Type II diabetes (E10-E14)

- Obesity (E65-E68)

- Heart disease (I20-I25, I30-I52)

- Neoplasms (C00-D48)

- Cushing's syndrome (E24)

- Perinatal (P00-P96)

- Deformations at birth (Q00-Q99)

- Drug and alcohol abuse (Z72)

- Thyroid-related issues (E00-E07)

- External cause (S00-T98, V01-Y98)

- Sexually transmitted disease (A50-A64)

- Stroke (I61-I64)

For earlier years, the analogous ICD-9 and ICD-8 codes are applied.

Prescription drug (ATC) codes   Prescription drugs are classified according to the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical Classification System (ATC). To associate certain prescription drugs to mental health diagnoses, we use the classification system below, employed by the National Board of Health and Welfare in Sweden (Socialstyrelsen, 2012):

- Mental health (all): ATC-code begins by "N."

- ADHD: ATC-code begins by "N06BA"

- Bipolar disease: ATC-code begins by "N05AN01"

- Psychotic conditions: ATC-code begins by "N05A," but excluding "N05AN01"

- Depression: ATC-code begins by "N06A"

- Anxiety: ATC-code begins by "N05B"

- Sleeping disorders: ATC-code begins by "N05C"

- Addiction: ATC-code begins by "N07"

- Parkinson: ATC-code begins by "N04"

- Diabetes: ATC-code begins by "A10."

- Obesity: ATC-code begins by "A08AB01" or "A08AA10."

- Cushing's syndrome: ATC-code begins by "J02AB0."

- Neoplasm: ATC-code begins by "L01."

- Thyroid: ATC-code begins by "L01."

F   Stress *In Utero*: More References

While it is well established that malnutrition in pregnant women affects the unborn child, the mechanism through which maternal adversity impacts the child is not well understood. One prominent theory proposes a neuro-scientific mechanism in which stress plays a key role (Jaddoe, 2006). It is hypothesized that nutritional restrictions inhibit the development of a placental enzyme that is required to convert the stress hormone cortisol into inactive cortisone. As a consequence of maternal malnutrition, the fetus is thus exposed to excessive amounts of cortisol in utero. Overexposure to cortisol, in turn, is believed to lead to a reprogramming of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPA), which could lead to impaired fetal development and worse health in adult age (Jaddoe, 2006).

Substantial evidence from preclinical laboratory studies show that the offspring of prenatally stressed animals displays over activity and impaired negative feedback regulation of the HPA, alternations which have been linked to a diverse spectrum of psychopathology, including schizophrenia and depression (M., 2001; Huizink AC, 2004; Kofman, 2002). Nevertheless, in humans, evidence of an explicit link between maternal stress and long-term disturbance in the HPA is scarce (Kapoor A and Matthews, 2006). A significant association between measures of prenatal anxiety and individual differences in salivary cortisol has been established in a sample of 10-year-old children from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC)(O'Connor TG, 2005). In another sample, young children whose mothers exhibited higher levels of morning cortisol during pregnancy were found to show higher levels of salivary cortisol (Gutteling BM, 2004, 2005). These results suggest that prenatal anxiety can have lasting effects on HPA functioning in the child, and are consistent with the hypothesis that that prenatal anxiety might constitute a mechanism for an increased vulnerability to psychopathology in children and adolescents.

In humans, researchers have also documented an association between antenatal maternal stress and an increased risk of obstetric complications such as preterm birth, low birth weight, and fetal distress (Crandon, 1979; Lou HC, 1994; Wadhwa PD, 1993), negative reactivity to novelty (Davis EP, 2004), an increase in neonatal crying (Rieger M, 2004), behavioral and/or emotional abnormalities at young ages (O'Connor TG, 2002), a depressed Apgar score (Crandon, 1979; Ponirakis A, 1998), and a higher incidence of ADHD during childhood (Van den Bergh BRH, 2004, 2005). Moreover, in a rare study of the association between maternal stress and non-health related outcomes, researchers established that maternal depression at mid-gestation was associated with a small but significant increase in violent crime in Finland (MakiP, 2003). While these studies establish correlations between antenatal maternal stress and outcomes later in life, the causal link is not clear. The studies assess the level of maternal anxiety and stress using the mother's own rating of symptoms, and some studies also included cortisol measures or an appraisal of recently experienced adverse life events such as divorce, job loss, or marital discord. Because these measures may not be independent of unobserved factors that affect child outcomes, maternal stress may be endogenous.

References

Adda, Jérôme, Anders Björklund, and Helena Holmlund, "The Role of Mothers and Fathers in Providing Skills: Evidence from Parental Deaths," Discussion Paper 5425, Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA) 2011.

Adhvaryu, Achyuta, James Fenske, and Anant Nyshadham, "Early Life Circumstance and Adult Mental Health," 2014. University of Michigan, Working Paper.

Aizer, Anna, Laura Stroud, and Stephen Buka, "Maternal stress and child well-being: Evidence from siblings," *Journal of Human Resources*, Forthcoming.

Almond, D., "Is the 1918 Influenza pandemic over? Long-term effects of in utero Influenza exposure in the post-1940 US population," *Journal of Political Economy*, 2006, *114* (4), 672–712.

_ **and B. Mazumder**, "Health Capital and the Prenatal Environment: The Effect of Ramadan Observance during Pregnancy," *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 2011, *3* (4), 56–85.

_ **and J. Currie**, "Human Capital Development before Age Five," in O. Ashenfleter and D. Card, eds., *Handbook of Labor Economics*, Vol. 4, Elsevier, 2011, pp. 1315–1486.

Almond, Douglas and Bhashkar Mazumder, "Fetal origins and Parental Responses," Working Paper 2012-14, Federal Reserve Board of Chicago 2012.

_ **, Hilary W Hoynes, and Diane Whitmore Schanzenbach**, "Inside the war on poverty: The impact of food stamps on birth outcomes," *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 2011, *93* (2), 387–403.

_ **, Lena Edlund, and Mårten Palme**, "Chernobyl's Subclinical Legacy: Prenatal Exposure to Radioactive Fallout and School Outcomes in Sweden," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, November 2009, *124* (4), 1729–1772.

_ **, _ , Hongbin Li, and Junsen Zhang**, "Long-Term Effects of Early-Life Development: Evidence from the 1959 to 1961 China Famine," in "The Economic Consequences of Demographic Change in East Asia, NBER-EASE Volume 19," University of Chicago Press, 2010, pp. 321–345.

Barker, David J, "The fetal and infant origins of adult disease," *BMJ: British Medical Journal*, 1990, *301* (6761), 1111.

Barreca, Alan I, "The long-term economic impact of in utero and postnatal exposure to malaria," *Journal of Human Resources*, 2010, *45* (4), 865–892.

Berkowitz, Gertrud S, Mary S Wolff, Teresa M Janevic, Ian R Holzman, Rachel Yehuda, and Philip J Landrigan, "The World Trade Center disaster and intrauterine growth restriction," *Jama*, 2003, *290* (5), 595–596.

Bharadwaj, Prashant, Matthew Gibson, Joshua Graff Zivin, and Christopher A Neilson, "Gray Matters: Fetal Pollution Exposure and Human Capital Formation," Technical Report 20662, National Bureau of Economic Research 2014.

Black, Sandra E, Aline Butikofer, Paul J Devereux, and Kjell G Salvanes, "This Is Only a Test? Long-Run Impacts of Prenatal Exposure to Radioactive Downfall," *NBER Working Paper 18987*, 2013.

_ **, Paul J Devereux, and Kjell G. Salvanes**, "Does Grief Transfer across Generations? Bereavements during Pregnancy and Child Outcomes," *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 2016, *8* (1).

CA, Porto M Dunkel-Schetter C Garite TJ Wadhwa PD Sandman, "The association between prenatal stress and infant birth weight and gestational age at birth: a prospective investigation," *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*, 1993, *169* (4), 858:865.

Camacho, Adriana, "Stress and birth weight: evidence from terrorist attacks," *The American Economic Review*, 2008, pp. 511–515.

Crandon, AJ, "Maternal anxiety and obstetric complications.," *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 1979, *23* (2), 109:111.

Currie, Janet and Maya Rossin-Slater, "Weathering the storm: Hurricanes and birth outcomes," *Journal of Health Economics*, 2013, *32* (3), 487 – 503.

D, Nordentoft M Pryds O Jensen F Nim J Hemmingsen R Lou HC Hansen, "Developmental medicine and child neurology,," *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 1994, *36* (9), 826:832.

de Weerth C, Buitelaar JK Gutteling BM, "Maternal prenatal stress and 4-6 year old children's salivary cortisol concentrations pre- and post-vaccination," *Stress*, 2004, *7* (4), 257:260.

_ , "Prenatal stress and children's cortisol reaction to the first day of school," *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 2005, *30* (6), 541:549.

den Bergh BRH, Marcoen A. Van, "High antenatal maternal anxiety is related to ADHD symptoms, externalizing problems and anxiety in 8/9-year-olds," *Child Development*, 2004, *75* (4), 1085:1097.

den Bergh BRH Mennes M, Oosterlaan J Stevens V Stiers P Marcoen A Lagae L. Van, "High antenatal maternal anxiety is related to impulsivity during performance on cognitive tasks in 14- and 15-year-olds," *Neuroscience and biobehavioral reviews*, 2005, *29* (2), 259:269.

Duncan, Brian, Hani Mansour, and Daniel I Rees, "Prenatal Stress and Low Birth Weight: Evidence from the Super Bowl," Discussion Paper 9053, Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA) 2015.

E, Kostaki A Andrews MH Kapoor A Dunn and Stephen G Matthews, "Fetal programming of hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal function: prenatal stress and glucocorticoids," *Journal of Physiology*, 2006, *572* (1), 31:44.

E, Stifter C. Ponirakis A Susamn, "Negative emotionality and cortisol during adolescent pregnancy and its effects on infant health and autonomic nervous system reactivity," *Developmental Psychobiology*, 1998, *33* (2), 163:174.

EJ, Buitelaar JK Huizink AC Mulder, "Prenatal stress and risk for psychopathology: specific effects or induction of general susceptibility?," *Psychological Bulletin*, 2004, *130* (1), 115:142.

Eskenazi, Brenda, Amy R Marks, Ralph Catalano, Tim Bruckner, and Paolo G Toniolo, "Low birthweight in New York City and upstate New York following the events of September 11th," *Human Reproduction*, 2007, *22* (11), 3013–3020.

Glynn, Laura M, Pathik D Wadhwa, Christine Dunkel-Schetter, Aleksandra Chicz-DeMet, and Curt A Sandman, "When stress happens matters: effects of earthquake timing on stress responsivity in pregnancy," *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*, 2001, *184* (4), 637–642.

Hoynes, Hilary, Marianne Page, and Ann Huff Stevens, "Can targeted transfers improve birth outcomes?: Evidence from the introduction of the WIC program," *Journal of Public Economics*, 2011, *95* (7), 813–827.

Hoynes, H.W., D.W. Schanzenbach, and D. Almond, "Long Run Impacts of Childhood Access to the Safety Net," Technical Report, National Bureau of Economic Research 2012.

Huizink, Anja, Pascale Robles de Medina, Eduard Mulder, Gerard Visser, and Jan Buitelaar, "Stress During Pregnancy is Associated with Developmental Outcome in Infancy," *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 2003, *44* (6), 810–818.

Isen, Adam, Maya Rossin-Slater, and Reed Walker, "Every Breath You Take — Every Dollar You'll Make: The Long-Term Consequences of the Clean Air Act of 1970," *Journal of Political Economy*, Forthcoming.

J, Golding J Beveridge M Glover V. O'Connor TG Heron, "Maternal antenatal anxiety and children's behavioural/emotional problems at 4 years. Report from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children.," *The British journal of psychiatry : the journal of mental science*, 2002, *180* (1), 502:508.

J, Rasanen P Joukamaa M Valonen P Jokelainen J Isohanni M. MakiP Veijola, "Criminality in the offspring of antenatally depressed mothers: a 33-year follow-up of the Northern Finland 1966 Birth Cohort," *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 2003, *74* (3), 273:278.

Jaddoe, Witteman, "Hypotheses on the fetal origins of adult diseases: contributions of epidemiological studies," *Eur J Epidemiol*, 2006, *21* (2), 91:102.

K-M, Buske-Kirschbaum A Wurmser H Papousek M Hellhammer DA Rieger M Pirke, "Influence of stress during pregnancy on neonatal behavior," *Annals of the New York Academy of Science*, 2004, *1032* (1), 1–3.

Kofman, O., "The role of prenatal stress in the etiology of developmental behavioural disorders," *Neuroscience and biobehavioral review*, 2002, *26* (4), 457:470.

Kotelchuck, Milton, "An evaluation of the Kessner Adequacy of Prenatal Care Index and a proposed Adequacy of Prenatal Care Utilization Index.," *American journal of public health*, 1994, *84* (9), 1414–1420.

Lauderdale, Diane S, "Birth outcomes for Arabic-named women in California before and after September 11," *Demography*, 2006, *43* (1), 185–201.

Lederman, Sally Ann, Virginia Rauh, Lisa Weiss, Janet L Stein, Lori A Hoepner, Mark Becker, and Frederica P Perera, "The effects of the World Trade Center event on birth outcomes among term deliveries at three lower Manhattan hospitals," *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 2004, pp. 1772–1778.

Lin, Ming-Jen and Elaine M Liu, "Does in utero exposure to Illness matter? The 1918 influenza epidemic in Taiwan as a natural experiment," *Journal of health economics*, 2014, *37*, 152–163.

M., Weinstock, "Alterations induced by gestational stress in brain morphology and behaviour of the offspring," *Progress in Neurobiology*, 2001, *65* (5), 427:451.

Malaspina, D, C Corcoran, KR Kleinhaus, MC Perrin, S Fennig, D Nahon, Y Freidlander, and S Harlap, "Acute maternal stress in pregnancy and schizophrenia in offspring: A cohort prospective study," *BMC Psychiatry*, 2008, *8* (71), 1473–1491.

Mansour, Hani and Daniel I Rees, "Armed conflict and birth weight: Evidence from the al-Aqsa Intifada," *Journal of Development Economics*, 2012, *99* (1), 190–199.

N, Wadhwa PD-Dunkel Schetter C Glynn L Sandman CA Davis EP Snidman, "Prenatal maternal anxiety and depression predict negative behavioral reactivity in infancy," *Infancy*, 2004, *6* (3), 319:331.

Olsen, Mogens Vestergaard Carsten Olsen Jennifer L. Baker Li Jiong Jorn and Thorkild I. A. Sorensen, "Prenatal stress exposure related to maternal bereavement and risk of childhood overweight," *PLoS ONE*, 2010, *5* (7), e11896.

Robinson, Joshua J, "Sound body, sound mind? Asymmetric and symmetric fetal growth restriction and human capital development," 2013. University of Alabama at Birmingham, unpublished manuscript.

Rossin-Slater, Maya, "WIC in your neighborhood: New evidence on the impacts of geographic access to clinics," *Journal of Public Economics*, 2013, *102* (0), 51 – 69.

Sanders, N.J., "What Doesn't Kill You Makes You Weaker: Prenatal Pollution Exposure and Educational Outcomes," *Journal of Human Resources*, 2012, *47* (3), 826–850.

Schetter, Christine Dunkel, "Psychological science on pregnancy: stress processes, biopsychosocial models, and emerging research issues," *Annual review of psychology*, 2011, *62*, 531–558.

Scholte, Robert S., Gerard J. van den Berg, and Maarten Lindeboom, "The Long-Run Effects of Gestation During the Dutch Hunger Winter Famine on Labor Market and Hospitalization Outcomes," Discussion Paper 6307, Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA) 2012.

Simeonova, Emilia, "Out of Sight, Out of Mind? Natural Disasters and Pregnancy Outcomes in the USA," *CESifo Economic Studies*, 2011, *57* (3), 403:431.

Socialstyrelsen, "Användning av centralstimulantia vid adhd," *Socialstyrelsens Rapporter*, 2012.

Sundström, Marianne and Frank P. Stafford, "Female labour force participation, fertility and public policy in Sweden," *European Journal of Population*, 1992, *8*, 199 – 215.

Susser, Ezra S and Shang P Lin, "Schizophrenia after prenatal exposure to the Dutch Hunger Winter of 1944-1945," *Archives of general psychiatry*, 1992, *49* (12), 983.

Tan, Cong E, Hong Jun Li, Xian Geng Zhang, Hui Zhang, Pei Yu Han, Qu An, Wei Jun Ding, and Mi Qu Wang, "The impact of the Wenchuan earthquake on birth outcomes," *PLoS One*, 2009, *4* (12), e8200.

Torche, Florencia, "The effect of maternal stress on birth outcomes: exploiting a natural experiment," *Demography*, 2011, *48* (4), 1473–1491.

Van den Berg, G. J., M. Lindeboom, and F. Portrait, "Economic Conditions Early in Life and Individual Mortality," *American Economic Review*, 2006, *96*, 290–302.

Y, Heron J Golding J Adams D Glover V O'Connor TG Ben-Shlomo, "Prenatal anxiety predicts individual differences in cortisol in pre-adolescent children," *Biological Psychiatry*, 2005, *58* (3), 211:217.

Yu, In Tag, Sang-Hun Lee, Yong-Sung Lee, and Hyeon Son, "Differential effects of corticosterone and dexamethasone on hippocampal neurogenesis in vitro," *Biochemical and biophysical research communications*, 2004, *317* (2), 484–90.