

NBER WORKING PAPER SERIES

OBESITY AND DEVELOPMENTAL FUNCTIONING AMONG CHILDREN AGED  
2-4 YEARS

John Cawley  
C. Katharina Spiess

Working Paper 13997  
<http://www.nber.org/papers/w13997>

NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH  
1050 Massachusetts Avenue  
Cambridge, MA 02138  
May 2008

C. Katharina Spiess appreciates the hospitality of the Department of Policy Analysis and Management (PAM), Cornell University, during her visit, which allowed her to work on this joint project. Spiess also gratefully acknowledges travel funding from the German Science Foundation (Project No.SP 1091/1-1). The views expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Bureau of Economic Research.

© 2008 by John Cawley and C. Katharina Spiess. All rights reserved. Short sections of text, not to exceed two paragraphs, may be quoted without explicit permission provided that full credit, including © notice, is given to the source.

Obesity and Developmental Functioning Among Children Aged 2-4 Years  
John Cawley and C. Katharina Spiess  
NBER Working Paper No. 13997  
May 2008  
JEL No. I1,I2,J13,J24

**ABSTRACT**

In developed countries, obesity tends to be associated with worse labor market outcomes. One possible reason is that obesity leads to less human capital formation early in life. This paper investigates the association between obesity and the developmental functioning of children at younger ages (2-4 years) than ever previously examined. Data from the German Socio-Economic Panel Study are used to estimate models of developmental functioning in four critical areas (verbal skills, activities of daily living, motor skills, and social skills) as a function of various measures of weight (including BMI and obesity status) controlling for various child and family characteristics. The findings indicate that, among boys, obesity is a significant risk factor for lagged development in verbal skills, social skills, and activities of daily living. Among girls, weight generally does not have a statistically significant association with these developmental outcomes. Further investigations show that the correlations exist even for those preschool children who spend no time in day care, which implies that the correlation between obesity and developmental functioning cannot be due solely to discrimination by teachers, classmates, or day care providers.

John Cawley  
124 MVR Hall  
Department of Policy Analysis and Management  
Cornell University  
Ithaca, NY 14853  
and NBER  
jhc38@cornell.edu

C. Katharina Spiess  
Free University of Berlin  
DIW Berlin  
Mohrenstrasse 58  
10117 Berlin, Germany  
kspiess@diw.de

## Introduction

In developed countries, obesity tends to be associated with worse labor market outcomes; in particular, lower wages or earnings (Cawley, 2004; Brunello and d’Hombres 2007; Lundborg et al. 2007), less wealth (Zagorsky 2005), and a lower probability of employment (Paraponaris et al. 2005; Lundborg et al. 2007; Morris, 2007; Burkhauser and Cawley, 2008). Several papers have found evidence that the relationship is causal; i.e. that weight worsens labor market outcomes (e.g. Cawley, 2004; Cawley et al. 2005; Morris 2007).

Obesity may worsen labor market outcomes for several reasons, including discrimination by employers or lower productivity due to worse health. Another possibility is that childhood obesity, which is a strong predictor of adult obesity<sup>1</sup>, leads to less human capital formation and therefore lower productivity in adulthood (Sabia 2007; Lobstein et al. 2004). An obese child might acquire less human capital for several reasons; e.g. obesity-related illness may impair human capital acquisition, there may be discrimination by teachers or day care providers, or parents may invest less in obese than healthy-weight children.

This paper explores the association of childhood obesity with developmental functioning at younger ages (2-4 years) than previously examined. This research question is timely because the prevalence of childhood obesity has risen rapidly in many countries (Lobstein et al. 2004; Kurth and Schaffrath, 2007; Ogden et al. 2002; WHO, 2005), which has led some to describe childhood obesity as a pandemic (Malecka-Tendera, 2006; Kimm, 2002).

---

<sup>1</sup> Roughly 20% of obese adults were obese as children (estimates range from 5-44%) and the probability of becoming an obese adult is roughly 400% greater for obese children than non-obese children (estimates range from 200% to 650%); see Freedman et al. (2005) and Serdula et al. (1993).

Previous studies of whether childhood overweight is associated with impaired developmental functioning have focused largely on children of elementary school age (Datar and Sturm, 2006; Cairney et al. 2006; Datar et al. 2004; Graf et al. 2004; Mo-suwan et al. 1999) or those about to enter elementary school (Mond et al., 2007). To our knowledge, this is the first study of overweight and developmental functioning to study children as young as 2 to 4 years old. Knowing whether obesity is associated with delayed development in pre-school children is important because if obesity is associated with developmental delays prior to school entry, it suggests that the association cannot solely be due to discrimination by schoolteachers or classmates after school entry.

Several studies have examined how childhood obesity correlates with academic outcomes. A study of nationally representative U.S. data on kindergarten and third-grade children found that girls who became overweight between kindergarten and third grade had significantly lower scores on reading tests and math tests, and rated lower on teacher-assessed interpersonal skills, than girls who were never overweight (Datar and Sturm, 2006). Becoming overweight was not associated with worse outcomes for boys, nor was continuing to be overweight between kindergarten and third grade associated with worse outcomes for either girls or boys. Analysis of the same sample when they were still in kindergarten found a negative correlation between overweight status and test scores on math and reading exams, but these differences (except for the gap in math test scores among boys) became insignificant after controlling for socioeconomic and behavioral characteristics (Datar et al., 2004). A study of children and adolescents in Thailand (mean age = 13.8 years) found that overweight was associated with significantly lower grade point average among young adolescents (grades 7-9) but not younger children

(grades 3-6) (Mo Suwan et al. 1999). A study of nationally representative Icelandic data on 14- and 15-year old schoolchildren found that BMI was significantly and negatively correlated with grades in three language classes and mathematics (Sigfusdottir et al., 2007). Among older youths (aged 14-17) in the U.S., a negative relationship between BMI and grade point average has been documented among white girls, but not nonwhite girls or males (Sabia, 2007). A large literature has documented discrimination against obese students by classmates, teachers, and administrators; see the reviews in Puhl and Latner (2006) and Puhl and Brownell (2001).

Other research has examined the relationship between childhood overweight and motor skills. A study of first-grade children (mean age = 6.7 years) in the Cologne region of Germany found that obesity was associated with impaired motor development for both boys and girls (Graf et al., 2004). A study of elementary school children aged 9-14 years in a city in Ontario, Canada found that Developmental Coordination Disorder is a risk factor for overweight and obesity for boys but not girls (Cairney et al., 2005). A study of children aged 4.4 – 8.6 years in Lower Bavaria in Germany found that obese male (but not obese female) children were more likely to have impaired gross motor skills (Mond et al. 2007).

This paper documents the association between childhood obesity and developmental functioning on four dimensions: verbal skills, activities of daily living, motor skills, and social skills. To our knowledge, our sample of children (between the ages of two and four years) is younger than that used in any previous study of the relationship between childhood obesity and developmental functioning. In contrast to previous studies based on German data, a nationally representative data set is used.

## **Data and Methods**

The sample consists of 451 children aged between the ages of 26 and 44 months (mean age = 33.3 months). These children are the offspring of respondents to the German Socio-Economic Panel Study (SOEP). The SOEP is a wide-ranging nationally representative longitudinal study of private households that includes information on all household members and includes Germans living in West and East Germany, foreigners, and recent immigrants (Wagner et al. 2007); for more information see Heineck (2006) and the SOEP webpage at: <http://www.diw-berlin.de/english/soep/soepoverview/27908.html>. The Panel was started in 1984. In 2006, it included more than 20,000 individuals in nearly 11,000 households. Since 2003, mothers of newborns have been surveyed regarding the central indicators of their children's development. This study uses data from the questionnaires on child development that were administered in 2005 to the parents of the "2003 cohort" of newborns and those administered in 2006 to the parents of the "2004 cohort" of newborns. (The cohort year refers to when the mother was interviewed, not necessarily the year that the child was born.)

Weight and height are reported by the mother. In Germany, preventive medical check-ups for very young children are offered on a regular basis starting at birth and are free of charge. Three anthropometric measures (weight, height, and head circumference) from each check-up are documented in a medical record booklet that is kept by the family. 98% of SOEP children had such check-ups, so reporting error in weight and height are presumed to be small. BMI below 10 (one observation) and above 36 (two

observations) were considered implausible so these three observations were dropped from the analysis. Clinical weight classifications were defined using the standard reference values for German children (Kromeyer et al., 2001): very underweight is below the historic 3<sup>rd</sup> percentile of BMI, underweight is below the historic 10<sup>th</sup> percentile, overweight is above the historic 90<sup>th</sup> percentile, and obesity is above the historic 97<sup>th</sup> percentile of BMI.

The outcomes are measures of developmental functioning. Collectively they are a modification of the German Vineland scale (Tietze, 1998; Sparrow et al., 1984) that has been developed and used by researchers studying child development in Germany (Tietze, 1998; Coneus and Pfeiffer 2007). In each of four areas of developmental functioning (verbal skills, activities of daily living, motor skills, and social skills), mothers were asked to rate their child's ability to perform each of five tasks as either yes, to some extent, or no. Yes was scored as two points, to some extent was scored as one point, and no was scored as 0 points. Scores were summed across the five tasks to create an index that ranged from 0 to 10 indicating the child's developmental functioning in each of the four areas (verbal skills, activities of daily living, motor skills, and social skills). The Appendix provides the full text (English translation) of the SOEP questions that were used to create the measures of developmental functioning used in this paper.

Ordinary least squares regression models were used to examine the association between developmental outcomes and either a) BMI or b) indicator variables for clinical weight classification (very underweight, underweight, overweight, and obese, with healthy weight the omitted reference category), while controlling for the following characteristics: age of child in months, age of mother in years, household income, number

of other children in the household, and indicator variables for: whether in day care four or more hours a week, mother has completed vocational training, mother has completed university degree, single parent household, mother or others in the household speak only German to child, living in West Germany, living in urban area, living in rural area, missing income data, missing education data, and year. Models are estimated separately by gender. All analyses were conducted using STATA version 9.2 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

## **Results**

Table 1 lists the summary statistics by gender. Roughly 13% of boys and 14% of girls are classified as very underweight, and an additional 5% of boys and 9% of girls are underweight but not very underweight. 8% of boys and 6% of girls are overweight but not obese, and 7% of boys and 10% of girls are obese. Scores on the verbal skills, social skills, and motor skills developmental scales are high; the mean score is greater than 8 (on a scale of 10) for both boys and girls. The average score on activities of daily living is lower: 5.8 for boys and 6.7 for girls.

Associations between BMI and developmental functioning are shown in Table 2, and differ considerably by gender. Among boys, higher BMI is associated with significantly lower developmental functioning with respect to verbal skills ( $P < 0.10$ ), social skills ( $P < 0.05$ ), and motor skills ( $P < 0.10$ ). The magnitudes of the associations are substantial. For example, weighing one unit of BMI more than the mean is associated with scoring one point lower on the social skills scale, equivalent to a shift from “to some



extent” to “no” on one of the five questions. In contrast, among girls BMI is not correlated with lower developmental functioning on any dimension.

Nonlinearities in the association between BMI and developmental functioning are explored in Table 3, which shows the results of multivariate regressions of the developmental scales on the set of indicator variables for clinical weight classification (healthy weight is the omitted reference category). Again, the results differ considerably by gender. Obesity among boys is associated with significantly lower developmental functioning on three scales: verbal skills ( $P<0.01$ ), social skills ( $P<0.01$ ), and activities of daily living ( $P<0.05$ ). Again, the magnitude of the association is substantial; relative to healthy weight, obesity is associated with greater than a one unit deficit in developmental functioning on a ten-unit scale (equivalent to a shift from “to some extent” to “no” on one of the five questions) for three of the four measures of development. None of the other clinical weight classifications (very underweight, underweight, overweight) is associated with significantly lower developmental functioning for boys.

Among girls, obesity is associated with lower developmental functioning on only one scale: verbal skills ( $P<0.10$ ). The point estimate for girls is half that for boys, implying that obesity is associated with scoring one-half-point lower on the ten-point scale (relative to a healthy-weight girl). In addition, none of the other clinical weight classifications (very underweight, underweight, overweight) is associated with significantly lower developmental functioning for girls.

The finding that obese boys suffer developmental delays prior to school entry is informative about the mechanisms of the association. For example, it suggests that the association cannot solely be due to discrimination by schoolteachers or classmates

because the association exists prior to school entry. However, one might argue that it is due to discrimination by day care providers. To test this possibility, we re-estimated our models using only the children who spend zero hours per week in day care; such children represent 61.3 percent of the total sample used to estimate the models described earlier in this paper. The results are presented in Table 4. For boys, obesity is associated with a one-unit decrease in the score on each of the four measures of development; in each case the coefficient is statistically significant at the 10 percent level or better. For girls, obesity is associated with a one-unit decrease on the index of verbal skills, which is statistically significant at the 10 percent level. This extension confirms that the correlation of obesity with developmental delays cannot be due solely to discrimination by day care providers (or, for that matter, by schoolteachers or classmates) – it is found among extremely young preschool children (age 2-4 years) who spend no time in day care.

## **Discussion**

This paper contributes to the growing literature on early-childhood health and skill formation (e.g. Heckman 2007) by providing new evidence on the association between childhood obesity and developmental functioning. We find that, among boys in Germany, obesity is associated with impaired developmental functioning at ages two to four years - younger than previously appreciated. Moreover, the magnitude of the association is substantial; on three out of four measures, obesity is associated with a one-unit deficit in developmental functioning on a ten-unit scale, equivalent to a shift from “to some extent” to “no” on one of the five questions. This difference in development

should not be attributed to discrimination by day care providers; the gap is found even among children who spend zero hours per week in day care.

These findings are significant because the developmental functioning of young children is correlated with subsequent educational and labor market outcomes (Heckman 2007; Currie and Early, 2001). Our finding that obesity is associated with developmental delays among boys may explain why youth obesity is associated with lower eventual educational attainment in men (Karnehed et al., 2006).

Our findings also indicate that the association between obesity and developmental functioning is gender specific; relative to boys, the correlations for girls are smaller and generally not statistically significant. This is consistent with two previous studies that found that obesity was associated with impaired developmental functioning or worse academic performance in boys but not girls (Datar et al. 2004; Mond et al. 2007). Some previous studies of developmental functioning and weight found different patterns across gender. One study found that BMI was associated with delayed developmental functioning in both boys and girls (Graf et al. 2004) and two studies have found that excess weight is associated with worse academic performance among girls but not boys (Datar and Sturm, 2006; Sabia, 2007). Resolving and better understanding gender differences in such associations are important areas for future research.

The labor market penalty for obesity tends to be greater for women than men (Averett and Korenman 1996; Cawley, 2004; Lundborg et al. 2007), and the decrement in wealth associated with obesity is also greater for women than men (Zagorsky 2005). One possible explanation is that obesity lowers human capital accumulation more for women than men; however, this paper finds the opposite pattern – the developmental delays

associated with obesity are greater for boys than for girls. Future research should explore what other factors may be responsible for these disparities in adulthood.

A strength of this study is that it is the first to study the association between overweight and developmental functioning in children as young as 2-4 years of age. The youngest children previously examined in this context were aged 4.4 – 8.6 years (Mond et al. 2007). It is by examining children aged 2-4 who spend no time in day care that we are able to rule out discrimination by day care providers, teachers, or classmates as an explanation for the gap. Another strength of the analysis is that a range of developmental functioning is considered – verbal skills, activities of daily living, motor skills, and social skills. Furthermore the results are based on a nationally representative sample.

The study has the following limitations. The measures of child development are assessed by the mother rather than a professional; however, the measures are designed to be answered by non-professionals. These measures of developmental functioning are a modification of the Vineland scale (Sparrow et al. 1984) that has been used by other researchers studying child development in Germany (Tietze 1998; Coneus and Pfeiffer, 2007).

Another limitation is that weight and height are reported by parents. A substantial body of research has studied the accuracy of parental reports of child weight; in general, this literature finds that parents tend to underreport the weights of relatively heavy children and therefore obesity is underestimated (Scholtens et al. 2007; Wing et al. 1980; Davis and Gergen, 1994). However, several studies conclude that parental reports are sufficiently accurate to be used in research (Garcia-Marcos et al. 2006; Sekine et al. 2002; Goodman et al. 2000). Some unknown amount of reporting error exists (which

would have the effect of biasing estimates toward zero, leading to underestimates of the association between overweight and developmental functioning). Future studies on this topic should seek to collect data that include measured weight and height.

Another limitation is that body mass index is a poor measure of fatness, as it does not distinguish fat from muscle (Burkhauser and Cawley, 2008). More accurate measures of fatness include total body fat or percent body fat, which can be assessed using methods such as Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis (Ibid). However, none of these measures are available in the SOEP so we are limited to BMI. A direction for future research is to find data that include the more accurate measures and use them to test the robustness of this paper's findings that are based on the use of BMI and clinical weight classifications defined by BMI.

Finally, we are unable to make causal inferences; among boys, obesity may cause developmental delays, slow development may result in obesity, or both obesity and developmental delays may be caused by unobserved factors. For example, boys who are stigmatized for being obese may lack opportunities to develop their social skills. Cramer and Steinwert (1998) find that even among three year olds, overweight peers are seen as undesirable playmates. Alternatively, it may be that boys with poor social skills have a hard time finding playmates and therefore engage in less physical activity, raising the risk of obesity. A third possibility is that unobserved physical health problems led to both obesity and impaired social skills. One possible candidate is Prader-Willi syndrome, which is associated with both early onset of obesity and emotional and behavior problems (Curry and Early, 2001). Another possibility is that mothers who neglect the nutrition of

their sons also neglect their development, although it is unclear why this would be true for boys but not for girls.

Despite these limitations, this paper contributes to the literature by establishing that the association of childhood obesity with developmental delays exists for boys at younger ages (2-4 years) than previously appreciated, that the association is strongly gender-specific, and that it cannot be due solely to discrimination by teachers, classmates, or day care providers.

**Acknowledgements:** The authors thank John Komlos for his helpful comments and suggestions. C. Katharina Spiess appreciates the hospitality of the Department of Policy Analysis and Management (PAM), Cornell University, during her visit, which allowed her to work on this joint project. Spiess also gratefully acknowledges travel funding from the German Science Foundation (Project No.SP 1091/1-1).

## References

- Averett, S., Korenman, S., 1996. The economic reality of the beauty myth. *Journal of Human Resources* 31, 304-330.
- Brunello, G., D'Hombres, B., 2007. Does body weight affect wages? Evidence from Europe. *Economics and Human Biology* 5 1-19.
- Burkhauser, R., Cawley, J., 2008. Beyond BMI: The value of more accurate measures of fatness and obesity in social science research. *Journal of Health Economics* 27, 519-529.
- Cairney, J., Hay, J., Faight, B., Hawes, R., 2005. Developmental coordination disorder and overweight and obesity in children aged 9-14y. *International Journal of Obesity* 29, 369-372.
- Cawley, J., 2004. The impact of obesity on wages. *Journal of Human Resources* 39, 451-474.
- Cawley, J., Markus G., Lillard, D., 2005. A comparison of the relationship between obesity and earnings in the US and Germany, *Schmollers Jahrbuch* 125, 119-129.
- Coneus, K., Pfeiffer, F., 2007. Self-productivity in early childhood. ZEW Discussion Paper Number 07-053, 2007, Mannheim.
- Cramer, P., Steinwert, T., 1998. Thin is Good, Fat is Bad: How Early Does it Begin? *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 19 (3): 429-451.
- Currie J., Early, T., 2001. Test scores, socioeconomic status, school quality, and future outcomes. *Research Labor Economics* 20, 103-132.

- Datar, A., Sturm, R., Magnabosco, J., 2004. Childhood overweight and academic performance: National study of kindergarteners and first-graders. *Obesity Research* 12, 58-68.
- Datar, A., Sturm, R., 2006. Childhood overweight and elementary school outcomes. *International Journal of Obesity* 30,1449-1460.
- Davis, H., Gergen, P., 1994. Mexican-American mothers' reports of the weights and heights of children six months through 11 years old. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 94,512-516.
- Freedman, D. et al., 2005. The Relation of childhood BMI to adult adiposity: The Bogalusa heart study. *Pediatrics* 115, 22-27.
- Garcia-Marcos, L., et al.2006. Validity of parent-reported height and weight for defining obesity among asthmatic and non-asthmatic schoolchildren. *International Archives of Allergy Immunization* 139, 139-145.
- Goodman, E. et al., 2000. Accuracy of teen and parental reports of obesity and body mass index. *Pediatrics*. 106, 52-58.
- Graf, C. et al., 2004. Correlation between BMI, leisure habits and motor abilities in childhood (CHILT-Project). *International Journal of Obesity* 28, 22-26.
- Heckman, J. J., 2007. The economics, technology, and neuroscience of human capability formation. *PNAS*, 104(33): 13250-13255.
- Heineck, G., 2006. Height and weight in Germany, evidence from the German Socio-Economic Panel, 2002. *Econ. Hum. Biol.* 4 (3), 359-382.
- Karnehed, N.et al. 2006. Obesity and attained education: Cohort study of more than 700,000 Swedish men. *Obesity* 14,1421-1428.



- Kimm, S., Obarzanek, E., 2002. Childhood obesity: A new pandemic of the new millennium. *Pediatrics* 110, 1003-1007.
- Kromeyer-Hauschild, K. et al. 2001. Perzentile für den body-mass-index für das kinder- und jugendalter unter heranziehung verschiedener deutscher stichproben. *Monatsschr Kinderh* 149, 807-818.
- Kurth, B., Schaffrath, R. 2007. Die verbreitung von übergewicht und adipositas bei kindern und jugendlichen in Deutschland, in: *Bundesgesundheitsblatt – Gesundheitsforschung – Gesundheitsschutz* 50, 736-743.
- Lobstein, T. et al., 2004. Obesity in children and young people: A crisis in public health. *Obesity Review* 5(Supplement 1), 4-85.
- Lundborg, P. et al., 2007. Obesity and occupational attainment among the 50+ of Europe. In: Bolin, K., Cawley, J. (Eds.). *The Economics of Obesity, Advances in Health Economics and Health Services Research*. 219-252.
- Malecka-Tendera, E., Mazur, A., 2006. Childhood obesity: A pandemic of the twenty-first century. *International Journal of Obesity*. 30, S1-S3.
- Miller, J., et al. 2006. Neurocognitive findings in Prader-Willi Syndrome and early-onset morbid obesity. *Pediatrics*. 149, 192-198.
- Mo-suwan, L. et al., 1999. School performance and weight status of children and young adolescents in a transitional society in Thailand. *International Journal of Obesity*, 23, 272-277.
- Mond, J. et al., 2007. Associations between obesity and developmental functioning in pre-school children: A population-based study. *International Journal of Obesity*, 31, 1068–1073.

- Morris, S., 2007. The impact of obesity on employment. *Labour Economics* 14, 413-433.
- Ogden, C., et al., 2002. Prevalence and trends in overweight among US children and adolescents: 1999-2000. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 14, 1728-1732.
- Paraponaris, A., et al. 2005. Obesity, weight status, and employability: empirical evidence from the French national survey. *Economics and Human Biology* 3, 241-258.
- Puhl, R.M., Brownell, K.D., 2001. Bias, Discrimination, and Obesity. *Obesity Research* 9(12): 788-805.
- Puhl, R.M., Latner, J.D., 2006. Stigma, obesity, and the health of the nation's children. *Psychological Bulletin*. 133(4): 557-580.
- Sabia, J., 2007. The effect of body weight on adolescent academic performance. *Southern Economic Journal* 73, 871-900.
- Scholtens, S., et al. 2007. Reported versus measured body weight and height of four-year-old children and the prevalence of overweight. *European Journal of Public Health* 17, 369-375.
- Sekine, M., et al. 2002. Accuracy of the estimated prevalence of childhood obesity from height and weight values reported by parents: Results of the Toyama Birth Cohort study. *Journal of Epidemiology* 12, 9-13.
- Serdula, M., et al. 1993. Do obese children become obese adults? A Review of the Literature. *Preventive Medicine* 22, 167-177.
- Sigfusdottir, I. et al. 2007. Health behavior and academic achievement in Icelandic school children. *Health Education Journal* 22, 70-80.

- Sparrow, S, et al.1984. The vineland adaptive behavior scales. American Guidance Service, Circle Pines, MN.
- Tietze, W., (Ed.) 1998. Wie gut sind unsere Kindergärten? Eine Untersuchung zur pädagogischen Qualität in deutschen Kindergärten. Weinheim, Beltz.
- Wagner, G. et al., 2007. The German socio-economic panel study (SOEP) – scope, evolution and enhancements, Schmollers Jahrbuch 127, 139-169.
- Wing, R. et al., 1980. Accuracy of parents' reports of height and weight. Journal of Psychopathology Behavior 2,105-110.
- World Health Organization, 2005. The Challenge of Obesity in the WHO European Region. Fact sheet EURO/13/05.
- Zagorsky, J.L., 2005. Health and wealth: the late-20<sup>th</sup> Century obesity epidemic in the U.S. Econ. Hum. Biol. 3: 296-313.

**Table 1: Descriptive Statistics by Gender**

Variable	Boys (N=232)		Girls (N=219)	
	Mean	Std. dev	Mean	Std. dev
Verbal Skills	8.73	1.69	8.99	1.49
Social Skills	8.52	1.69	9.02	1.36
Motor Skills	8.14	1.71	8.16	1.94
Activities of Daily Living	5.76	2.48	6.71	2.40
Body Mass Index	15.98	2.57	15.89	3.23
Very Underweight	.13		.14	
Underweight	.05		.09	
Healthy Weight	.67		.62	
Overweight	.08		.06	
Obese	.07		.10	
Age of child (in months)	33.70	4.06	32.90	3.65
Number of other children in the household	.91	.98	.97	.98
Child in day care center (more than 4 hours per week)	.36		.34	
Age of mother (in years)	32.88	5.87	33.24	5.01
Mother completed vocational training	.62		.71	
Mother completed university degree	.22		.20	
Single Parent Household	.09		.10	
Net monthly income (in 1,000 Euro)	2.61	1.63	2.62	1.53
Only German spoken to child	.78		.83	

Household in West Germany	.77		.78	
Household in urban area	.31		.34	
Household in rural area	.22		.21	
Survey year 2006	.45		.48	
Education of mother missing	.05		.04	
Income missing	.04		.05	

**Table 2: Regressions of Developmental Functioning on Child BMI**

	<b>Boys</b>	<b>Girls</b>
	<b>BMI</b>	<b>BMI</b>
Verbal Skills	-.071* (-1.68)	-.041 (-1.41)
Social Skills	-1.05** (-2.45)	-.029 (-1.00)
Motor Skills	-.081* (-1.95)	-.035 (-.89)
Activities of Daily Living	-.084 (-1.49)	.024 (.53)

Notes:

- 1) Figures in the table represent estimates of the relationship between BMI and developmental functioning, controlling for child and family characteristics.
- 2) Table cells list OLS coefficient and the associated t statistic in parentheses.
- 3) Asterisks indicate statistical significance: \* = significant at 10%, \*\* = significant at 5%, \*\*\* = significant at 1%.
- 4) Results are shown only for BMI. The regression models also included the following controls: age of child in months, age of mother in years, household income, number of other children in the household, and indicator variables for whether in day care 4 or more hours a day, mother has completed vocational training, mother has completed university degree, single parent household, mother or other household members speak only German to child, living in West Germany, living in urban area, living in rural area, missing income data, missing education data, and year. Full regression results are available upon request.

**Table 3: Regressions of Developmental Functioning on Child Clinical Weight Classification**

	Boys				Girls			
	Very Under-weight	Under-weight	Over-weight	Obese	Very Under-weight	Under-weight	Over-weight	Obese
Verbal Skills	-.083 (-.26)	.624 (1.23)	-.252 (-.63)	-1.236*** (-2.87)	-.011 (-.04)	.025 (.08)	-.263 (-.65)	-.581* (-1.84)
Social Skills	-.058 (-.18)	.218 (.42)	-.072 (-.18)	-1.502*** (-3.42)	-.203 (-.72)	.100 (.30)	-.172 (-.42)	-.484 (-1.52)
Motor Skills	.158 (.49)	.820 (1.63)	.427 (1.07)	-.699 (-1.63)	.160 (.42)	.164 (.36)	-.150 (-.27)	-.437 (-1.02)
Activities of Daily Living	-.016 (-.04)	.741 (1.08)	-.208 (-.38)	-1.280** (-2.20)	-.251 (-.58)	-.293 (-.56)	.033 (.05)	.024 (.05)

Notes:

- 1) Figures in the table represent estimates of the relationship between clinical weight classification and developmental functioning, controlling for child and family characteristics.
- 2) Table cells list OLS coefficient and the associated t statistic in parentheses.
- 3) Asterisks indicate statistical significance: \* = significant at 10%, \*\* = significant at 5%, \*\*\* = significant at 1%.
- 4) Results are shown only for clinical weight classification. The regression models also included the following controls: age of child in months, age of mother in years, household income, number of other children in the household, and indicator variables for whether in day care 4 or more hours a day, mother has completed vocational training, mother has completed university degree, single parent household, mother or other household members speak only German to child, living in West Germany, living in urban area, living in rural area, missing income data, missing education data, and year. Full regression results are available upon request.

**Table 4: Regressions of Developmental Functioning on Child Clinical Weight Classification  
Sample Limited to Children Who Spend Zero Hours Per Week in Day Care (141 Boys, 134 Girls)**

	<b>Obese Boys</b>	<b>Obese Girls</b>
Verbal Skills	-1.257** (-2.29)	-.783* (-1.75)
Social Skills	-1.186** (-2.10)	-.726 (-1.54)
Motor Skills	-1.014* (-1.75)	-.905 (-1.55)
Activities of Daily Living	-1.431** (-1.96)	-.443 (-0.64)

Notes:

- 1) Figures in the table represent estimates of the relationship between clinical weight classification and developmental functioning, controlling for child and family characteristics.
- 2) Table cells list OLS coefficient and the associated t statistic in parentheses.
- 3) Asterisks indicate statistical significance: \* = significant at 10%, \*\* = significant at 5%, \*\*\* = significant at 1%.
- 4) Results are shown only for the clinical weight classification of obesity. (There were no statistically significant results for very underweight, underweight, or overweight.) The regression models also included the following controls: age of child in months, age of mother in years, household income, number of other children in the household, and indicator variables for whether in day care 4 or more hours a day, mother has completed vocational training, mother has completed university degree, single parent household, mother or other household members speak only German to child, living in West Germany, living in urban area, living in rural area, missing income data, missing education data, and year. Full regression results are available upon request.



## Appendix

Female respondents to the SOEP who are mothers of 2-3 year old children were asked to evaluate their children's developmental functioning in survey years 2005 and 2006. In each of four areas of developmental functioning (verbal skills, activities of daily living, motor skills, and social skills), mothers were asked to rate their child's ability to perform each of five tasks as either yes, to some extent, or no. A yes was scored as 2 points, to some extent was scored as 1 point, and a no was scored as 0 points. Scores were added across all five tasks within each area to create an index that ranged from 0 to 10 indicating the child's developmental functioning in each of the four areas.

Below is the full text (English translation) of the SOEP questions that were used to create the measures of developmental functioning used in this paper.

For parents, it is always a big event when their child learns something new.

Please tell us what those new things in the case of your child.

(Rate child's ability to perform each task as either "Yes", "To Some Extent" or "No")

### **Verbal Skills:**

Understands brief instructions such as "go get your shoes"

Forms sentences with at least two words

Speaks in full sentences (with four or more words)

Listens attentively to a story for five minutes or longer

Passes on simple message such as "dinner is ready"

**Activities of Daily Living:**

Uses a spoon to eat, without assistance and without dripping

Blows his/her nose without assistance

Uses the toilet to do “number two”

Puts on pants and underpants the right way around

Brushes his/her teeth without assistance

**Motor Skills:**

Walks forwards down the stairs

Opens doors with the door handle

Climbs up playground climbing equipment and other high playground structures

Cuts paper with scissors

Paints/draws recognizable shapes on paper

**Social Skills:**

Calls familiar people by name; for example, says “mommy” and “daddy” or uses the father’s first name

Participates in games with other children

Gets involved in role-playing games (“playing pretend”)

Shows a special liking for particular playmates or friends

Calls his/her own feelings by name, e.g. “sad”, “happy”, “scared”