This PDF is a selection from an out-of-print volume from the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: City Expenditures in the United States

Volume Author/Editor: Harvey E. Brazer

Volume Publisher: NBER

Volume ISBN: 0-87014-380-8

Volume URL: http://www.nber.org/books/braz59-1

Publication Date: 1959

Chapter Title: Front matter, acknowledgment, tables of content

Chapter Author: Harvey E. Brazer

Chapter URL: http://www.nber.org/chapters/c9199

Chapter pages in book: (p. -11 - 0)

City Expenditures in the United States

HARVLY E. BRAZER

OCCASION IL PAPER 66.

SALIUNAL BURLAU OF TOOSOMIC RESEARCH, INC.

NBER O. P. 66 copy & Brazer City expenditures in the United States.

City Expenditures in the United States

HARVEY E. BRAZER

OCCASIONAL PAPER 66

NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH, INC. 1959

Copyright, 1959, by
NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH, INC.
261 Madison Avenue, New York 16, N. Y.

All Rights Reserved
Typography by Oscar Leventhal, Inc.
Printing and Binding by the Leebo Printing Company
Library of Congress catalog card number: 58-9491
Price: \$1.50

NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH 1959

OFFICERS

George B. Roberts, Chairman Arthur F. Burns, President Theodore W. Schultz, Vice-President Murray Shields, Treasurer Solomon Fabricant, Director of Research Geoffrey H. Moore, Associate Director of Research William J. Carson, Executive Director

DIRECTORS AT LARGE

Wallace J. Campbell, Director, Cooperative League of the USA
Solomon Fabricant, New York University Gabriel Hauge, Chairman, Finance Committee, Manufacturers Trust Company Albert J. Hettinger, Jr., Lazurd Frères and Company Oswald W. Knauth, Beaufort, South Carolina

H. W. Laidler, Executive Director Emeritus, League for Industrial Democracy Shepard Morgan, Norfolk, Connecticut

George B. Roberts, Larchmont, New York Beardsley Ruml, New York City

Harry Scherman, Chairman, Book-of-the-Month Club Boris Shishkin, American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations

George Soule, Professor Emeritus, Bennington College

N. I. Stone, Consulting Economist
J. Raymond Walsh, New York City
Joseph H. Willits, Director, The Educational Survey,
University of Pennsylvania

Leo Wolman, Columbia University Donald B. Woodward, Vick Chemical Company

Theodore O. Yntema, Vice-President-Finance, Ford Motor Company DIRECTORS BY UNIVERSITY APPOINTMENT

V. W. Bladen, Toronto Arthur F. Burns, Columbia Melvin G. de Chazeau, Cornell

Frank W. Fetter, Northwestern H. M. Groves, Wisconsin Gottfried Haberler, Harvard

Walter W. Heller, Minnesota Maurice W. Lee, North Carolina Lloyd G. Reynolds, Yale T. W. Schultz, Chicago Jacob Viner, Princeton Willis J. Winn, Pennsylvania

DIRECTORS APPOINTED BY OTHER ORGANIZATIONS

Percival F. Brundage, American Institute of Accountants Harold G. Halcrow, American Farm Economic Association Theodore V. Houser, Committee for Economic Development Stanley H. Ruttenberg, American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations

Murray Snields, American Management Association Willard L. Thorp, American Economic Association W. Allen Wallis, American Statistical Association Harold F. Williamson, Economic History Association

RESEARCH STAFF

Moses Abramovitz Gary S. Becker Arthur F. Burns Morris A. Copeland Richard A. Easterlin Solomon Fabricant Milton Friedman Raymond W. Goldsmith Leo Grebler Millard Hastay W. Braddock Hickman Daniel M. Holland

Thor Hultgren John W. Kendrick Simon Kuznets Clarence D. Long Ruth P. Mack Ilse Mintz Geoffrey H. Moore Roger F. Murray G. Warren Nutter Lawrence H. Seltzer George J. Stigler Leo Wolman

Herbert B. Woolley

RELATION OF THE DIRECTORS TO THE WORK AND PUBLICATIONS OF THE NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH

- 1. The object of the National Riveau of Feonomic Research is to ascertain and to present to the multiplicate reconomic facts and their interpretation in a scientific and impacted manner. The Board of Directors is charged with the responsibility of ensuring that the work of the National Bureau is carried on in strict conformity with this object.
- 2. To this end the Board of Directors shall appoint one or more Directors of Research.
- 3. The Director of Director of Presenth shall submit to the members of the Board, or to its I want to Committee the their formal adoption, all specific proposals group with a concept to be instituted.
- 4. No report destricts in the fraction to the telessteries. Princetors of Research shall have delessed, the property of the control of the character of the dominant of the individual of the dominant of the mediate of the following the property of the southern and treatment as in their agradient and the control of the mediate of the southern and the southern of the publication of a control of the mediate of the formula of t
- 5. A copy of any transported proposed for publication shall also be submitted to each mean an action if any. For each meanwring to be so submitted a special commander shall be appointed by the Problem, or at his evaluation by the Freenite 19 and according to the problem, or at his evaluation by the Freenite 19 and according to the problem, and the special manual report of the second according to the special manual report described an according to the second meanure of the original according to the special common action meanure of the original according to the special common action meanure of the special common action according to the special common action meanure of the special common action according to the special common action according to the special common action according to the special common action action action action meanured that action action action, the pean trail manuscript, the Diagrams action action action while calcium action actions action act
- 6. No manuscript may be published, though approved by each member of the special commuter, and continued as a serious continued from the transmittal of the summary and memoral flowers of the allows from the receipt of any memorandum of dissent of the scales of the scales of the state memoral more dissent or reservation shades on the scales of the memorandum of dissent or reservation shades on the scales of the manuscript if he so desires. Publication does not sow, a charle he care to emper of the Board has read the manuscript, or that either members of the Board in general, or of the special committee, have passed upon its validity in every detail.
- 7. A copy of this resolution shall, unless otherwise determined by the Board, be printed in each copy of every National Bureau book.

(Resolution adopted October 25, 1926 and revised February 6, 1933 and February 24, 1941)

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The comments, criticisms and suggestions of several members of the Bureau's staff who have read various drafts of the manuscript have been very helpful. I am, in this connection, especially indebted to C. Harry Kahn and Robert E. Lipsey, whose thoughtful criticisms produced numerous improvements and, even when rejected, always forced a careful re-thinking of interpretations and conclusions. The paper owes much too to the suggestions offered by Solomon Fabricant, Raymond W. Goldsmith, Leo Grebler, Harold M. Groves, Clarence Heer, Lawrence H. Seltzer and George J. Stigler.

John M. Mattila of Wayne State University, in the early stages of the study, gave generously of his time in discussion of statistical procedures. A considerable portion of the extensive computations involved was carried out by the Computation Laboratory of Wayne State University, under the direction of Arvid W. Jacobson, with the help of a faculty research grant received from the University in 1955.

HARVEY E. BRAZER

CONTENTS

	001,122,110	Page
Ackno	wledgment	v
Introd	uction	1
Variation in City Expenditures		2
C	ity Expenditures per Capita for 462 Cities in 1951	3
Α	ssociation between Expenditure Categories	4
	ifferences in per Capita Expenditures of 1951 of ities Grouped by State and Regional Location	5
Factors Associated with Variations in City Expenditures		13
So	cope and Method	16
	nctors Associated with Variation in per Capita Expenditures 462 Cities in 1951 — the Independent Variables	18
R	esults of the Regression Analysis	24
	elations between Expenditures and Selected Variables r Cities in California, Massachusetts, and Ohio	45
	elations between Expenditures and Selected Variables r 40 Large Cities	47
V	ariation in Expenditures of Cities Grouped by Type of City	61
Summa	ry	66
Appena	lices	
Α.	Description of Expenditure Categories	69
В.	Correlation between Expenditure Categories for 462 Cities, the Cities of California, Massachusetts, and Ohio, and 40 Large Cities	73
C.	Correlation among the Independent Variables and between the Expenditure Categories and the Independent Variables	76
D.	Components of Variation in Mean Expenditures per Capita of 462 Cities Grouped by Type of City, 1951	82

Supplementary Appendix

(Mimeographed; on file at the National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc. Copies may be requested from the author or from the National Bureau.)

E. Differences between the Observed and Computed Values of per Capita Expenditures for 462 Cities and 40 Large Cities and Their Overlying Units of Local Government

TABLES

		Page
1.	Measures of Variation in City Expenditures per Capita, 462 Cities, 1951	3
2.	Mean per Capita Expenditures, 462 Cities Grouped by State, 1951	6
3.	Coefficients of Variation in per Capita Expenditures of Cities in California, Massachusetts, and Ohio and 462 Cities, 1951	9
4.	Variance between and within States in per Capita Expenditures, 462 Cities, 1951	10
5.	Mean per Capita Expenditures of 462 Cities Grouped by Geographic Region, 1951	12
	Capita Expenditures of 462 Cities in Relation to Selected ables:	
6.	Regression Coefficients, 1951	25
7.	Beta Coefficients, 1951	26
8.	Elasticity Coefficients, 1951	27
	Capita Expenditures of 35 California Cities in Relation to cted Variables:	
9.	Regression Coefficients, 1951	36
10.	Beta Coefficients, 1951	37
11.	Elasticity Coefficients, 1951	38
	Capita Expenditures of 30 Massachusetts Cities in Relation to cted Variables:	
12.	Regression Coefficients, 1951	39
13.	Beta Coefficients, 1951	40
14.	Elasticity Coefficients, 1951	41
	Capita Expenditures of 32 Ohio Cities in Relation to cted Variables:	
15.	Regression Coefficients, 1951	42
i 6.	Beta Coefficients, 1951	43

		Page
17.	Elasticity Coefficients, 1951	44
18.	Per Capita Expenditures of 40 Large Cities and Their Overlying Units of Local Government, 1953	50
Ove	Capita Operating Expenditures of 40 Large Cities and Their rlying Units of Local Government in Relation to Selected iables:	
19.	Regression Coefficients, 1953	54
20.	Beta Coefficients, 1953	56
21.	Elasticity Coefficients, 1953	58
22.	Mean per Capita Expenditures of 462 Cities Grouped by Type of City, 1951	65
	ficients of Simple Correlation between Expenditure gories:	
B-1.	462 Cities	73
B-2.	35 California Cities	73
B-3.	30 Massachusetts Cities	74
B-4.	32 Ohio Cities	74
B-5.	40 Large Cities and Their Overlying Local Governments	75
	ricients of Simple Correlation, Selected Independent Variables Expenditure Categories:	
C-1.	462 Cities	76
C- 2.	35 California Cities	77
C-3.	30 Massachusetts Cities	78
C-4.	32 Ohio Cities	79
	40 Large Cities and Their Overlying Units of Local Government	80
	Components of Variation in Mean Expenditures per Capita of 462 Cities Grouped by Type, 1951	82

CITY EXPENDITURES IN THE UNITED STATES