This PDF is a selection from an out-of-print volume from the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: Canada-U.S. Tax Comparisons

Volume Author/Editor: John B. Shoven and John Whalley, editors

Volume Publisher: University of Chicago Press

Volume ISBN: 0-226-75483-9

Volume URL: http://www.nber.org/books/shov92-1

Conference Date: July 26-27, 1990

Publication Date: January 1992

Chapter Title: Front matter "Canada-U.S. Tax Comparisons"

Chapter Author: John B. Shoven, John Whalley

Chapter URL: http://www.nber.org/chapters/c7476

Chapter pages in book: (p. -12 - 0)



National Bureau of Economic Research

# Canada-U.S. Tax Comparisons

Edited by John B. Shoven and John Whalley This Page Intentionally Left Blank

## Canada-U.S. Tax Comparisons



A National Bureau of Economic Research Project Report

## Canada-U.S. Tax Comparisons

Edited by

John B. Shoven and John Whalley



The University of Chicago Press

Chicago and London

JOHN B. SHOVEN is professor of economics at Stanford University. JOHN WHALLEY is professor of economics at the University of Western Ontario, where he is also director of the Centre for the Study of International Economic Relations.

The University of Chicago Press, Chicago 60637 The University of Chicago Press, Ltd., London © 1992 by the National Bureau of Economic Research All rights reserved. Published 1992 Printed in the United States of America

01 00 99 98 97 96 95 94 93 92 1 2 3 4 5 6

ISBN (cloth): 0-226-75483-9

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Canada-U.S. tax comparisons / edited by John B. Shoven and John Whalley. p. cm.—(A National Bureau of Economic Research project re-

p. cm.—(A National Bureau of Economic Research project report)

Includes bibliographical references and indexes.

1. Taxation—Canada. 2. Taxation—United States. I. Shoven, John B. II. Whalley, John. III. Title: Canada-US tax comparisons. IV. Series. HJ2449.C27 1992 336.2'00971—dc20 92-13915 CIP

☺ The paper used in this publication meets the minimum requirements of the American National Standard for Information Sciences—Permanence of Paper for Printed Library Materials, ANSI Z39.48−1984.

#### **National Bureau of Economic Research**

#### Officers

George T. Conklin, Jr., chairman Paul W. McCracken, vice chairman Martin Feldstein, president and chief executive officer

#### **Directors at Large**

John H. Biggs Andrew Brimmer Carl F. Christ George T. Conklin, Jr. Don R. Conlan Kathleen B. Cooper Jean A. Crockett George C. Eads Martin Feldstein George Hatsopoulos Lawrence R. Klein Franklin A. Lindsay Paul W. McCracken Leo Melamed Robert T. Parry

Geoffrey Carliner, executive director Charles A. Walworth, treasurer Sam Parker, director of finance and administration

Peter G. Peterson
Douglas D. Purvis
Robert V. Roosa
Richard N. Rosett
Bert Seidman
Eli Shapiro
Donald S. Wasserman

#### **Directors by University Appointment**

Jagdish Bhagwati, Columbia William C. Brainard, Yale Geln G. Cain, Wisconsin Franklin Fisher, Massachusetts Institute of Technology Jonathan Hughes, Northwestern Saul H. Hymans, Michigan Marjorie B. McElroy, Duke James L. Pierce, California, Berkeley Andrew Postlewaite, Pennsylvania Nathan Rosenberg, Stanford Harold T. Shapiro, Princeton Craig Swan, Minnesota Michael Yoshino, Harvard Arnold Zellner, Chicago

#### **Directors by Appointment of Other Organizations**

Charles Lave, American Economic Marcel Boyer, Canadian Economics Association Association Rueben C. Buse, American Agricultural Rudolph A. Oswald, American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Economics Association Organizations Richard A. Easterlin, Economic History Deal P. Phypers, Committee for Economic Association Gail Fosler, The Conference Board Development A. Ronald Gallant, American Statistical Charles A. Walworth, American Institute of Association **Certified Public Accountants** Robert S. Hamada, American Finance Association

#### **Directors Emeriti**

Moses Abramovitz	Gottfried Haberler	George B. Roberts
Emilio G. Collado	Geoffrey H. Moore	Willard L. Thorp
Thomas D. Flynn	James J. O'Leary	William S. Vickrey

#### Relation of the Directors to the Work and Publications of the National Bureau of Economic Research

1. The object of the National Bureau of Economic Research is to ascertain and to present to the public important economic facts and their interpretation in a scientific and impartial manner. The Board of Directors is charged with the responsibility of ensuring that the work of the National Bureau is carried on in strict conformity with this object.

2. The President of the National Bureau shall submit to the Board of Directors, or to its Executive Committee, for their formal adoption all specific proposals for research to be instituted.

3. No research report shall be published by the National Bureau until the President has sent each member of the Board a notice that a manuscript is recommended for publication and that in the President's opinion it is suitable for publication in accordance with the principles of the National Bureau. Such notification will include an abstract or summary of the manuscript's content and a response form for use by those Directors who desire a copy of the manuscript for review. Each manuscript shall contain a summary drawing attention to the nature and treatment of the problem studied, the character of the data and their utilization in the report, and the main conclusions reached.

4. For each manuscript so submitted, a special committee of the Directors (including Directors Emeriti) shall be appointed by majority agreement of the President and Vice Presidents (or by the Executive Committee in case of inability to decide on the part of the President and Vice Presidents), consisting of three Directors selected as nearly as may be one from each general division of the Board. The names of the special manuscript committee shall be stated to each Director when notice of the proposed publication is submitted to him. It shall be the duty of each member of the special manuscript committee to read the manuscript. If each member of the manuscript committee signifies his approval within thirty days of the transmittal of the manuscript, the report may be published. If at the end of that period any member of the Board, requesting approval or disapproval of publication, and thirty days additional shall be granted for this purpose. The manuscript shall then not be published unless at least a majority of the entire Board who shall have voted on the proposal within the time fixed for the receipt of votes shall have approved.

5. No manuscript may be published, though approved by each member of the special manuscript committee, until forty-five days have elapsed from the transmittal of the report in manuscript form. The interval is allowed for the receipt of any memorandum of dissent or reservation, together with a brief statement of his reasons, that any member may wish to express: and such memorandum of dissent or reservation shall be published with the manuscript if he so desires. Publication does not, however, imply that each member of the Board has read the manuscript, or that either members of the Board in general or the special committee have passed on its validity in every detail.

6. Publications of the National Bureau issued for informational purposes concerning the work of the Bureau and its staff, or issued to inform the public of activities of Bureau staff, and volumes issued as a result of various conferences involving the National Bureau shall contain a specific disclaimer noting that such publication has not passed through the normal review procedures required in this resolution. The Executive Committee of the Board is charged with review of all such publications from time to time to ensure that they do not take on the character of formal research reports of the National Bureau, requiring formal Board approval.

7. Unless otherwise determined by the Board or exempted by the terms of paragraph 6, a copy of this resolution shall be printed in each National Bureau publication.

(Resolution adopted October 25, 1926, as revised through September 30, 1974)

### Contents

	Preface	ix
	Introduction William T. Alpert, John B. Shoven, and John Whalley	1
1.	<b>Pressures for the Harmonization of</b> <b>Income Taxation between Canada and</b> <b>the United States</b> Robin Boadway and Neil Bruce	25
2.	<b>Canada-U.S. Free Trade and Pressures for Tax</b> <b>Coordination</b> Roger H. Gordon	75
3.	<b>Income Security via the Tax System: Canadian</b> <b>and American Reforms</b> Jonathan R. Kesselman	97
4.	<b>Tax Incidence: Annual and Lifetime Perspectives</b> <b>in the United States and Canada</b> James B. Davies	151
5.	Tax Effects on the Cost of Capital Kenneth J. McKenzie and Jack M. Mintz	189
6.	The Cost of Capital in Canada, the United States, and Japan John B. Shoven and Michael Topper	217
7.	The Impact of U.S. Tax Reform on Canadian Stock Prices Joel Slemrod	237

8.	Tax Aspects of Policy toward Aging Populations Alan J. Auerbach and Laurence J. Kotlikoff	255
9.	<b>Taxation and Housing Markets</b> James M. Poterba	275
10.	What Can the United States Learn from the Canadian Sales Tax Debate? Charles E. McLure, Jr.	295
11.	Subnational Tax Harmonization, Canada and the United States: Intent, Results, and Consequences François Vaillancourt	323
12.	<b>Reflections on Canada-U.S. Tax Differences:</b> <b>Two Views</b> Richard A. Musgrave Thomas A. Wilson	359 365
	Contributors	375
	Author Index	377
	Subject Index	381

### Preface

This volume contains eleven papers which together explore and compare both features of and experiences with tax policy in the United States and Canada. The papers are the result of a project on comparative U.S.-Canada tax policy executed as part of the program on U.S.-Canada comparative social policy of the William H. Donner Foundation, New York.

The project involved six prominent public finance scholars from each side of the border, along with two more senior scholars. The objective was to explore jointly the similarities and differences in tax structures, the reasons for any differences identified, the contrasting experiences with tax reform (especially in the 1980s), and whether the tax systems are converging or diverging, and why. The papers have been commissioned with these objectives in mind and are reproduced here along with the commentaries of the two senior scholars (one paper, by John Whalley, formed the basis for part of the introduction and so is not published separately here).

What emerges is a picture of both similarity and differences. Corporate tax structures, following the reforms of the 1980s, are similar across the border, and income taxes have many similarities (but with differences). But Canada has a national sales tax, while the United States does not; the United States has sharply higher social security taxes; Canada has much more revenue sharing and, generally, a more decentralized federal structure. And while there are similarities in the tax reforms both countries entered into in the 1980s, both the extent of policy convergence in the area, and the degree to which tax changes in the United States automatically trigger similar changes in Canada, remain topics of debate. The reader will see alternative points of view in the papers, reflecting the fluid state of debate in this field.

We would like to thank the William H. Donner Foundation for supporting this project under its comparative social policy program and the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation for providing financial support for the project. The Ford Foundation also contributed support. We are especially grateful to William T. Alpert of the William H. Donner Foundation for his help and encouragement in seeing the project through, and to James Capua of the William H. Donner Foundation and Art Singer of the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation for further encouragement. At the National Bureau of Economic Research, Robert Allison and Geoffrey Carliner have provided strong support, and Ann Brown has done an outstanding job in seeing the volume through to publication. At Stanford, Rosannah Reeves has provided sterling support, while at Western Ontario, Leigh MacDonald provided initial support with Connie Nevill seeing the project through in its final stages. To all we are grateful.

John B. Shoven and John Whalley