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## 3

## The Value of Physical Capital in Agriculture

In the previous chapter changes in the agricultural sector were described in terms of (l) the numbers of farms or "plants" in which agricultural production is carried on, (2) the land area covered by these units of operation, and (3) the farm labor force. In this chapter we shall discuss in more detail the growth of real farm capital as reflected in the value of physical assets used in farming, including land.

## The Value of Physical Assets in 1870

In 1870 the value of the physical assets of agriculture (current prices) amounted to $\$ 11,864$ million (Table 6 ). Nearly a third of this was in the Northeast. Somewhat more than a third was in the Corn Belt. These two regions together contained only 41 per cent of the land in farms, but they contained 68 per cent of the value of physical farm capital. If the Lake States and Appalachian region are included with the Northeast and the Corn Belt, the enlarged area contained 70 per cent of the land in farms and 89 per cent of the value of physical farm assets. The entire western half of the United States together with the Delta States and the Southeast region accounted for only 11 per cent of the value of these assets. In large measure the low value of physical farm assets in the South reflected the havoc of the Civil War. In the western half of the United States settlement had only begun. Oklahoma, North Dakota, South Dakota, Arizona, New Mexico, Colorado, Idaho, Utah, Wyoming, Montana, and Washington had yet to achieve statehood.

In 1870 farm real estate represented 78 per cent of the total investment in physical farm capital, livestock represented 14 per cent, stored crops 5 per cent, and implements and machinery 3
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| Lake States physical assets | 994 | 1,367 | 1,770 | 2,455 | 4,268 | 8,848 | 6,944 | 6,653 | 4,440 | 5,012 | 7,670 | 10,793 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land and buildings | 796 | 1,051 | 1,374 | 1,938 | 3,365 | 6,926 | 5,577 | 5,017 | 3,456 | 3,544 | 4,895 | 6,536 |
| Implements and machinery | 35 | 48 | 58 | 88 | 155 | 471 | 381 | 458 | 298 | 489 | 929 | 1,734 |
| Livestock | 110 | 161 | 238 | 283 | 462 | 894 | 597 | 850 | 405 | 686 | 1,179 | 1,660 |
| Horses and mules | 42 | 72 | 120 | 105 | 236 | 227 | 160 | 168 | 156 | 143 | 80 | 32 |
| Other | 68 | 89 | 118 | 178 | 226 | 667 | 437 | 682 | 249 | 543 | 1,099 | 1,628 |
| Crop inventories | 53 | 107 | 100 | 146 | 286 | 557 | 389 | 328 | 281 | 293 | 667 | 863 |
| Corn Belt physical assets | 4,261 | 4,794 | 5,877 | 7,550 | 14,081 | 26,545 | 17,730 | 15,573 | 9,998 | 11,766 | 18,731 | 26,980 |
| Land and buildings | 3,395 | 3,715 | 4,551 | 5,985 | 11,745 | 21,978 | 14,799 | 12,466 | 8,085 | 9,031 | 13,506 | 18,688 |
| Implements and machinery | 114 | 133 | 144 | 195 | 312 | 944 | 628 | 715 | 467 | 742 | 1,454 | 2,993 |
| Livestock | 534 | 590 | 845 | 896 | 1,417 | 2,085 | 1,286 | 1,579 | 821 | 1,221 | 2,155 | 3,065 |
| Horses and mules | 213 | 235 | 425 | 274 | 767 | 592 | 339 | 328 | 320 | 251 | 140 | 53 |
| Other | 321 | 355 | 420 | 622 | 650 | 1,493 | 947 | 1,251 | 501 | 970 | 2,015 | 3,012 |
| Crop inventories | 218 | 356 | 337 | 474 | 607 | 1,538 | 1,017 | 813 | 625 | 772 | 1,616 | 2,234 |
| Delta States physical assets | 304 | 370 | 509 | 648 | 1,228 | 2,775 | 1,719 | 2,002 | 1,337 | 1,700 | 2,838 | 4,506 |
| Land and buildings | 190 | 226 | 331 | 428 | 881 | 2,017 | 1,324 | 1,534 | 1,043 | 1,286 | 2,022 | 3,204 |
| Implements and machinery | 14 | 15 | 19 | 47 | 53 | 116 | 81 | 103 | 67 | 113 | 269 | 679 |
| Livestock | 78 | 72 | 97 | 103 | 182 | 376 | 165 | 215 | 156 | 231 | 360 | 454 |
| Horses and mules | 45 | 38 | 58 | 53 | 121 | 191 | 92 | 91 | 92 | 115 | 114 | 52 |
| Other | 33 | 34 | 39 | 50 | 61 | 185 | 73 | 124 | 64 | 116 | 246 | 402 |
| Crop inventories | 22 | 57 | 62 | 70 | 112 | 266 | 149 | 150 | 71 | 70 | 187 | 169 |
| Great Plains physical assets | 174 | 547 | 1,581 | 2,292 | 6,655 | 12,801 | 8,826 | 8,890 | 5,319 | 4,672 | 8,615 | 12,764 |
| Land and buildings | 123 | 364 | 1,145 | 1,640 | 5,379 | 10,503 | 7,179 | 7,013 | 4,441 | 3,555 | 5,825 | 8,524 |
| Implements and machinery | 6 | 25 | 50 | 81 | 170 | 534 | 375 | 545 | 355 | 350 | 757 | 1,588 |
| Livestock | 38 | 119 | 295 | 412 | 709 | 1,028 | 698 | 902 | 362 | 541 | 1,133 | 1,703 |
| Horses and mules | 12 | 45 | 148 | 112 | 414 | 331 | 196 | 166 | 147 | 99 | 65 | 25 |
| Other | 26 | 74 | 147 | 300 | 295 | 697 | 502 | 736 | 215 | 442 | 1,068 | 1,678 |
| Crop inventories | 7 | 39 | 91 | 159 | 397 | 736 | 574 | 430 | 161 | 226 | 900 | 949 |
| Texas-Oklahoma physical assets | 128 | 314 | 632 | 1,287 | 3,262 | 6,654 | 5,022 | 5,837 | 3,939 | 4,233 | 7,239 | 11,253 |
| Land and buildings | 60 | 170 | 409 | 863 | 2,582 | 5,064 | 4,094 | 4,840 | 3,358 | 3,421 | 5,666 | 8,570 |
| Implements and machinery | 3 | 9 | 14 | 41 | 84 | 235 | 200 | 275 | 179 | - 259 | 497 | 1,055 |
| Livestock | 56 | 105 | 176 | 300 | 455 | 936 | 462 | 575 | 327 | 479 | 851 | 1,327 |
| Horses and mules | 20 | 28 | 60 | 62 | 254 | 379 | 203 | 149 | 151 | 112 | 76 | 27 |
| Other | 36 | 77 | 116 | 238 | 201 | 557 | 259 | 426 | 176 | 367 | 775 | 1,300 |
| Crop inventories | 9 | 30 | 33 | 83 | 141 | 419 | 266 | 147 | 75 | 74 | 225 | 301 |

Table 6-Continued
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 census dates; livestock and crop inventories as of January 1. Source: Land and buildings: 1870-1940 and 1950, Census of Agriculture: 1950, Vol. II, General Report, Table 19, p. 48 (1870 values raised 25 per cent to adjust from "gold standard" to "currency"); 1945, BAE estimate. Implements and machinery: 1870-1920, Census of Agriculture: 1930, Vol. IV, General Report, Table 18, p. 64; 1925, Census of Agriculture: 1925, Parts I, II, and III, State Table II; 1930 and 1940, Census of Agriculture: 1940, Vol. III, General Report, Table 16, p. 49; 1935 and 1950, United States totals are estimates by
per cent. Nearly two-fifths of the value of livestock was represented by horses and mules, and a little more than three-fifths by animals raised to produce animal products.

The percentage represented by real estate was higher than the national average in the Northeast, the Lake States, and the Corn Belt. It was slightly below the national average in the Appalachian region. In the regions of the West and in the deep South the ratio of real estate to the total was well below the national average. In the Mountain region real estate was only 25 per cent of the total. This extremely low figure was largely due to the practice of grazing cattle on the open range, which by definition is excluded from farm real estate. As a result, the value of farm real estate was an exceptionally small part, and the value of livestock was an exceptionally large part-73 per cent-of the total investment. The influence of this grazing practice is also reflected in the relative importance of these classes of capital in the Pacific and TexasOklahoma regions.

In the southern regions, including the Southeast, Delta States, and Texas-Oklahoma, horses and mules represented a strikingly large part (about one-seventh) of the value of all physical farm assets. In Texas-Oklahoma this may have been partly the result of raising horses on the range for eastern and northern markets. In the other southern regions it can probably be explained by the fact that after the Civil War work animals were relatively harder to obtain and higher in price than land. Similarly, the abundance and cheapness of land in the Delta States and the Southeast probably accounts for the relatively high proportion of the total investment devoted to machinery, livestock other than work animals, and stored crops.

## Growth of Physical Farm Assets 1870-1920

After 1870, the value of the physical assets of agriculture rose at varying rates for half a century (Chart 1). By 1900, despite falling prices during most of the period, the increase in current prices was 83 per cent. This expansion varied greatly by regions (Table 7 ), and, in consequence, an important shift in the location of farm assets occurred.

Chart 1
Physical Farm Assets, United States, Census Years, 1870-1950


Table 7
Percentage Change in Value of Physical Farm Assets, by Regions, 1870-1900 AND 1900-1920

|  | 1870-1900 |  | 1900-1920 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region | Current Prices | Constant Prices | Current Prices | Constant Prices |
| United States | 83 | 104 | 285 | 24 |
| Northeast | -19 | 7 | 77 | -7 |
| Appalachian | 31 | 42 | 255 | 13 |
| Southeast | 97 | 60 | 465 | 38 |
| Lake States | 147 | 153 | 260 | 33 |
| Corn Bett | 77 | 63 | 252 | 7 |
| Delta States | 113 | 118 | 328 | 23 |
| Great Plains | 1,220 | 1,259 | 459 | 52 |
| Texas-Oklahoma | 904 | 520 | 417 | 31 |
| Mountain | 1,286 | 1,490 | 618 | 120 |
| Pacific | 344 | 194 | 376 | 42 |

Source: Based on Tables 6 and 8.
The percentage changes in the various regions reflect the changes in the nation's agriculture that were induced mainly by the extensive building of railroads after the Civil War. The rapid extension of rail transportation encouraged settlement in areas formerly avoided because markets were inaccessible. Thus the newly built railroads brought the fertile lands of the Mississippi Valley and of the western half of the United States into active competition with the farms of the East. Declining prices for farm products did not greatly interfere with the rapid development of new farms in the regions that were being penetrated by the expanding railroad network. Costs of production were lower in the newly settled regions than on many farms in the East and production was profitable even at the lower prices. In contrast, in the eastern states many farmers, discouraged by unprofitable prices, found employment in the rapidly growing industrial cities or joined those who were developing farms in the West. Thus expansion of agriculture in the West and an impressive development of manufacturing and trade in the East forced agriculture in the Northeast to contract and held expansion of agriculture in the Appalachian region to relatively modest proportions.

Most of the regions that show large percentage gains in the value of real farm capital for this period had relatively small gains in dollar values. The largest percentage gains were from very low
base values in 1870; only in the Great Plains was the increase in dollar value relatively large. In dollars, nearly one-third of the increase occurred in the Corn Belt; about one-fifth occurred in the Great Plains and one-sixth to one-seventh in the Lake States. The remaining third was widely distributed in six regions.

In the first two decades of the present century the value of physical farm assets rose spectacularly (Table 7). A strong upward movement of prices, particularly prices of farms and farm products, characterized both decades. Regional variations were less pronounced between 1900 and 1920 than in the earlier period (Table 7). This reflected the waning of land settlement as the dominant factor affecting the growth in value of physical assets and the emergence of rising prices as the chief influence. Land settlement after 1870 affected the regions very differently, mainly because of differences in the degree to which land was already occupied. Rising prices of farm products, on the other hand, affected values after 1900 in all regions with considerable similarity, although their influence was by no means identical.

For the United States as a whole, the growth of the investment in physical farm assets did not greatly alter its composition (Chart 1). As in 1870, the investment in real estate in 1920 constituted about four-fifths of the total. The investment in livestock constituted 10 per cent, that in stored crops 6 per cent, and that in implements and machinery 4 per cent of the total. These percentages differ somewhat from those of 1870 , but the rank of the classes of assets is the same. Examination of changes in the percentage that each class is of the total value in constant prices reveals a moderate decline in the relative importance of real estate, to which the outstanding growth of the physical inventory of machinery made a major contribution.

In contrast to the relative stability in the composition of the physical assets for the country as a whole, marked changes occurred in some regions. As already indicated, in the western regions, in the early years, the practice of grazing cattle mainly on land not in farms led to a relatively high percentage of farm investment in livestock and a relatively low percentage in farm real estate. In the decades following 1870, many new farms were established and others were enlarged on land that had previously been part of the
public domain or of the holdings of nonagricultural owners such as timber companies or railroads. Thus, although the investment in livestock rose notably in the western regions, the value of land in farms and farm buildings rose proportionately much more (Table 6). A similar but less intensive development occurred in some parts of the South as well.

Meanwhile, in the Northeast, an opposite trend was under way. In this region the value of land and buildings in 1870 was 82 per cent of the investment in all physical farm assets, but in 1900 and 1920 the percentages were 79 and 71 respectively. In contrast, the value of livestock, stored crops, and especially of machinery became increasingly important fractions of the total. The shifts in relative importance of the investment represented by each major class of farm capital resulted partly from differences in the extent of price changes and partly from changes in physical inventory. As an example of the latter influence, the value of farm real estate in constant prices in the Northeast was 7 per cent lower in 1920 than in 1870, but the constant-price value of machinery was 210 per cent higher.

## Value and Distribution of Farm Assets in 1920

As 1920 marks the end of a long period of uninterrupted and often rapid growth of physical farm capital in the United States, some notice should be taken of how this growth affected the volume, location, and composition of such capital.
In current dollars the value of physical farm assets increased sevenfold between 1870 and 1920, while the volume (value in constant dollars) rose two-and-one-half-fold (Tables 6 and 8).

In 1870, nearly one-third of this investment in farm assets was in the Northeast region, but in 1920 only one-fifteenth was there. The regions comprising the western half of the United States, together with the Delta States and the Southeast region, which in 1870 had contained only 11 per cent of the physical assets of farms, in 1920 accounted for 43 per cent. Despite the huge increase in the value of assets in the Corn Belt, amounting to $\$ 22,285$ million, the proportion of total assets in this region was slightly lower in 1920 than in 1870. The increase there had been sixfold compared with the sevenfold national average. The ninefold increase in the
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Table 8-Continued
Value of Physical Farm Assets in Constant Prices (1910-1914 Average), by Regions and Selected Groups, Census Years, 1870-1950 (millions of dollars) $1890 \quad 1900 \quad 1910$
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tained from sources indicated in Table 6. Crop values are average prices per unit (bushels, bales, tons) on December 15, 1910-1914, multiplied by the number of units stored on farms on January 1 of census years, estimated by methods described in Appendix E.

Lake States gave this region a larger proportion in 1920 than in 1870, whereas the fivefold increase in the Appalachian region caused the total for this region to decline in proportion to the total for the country as a whole.

Shrinkage in Agricultural Assets, 1920-1935
The value of the physical assets used in farming declined sharply in the early 1920's and again in the early 1930's. For the United States as a whole the value (current prices) declined 28 per cent between 1920 and 1925, remained virtually level during the next five years, and declined 33 per cent from 1930 to 1935. These changes were due mainly to falling prices, as the value of physical assets at constant prices was only 5 per cent lower in 1935 than in 1920.

The first wave of deflation lowered the value of the major classes of farm assets in the United States with considerable uniformity. The decline in value between 1920 and 1925 was not entirely due to lower prices, however. Constant-price values reveal some shrinkage in physical quantity or deterioration of condition in each major class.

Regional differences in the extent of deflation were notable in this period. In the Pacific region the value of all physical assets was only 7 per cent lower in 1925 than five years before, and in the Northeast the shrinkage was limited to 13 per cent. The decline was most severe in the South, 38 per cent in the Delta States and 37 in the Southeast region.

The relatively small decline in the northeast and Pacific regions was chiefly due to a very moderate shrinkage in the value of real estate. In the Northeast the value of farm real estate dropped only 6 per cent, partly because the upswing that culminated in 1920 had been moderate in these states, and partly because the farm prices of the dairy, poultry, fruit, and vegetable products characteristic of this region remained well above the prewar level. Moreover, the growing inclination of city workers to live on conveniently located small farms helped to sustain farm real estate values in this region.

In the Pacific States the rise in the value of real estate in the decade before 1920 amounted to 88 per cent, a rate of increase
only a little below the national average. Nevertheless, in 1925 the level was only 4 per cent below 1920. The value of real estate in the Pacific area was sustained partly by farm prices for the region's fruit and vegetable, and poultry and dairy products, which remained well above the prewar level, and partly by an active demand for, and development of, small farms. The census in 1925 reported an increase of more than 31,000 farms in this region and a sharp increase in the value of farm buildings despite a decline in land in farms.

In the Delta States the decline in the value of both farm real estate and livestock exceeded that of any other region. In the Southeast shrinkage in the value of livestock almost paralleled that of the Delta States and the percentage declines in machinery and crops exceeded those of all other regions. In this region the decline in the value of real estate also exceeded the national average. Moreover, the percentage declines in constant-price valuations between 1920 and 1925 indicate contractions of real farm capital in these southern regions that were not matched elsewhere.

The exceptional shrinkage both in the value and in the physical volume of farm capital in these southern regions was partly a reaction from the remarkable growth of the previous decade. Only in the Mountain region has farm capital increased more rapidly. Also the shrinkage was due partly to the onslaught of the boll weevil, which made cotton farming, particularly in the Southeast, hazardous and unprofitable and turned discouraged farmers toward the growing opportunities for profitable employment in the developing industrial centers. Except for 1921, farm prices of cotton were relatively favorable.

The second major wave of deflation occurred in the period 1930-1935 and, like the first, affected the values of all major classes very similarly. A comparison of the percentage declines in current prices with those calculated in constant prices reveals that for real estate the lower value was solely the consequence of lower prices; for livestock it was due mainly to lower prices, but partly to a reduction in the number of horses and mules. For machinery and for stored crops the lower value was chiefly a result of sharply lower physical inventories. The lower value of implements and machinery reflects the amount by which depreciation outran new
purchases during this period. The low value of stored crops reflects the abnormally low physical stocks resulting from the drought of 1934 and should not be interpreted as reflecting a change in farm practice.

Regional differences in the severity of the deflation were less pronounced in this period than in 1920-1925. Declines in the value of all physical farm assets (current prices) ranged from 23 per cent in the Northeast to 40 per cent in the Great Plains. The regional estimates for machinery in 1935 were constructed by a method that makes changes in that item uniform throughout the United States. But at most this contributed little to the uniformity of regional changes of the total values shown in the tables. The most influential factor again was real estate. Declines in value of this dominant class ranged from 19 per cent in the Northeast to 37 per cent in the Great Plains, a considerably narrower range than in either half of the 1920's.

Recovery of Values, 1935-1940
Between 1935 and 1940 for the United States as a whole, the value of physical assets of agriculture, in current prices, rose 9 per cent. Recovery in prices of farm assets accounted for the larger part of the increase as the value in constant prices gained only 3 per cent.

Differences in the rate of increase in the value of the major classes of physical farm assets between 1935 and 1940 are notable. The value of livestock rose 48 per cent despite an 8 per cent decline in the value of horses and mules. This reflected solely an increase in prices, as there was a slight decline in constant-price values. The gain for implements and machinery was almost as large as for livestock, but it resulted mainly from an increase in physical volume. The constant-price value of this class rose by onethird. The sharp increase in physical volume of machinery is not unrelated to the shrinkage in number of horses and mules. The value of stored crops was 9 per cent higher in 1940 than in 1935. As a result of the drought of 1934, inventories of crops were low, and prices were relatively high in 1935. By 1940 inventories were much higher and prices were lower. The value of farm real estate was only 2 per cent higher in 1940 than five years earlier. This was
entirely the result of a mild rise in price; the constant-price value of real estate remained virtually constant.

Recovery of farm values was far from uniform geographically. In the Great Plains the value of physical assets was 12 per cent lower in 1940 than in 1935, and in the Northeast their value declined 3 per cent. In the Pacific area the increase was only 2 per cent. In contrast, the increase in the Delta States was 27 per cent, in the Appalachian region 20 per cent, in the Corn Belt 18 per cent, in the Southeast, Lake States, Mountain, and Texas-Oklahoma regions $13,13,11$, and 7 per cent respectively.

Accelerated Rise, 1940-1950
With the outbreak of World War II and the sharp increase in cash receipts from farming that followed, the rise in value of physical farm assets, already under way, was greatly accelerated. During the first half of the 1940's, a period that coincides roughly with that of World War II, the value of all physical farm assets increased 72 per cent in current prices. As the constant-price value rose only 6 per cent, the increase must be attributed mainly to higher prices.

The rate of advance varied considerably for the several classes of assets. The value of real estate rose 63 per cent, implements and machinery 103 per cent, livestock 75 per cent, and crops 151 per cent. For real estate, livestock, and crops these advances were due mostly to higher prices. For implements and machinery the increase in physical inventory, amounting to 65 per cent, accounted for the larger part of the higher current value.

The pattern of physical expansion of agriculture in the war period is reflected in the changes in constant-price values of farm assets. Under the impact of wartime demand for farm products farm real estate expanded only 2 per cent. Livestock other than horses and mules, i.e. sources of animal products, increased 17 per cent. Stored crops, largely composed of feed for livestock, increased 18 per cent. Implements and machinery increased 65 per cent, while horses and mules decreased 18 per cent, astonishing changes for a five-year period. During the war the need for a record output of farm products coincided with an acute shortage of agricultural labor. In this situation farm operators added to their inventories of machinery and disposed of horses and mules at rates that were
without precedent, and they would have added even more machinery had various important items like tractors been available in greater quantity.

The wartime growth in the value of physical farm assets was proportionally largest in the Mountain and Pacific regions, where the increases were 119 and 120 per cent respectively. It was least in the Northeast and Lake States (about 53 per cent) and only slightly more in the Appalachian region and the Corn Belt. As the total value is dominated by its largest component, the regional pattern of growth of real estate is much the same as that of total assets. The increase in value of machinery exceeded the national average in the Southeast, Delta, Appalachian, and Great Plains regions. In the Great Plains, gains in the value of livestock and of stored crops were conspicuously large. Above-average increases in livestock occurred also in the Corn Belt, Texas-Oklahoma, and Pacific regions, and in crops in all regions except the Corn Belt, Lake States, and the Northeast.

The surrender of the enemy in 1945 did not halt farm prosperity or check the increase in value of farm assets that had proceeded so rapidly during the war years. Cash receipts from farming and net farm income continued to rise sharply through 1948. In 1949 both cash receipts and net income declined, but they remained well above the levels reached before 1946. The persistence of strong markets and high prices for farm products after the military forces had been largely demobilized encouraged a further rise in the prices of physical farm assets and a considerable physical expansion in some of the means of agricultural production.

On January l, 1950, the current-price value of all physical farm assets in the United States was 43 per cent higher than five years before. The value of farm real estate had increased 38 per cent; livestock, 43 per cent; crops, 21 per cent; and implements and machinery, 108 per cent. These increases resulted mainly from higher prices. Changes in constant-price values were more modest and they even included a decline of livestock.

Regionally, the largest percentage increases in the value of all physical assets in this postwar period occurred in the Delta States. Percentage increases which were well above the average for the United States occurred throughout the South and, except for the

Pacific region, in the western half of the United States. The smallest gains in value were in the Northeast and Pacific regions.

Physical expansion of farm capital in the postwar years occurred most notably in the South and the West. The increase in the con-stant-price value of physical assets for the United States was 4 per cent, but in the Mountain, Pacific, Delta, and Southeast regions the increases ranged from 11 to 14 per cent.

In the Southeast and Delta regions the constant-price increases in real estate were 11 and 13 per cent respectively, and in the Mountain and the Pacific regions they were 16 and 12 per cent. The value of implements and machinery advanced 67 per cent in the Delta and only slightly less in the Southeast, Appalachian, and Mountain regions. In the Pacific region the increase was 42 per cent. By 1950, as already indicated, the physical inventory of livestock in the United States had contracted 16 per cent. Contraction of livestock was least in the Southeast and Northeast, and greatest in Texas-Oklahoma and the Great Plains. Although for the country as a whole there was a 7 per cent increase in stored crops, in most of the regions of the West and South the increase was smaller, or the physical inventories actually declined. As stored crops represent a very small fraction of the total physical assets, this did not prevent these regions from showing impressive increases of total capital.

