This PDF is a selection from an out-of-print volume from the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: Foreign Trade Regimes and Economic Development: India

Volume Author/Editor: Jagdish N. Bhagwati and T. N. Srinivasan

Volume Publisher: NBER

Volume ISBN: 0-87014-531-2

Volume URL: http://www.nber.org/books/bhag75-1

Publication Date: 1975

Chapter Title: Front matter, table of contents, foreword

Chapter Author: Jagdish N. Bhagwati, T. N. Srinivasan

Chapter URL: http://www.nber.org/chapters/c4507

Chapter pages in book: (p. -23 - 0)

Foreign Trade Regimes and Economic Development:

A Special Conference Series on Foreign Trade Regimes and Economic Development

VOLUME VI



NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH
New York 1975

Foreign Trade Regimes and Economic Development: INDIA

INDIA

by Jagdish N. Bhagwati

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

T. N. Srinivasan

INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE

DISTRIBUTED BY Columbia University Press

New York and London

INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS LIBRARY

California Institute of Technology

NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH

A Special Conference Series on Foreign Trade Regimes and Economic Development

Library of Congress Card Number: 74-82374 ISBN for the series: 0-87014-500-2

ISBN for this volume: Cloth: 0-87014-506-1 Paper: 0-87014-531-2

Printed in the United States of America DESIGNED BY JEFFREY M. BARRIE

NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH

OFFICERS

Arthur F. Burns, Honorary Chairman J. Wilson Newman, Chairman Moses Abramovitz, Vice Chairman John R. Meyer, President Thomas D. Flynn, Treasurer Douglas H. Eldridge, Vice President-Executive Secretary
Gary Fromm, Director, NBER-Washington

Victor R. Fuchs, Vice President-Research;
Co-director, NBER-West
Edwin Kuh, Director, Computer Research Center
Robert E. Lipsey, Vice President-Research
Harvey J. McMains, Vice President;
Director, NBER-New York
Sherman J. Maisel, Co-director, NBER-West
Geoffrey H. Moore, Vice President-Research
Edward K. Smith, Vice President

DIRECTORS AT LARGE

Atherton Bean, International Multifoods
Corporation
Andrew F. Brimmer, Harvard University
Arthur F. Burns, Board of Governors of
the Federal Reserve System
Wallace J. Campbell, Foundation for
Cooperative Housing
Erwin D. Canham, Christian Science Monitor
Emilio G. Collado, Exxon Corporation
Solomon Fabricant, New York University
Frank L. Fernbach, United Steelworkers of
America
Eugene P. Foley, Montrose Securities, Inc.
David L. Grove, International Business
Machines Corporation

Walter W. Heller, University of Minnesota

Vivian W. Henderson, Clark College

John R. Meyer, Harvard University
Geoffrey H. Moore, National Bureau of
Economic Research
J. Wilson Newman, Dun & Bradstreet, Inc.
James J. O'Leary, United States Trust
Company of New York
Rudolph A. Oswald, American Federation of
Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations
Alice M. Rivlin, Congressional Budget Office
Robert V. Roosa, Brown Brothers Harriman
& Co.
Eli Shapiro, The Travelers Corporation
Arnold M. Soloway, Jamaicaway Tower,
Boston, Massachusetts
Lazare Teper, International Ladies' Garment
Workers' Union
Theodore O. Yntema, Oakland University

DIRECTORS BY UNIVERSITY APPOINTMENT

Moses Abramovitz, Stanford Gardner Ackley, Michigan Charles H. Berry, Princeton Francis M. Boddy, Minnesota Otto Eckstein, Harvard Walter D. Fisher, Northwestern R. A. Gordon, California, Berkeley J. C. LaForce, California, Los Angeles Robert J. Lampman, Wisconsin
Maurice W. Lee, North Carolina
Almarin Phillips, Pennsylvania
Lloyd G. Reynolds, Yale
Robert M. Solow, Massachusetts Institute of
Technology
Henri Theil, Chicago
William S. Vickrey, Columbia

DIRECTORS BY APPOINTMENT OF OTHER ORGANIZATIONS

Eugene A. Birnbaum, American Management
Association
Thomas D. Flynn, American Institute of
Certified Public Accountants
Nathaniel Goldfinger, American Federation
of Labor and Congress of Industrial
Organizations
Harold G. Halcrow, American Agricultural
Economics Association
Walter E. Hoadley, American Finance Association

Philip M. Klutznick, Committee for Economic Development
Paul W. McCracken, American Statistical Association
Roy E. Moor, National Association of Business Economists
Douglass C. North, Economic History Association
Willard L. Thorp, American Economic Association
Robert M. Will, Canadian Economics Association

DIRECTORS EMERITI

Percival F. Brundage Frank W. Fetter Gottfried Haberler Albert J. Hettinger, Jr. George B. Roberts

Murray Shields Boris Shishkin Joseph H. Willits

SENIOR RESEARCH STAFF

Gary S. Becker Charlotte Boschan Phillip Cagan Stanley Diller Solomon Fabricant Milton Friedman Gary Fromm Victor R. Fuchs J. Royce Ginn Raymond W. Goldsmith Michael Gort Michael Grossman F. Thomas Juster John F. Kain John W. Kendrick Irving B. Kravis Edwin Kuh William M. Landes Hal B. Lary
Robert E. Lipsey
Sherman J. Maisel
Benoit B. Mandelbrot
John R. Meyer
Robert T. Michael
Jacob Mincer
Ilse Mintz
Geoffrey H. Moore

M. Ishaq Nadiri Nancy Ruggles Richard Ruggles Anna J. Schwartz Edward K. Smith George J. Stigler Robert J. Willis Victor Zarnowitz Relation of the Directors of the National Bureau to Publication of the Country Studies in the Series on Foreign Trade Regimes and Economic Development

The individual country studies have not passed through the National Bureau's normal procedures for review and approval of research reports by the Board of Directors. In view of the way in which these studies were planned and reviewed at successive working parties of authors and Co-Directors, the National Bureau's Executive Committee has approved their publication in a manner analogous to conference proceedings, which are exempted from the rules governing submission of manuscripts to, and critical review by, the Board of Directors. It should therefore be understood that the views expressed herein are those of the authors only and do not necessarily reflect those of the National Bureau or its Board of Directors.

The synthesis volumes in the series, prepared by the Co-Directors of the project, are subject to the normal procedures for review and approval by the Directors of the National Bureau.

To H. G. Johnson and C. R. Rao



Contents

| Co-Directors' Foreword | |
|---|-----|
| Preface | xxi |
| Principal Dates and Historical Events in India | |
| Part I: Introduction | |
| Chapter 1: An Overview: 1950-70 | 3 |
| The Indian Economy since 1950 | 4 |
| Phases: 1950-70 | 27 |
| Notes | 30 |
| Part II: The Anatomy of Exchange Control | |
| Chapter 2: Import Control Policy: Criteria for Allocation and Effects | 35 |
| Criteria for Allocation of Foreign Exchange | 35 |
| Economic Effects of Import Controls | 41 |
| Notes | 51 |

xii CONTENTS

| Chapter 3: Export Policy and Performance, 1951–66 | 53 |
|---|----------------|
| Export Policy and Performance during the 1950s: Phases IV and I Export Policy and Performance from 1962 to 1966: Phase II Notes | 54 59 74 |
| Chapter 4: Liberalization Efforts Prior to 1966 | 76 |
| Increasing Use of Tariffs | 76 |
| Remittances Scheme | 79 |
| Partial Industrial De-Licensing Notes | 79 80 |
| Part III: Liberalization Episode | |
| Chapter 5: The Dimensions of the Liberalization Episode | 83 |
| Chapter 6: Net vs. Gross Devaluation in June 1966 | 86 |
| . Notes | 97 |
| Chapter 7: Revival and Expansion of Export Subsidies during 1966-70 (with Mark Frankena) | 99 |
| Methods of Subsidization | 99 |
| Quantification of Subsidization | 105 |
| Notes | 109 |
| Chapter 8: Devaluation, the Price Level and Economic Activity | 111 |
| Effect of the Drought on Prices | 114 |
| Role of Monetary and Fiscal Policy Recession and Inflation | 116 118 |
| Effect on Production | 118 |
| Effect on Investment | 123 |
| Overall Conclusions | 127 |
| Notes | 127 |
| Chapter 9: Liberalization and Export Performance | 129 |
| Non-Traditional Exports | 132 |
| Traditional Exports | 136 |

| CONTENTS | xiii |
|---|---------------------------------|
| Conclusions Appendix: Excess Capacity and Export Performance Notes | 145 147 149 |
| Chapter 10: The Political Response to the Devaluation | 150 |
| Notes | 154 |
| Chapter 11: The Liberalization Episode: Evaluation and Lessons | 156 |
| Was the Liberalization Episode Successful? Lessons Relapse into Phase II Notes | 156 167 170 171 |
| Part IV: Growth Effects | |
| Chapter 12: Issues Relating to the Growth Effects of India's Foreign Trade Regime | 175 |
| Chapter 13: Static Allocational and Efficiency Impact on Growth (with V. R. Panchamuki) | 177 |
| Inefficiency in the Pattern of Resource Utilization Industrial Capacity Utilization and the QR-Regime Overall Effects Appendix: Sources and Methods Notes | 177 183 191 192 195 |
| Chapter 14: Export Policy and Economic Performance (with Kirit Parikh) | 197 |
| Appendix: The Eckaus-Parikh Model Notes | 206 211 |
| Chapter 15: Investment, Innovation and Growth (with Ashok Desai) | 212 |
| Inducement to Invest Inducement to Innovate Notes | 213 213 226 |

xiv Contents

| Chapter 16: Savings and the Foreign Trade Regime | 228 |
|--|-----|
| Domestic Savings and Stringency of QRs | 229 |
| Domestic Savings and External Resources | 232 |
| Retained Earnings by Specific Industries in the Corporate Sector | 235 |
| Other Links with Savings | 238 |
| Notes | 241 |
| Part V: Concluding Remarks | 245 |
| Appendix A: Definition of Concepts and Delineation of Phases | 246 |
| Appendix B: Indian Terms and Units | 249 |
| Appendix C: Abbreviations Frequently Used | 251 |
| Index | 253 |

Tables

| Net National Product, Total and Per Capita, 1950–51 to | , |
|---|--|
| | 6 |
| Population, Decennial, 1931–71 | 7 |
| Tax Revenue and Savings in India, 1950-51 to 1968-69 | 8 |
| Net Domestic Savings, by Source, 1960-61 to 1968-69 | 10 |
| Utilization of External Assistance by India, as Percentage of | |
| Net National Product at Factor Cost, 1951-52 to 1969-70 | 11 |
| Index Numbers of Wholesale Prices, 1950-51 to 1970-71 | 13 |
| Net Domestic Product by Sector of Origin in Current Prices, | |
| 1960–61 to 1969–70 | 14 |
| Index of Industrial Production, 1951–72 | 17 |
| | |
| 1948–70 | 19 |
| Imports by Category, 1966-67 to 1968-69 | 20 |
| | 22 |
| EERs, PLD-EERs and PPP-EERs for Indian Exports and | |
| Imports during 1950–71 | 47 |
| Export Earnings, Volume and Price Indices, 1948–66 | 55 |
| India's Export Earnings from Principal Commodities, 1951- | |
| 52 to 1960–61 | 56 |
| Linear Regression Equations for Export Volume and Price | |
| <u> </u> | 57 |
| 1 0 | |
| Were Maintained at 1948-50 Levels | 60 |
| | Population, Decennial, 1931–71 Tax Revenue and Savings in India, 1950–51 to 1968–69 Net Domestic Savings, by Source, 1960–61 to 1968–69 Utilization of External Assistance by India, as Percentage of Net National Product at Factor Cost, 1951–52 to 1969–70 Index Numbers of Wholesale Prices, 1950–51 to 1970–71 Net Domestic Product by Sector of Origin in Current Prices, 1960–61 to 1969–70 Index of Industrial Production, 1951–72 India's Exports and Share of Total Value of World Exports, 1948–70 Imports by Category, 1966–67 to 1968–69 India's Gold and Foreign Exchange Reserves, 1951–72 EERs, PLD-EERs and PPP-EERs for Indian Exports and Imports during 1950–71 Export Earnings, Volume and Price Indices, 1948–66 India's Export Earnings from Principal Commodities, 1951–52 to 1960–61 Linear Regression Equations for Export Volume and Price Indices and Selected Export Earnings, 1948–61 Estimation of Expansion of Export Sales If Volume Shares |

xvi TABLES

| 3–5 | Exports by Major Destinations, 1956–57 to 1960–61 and 1961–62 to 1965–66 | 62 |
|----------------|---|-----|
| 4–1 | Average Incidence of Import Duties, 1962–67 | 77 |
| 4–2 | Average Rates of Nominal Import Duty on Broad Classes of | • • |
| | Commodities, after the Supplementary Budget, 1965–66 | 78 |
| 6–1 | Net Change in Export Realization from Devaluation and | |
| 0 1 | Export Duty Imposition | 88 |
| 6–2 | Net Devaluation on Exports Previously under Import Entitle- | |
| · - | ment Schemes, June 6, 1966 | 90 |
| 6–3 | Net Devaluation in June 1966 after Adjusting for All Changes | |
| - • | in Export Subsidies and Duties | 93 |
| 64 | Changes in Import Duties as of June 6, 1966 | 96 |
| 7–1 | Approximate Range of Average Subsidy of Selected Exports | |
| | since the 1966 Devaluation | 108 |
| 8-1 | Key Economic Indicators, 1964–68 | 112 |
| 8–2 | Index of Cereal Prices, 1964-67 | 116 |
| 8-3 | Index of Production (1949–50 = 100): Actual and Estimated | |
| | Trend Values for 1965-66 and 1966-67 | 119 |
| 8-4 | Percentage Change from Preceding Year in Production in | |
| | Selected Import-Intensive Industries, 1965–66 to 1969–70 | 121 |
| 8-5 | Capital Goods Production Index under Alternative Invest- | |
| | ment Estimates, 1966-68 | 123 |
| 9-1 | Selected Indian Exports, 1964-65 to 1971-72 | 131 |
| 9–2 | Export Duties: Changes since June 1966 | 138 |
| 9–3 | Indian Utilization of United Kingdom and United States Tex- | |
| | tile Quotas, 1963 to 1973 | 143 |
| 9 A –1 | Exports by Industries with and without Excess Capacity due to Insufficient Domestic Demand after 1966: 1964-65 to | |
| | 1969–70 | 148 |
| l 1 – 1 | Premium Rates for Import Licenses during the Pre-Devalua- | |
| | tion and Post-Devaluation Months of 1966 | 160 |
| l·1–2 | Terms of Trade, 1960-61 to 1969-70 | 166 |
| 13–1 | Estimates of Domestic Resource Cost and Protection in Indian | |
| | Industries, 1963-65 and 1968-69 | 179 |
| 13–2 | Sectoral Average Tariff Rates, Effective Rates of Protection | |
| | and Domestic Resource Cost Estimates, 1968-69 | 184 |
| 13–3 | Price Ratios and Domestic Resource Costs in the Auto An- | |
| | cillary Industry, 1970 | 186 |
| 13–4 | Means, Standard Deviations and Coefficients of Variation among Alternative Estimates of DRCs and Implicit Tariffs | |
| | in Tables 13–1 through 13–3; 1963–65, 1968–69 and | 107 |
| | 1970 | 187 |

| | FIGURES | xvii |
|-------|---|------|
| 13–5 | Estimates of Underutilization of Capacity for Selected Groups | |
| | of Industries, 1961–64 | 189 |
| 14–1 | Exports in Reference and Simulation Runs | 201 |
| 14–2 | Macro Variables in Reference and Simulation Runs | 203 |
| 14–3 | Gross Outputs in Reference and Simulation Runs | 204 |
| 14–4 | Shadow Prices in Reference and Simulation Runs | 205 |
| 14A-1 | Symbols Used in the Target and Transit Models | 206 |
| 14A-2 | Additional Variables and Parameters for the Guidepath | |
| | Models | 208 |
| 14A-3 | Guidepath I and Guidepath II Models | 209 |
| 15–1 | Indices of Labor Productivity in Indian Manufacturing, 1946- | |
| | 64 | 217 |
| 15–2 | R&D Expenditure in India in Relation to GNP, 1958-59 and 1965-66 to 1971-72 | 220 |
| 15–3 | Estimated R&D Expenditure in Central, State and Private | |
| | Sectors, 1958–59 to 1965–66 to 1971–72 | 221 |
| 16–1 | Savings Regressions, 1951-52 to 1965-66 and 1951-52 to | |
| | 1969–70 | 231 |
| 16–2 | Savings Regressions, 1951-52 to 1959-60 and 1960-61 to | |
| | 1969–70 | 231 |
| 16–3 | Savings Regressions Including Foreign Capital Inflow, Various | |
| | Periods, 1951–52 to 1969–70 | 233 |
| 16–4 | Private and Government Savings Regressions, 1951-52 to | |
| | 1965–66 | 234 |
| 16-5 | Corporate Savings Regressions in Selected Industries | 237 |

Figures

| | Map of India | Frontispiece |
|-----|--|--------------|
| 1-1 | Selected Macroeconomic Indicators for India, 1950-70 | 28 |

Co-Directors' Foreword

This volume is one of a series resulting from the research project on Exchange Control, Liberalization, and Economic Development sponsored by the National Bureau of Economic Research, the name of the project having been subsequently broadened to Foreign Trade Regimes and Economic Development. Underlying the project was the belief by all participants that the phenomena of exchange control and liberalization in less developed countries require careful and detailed analysis within a sound theoretical framework, and that the effects of individual policies and restrictions cannot be analyzed without consideration of both the nature of their administration and the economic environment within which they are adopted as determined by the domestic economic policy and structure of the particular country.

The research has thus had three aspects: (1) development of an analytical framework for handling exchange control and liberalization; (2) within that framework, research on individual countries, undertaken independently by senior scholars; and (3) analysis of the results of these independent efforts with a view to identifying those empirical generalizations that appear to emerge from the experience of the countries studied.

The analytical framework developed in the first stage was extensively commented upon by those responsible for the research on individual countries, and was then revised to the satisfaction of all participants. That framework, serving as the common basis upon which the country studies were undertaken, is further reflected in the syntheses reporting on the third aspect of the research.

The analytical framework pinpointed these three principal areas of research which all participants undertook to analyze for their own countries.

Subject to a common focus on these three areas, each participant enjoyed maximum latitude to develop the analysis of his country's experience in the way he deemed appropriate. Comparison of the country volumes will indicate that this freedom was indeed utilized, and we believe that it has paid handsome dividends. The three areas singled out for in-depth analysis in the country studies are:

- 1. The anatomy of exchange control: The economic efficiency and distributional implications of alternative methods of exchange control in each country were to be examined and analyzed. Every method of exchange control differs analytically in its effects from every other. In each country study care has been taken to bring out the implications of the particular methods of control used. We consider it to be one of the major results of the project that these effects have been brought out systematically and clearly in analysis of the individual countries' experience.
- 2. The liberalization episode: Another major area for research was to be a detailed analysis of attempts to liberalize the payments regime. In the analytical framework, devaluation and liberalization were carefully distinguished, and concepts for quantifying the extent of devaluation and of liberalization were developed. It was hoped that careful analysis of individual devaluation and liberalization attempts, both successful and unsuccessful, would permit identification of the political and economic ingredients of an effective effort in that direction.
- 3. Growth relationships: Finally, the relationship of the exchange control regime to growth via static-efficiency and other factors was to be investigated. In this regard, the possible effects on savings, investment allocation, research and development, and entrepreneurship were to be highlighted.

In addition to identifying the three principal areas to be investigated, the analytical framework provided a common set of concepts to be used in the studies and distinguished various phases regarded as useful in tracing the experience of the individual countries and in assuring comparability of the analyses. The concepts are defined and the phases delineated in Appendix A.

The country studies undertaken within this project and their authors are as follows:

| Brazil | Albert Fishlow, University of California, Berkeley |
|----------|--|
| Chile | Jere R. Behrman, University of Pennsylvania |
| Colombia | Carlos F. Díaz-Alejandro, Yale University |
| Egypt | Bent Hansen, University of California, Berkeley, and Karim Nashashibi, International Monetary Fund |
| Ghana | J. Clark Leith, University of Western Ontario |

India Jagdish N. Bhagwati, Massachusetts Institute of Tech-

nology, and T. N. Srinivasan, Indian Statistical Institute

Israel Michael Michaely, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem

Philippines Robert E. Baldwin, University of Wisconsin

South Korea Charles R. Frank, Jr., Princeton University and The

Brookings Institution; Kwang Suk Kim, Korea Development Institute, Republic of Korea; and Larry E. West-

phal, Northwestern University

Turkey Anne O. Krueger, University of Minnesota

The principal results of the different country studies are brought together in our overall syntheses. Each of the country studies, however, has been made self-contained, so that readers interested in only certain of these studies will not be handicapped.

In undertaking this project and bringing it to successful completion, the authors of the individual country studies have contributed substantially to the progress of the whole endeavor, over and above their individual research. Each has commented upon the research findings of other participants, and has made numerous suggestions which have improved the overall design and execution of the project. The country authors who have collaborated with us constitute an exceptionally able group of development economists, and we wish to thank all of them for their cooperation and participation in the project.

We must also thank the National Bureau of Economic Research for its sponsorship of the project and its assistance with many of the arrangements necessary in an undertaking of this magnitude. Hal B. Lary, Vice President-Research, has most energetically and efficiently provided both intellectual and administrative input into the project over a three-year period. We would also like to express our gratitude to the Agency for International Development for having financed the National Bureau in undertaking this project. Michael Roemer and Constantine Michalopoulos particularly deserve our sincere thanks.

JAGDISH N. BHAGWATI
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

ANNE O. KRUEGER University of Minnesota

Preface

This study of India's trade and exchange rate policies is part of the NBER project described in the Co-Directors' Foreword. At the same time, as explained there, the organization and emphases in analysis have reflected our own views about what is important to examine and evaluate in the Indian economy.

In some ways, this work may be regarded as a sequel to *India: Planning* for *Industrialization*, by Bhagwati and Desai (see Chapter 1, note 1, below), which was finished some five years ago, just as the first effects of the June 1966 devaluation were being worked out. Our present work has managed to build on this earlier study, indeed freely drawing on it where useful; it is, however, self-contained and can be read on its own.

We have been helped in our analysis by a number of officials and economists in India. In particular, we should mention A. Vaidyanathan, Arun Ghosh, Manmohan Singh, K. G. Vaidya, and R. M. Honavar. Our thanks must go particularly to K. Sundaram for the material on the political effects of the 1966 devaluation (Chapter 10), V. R. Panchamukhi for working out the premium data and the ERP estimates (Chapter 13), Kirit Parikh for assistance in running the Eckaus-Parikh model program (Chapter 14), and Ashok Desai for surveying several firms on their research and development activities (Chapter 15).

As with other authors in the NBER project, we have benefited from the comments of other participants at the several conferences at which working drafts of our study were discussed. In addition, we should like to thank Mark Frankena, Jean Baneth, Neville Beharie, Solomon Fabricant, and Peter

XXII PREFACE

K. Clark for helpful suggestions. The helpful comments of Anne Krueger have also led to many improvements in this study. Our greatest thanks go to Hal Lary of the National Bureau, who has read through successive drafts with the utmost care and thoroughness, far beyond the call of duty. His searching queries and patient prodding have resulted in a vastly improved manuscript.

For excellent and efficient research assistance, we thank B. M. Juyal, Asim Dasgupta, and, in particular, Chellamma Ramaswami and H. C. Sharma who put in sustained work. Parts of the manuscript were typed by Mehar Lal. The full draft has been typed by Katherine Eisenhaure, but for whose efficiency and cheerful cooperation we would have been totally lost between different drafts through the three-year period over which we were working on this book, and by Kris Beard, who worked on the final draft with equal efficiency. During the past year, while Bhagwati was Visiting Ford Research Professor at the University of California at Berkeley, the manuscript went through substantial revisions. The input provided by the university's secretarial facilities is gratefully acknowledged.

We should like to thank the *Economic and Political Weekly*, Bombay, for permission to reprint Chapter 10, which appeared there as the first of three installments during September 2, 9, and 16, 1972; the Oxford University Press for permission to quote and to use material from the Bhagwati and Desai volume mentioned above; and the M.I.T. Press for permission to reproduce tables 1.1, 3.1, and 3.2 from R. S. Eckaus and K. S. Parikh, *Planning for Growth* (1968).

Finally, we should record the caveat that our analysis was basically completed by October 1973. Most of the empirical results reported in this study were obtained, using published and unpublished (provisional) data which were available as of that date. At the time the page proofs were corrected (May 1975), revised data became available. The revisions, especially with respect to data on savings and investment, have been drastic and, in some instances, even the methodology of estimation has been changed. We have not been able to work with the new data at this late stage. However, we do not anticipate that our conclusions, particularly with respect to economic policy, will be changed in any major way. Needless to say, the events of 1971, leading to the dismemberment of Pakistan and the creation of Bangladesh, with all their economic consequences for 1972 and thereafter (e.g., India's refugee relief burden and her continuing aid to Bangladesh after the latter's creation), and the Declaration of Emergency in June 1975 have been major disturbances on the scene, whose long-term effects will not be clear for some time to come.

> JAGDISH N. BHAGWATI T. N. SRINIVASAN

Principal Dates and Historical Events in India

Political.

- I. Constitutional events
 Independence Day, August 15, 1947
 Republic Day, January 26, 1950
- II. India's prime ministers
 Jawaharlal Nehru, August 15, 1947-May 27, 1964
 Interim (Gulzarilal Nanda), May 27, 1964-June 9, 1964
 Lal Bahadur Shastri, June 9, 1964-January 11, 1966
 Interim (Nanda), January 11, 1966-January 24, 1966
 Mrs. Indira Gandhi, January 24, 1966-
- III. Wars
 Indo-Chinese Conflict, October 20, 1962–November 21, 1962
 Indo-Pakistan Conflict, August 5, 1965–September 23, 1965
 Indo-Pakistan War, December 3, 1971–December 17, 1971
- IV. General elections (opening dates; first election ended February 1952)
 First general election, October 1951
 Second general election, February 24, 1957
 Third general election, February 16, 1962
 Fourth general election, February 15, 1967
 Fifth general election, March 1, 1971

Economic.

V. Plans (dates of formal adoption)

First Five-Year Plan (April 1, 1951–March 31, 1956), December 1952 Second Five-Year Plan (April 1, 1956–March 31, 1961), May 1956 Third Five-Year Plan (April 1, 1961–March 21, 1966), August 1961 Interim Annual Plans (April 1, 1966–March 31, 1969) Fourth Five-Year Plan (April 1, 1969–March 31, 1974)

VI. Industrial policy

First Industrial Policy Resolution, April 6, 1948 Second Industrial Policy Resolution, April 30, 1956

VII. Devaluations (changes in rupees per U.S. dollar as a percentage of the older rates)

1949 devaluation (approximately 43.9 percent), September 20, 1949 1966 devaluation (approximately 57.5 percent), June 6, 1966

VIII. Aid

Formation of the Aid-India Consortium, 1958

IX. Planning: Miscellaneous

Formation of the Planning Commission, March 1950

Publication of

Professor Prasanta Mahalanobis's Second Plan Frame, March 1955

Draft Outline of Second Five-Year Plan, February 1956

Draft Outline of Third Five-Year Plan, June 1960

First Draft Outline of Fourth Plan (abortive), August 1966

Final Draft Outline of Fourth Plan, May 1970 (presented to Parliament May 18, 1970)

Approach to Fifth Plan, May 1972 (approved by National Development Council May 31, 1972)

Foreign Trade Regimes and Economic Development: INDIA