This PDF is a selection from an out-of-print volume from the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: Residential Location and Urban Housing Markets

Volume Author/Editor: Gregory K. Ingram

Volume Publisher: NBER

Volume URL: http://www.nber.org/books/ingr77-1

Publication Date: 1977

Chapter Title: Index to "Residential Location and Urban Housing Markets"

Chapter Author: Gregory K. Ingram

Chapter URL: http://www.nber.org/chapters/c4316

Chapter pages in book: (p. 389 - 403)



Index

Abandonment, of dwellings and slumlords, 217-20 and Urban Institute housing model, Acceptance wage, and job search model, 334-38, 341-42 Accessibility, of location and demand for housing, 53, 54, 55-57, 64-65, 82 effects of, 3, 4, 8 and housing production model, 275, 323 and Pittsburgh data, 153, 155-58, and Urban Institute housing model, 241, 254 Ackerman, Bruce (1971), 188 and slum ownership, 218-19, 222-23, 226 Adjustment period and housing production model, 310 and quality production, 291-95 Age, of dwellings and Census data, xviii, 7-12, 19-21 155-59, 175 and income group, 5-7, 7-11, 13, 23-26 and location, xix, 4-5, 9-11, 12-13, 18-19, 23-26 and neighborhood succession, 8-9, and rents, 5-7, 18-21, 155-59, 175 and zoning, 367, 369 Akron, Ohio study, 11 Alonso, William (1965), xvi, 25, 66. 194

Apgar's model, xix-xx, 139-69 comments on, 178-80 Architectural constraints, and elasticity of substitution, 319 Austin, Texas, and Urban Institute housing model, 242, 245 Availability of black housing, 119-20, 136 Avrin's model, xxi, 349-74 comments on, 377-78, 381-87

Bailey, Martin J. (1959) (1963) (1966), 13, 60 hypothesis of segregation, 324 and neighborhood concept, 353, Becker's model of discrimination, 100 Berry, B. J. L., and R. S. Bednarz (1975), 97Bid rent approach, 58-60 and income, 25, 194-96 Bishop, Yvonne M. et al. (1974), 167 Black sector, and housing in Boston, 107-109, 112-16, 117-18, 135 and Census data, 102, 123-24, 154-61, 165-67 discrimination of, 95, 99, 121, 166, 329-30, 337-38 and ghetto markup, 100-101, 107-109, 109-18, 118-22 and household location model, 61-64 and jobs and housing discrimination, 329-30, 337-38

Census data

109-10

and black housing in Boston,

and job search model, xxi, 331-35, and black housing in Pittsburgh, 119-20 338-44 in Pittsburgh, 107-109, 119-22 and condition of housing unit, and price of ghetto housing, 106-107, 123-24 95-101, 103-107, 123-24, 135-36 and housing market models, and rents, 18, 101-107, 154-61, 175 147-50, 167-69 Border neighborhoods and housing price models, 161-67, in Boston, 110-12, 117-18, 123 169, 173-80 and discriminatory markups, 100 and measurement, xvii, xviii, xix-xx, in Pittsburgh, 119-20 7-11, 11-15 and property value, 356, 362, 367, and price of ghetto housing, 98, 135-37 and zoning, 350-52 and rent, 154-61 Boston data and structure type, 74 and age of dwelling, 24 vs. University of Michigan data, 200 and black housing, xix, 107-109, Census (1950), 12, 14 109-18, 135 (1960), 12, 14, 16, 123-24 and conversion, 77 (1970), 7-11, 14-15, 224, 300 and household location model, xix, and expenditure-income ratio, 51, 53, 54, 61, 71, 77 and price of ghetto housing, 98, and location data, 149-50, 167 vs. Public Use samples, 140 103, 104-106, 123-24 and substandard housing, 181 and sales price, 146 Boston area rent index, 284, 285, 311 Census tracts and econometric models, 174-75 and demand for housing, 300 and income, 7 **Boston Redevleopment Authority** and neighborhood attributes, (BRA), 53 Boundary externalities, effect on 149-50, 164-65 Central Business District (CBD). See housing prices, 13, 26 Distance from CBD Bradbury et al. model, xix, 51-84 comments on, 87-91 CES function, 284, 285, 287, 310-13, Breuckner, Jan (1975), 21 Builders, and Urban Institute housing Change in trends, and zoning, 357-64 Change-of-variable technique, 29-34 model, 236 Bureau of the Census Chicago study advantages of, 140 and discount for owner-occupied and confidentiality, 147, 167, 169 dwellings, 97 and housing data collection, and Urban Institute housing model, 139-43, 149, 163, 167-69 242, 245 recommendations for, 167-69, Common law, and landlord-tenant' 179-80 relationship, 181, 182 Bureau of Labor Statistics, 311 Computer process, and Urban Institute Bureau of Labor Statistics Comprehousing model, 237 hensive Housing Survey, 96 Condition of dwelling, and income, 24, Conference on Income and Wealth, xxi Capital. See also Quality capital; Struc-Confidentiality, and Census data, 141, ture capital 147, 167, 169 and conversion, 78 Congress and housing services production, and housing allowance, 222 273-74, 275-76, 289-90 and substandard housing, 181 "Conservation," housing, 249 and Ingram-Oron model, 310-13 and maintenance, 278-81 Constant elasticity of substitution. See and neighborhood, 291, 302 CES function and supply of housing, 65, 82, Construction, new 278-81 for black housing in Pittsburgh,

119, 136

and land, 66-72, 78

and structure type, 72-77

and supply of housing, xix, 52, 54, independent variables for, 366-70 and zoning, 353, 364-70, 381-87 and Urban Institute housing model, Current period, in housing production 233, 236, 239-40, 244, 247, 266 model and zoning, 74-75, 81 and maintenance decision, 278-81 Construction costs and operating input decision, vs. conversion, 316, 318 277-78 and housing production model, and structure-type decision, 282-83 282-83 Current residents, and zoning, 41-45 and rent, 204-206 and Urban Institute housing model, Data. See also Census data for Hirsch-Margolis model, 199-202 Construction subsidies. See Subsidies, and zoning models, 353-54, 381 new construction Decennial census Consumer Price Index (CPI), 319 advantages of, 140, 176 Consumer Price Indexes in Selected and housing attributes, 139, 148-49 SMSA's, 311 and location of dwelling units, 139, Consumption of housing, model of, 149-50 193-96, 201-202 Definition of variables Continued tenancy laws, 181, 182, for Apgar model, 148-51 186-87 for Avrin model, 355-58, 365-67 Conversion for Bradbury et al. model, 58-60, and land, 78, 82 68-71, 75-76, 82-84 and price of housing, 79, 91, for Hirsch-Margolis model, 148-51, 316-17 190, 193-94, 196-97, 200-202, and supply of housing, xix, 52, 54, 203-207 65, 77-82 for Ingram-Oron model, 275-76. and zoning, 82, 364, 377-78 277-80, 284-85, 292, 297-99 Conversion costs for Muth model, 6-7, 9, 15 and housing production model, for Nelson model, 332-34, 343 282-83, 320 for Schnare-Struyk model, 101-102, and quality, 316-19 124-31 Corporate bonds, vs. slum profits, 220 for Straszheim model, 30, 32, 35, Cost of housing. See also Price of 42, 45 housing for Urban Institute housing model, and habitability laws, xx, 181-84, 239-42 186-88 de Leeuw, Frank, and Nkanta Ekanem, and housing production model, 316-19 de Leeuw, Frank, and Raymond and just-cause eviction statutes, 187 Struyk, 242 for whites vs. blacks, xix, 18, Demand, for housing 95-101, 103-107, 154-61, 175 in black neighborhoods, 106-107, Cost of land, 27. See also Price of land 123-24 Cost of laws, and housing services, in Boston, 109-18 197-99, 206-208, 216, 226 and Bradbury et al. model, 55-65 Cost of search, for housing and conversion, 78-79 and demand for housing, 57, 59, 60 and habitability laws, 192-96, and income group, 63 200-202, 205-208 Cost of search, for jobs, 334-35 and household location model, Cost to blacks, and housing discrimin-53-55, 55-65, 81-84 ation, 342-44 and housing production model, Cost to landlords and habitability laws, 186, 205-208 274, 295-309, 315-16, 323-25 in Pittsburgh, 119-22 and just-cause eviction statutes, 187 Courts, and habitability laws, 185 and price of housing, 145-46 Crime rate, in housing location model, and time span for changes, 187-88 and Urban Institute housing model, 56, 61-64 Cross-sectional analysis 244-49, 254, 265-66 dependent variable for, 365-66 and zoning, 363-64, 370

Demand, for land, 67-69. See also Durham, N.C., and Urban Institute Land supply; Vacant land housing model, 242, 245 Demand, for structure quality, 289-95, Dwelling units 295-309. See also Production. age of, and income group, xviii-xix, 4-7, 7-11, 12-13, 16-18, 18-21 housing Demolition and decline of market value, 20-21 and housing supply, 78-81 defined, and measurement, xvii and production function, 317 and housing allowance, 257 Density, employment, 330, 344 and housing production model. 273-76, 283-87, 289-95, 295-309 Density, housing location of, and decennial census, and Pittsburgh Census data, 153, 139, 148-49, 176 155-58, 175 and NBER studies, 143-44 and San Francisco zoning, 354 and zoning, 350-51, 356, 360, 362-64, 370, 377-78 and new construction costs, 238-40, 247-54 and 1970 Census, 8-11, 15-18 Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), 232 and population growth, 254-55 Depreciation rate, 275-76, 278, 315 quality, and housing production and quality capital, 320 model, xx-xxi, 323-25 size of, 5-7, 21, 32, 35-38 Developed land, vs. vacant land, 66-69 Discontinuity model, and zoning, and subsidy for new construction, 357-64 261 and Urban Institute housing model, Discrete multivariate analysis, and Census data, 165-67, 169 235-36, 239-40, 242-48, 263-66 Discrimination, housing and Census data, 165-67, 177 **Economics** and employment, 329-30, 331-35, and housing codes, 215-26 338-44, 378 and law, 215-16 and mobility of blacks, 99-101, and Urban Institute housing model, 121, 137 244, 248 "Discriminatory markups," 99-101, and zoning, 364, 370 Edel, M. (1971), 386 106 Diseconomics, and zoning, 349, 352, Elasticity of household choice, 324-25 357-64 Elasticity of substitution Distance from CBD and housing production model, and age of dwelling, xix, 4-5, 9-11, 284-85, 309, 310-13, 319-20 12-13, 23-26 and supply of housing, 68-69, 71 and income, xviii-xix, 3-5, 9-11, Employment, and housing discrimina-13-14, 19*-*26 tion, 329-35, 338-44, 378 and job search model, 330-35, 337, Engle, R. F., 52, 60 341-42, 343-44, 378 Environmental conditions, and zoning, and race, 14 382, 386-87 Equations and rent, 203 and San Francisco study, 19-21, for Apgar model, 148, 150-51 for Avrin model, 355-56, 358-59 25-26 and zoning, 367, 369, 378 for Bradbury et al. model, 58-60, 68-72, 75-76 Distribution factor, and housing profor Hirsch-Margolis model, 190, duction supply model, 285-86, 193, 196-97, 201-202, 206 319-20 for Ingram-Oron model, 277-82, Doctrine of caveat emptor, 183, 187, 284-87, 292, 294, 297-99, 215 Durability, of urban housing, 52, 55, 310-11 for Muth model, 6-7, 9, 15 87, 232. See also Housing stock and habitability laws, 188, 192 for Nelson model, 331-37, 339-40, and Olsen's model, 216 343 theory of, 3, 275-76 for Schnare-Struyk model, 101-102 and Urban Institute housing model, for Straszheim model, 30-34, 35-40, 42-43, 45-47 265

for Urban Institute housing model, new construction and Urban Institute housing model, Escrow accounts, and habitability 236-37, 269-71 laws, 185 "Grand incorporation" approach, xviii "Grand simplification" approach, xviii Eviction, retaliatory. See Retaliatory eviction Eviction, statutes on, 186-87 Habitability laws Expenditure-income ratio, 15-18, 19. impact on rents, xx, 181-87, 202-208, 222 See also Rents Externalities model of, 188-92, 199-202 "negative," 64, 65 Hadar, Josef, and William Russell and price indexes, 355-56 (1969), 333urban residential-location, 26 Head tax, 32, 36-37 and zoning, 349-53, 362-63, 370 Heating, and rent, 204-206, 226 Hedonic price index, 160, 175-78, 190-91, 196, 223-24 FHA data and age of dwelling, 24 and sales data, 144 and measurement, xvii-xviii and Urban Institute housing model, and Urban Institute housing model, 236 243 Filtering and zoning, 367, 383, 386 and age of dwelling, 5, 8-9, 18 Heterogeneity, in housing stock, xix, defined, 273 and landlords, 199, 206 and housing market, 87 Financial intermediaries, 100 and Ingram-Oron model, 323-25 First-degree stochastic dominance (FSD), 330, 333-34 and NBER study, 143-44 "Fixed costs," and Ingram-Oron Heterogeneous jurisdictions and housing stock, 28-29 model, 325 Fixity, and housing market, 87 and public sector decisions, 29, Flows of housing services, and meas-31-32 urement, xvii High-income group Fourth Count Summary tapes, 150-54, and demand for housing, 54, 56, 161, 164, 167, 168 57-60, 64-65 Freeman, A. M. (1971), 386 and distance from CBD, 5-7 Future residents, and zoning, 45-46 and new construction subsidy. 260-62 Geographic locations. See also High-rise buildings. See also Structures, Neighborhood concept; Political types of jurisdictions; Zones and elasticity of substitution, and housing market, 232, 233 319-20 and land and structure type, 73-74 and zoning, 370 and new construction, 65-72 Highway availability index, 56-57, 65 and population growth, 255 Hirsch-Margolis model, xx, 181-208 and Urban Institute housing model, comments on, 215-26 234-35, 238-40, 241-44, 263-66 Home interview data, and NBER Ghetto housing, and rent markups, study, 143-44 95-101, 106-107, 123-24, 135-36 Homogeneous commodity, housing as, in Boston, 116-18, 123 90, 143 decline in price of, 106-107 Homogeneous jurisdictions model of, 101-103, 103-107 census tracts as, 164 in Pittsburgh, 120-22 and demand for housing, 54, 81-82 Gillingham, R., 96-97 and housing stock, 90 Goodman, Alan, 178 and public sector, 28 and public services, 34-35 Goodman, Leo, 166-67 "Good" sale, defined, 353 and structure type, 73-74 Government, and housing policy, 97, and zoning, 42 Household-location model 136. See also Habitability laws; Housing allowance; Local govcomments on, 87-92

and demand for housing, 55-65

ernment policy; Subsidy, for

and Census data, 140-41, 147-50, and housing stock, xix, 90 161-65, 177-78 and policy analysis, 51-52 and supply and demand, 53-55, defined, 87-88 65-81, 81-84 and durability, 231-33 and urban housing markets, 52, 53, and habitability laws, 215-16, 55, 59-60 220-23 Household markups, 99-101, 123-24 and location, 88-91 defined, 106-107 and NBER study, 143-44 Households and neighborhood attributes, and choice of housing, 248, 263-66, 231-32 297, 323-25 in 1950s-1960s, 95-96, 120-22 and demand for housing, 53-55, in 1970s, 96-98, 120-24 55-60, 60-65, 88-90 in Pittsburgh, 119-22, 177-78 and housing attributes, 52, 53, and price of housing, 58-60, 95-99, 57-58, 60-65 120-24, 196-99, 201-202 and housing consumption, 193-96, and race, 95-98, 120-22, 123-24 201-202 and sales data, 144-47 and supply and demand, 192-99, 273-74, 295-309 and subsidy for new construction, 261-63 and Urban Institute housing model, and Urban Institute housing model, 233-40, 244-48, 263-66 233-35, 239-40, 240-42, 243 Housing market model, 5-7, 15-21 Households, black and discrimination, 95, 99, 121, and basic case, 301-303, 307-309, 166, 329-30, 337-38 309-10 and Census data, 141-43, 147-50, mobility of, 99-100, 121, 137 Housing allowance 150-61, 161-65, 169 and production of dwelling quality, effect on income, 234, 237, 244, 248, 255-60, 265 xx, 273-313, 315-25 Housing package. See also Housing and housing code enforcement, 222 attributes; Zones and housing production model, and Census data, 149, 177, 179 307-309, 323-25 and Hirsch-Margolis study, 223-24 and price of housing, xx, 231, 257-60 and housing allowance, 323-25 and landlord, 192-93 and subsidy for new construction, and NBER study, 143 270 and Olsen's model, 216 Housing attributes, xix, 52. See also and production function, 317 Housing package and race, 137 and Census data, 139, 141, 148-49, 177 Housing prices. See Price of housing; and demand for housing, 55-60, Cost of housing Housing production, model of, 273-76, 277-83, 283-89. See also Produceffects on households, 57-58 and household location model, tion, housing 89-90 Housing services and NBER study, 143 and dwelling units, 275 and San Francisco study, 25-26 and habitability laws, 189-90, and supply of housing, 74 196-99, 200-202 Housing bundles. See Housing and Olsen's model, 216-17 packages Housing stock. See also Dwelling units Housing consumption. See Consumpage of, and income, 5-7, 7-11, 12, tion of housing 23-26 Housing codes. See also Habitability characteristics of, and value, 90, laws 367-70 and enforcement, 215-26 and construction, new, 72-77, 244, and landlord-tenant relationship, 248, 260-63 182-83, 185-86 and conversion-demolition, xix, 52, Housing market 54, 65, 78-81, 316-17 in Boston, 117 durability of, xvi-xvii, xix, 3, 52,

and race, 14, 107, 110-12, 119, 55, 87, 188, 192, 216, 232, 265, 275-76 121-22, 331-39, 341-44, 378 and ghetto markups, 100, 123-24 and rent, 153, 175, 203-204, and household-location model, xix, 205-206, 243 and subsidy for new construction, and housing allowance, 244, 248-60 261-63 and Ingram-Oron model, 323-25 and Urban Institute housing model, in job search model, 330, 338-41, 234, 240-41, 243, 247 378 and zoning, 42-44, 57-58 and population growth, 244, 247, Income, real, of blacks 254-55 in Boston, 110-12 and production function, 318-19 and decline of price of ghetto housand public-sector decisions model, ing, 107 27-30, 33-34, 47-49 and job search model, 331-37, size of, 32, 35-38, 42, 44, 323-25 341-44 and subsidy for new construction, in Pittsburgh, 119, 121-22 244, 248, 260-63 Income distribution and taxes, 32, 35-38 and habitability laws, 216, 222 types of, 55, 72-77, 78-79, 367-70 and housing allowance, 257, in Urban Institute housing model, 307-309 244, 247-54 and subsidy for new construction, and voters variation in public serv-261-62 ices preference, 34-41 and Urban Institute housing model, and zoning, 42, 44, 367-69 270 Housing value, and race, 100-103. See Income tax, and Urban Institute housalso Price of housing; Value of ing model, 237, 255-56 housing Income transfers Houston, Texas, and zoning, 352, 377 and low-income tenants, 208 and Urban Institute housing model, Illinois, and rent withholding, 185 234, 237, 240 Immigration Inefficiency of zoning, 360 and age of dwelling, 7-11, 18 Inflation, rate of, and price index, 358 of blacks to Boston, 110 Ingram-Oron model, xx, 273-313. See and distance from CBD, 13-14 also Production, housing effects on rental housing, 6-7 comments on, 315-21, 323-25 Income, household Interdependencies of housing market, and age of dwelling, xix, 4-7, 8-11, 27-30, 47-49, 89 12-13, 18-21, 23-26 Interest rate, and housing production, and Census data, 15-18, 153-58, and maintenance, 278-81 and demand for housing, 54, 56-60, and neighborhoods, 287 60-65, 82-84, 300-303 Investment theory and distance from CBD, xviii, 3-5, and housing production model, 8-11, 12-13, 23-26 278-81 and habitability laws, 226 and quality capital, 291-95, 299 and Hirsch-Margolis study, 223, 226 and structure type, 274 and household location, 3-7, 19, 23-26, 56-57, 64, 90-91, 234 Job accessibility index, 56, 64 and housing allowance, 234, Job search 255-58, 324-25 and housing discrimination, 329-30, and housing consumption, 194-96 342-44 and housing stock, 5-7, 7-11, 23-26, model, 329-42 Just-cause eviction statutes, 186-87 and job accessibility index, 56, 64 and job search model, 330, 331, 335-38 Kain, John, 329, 377-78 and new construction cost, 248 King, A. Thomas, 145 and population growth, 2 55 Komesar, Neil (1973), 188, 222

Labor, and supply of housing, 65 Landlord-tenant relationship and consumption of housing, 192-96 and habitability laws, 181-87, 188-89, 201-202, 205, 208, and time span for price changes, 187-88 Landlords and housing code enforcement, 216-22 and housing services supply, 196-99 -owners, 240-42 and personal attributes of tenants, 195-96 Land supply. See also Vacant land and housing services production, 273, 275-76 and income, 25-26, 67 and job search model, 330 price of, 66-69, 78, 82, 236 and structure type, 73-78, 282-83 and supply of housing, 53, 65, 70-72, 78 and zoning, 71-72 Land-to-nonland input ratios, 72, 74 Land use, U.S. and housing supply, 70-72, 73-74 and income, 26 and neighborhood concept, 354 and zoning, 71-72, 349, 353, 356, 363, 370, 377-78 Land value and housing supply, 199 -rent ratio, 102, 145-46, 204 Laws, and housing, 181-87, 188-89. See also Habitability laws and economists, 215-16 market impact of, 193-96, 196-99, 215-26 and rent, 204-208 Leases, and warranty of habitability, 183, 185 Legal constraint, zoning as, 349 Legislative reforms, and ghetto in Boston, 117, 118, 123, 136 Life cycle, and housing stock, 28 Local government, and housing, 48-49 and conversion-demolition, 79 and structure type, 74-75 and supply and demand, 54, 70-71, 79 Local public services and residential-location theory, xix, xvi-xvii, 27-30, 48-49 and size of housing, 32-33 Locational interdependence. See Interdependencies of housing market

Location, of employment for blacks, 329-35, 341-44 for whites, 329-35, 341-42, 342-44 Location, of housing and Census data, 141, 148-50, 173 and job search model, 330-35. 343-44 and NBER study, 143-44 and Pittsburgh census, 153, 154-61, 164-65, 177 and supply and demand, 88-91 and value-to-rent ratio, 102, 146, 204 Long-run patterns. See also Time span of housing markets, 143-47 of location, 25, 99 Lot depth, and zoning, 367, 369 Lot frontage, and zoning, 367, 369 Low-income households and age of dwellings, 5-7 and demand for housing, 54, 57-60, and distance from CBD, 13-14, 23, 26 and habitability laws, xx, 181-82, 188-89, 199, 200, 202-208 and housing allowance, 231. 256-58, 324 and new construction costs, 251 and population growth, 255 and price of housing, 61 and profits of slum owners, 217-21 and residential-location theories, 26 and subsidy for new construction, 260-62 and Urban Institute housing model, 247

Macroeconomics, of housing market, xv, 51, 107-109 Maintenance and housing code, 222 and housing production model, 278-81 and "quality capital," 276, 297-99 and supply of housing, 198-99. 284-88, 310 Market, housing. See Housing market Market-clearing procedure, and supply and demand, 295-309, 309-310 Market price. See also Price of housing and income group demand, 58-60 and supply of housing, 70, 88 Measurement, problems of and Census data, 7-11, 160, 169 and household-location model, xv, xvii-xviii, xix-xx, 89-90 and housing packages, 88

and housing services and law model, 190-92 and neighborhood concept, 179 and structure type, 74 Metropolitan Housing Characteristics (MHC) series, 150-54, 161, 168 Michigan, and rent withholding, 185 Middle-income households and demand for housing, 54, 82-84 and home ownership, 61-65, 145 and housing allowance, 256-58 Mieszkowski, Peter (1972), 353 Mills, Edwin S. (1972), 25, 330, 378 Milwaukee, Wisconsin, and slum profits, 219 Mobility, of blacks, and job search model, 329, 344-45 Model cities, and housing, 181 Moderate-income families. See Middleincome households Moses, Leon N. (1962), 4 Moving costs, in job search model, 331-32, 337-38, 342-43 Muth, Richard F., 70, 236, 355 Muth model, xviii-xix, 3-21 comments on, 23-26

National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER), 47, 143-44, 219. See also Ingram-Oron model Neighborhood attributes and Census data, 141, 148-50, 153 and Hirsch-Margolis model, 223-24 and housing market, 231-32 and NBER study, 143, 323-25 and Pittsburgh study, 153 and price-race relationship, 99-101, 104, 136-37, 231-32 and rents, 155, 164-65, 175-77 Neighborhood concept, 353-54 and Census data, 166 and housing market, 231-33 and measurement, 179 Neighborhood differentials vs. household markups, 98-101, 106-107 vs. rent differentials, 136-37 Neighborhood evolution, 52. See also Residential succession Neighborhood quality and demand for housing, 299-309 and housing production model, 274-75, 283, 323-25 and interest rates, 287 and Olsen model, 216 and rent increases, 290-91 and supply model, 287-88 and time span, 293

Neighborhood stability, and zoning, 350-51, 362-63, 370, 383 Nelson's model, xxi, 329-45 comments on, 378-79 Newark, N. J., and slum ownership, 218-19 New Haven (1968, 1969) study, 96-97 New Jersey, and just-cause eviction statutes, 186 New York, and rent withholding, 185 1950 Census, 12, 14 1960 Census, 12, 14, 16, 102, 123-24 and small area program, 140, 167 1961 Census, 353 1970 Census, 7-11, 14-15, 224, 300 and expenditure-income ratio, 15-18 and location data, 149-50, 167 vs. Public Use samples, 140 and substandard housing, 181 1980 Census, 180 Noise, and residential-location theory, Nourse, Hugh O. (1963), 355

Olsen, Edgar (1969), 143, 216
Operating inputs, and housing production model, 273-74
and elasticity of substitution, 274, 284-86, 310-13
and housing market, 275-76, 277-78, 315-19
Optimal control theory approach, 273
Owner-occupied dwellings
and Census data, 140
and neighborhood price differentials, 61, 100-102
and race, 97, 165-66
and sales price data, 144-46, 173

Pecuniary externalities, 26 Personal attributes, and housing consumption, 195-96 Peterson, George E. et al. (1973), 218-19 Pittsburgh data and black housing, xix, 107-109, 119-20, 123-24, 135 and Census data, 142, 150-61 and hedonic price index, 175-76 and land vs. dwelling ownership, 219 and NBER study, 143 and price of ghetto housing, 98, 103-106, 120-22 price variation model, 142, 150-51 Policy analysis, xv, xvii, xx, 51-52

Polinsky, A. Mitchell, and Daniel L. Price of land Rubinfeld, 145 and conversion, 78-81 Political jurisdictions. See also Geoand cross-sectional analysis, 366-70. graphic locations; Zones and household-location model. and new construction, 72 and public sector decisions, 27 and income, 58, 81-82 and structure type, 73-77 Pollution, and residential-location and supply and demand, 66-69, theories, 26 72-77, 82 Population density and time spans, 382 in housing location model, 56, and zoning, 349-53, 356-65, 377-78 61-63 Price elasticity and social environment, 56 and housing supply, 223, 225-26 and land supply, 68-69, 71-72 Population growth, rate of and age of dwelling, 7-11, 16-17 Price index. See Hedonic price index and distance from CBD, 13 Price inelasticity, and zoning, 364 in Urban Institute housing model, Price-quantity diagram, 234-35, 238-40 247, 251-52, 254-55 Price-structure curve, and Urban Insti-Portland, Oregon, 243, 245 Poverty population, 8-11, 13, 18 tute housing model, 240, 244-48, 249-51 and mobility of blacks, 99 Price of housing and housing allowances, 256-57 and new construction costs, 247-54 in Boston, 116-18 and population growth, 254-55 and boundary externalities, 13, 26 and Census data, 161-65, 173-75, Price variation, model of and Census data, 142, 148-50, 178-79 161-65 changes in, and time span, 187-88 for Pittsburgh, 142, 150-61 decline, reasons for, 106-107, Production, housing 123-24, 135-37 and existing dwelling units, 273-76 in ghetto, 95-101, 101-107, 116-18, and maintenance, 278-81 120-24 model of, 277-83, 283-89, 295-309 and household choice, 234 and neighborhood quality, 283, 291 and housing allowance, xx, 231, 257-60 and operating inputs, 277-78 and housing code enforcement, and short-run adjustments, 289-95 220-21 and structure type, 282-83, 315-16 and housing market, 57-60, 81-84 and supply and demand, 283-89, indexes, and housing production 295-309 model, 311-13 **Profit** and job search model, 330-31, 333, and housing code enforcement, 337-38 222-23 models of, 89-91, 101-103, 161-65, of landlord-owners, 240, 242 190-92, 196-99, 201-202 and rent, 299 and neighborhood attributes, in slum ownership, 218-20 301-302 Property tax assessment data, 144-45 and new construction, 249-51 Property taxes in Pittsburgh, 120-22 and Hirsch-Margolis study, 224 and public sector decisions, 27, 29, and Ingram-Oron study, 325 32 and rent, 203-206 and race relationship, 95-101, and variation in voter preference, 103-107, 136-37, 231-32 37-38, 48 and rent, 190, 290, 320-21 and zoning policy, 42-44 and supply and demand, 53-55, Property value. See also Price of hous-57-60, 60-65, 69, 79, 89-91, 145, 190-91, 196-99 and cross-sectional analysis, 364-70, and taxes, 325 and public-sector decisions, 48 and Urban Institute housing model, 234, 236, 239-41, 244-48 and time series analysis, 354-64

and maintenance, 278-81, 324 and zoning, 350-53, 381-87 and short-run rent increases, 290-91 Providence, R.I., and slum ownership, and structure type, 282 218 and supply model, 286-88, 315-19, Psychological costs, and job search 324-25 model, 335 Quantity of housing. See also Supply, Public housing, and conversion, 80-81, of housing and dwellings in Urban Institute Public-sector decisions model housing model, 235, 240-41 and demand for housing, 55-56 and housing stocks, 27-30, 47-49 and habitability laws, 189-90, 224-25 and relocation costs, 41, 47 and household choice in Urban and variation in voter preference, 34-41 Institute housing model, 234, 246-47 and voter preferences, 30-34, 40-41 and job search model, 330-35 Public services -price relationship, 234-35, 238-40 and demand for housing, 33-34, 53, 54, 64, 82 Race, and housing and head tax, 36-37 in Boston, 107-109, 112-16, 116-18 and Hirsch-Margolis study, 223-24 and employment and housing disand measurement, 179 crimination, xix, 338-44, 378 and NBER study, 143 in household location model, 56, and Pittsburgh data, 176 and property tax, 37-38 and job search model, 329-35 and tax rate, 54, 64 and neighborhood attributes, and voter preference, 34-41 231-32 and zoning, 41-48 and new construction costs, 248, Public Use Sample Program, 102, 103 254 and Census data, 147, 162-64, 168 in Pittsburgh, 107-109, 119-22, and 1970 Census, 140 153, 175 and price of ghetto housing, Quality of housing 95-101, 101-103, 103-107, in Boston ghetto areas, 110-12, 116, 123-24, 135-36 and demand for housing, 295-309, and "real" acceptance wage, 335-38 and rents, 95-98, 101-107, 154-61, 315-19 and filtering, 273 176-77 and residential succession, 14, 18, and habitability laws, 188-90, 52 198-99 and social environment, 56 and Hirsch-Margolis study, 224 and Urban Institute housing model, and housing allowance, 256 and housing production model, 232, 235, 241-42, 248, 254 273-76, 277-78 and zonal characteristics, 235, and Ingram-Oron model, 323-25 241-42 and investment, 278-81 and zoning, 367 and new construction cost, 249-51, Racial transition, 136 254, 260 in Boston, 117-18 in Pittsburgh, 119-20, 121-22 in Pittsburgh ghetto areas, 121, "Real" wages, in job search model, 123-24, 177 and rents, 319-21 331-37, 341-44, 378 Rehabilitation. See Conversion vs. size, 177 and supply and demand, 216, Relocation costs, and public sector 220-21, 284-88, 289-95 decisions, 41, 47. See also Movand time span, 216-17 ing costs and Urban Institute housing model, Rent abatement laws, 181-82, 185 232-33, 238-40, 244 Rental housing "Quality capital," 276 as substandard, 181 and supply and demand, 182, and elasticity of substituion, 284-85, 320 205-208, 216-19

Rent differentials in 1960, 104-106, 123, 135 in 1970, 104-106, 123, 135 Renter-occupied buildings and Census data, 140, 147-50, 168, 173-74 and Pittsburgh census data, 151-61, 173-75 and sales price data, 145-46 Rent receivership, xx, 181-82 and housing code enforcement, 215-16, 224-26 and rent in model, 204, 205-208 and adjustment period, 291-95 and age of building, 5-7, 15-16, 18-21 and Census data, 154-61, 175 changes in, and time period, 187-88 and demand for housing, 295-309 determination of, 275-76 and elasticity of substitution, 311-13, 319-21 and housing code enforcement, 216, 222 and housing consumption, 194-96 and housing models, 161-62, 175, 201-202, 202-208 and income, 15-18, 21, 153, 175, 203-206, 243 and laws, 204-208 and maintenance, 286-88 and new construction costs, 204-206 in 1950s-1960s, 95-96, 100-101, 102-103, 107-108 in 1970s, 96-98, 103-107, 123-24, 135-36 and Pittsburgh data, 175 and quality, 277-81, 287-88, 289-95, 319-21 -race relationship, 18, 95-98, 101-107, 154-61, 175 short-run period, and demand, 290-91 and Urban Institute housing model, 243 and value of housing services, 190-92, 290, 320-21 Rent subsidies, and housing, 181, 208 Rent-value ratios, 102, 145-46 Rent withholding, 181-82, 185, 215 and rent in model, 204, 224-26 Repair and deduct laws, 181-82. 184-85, 215 and rent in model, 204, 206, 224-26

Residential-location theories and change-of-variable technique. xix, 29-34, 48 and income, 25-26 Residential structures. See Dwelling units; Housing stock; Structure Residential succession, 5-7, 7-11, 14-15. See also Neighborhood evolution and age of dwelling, 18-21 and 1970 Census, 15-18 Retaliatory eviction, 181, 185-86, 224 Reuter, Frederick (1974), 354 Ridker, R. G., and J. A. Hennig (1967), 354, 386 Rosen, S., 191-92, 194, 225 and hedonic price index, 223 Rothenberg, Jerome (1974), 191 Saint Louis study and age of dwellings, 5 and ghetto markups, 96-97 and NBER study, 143 Sales price data and housing markets, 144-46 and value of housing, 173 and zoning, 353, 355-56, 365-67 San Francisco study and housing attributes study, 25-26 and NBER study, 143 and zoning, xxi, 353-54, 363, 370, Schnare, Ann B. (1974), 146 Schnare-Struyk model, xix, 95-129 comments on, 135-37 Search costs housing, 57, 59-60, 63 job, 334-35, 338-41, 343-44 Sewer systems and structure type, and land, 74-77 and supply of nousing, 53 Short-run effects, of housing market, 147, 318. See also Time span Siegan, Bernard, 377 Sixth Court Summary tapes, 161-62, 167-68 Size, of housing structure in Boston ghetto areas, 110-12 and Ingram-Oron model, 323-25 vs. quality, 177 and rent, 202 and taxes, 32, 35-38 and zoning, 42, 44 Slum ownership, profits of. 217-20,

Small Area Data Program of the

Decennial Census of Housing and Population, 139, 167 Social environment, and demand for housing, 55-56, 63-64, 82 Sporn, Arthur D. (1950), 219 Stability effect, of zoning. See Neighborhood stability Sternlieb, George (1966), 218-19 Straszheim model, xix, 27-49, 143-44 "Structure capital" and durability, 276 and housing production model, 315-16, 324 and structure type, 282 Structural attributes. See Housing attributes; Neighborhood attributes Structure type, 55, 72-79, 367-70 and Census data, 147-49 and construction, new, 72-77, 82 and conversion, 78-79, 82 and demand for housing, 53-56, 63, 299-309 and durability theory, xx, 3, 275-76 and Hirsch-Margolis model, 224 and households, 88-91 and housing production model. 274-75, 282-83, 315-16 and Pittsburgh data, 153, 155, 175, and price of housing, 91 and price of land, 73-77 and quality, and housing production model, xx-xxi, 290-91, 323-25 and rent, 153, 155, 203 and supply of housing, 72-77, 82, 284-85 and time span, 293 and value-rent ratio, 146 Struyk, Raymond (1974), 146 Subsidies, new construction, 81, 260-63 vs. housing allowance, 270 and Urban Institute housing model, xx, 244, 248, 260-63 Substandard housing, 181 "Substantial transition," defined, 117 Supply, of housing for blacks, 99-100, 106-107, 109-18, 119-22 in Boston, 109-18 and Bradbury et al. model, 65-81 and capital, 65, 82, 278-81 and construction, new, 52, 66-72 and conversion, xix, 52, 54, 65, 77-81

and gestation periods, 320-21 and habitability laws, 190-92, 192-93, 196-99, 200-202, 205-208 and household location model, 53-55, 65-81, 81-84 and housing code enforcement, 222 and housing production model, 274, 277-83, 283-89, 315-19, 320-21 and land supply, 53, 65, 70-72, 78 in Pittsburgh, 119-22 and price changes, 187-88 and price-race relationship, 98, 106-107, 123-24 and structure type, 72-77 and Urban Institute housing model, 238-40, 242, 244-48, 249-51, 265-66 and zoning, 364, 370 Supply and demand, of housing and Census data, 141 and housing code enforcement, 220-26 and housing market, 192-99. 273-74, 295-309 for housing services, 87-91 and local government, 54, 70-71, 79 and location of housing, 88-91 and models, 87-91, 220-26, 273-74, 295-309, 384-87 and price of housing, 53-55, 57-60, 60-65, 69, 79, 89-91, 145, 190-91, 196-99 and price of land, 66-69, 72-77, 82 and zoning, 365, 370, 384-87 Supreme Court (1926), and zoning, 378

Tax capitalization, 48 and current residents, 41-44 and demand for housing, 33, 82 and future residents, 45-47 and income, 64 and location of housing, 18 and public sector budget, 28-29, 36-38, 48 and quality capital, 325 and size of dwelling unit, 32, 35-36 and subsidy for new construction, 260-63 and Urban Institute housing model, 237, 248, 255-56 and vacant land, 66-67 and variation in voter preferences, 36-38

and zoning, 41-48 and population growth, 244. Tenant-landlord relationship 254-55 and demand for housing, 193 and price of housing, 244-54 and laws, 182-87, 208, 215 and price-quantity relationship, and time period for price changes, 238-40 187-88 results of, 263-66 solution process, 237 Tenants and habitability laws, 181-87, and subsidy for new construction, 244, 248, 260-63 and housing code enforcement, Urban renewal, and housing, 181 222, 225 Vacancy rates Ten-year time span in black areas, 112, 123-24, 136 and housing allowance, 257-58 in Boston, 116-18 and new construction costs, 248 and conversion, 79, 81 and Urban Institute housing model, and household location model. 236, 238-40, 248, 264 59-60, 61-63, 89 Time, costs of, and job search model, in Pittsburgh, 121 and supply and demand for hous-Time series analysis, and zoning, ing, 53, 55, 58, 70-72 353-64, 382-87 Vacant housing Time span and Census data, 140 and durability, 232 and rent, 203, 206 and housing allowance, 257, 260 and Urban Institute housing model, and housing production model, 247 277-83, 289-95, 298-99, 315, Vacant land, vs. developed land, and 318-21 supply of housing, 53, 66-72, and price, 187-88, 216-17 76-81, 90-91 and Urban Institute housing model, Value-income ratio, 243 233, 236, 238-40 Value of housing, and consumption and zoning, 365, 382-86 process, 194-96 Transfer payments. See Income trans-Value of property, vs. rent, 145-46. fers See Land value Transit availability index, 57 Variation in voter preference, 40-41 Transportation costs von Thunem model, xvi and income increases, 4, 19, 25 Voters. See also Current residents: and job search model, 331-35, Future residents 337-38, 378 Travel time and change-of-variable model, 30-34, 48 and income, 25-26 and homogeneous jurisdictions, real cost of, and housing package, 56-57, 64-65 and housing allowance, 323-25 and Urban Institute housing model, 235, 241 and variation in preference, 34-41, 48-49 and zoning, 41-46 Unemployment, and housing discrimination, 338-41, 378 Wages, of blacks University of Michigan Panel Study of and housing discrimination, 329 Income Dynamics for 1968-72, and job search model, 331-38, xx, 182, 200, 224 343-44, 378 Urban Institute housing model, xx, Washington, D.C. 160 and local government control, 74 comments on, 269-71 and Urban Institute housing model, description of, 232-37 243, 245 and housing allowance, 244, 248, Walth, and Urban Institute housing 254-60 model, 241-42 mathematical specifications for, Welfare department, and rent with-240-42

and new construction costs, 244,

248-54

holding, 185

Wealth, and Urban Institute housing

Welfare people and housing code enforcement, 216, 221-22, 226 in housing location model, 56, 61-63 and social environment, 64 Wheaton, William C. (1974), 194 White sector, vs. black sector in Boston, 116-18, 123 and job search model, 330-35, 337-42 in Pittsburgh, 119-22, 123, 159-75, 177 and rents, 159-75, 177 Wihry, P. (1974), 96 Wingo, Lowden, xvi

Wingo, Lowden, xvi

Zones
and demand for housing, 54-60,
60-65
and housing attributes, 55-58, 60,
90
and income, 57-60, 61-64, 81-82
and market price, 55, 58-60
and neighborhood quality, 283
and new construction cost, 254

and supply of housing, 65-81 and Urban Institute housing model, 241-43, 248 Zoning and conversion, 79-82 and cross-sectional analysis, 353, 364-70, 381-86 and demand for property, 370 effects of, xxi, 27, 29, 41-47. 350-53, 377-78 and fiscal effects, 54, 349 and property values, 349-53, 370, 377-78 purposes of, 349-50 in San Francisco, 354, 357-64 and structure type, 74-75 and supply of property, 53-54 and taxes, 41-47 and time-series analysis, 354-64, 377-78, 381-86 in Urban Institute housing model,

237, 247 Zoning districts, 354, 358-60 and price trends, 360-64, 370 and real sales price, 365-67, 369