This PDF is a selection from an out-of-print volume from the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: Errors in Provisional Estimates of Gross National Product

Volume Author/Editor: Rosanne Cole

Volume Publisher: NBER

Volume URL: http://www.nber.org/books/cole69-1

Publication Date: 1969

Chapter Title: Relative Accuracy of the Provisional Estimates

Chapter Author: Rosanne Cole

Chapter URL: http://www.nber.org/chapters/c3990

Chapter pages in book: (p. 28 - 47)

## Ш

## Relative Accuracy of the Provisional Estimates

It was shown in the last Chapter that the revisions can be considered, at least in part, to be a measure of extrapolation error in the provisional estimates. This result suggests that the accuracy of other forecasts (or extrapolations) would be a relevant standard, or yardstick, by which to assess the accuracy of the provisional estimates.

The body of information on which forecasts draw is also available for use in preparing the advance and provisional estimates. This information falls roughly into two classes: data currently available but referring to the future, such as anticipations series, and data referring to the past. The latter set includes past values of the variable to be predicted and historical relationships between this variable and others. Such relationships may be suggested by theoretical considerations, or they may merely summarize a tendency for movements in a particular variable or combination of variables to lead and hence anticipate movements in the target variable. Forecasts may consist of only a combination of past values of the series (as do naive and autoregressive model projections) or they may rely on historical relationships with other variables.

The job of the forecaster, just as of the data compiler, is to combine all of the relevent information at hand into an accurate prediction of the true value, A, such that the sequence of forecasts (P), advance ( $A_{00}$ ), provisional ( $A_0$ ), and revised estimates ( $A_j$ ) is a sequence of predictions each more accurate than the last. A minimum requirement, then, for the accuracy of the official estimates is that they should be more accurate than the best forecasts of A.

## **Provisional Estimates Compared with Forecasts**

Chart 1 shows the root mean square errors of a sequence of forecasts and estimates of annual levels of GNP and its major components covering the period 1953–62. The forecasts are averages from Zarnowitz' sample of several hundred forecasts.<sup>22</sup>

In the case of GNP, forecasts  $(P_1)$  of the annual level in year T are made in July of year T - 1 and revised in December  $(P_2)$ . Further revisions take place in July  $(P_3)$  and December  $(P_4)$  of year T. Strictly considered,  $P_3$  and  $P_4$  are no longer bona fide forecasts inasmuch as they contain information from year T. Provisional estimates of the value of GNP in the first quarter of year T are available for use in  $P_3$  so only the last three quarters need to be forecast. Similarly,  $P_4$  contains a forecast only of the fourth quarter. Advance estimates  $(A_{00})$  are published in January and provisional estimates  $(A_0)$  in February of year T + 1. The estimates are further revised in July of years T + 1, T + 2, and T + 3  $(A_1, A_2, \text{ and } A_3 \text{ respectively.})$ 

Zarnowitz' sample contains no forecasts of the major GNP components made at the same time as the GNP forecasts  $P_1$  and  $P_3$ . The first component forecasts (denoted as  $P_2$  only to indicate that they were prepared at the same time as the GNP forecasts  $P_2$ ) were made in December of year T - 1 and revised one year later ( $P_4$ ).

Chart 1 not surprisingly shows that on the average the business forecasts are considerably less accurate than the official estimates of annual levels in GNP and its major components during the period 1953-62. But the chart also shows the advance  $(A_{00})$  and provisional estimates  $(A_0)$ to be only about as accurate or, in some cases, slightly less accurate than forecasters' estimates of current levels  $(P_4)$ .

Table 5 gives error statistics for the forecasts and estimates shown in Chart 1. The mean errors for GNP and three of its four major components are negative, indicating that the forecasts as well as the official

 $^{22}$  There are no official forecasts of GNP in the sense that no government agency publishes a series of GNP anticipations. Since the early sixties, however, forecasts of GNP for the coming year, prepared by the Council of Economic Advisers, have been published in the *Economic Report of the President*. These forecasts might be considered official, but their record is too short for use here.





<sup>a</sup> See Table 5, note a, for a description of the forecasts and estimates.

		Code of Forecasts and Estimates of Value of	Time Fore- cast or Estimate For Year T	Mean Error	Standard Devia- tion of Error (billion do	Mean Abso- lute Error	Root Mean Square Error
Line		Variable in Year $T^{\rm b}$	Published	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			GROS.	S NATI	ONAL PR	ODUCI	<u>г</u>
1	<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub> :	Average business forecast	July, $T-1$	-23.7	16.9	23.7	28.6
2	$P_2$ :	Revised forecast	OctDec.,				
			T-1	-16.2	9.6	16.2	18.6
3	<b>P</b> 3:	Revised forecast	July, T	-14.0	8.2	14.0	16.0
4	<b>P</b> 4:	Revised forecast	OctDec.,	10.0	<i></i>	10.7	
-		A		-10.6	5.1	10.7	11.6
2	A00:	Advance estimates	Jan., $I + I$	-11.0	4.0	11.0	11.9
0	A <sub>0</sub> :	Provisional estimates	Feb., $I + I$	-10.8	4.5	10.8	11.0
/	$A_1$ :	First July revised estimates	July, $I + 1$	-8.9	3.0	8.9	9.3
ð	$A_2$ :	estimates	Intra $T \perp 2$	-78	27	78	83
9	4	Third July revised	July, 1 + 2	7.0	2.1	7.0	0.5
,	лз.	estimates	July, $T + 3$	-7.3	2.4	7.3	7.7
			PERS	ONAL.	CONSUM	PTION	
			1210	EXPEN	DITURES		
10	$P_2$		Dec., $T-1$	-7.5	4.5	7.5	8.6
11	$\bar{P_4}$		Dec., $T$	-4.4	3.4	5.3	5.5
12	A 00		Jan., $T+1$	-5.6	1.2	5.6	5.7
13	A <sub>0</sub>		Feb., $T + 1$	- 5.7	1.1	5.7	5.8
14	$A_1$		July, $T + 1$	-4.3	1.4	4.3	4.5
15	$\overline{A_2}$		July, $T + 2$	-4.0	1.4	4.0	4.2
16	$A_3$		July, $T + 3$	-3.7	1.5	3.7	3.9
		(	GROSS PRIV	ATE DO	OMESTIC	INVES	TMENT
17	$P_2$		Dec., $T-1$	-5.6	5.4	6.1	7.6
18	$\bar{P_4}$		Dec., $T$	-4.4	3.0	4.6	5.3
19	A <sub>00</sub>		Jan., $T+1$	-4.3	3.2	4.9	5.3
20	$A_0$		Feb., $T+1$	-4.1	2.8	4.4	4.9
21	$A_1$		July, $T + 1$	-3.7	1.7	3.7	4.1
22	$A_2$		July, $T+2$	-3.2	1.7	3.2	3.6
23	$A_3$		July, $T + 3$	-3.0	0.8	3.0	3.1
			GOV'T. E	XPEND	ITURES O	N GO	ODS
				AND .	SERVICES		
24	$P_2$		Dec., $T - 1$	1.6	2.8	2.4	3.1
25	$P_4$		Dec., $T$	0.9	1.3	1.3	1.6
26	$A_{00}$		Jan., $T + 1$	0.7	1.5	1.3	1.6
27	$A_0$		Feb., $T + 1$	0.8	1.7	1.4	1.8
28	$A_1$		July, $T + 1$	1.0	1.5	1.4	1.8
29	$A_2$		July, $T+2$	0.8	1.4	1.1	1.5
30	$A_3$		July, $T + 3$	0.6	1.1	.9	1.2

TABLE 5. Errors in Successive Forecasts and Estimates of Annual Levels of Gross National Product and Its Major Components, 1953–62<sup>a</sup>

\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Line		Code of Forecasts and Estimates of Value of Variable in Year $T^b$	Time Fore- cast or Estimate For Year T Published	Mean Error (1)	Standard Devia- tion of Error (billion do (2)	Mean Abso- lute Error ollars) (3)	Root Mean Square Error (4)
				NET .	EXPORTS		
31	$P_2$		Dec., $T - 1$	-2.1	1.9	2.5	2.8
32	$P_4$		Dec., $T$	-1.8	1.0	1.8	2.1
33	A00		Jan., T + 1	-1.9	0.6	1.9	2.0
34	$A_0$		Feb., <i>T</i> + 1	-1.8	0.7	1.8	1.9
35	$A_1$		July, $T + 1$	-1.8	0.6	1.8	1.9
36	$A_2$		July, $T + 2$	-1.0	1.1	1.4	1.4
37	$A_3$		July, $T + 3$	-0.8	0.9	1.2	1.2

TABLE 5. Errors in Successive Forecasts and Estimates of Annual Levels of Gross National Product and Its Major Components, 1953-62<sup>a</sup> (concluded)

<sup>a</sup>Forecasts and estimates are of current dollar GNP and components. Errors are computed as  $E_j = P_j - A_n$  for forecasts and  $\epsilon_j = A_j - A_n$  for the official estimates. <sup>b</sup>The forecasts are from Zarnowitz' sample of business forecasts (see note 21 in text). The GNP forecasts  $P_2$  and  $P_4$  are averages based on the eight sets of forecasts, coded A through H and described in his Occasional Paper Appraisal of Short-Term Forecasts, Chapter 1, as well as a ninth set which was not available in time to be included in his paper.  $P_1$  and  $P_3$  are averages of two sets (G and the new set, coded I). The com-

In his paper.  $P_1$  and  $P_3$  are averages of two sets (G and the new set, coded 1). The com-ponent forecasts are averages of two sets (B and F). The advance estimates ( $A_{00}$ ) are taken from the *Economic Report of the President*. The provisional estimates ( $A_{00}$ ) are published in the *Survey of Current Business* in Febru-ary of the following year. For example, provisional estimates of the values of GNP and its components in 1954 are from the February 1955 issue of *SCB*. The first July revised estimates ( $A_{10}$ ) are published in the following July issue of *SCB* (in the example, July 1055). Furthermore, July superior of the published in July revised estimates ( $A_{10}$ ) are published in the following July issue of *SCB* (in the example, July 1955). Further annual July revisions ( $A_2$  and  $A_3$ ) are published in July issues of SCB for the next two years (July 1956 and 1957).

The 1965 major revision of the estimates is from the report article in the August 1965 SCB. The figures published are the result of both statistical and definitional revisions. The major definitional change was to exclude interest paid by consumers from the estimates (see the preliminary report article, "National Income and Product Estimates," Tables 2 and 3). This item is added to the published figures to obtain estimates of the statistically revised figures. The procedure does not entirely eliminate the definitional changes so the resulting series  $(A_n)$  includes some minor definitional revisions.

estimates underestimated the annual levels of these variables. Government expenditures on goods and services were overestimated on the average.23

<sup>28</sup> As noted in footnote b of Table 5, definitional changes have not been entirely eliminated from the 1965 data. Consequently, the forecast and data errors reflect in addition to statistical error some minor definitional changes.

The 1965 definitional changes tended to lower the official estimates while the statistical revisions tend to raise them. As an average for the years 1953, 1957, 1958, and 1960, definitional changes lowered the figures for GNP by \$6.5 billions; personal consumption expenditures by \$6.6 billions; gross private domestic investment by \$.4 billion; and government expenditures on goods and services by \$.3 billion. The estimates of net exports were raised by \$.9 billion. (See the preli-

32

Even though they are slightly more efficient, the advance  $(A_{00})$  and provisional estimates  $(A_0)$  are also slightly more biased than forecasters' estimates of the current levels  $(P_4)$  of GNP, personal consumption expenditures, and net exports. The opposite is true of the errors in gross private domestic investment and government expenditures;  $P_4$  is slightly more efficient, but also more biased than  $A_{00}$  and  $A_0$ .

Although the differences in accuracy are small, the results are nevertheless surprising. One might have expected  $A_{00}$  and  $A_{0}$  to be consistently more accurate (i.e., less biased and more efficient) than  $P_{4}$ .<sup>24</sup>

The only differences among  $A_{00}$ ,  $A_0$ , and  $P_4$  occur in the estimates of the fourth quarter of year T. In other words, all three are averages of the values of the target variable in the four quarters of the year and the same figures for the first three quarters are used in each. Thus any differences in the accuracy of the three sets of annual estimates arise from errors in estimating the fourth quarter.

However, the accuracy of  $P_4$  is by no means an indication of typical forecasting accuracy.  $P_4$  is constructed as an average of many forecasters' estimates and, because of the possibility of offsetting errors, it may be considerably more accurate than typical forecasts.  $P_4$  might therefore be considered an unreasonably high, or unfair, standard of accuracy. For this reason, the quarterly data will be compared with a "typical" forecast.<sup>25</sup>

When all four quarters of the year are considered, the advance and provisional estimates compare very favorably with a *typical* forecast. The

minary report article on the 1965 major revision, "National Income and Product Account", SCB, August 1965, Table 2.)

It was noted earlier that the major definitional change was to exclude interest paid by consumers from the product estimates. This item averaged \$5.7 billions for the years just mentioned. It was added to the estimates to obtain the series of 1965 statistically revised data. Somewhat under 15 per cent of the definitional changes, therefore, remain in the data used as "final" estimates.

<sup>24</sup> Other results are also contrary to this expectation. H. Theil finds over the same period, 1953-62, that the estimates of current annual changes in roughly half of the variables included in the Dutch official forecasts are more accurate than the Central Bureau of Statistics first (preliminary) figures (*Applied Economic Forecasting*, Chicago, 1966, pp. 140-150).

<sup>25</sup> Only one set of forecasts (Set C) in Zarnowitz' sample contains forecasts of GNP and its major components in quarterly units. The period covered by Chart 2 and Table 6 is therefore limited to the period for which these forecasts are available, 1957 IV-1962 IV. Comparisons of this set's annual forecasts with those of other sets justify its classification as "typical" (see Zarnowitz, Appraisal of Short-Term Forecasts, Chapter 7).





<sup>a</sup> See Table 6 and text for description of naive projections, forecasts, and estimates.

error statistics presented in Chart 2 and Table 6 suggest that a typical forecaster's estimates of current quarterly levels are considerably less accurate than the first official estimates of GNP, personal consumption expenditures, and gross private domestic investment. There is practically no difference, however, in the accuracy of the three estimates of government expenditures on goods and services and net exports.<sup>26</sup>

The root mean square error of a typical forecaster's estimates of current quarterly levels (P) and of the official estimates  $(A_i)$  of GNP and its major components for the period 1957 IV-1962 IV are plotted in Chart 2. Errors that would be made by naive model projections are also shown. The naive model (N) projects the last known quarterly value; namely, the value for the preceding quarter as published two months following the end of that quarter.

These simple projections of no change would err by the amount of the change which in fact takes place from period t - 1 to t + 1. To be of any value, a forecasting model should be able to predict the essential features of this change and the resulting new level of the series in period t. Consequently, predictions as well as the model generating them, could be considered worthless if their errors exceed those of the naive model N.

The statistics in Chart 2 and Table 6 show that the forecasters' estimates (P) are more accurate than the naive projections (N) and that the official estimates ( $A_{00}$  and  $A_0$ ) are in turn more accurate than P for GNP and all components save one. For this component, net exports, there is almost no difference between the accuracy of N and the three sets of estimates: P,  $A_{00}$ , and  $A_0$ . In other words, neither the forecasts nor the official estimates give us very reliable current information on net exports.<sup>27</sup>

## **Provisional Estimates Compared with Extrapolations**

The preceding tables and charts cover only part of the period for which estimates of gross national product and its components have been pub-

<sup>26</sup> The errors shown for the forecasts as well as the official estimates of these components reflect definitional changes in addition to statistical error (see footnote 23). This does not, however, affect comparisons between forecast and data errors. Differences in the errors arise only from differences in statistical error; the element of error due to definitional changes is the same in each set of predictions.

 $^{27}$  Zellner, A Note on Provisional Estimates, in his study covering the 1947 II-1955 IV period, found net exports, a component estimated as a residual, to be one of the least accurate.

					Root
			Standard	Mean	Mean
		Mean	Deviation	Absolute	Square
		Error	of Error	Error	Error
	Code of Forecasts		(billion o	iollars)	
Line	and Estimates <sup>b</sup>	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
		GROS	S NATION	IAL PROL	UCT
1	N: Naive projection of last known				
	level	-17.5	8.3	17.5	19.3
2	P: Typical business forecast	-12.8	6.4	12.8	14.2
3	A <sub>00</sub> : Advance estimates	-11.9	4.7	11.9	12.7
4	$A_0$ : Provisional estimates	-11.6	4.7	11.6	12.5
5	$A_1$ : First July revised estimates	-9.7	3.1	9.7	10.1
6	$A_2$ : Second July revised estimates	-8.9	2.4	8.9	9.2
7	$A_3$ : Third July revised estimates	-9.0	2.2	9.0	9.2
		DFD	SONAT CO	) λΙς ΙΙλΑ <b>ρ</b> Τ	
		ILN	EVDEND	TUDEC	101
0	λ/	_08	20	0.0	10.2
0	D D	- 6.6	2.9	5.0	76
10	1	-5.8	23	5.8	63
10	A100	5.0	2.3	5.0	6.2
11	A10	-0.0	2.1	0.0	4.0
12	A1	-4.5	1.9	4.5	4.9
13	A2 A-	-4.4	1.0	4.4	4.1
14	Аз	-4.5	1.4	4.5	4.7
		GRO	SS PRIVAT	E DOMES	STIC
			INVEST	MENT	
15	N	-4.7	6.3	6.7	7.7
16	Р	-4.5	4.2	4.8	6.1
17	A00	-4.6	2.7	4.6	5.3
18	$A_0$	-4.2	2.6	4.2	4.9
19	$A_1$	-3.7	2.0	3.7	4.2
20	$A_2$	-3.3	1.6	3.3	3.7
21	$A_3$	-3.3	1.1	3.3	3.5
		GO	T. EXPEN	DITURES	ON
		G	OODS ANL	) SERVICI	ES
22	Ν	-1.6	1.8	2.0	2.4
23	Р	-0.1	1.6	1.3	1.6
24	A00	0.1	1.5	1.2	1.5
25	A	0.0	1.5	1.2	1.4
26	<i>A</i> 1	-0.1	1.0	0.9	1.0
27	A2	-0.3	1.1	0.7	1.1
28	<u>A3</u>	-0.3	1.0	0.7	1.1
	• 				

TABLE 6. Errors in Forecasts, Naive Model Projections, and Successive Estimates of Quarterly Levels of Gross National Product and Its Major Components, 1957 IV-1962 IV<sup>a</sup>

36

TABLE (	5. (0	concl	ude	ed,	)
---------	-------	-------	-----	-----	---

	Code of Forecasts	Mean Error	Standard Deviation of Error (billion of	Mean Absolute Error iollars)	Root Mean Square Error
Line	and Estimates <sup>b</sup>	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			NET EX	PORTS	
29 N		-1.5	1.0	1.5	1.8
30 P		-1.6	1.1	1.7	1.9
31 A <sub>00</sub>		-1.5	0.8	1.5	1.7
32 A <sub>0</sub>		-1.5	0.6	1.5	1.6
33 A <sub>1</sub>		-1.3	0.5	1.3	1.4
34 A2		-1.1	0.2	1.1	1.1
35 A <sub>3</sub>		-1.0	0.2	1.0	1.0

Note: Forecast and estimates refer to quarter t of year T.

Forecast of Estimate	Date of Publication
N	Second month of t
Р	First to third month of $t$
A00	First month of $t + 1$
$A_0$	Second month of $t + 1$
$A_1$	July, $T+1$
$A_2$	July, $T+2$
$A_3$	July, $T + 3$

<sup>a</sup>Variables are in current dollars, seasonally adjusted, at annual rates. Errors are

computed as  $E = P - A_n$  for forecasts and  $\epsilon_j = A_j - A_n$  for the official estimates. <sup>b</sup>The forecasts are from Zarnowitz' sample, set C. The advance estimates ( $A_{00}$ ) are from *Economic Indicators* one month following the close of the quarter covered. Pro-visional estimates ( $A_0$ ) are from the *Survey of Current Business* two months following the close of each quarter and the first July revised estimates ( $A_1$ ) are from the following July issue of *SCB*. The second July revised estimates ( $A_2$ ) are published one year later and the third Luly ravised estimates ( $A_2$ ) are published one year later and the third July revised estimates  $(A_3)$  are published the year thereafter (see Table 1, note b).

lished on a current basis. Errors in successive estimates over the longer period 1947 II-1961 IV are summarized in Chart 3. The errors refer to estimates of both quarterly levels and of quarterly changes. The record of none of the forecasts in Zarnowitz' sample matches this period; therefore, errors in the official estimates are compared with those in naive projections of the last known quarter, or projections of "no change" (N).

Though they are widely used, the naive projections (N) provide only a minimum benchmark or standard of accuracy. The provisional data,





<sup>a</sup> See Table 7 and text for description of projections and estimates.



to be useful in analyzing current business conditions, should not only be more accurate than simple projections of no change; they should also accurately reflect the systematic changes in the series.<sup>28</sup> Errors in each of the sequence of estimates of GNP and its components are therefore compared both with the errors of N and with the errors of unbiased and efficient extrapolations, X, in Table 7 (columns 4–5 and 9–10).<sup>29</sup>

<sup>28</sup> A similar requirement for the accuracy of preliminary statistics is suggested by Geoffrey Moore and Julius Shiskin in *Indications of Business Expansions and Contractions*, NBER Occasional Paper 103, New York, 1967, Chapter 2, p. 17, footnote 6.

They suggest that preliminary statistics could be considered acceptable if the underlying trend of the series (the trend-cycle component) would not be altered by subsequent revisions (i.e., if the errors are merely random.)

<sup>29</sup> The root mean square errors of unbiased and efficient extrapolations, X, are computed for Table 7 as

$$\sqrt{M_X} = \sqrt{(1 - r_{A_{n_t}A_{0_{t-1}}}^2) S_{A_{n_t}}^2}$$

rather than

$$\sqrt{M_X} = \sqrt{(1 - r_{A_{n_t}A_{n_{t-1}}}^2) S_{A_{n_t}}^2}$$

as they were in Table 1.

The use of  $A_{0_{L-1}}$  rather than  $A_{n_{L-1}}$ , however, takes only partial account of the fact that final data would not be available to use in projections of the value of A in period t. As a consequence, the error statistic  $\sqrt{M_X}$  that is used in Table 7 would be too small and would provide too severe a standard of accuracy. If, however, the true autoregressive structure were of an order higher than the first,  $\sqrt{M_X}$  would be too high.

It is unlikely, however, that the magnitude of the upward bias is sufficient to offset the downward bias. (Mincer and Zarnowitz find relatively small differences in the accuracy of extrapolations based on first order regressions and those based on higher order regressions—see "The Evaluation of Economic Forecasts," in Mincer, *Economic Forecasts and Expectations*, pp. 3–46.)

It would, of course, have been preferable to generate a series of extrapolations of the value of A in period t, each based on the history of A as it is known in period t-1. That is, each extrapolation  $(X_t)$  would be computed as

$$X_t = a_0 + a_1 A_{0t-1}$$

where  $a_0$  and  $a_1$  are coefficients estimated from the regression,

$$A_{0_{t-1}} = a_0 + a_1 A_{0_{t-2}} + u_{t-1},$$

for the period 1947 I to the current quarter t-1.

A series of such extrapolations would require a succession of estimation, projection, and re-estimation for each quarter of the 1947–61 period and for each of the variables reviewed. While there is no denying that such a procedure would have yielded more appropriate standards of accuracy for the provisional estimates, the costs of obtaining them, in terms of the enormous amount of computations involved, were deemed too great for the purposes of this study.

		oot Mean Error <sup>c</sup>	$RM_X$ (10)			.571	.518	.428	.357			.533	.400	.367	.333			.526	.526	.474	.579
	ANGES <sup>b</sup>	Relative Ro Square	${}^{RM_N}_{(9)}$			.395	.358	.296	.247			.356	.267	.244	.222			.500	.500	.450	.550
	RLY CH	Root Mean Square	Error (8)		8.1	3.2	2.9	2.4	2.0		4.5	1.6	1.2	1.1	1.0		2.0	1.0	1.0	0.9	1.1
	QUARTEI	Standard Deviation	of Error (7)		6.1	3.2	2.9	2.4	2.0	S	3.0	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.0		1.9	1.0	1.0	0.9	1.1
		Mean	Error (6)	UCT	-5.5	-0.6	-0.4	-0.1	0.0	ENDITURE	3.3	-0.7	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2	Sz	-0.5	-0.2	-0.1	-0.0	0.0
		oot Mean Error <sup>e</sup>	$RM_X$ (5)	NAL PROD		1.221	.895	<i>911</i> .	607.	PTION EXP.		1.341	.854	.829	.756	R DURABLI		1.333	1.042	.833	.708
	CVELS	Relative R Square	${}^{RM_N}_{(4)}$	OSS NATIC		.640	.470	.408	.372	CONSUM		.655	.417	.405	.369	CONSUME.		.842	.658	.625	.531
	TERLY LE	Root Mean Square	Error (3)	GR	16.4	10.5	T.T	6.7	6.1	PERSONAL	8.4	5.5	3.5	3.4	3.1	•	3.8	3.2	2.5	2.0	1.7
	QUAR	Standard	of Error (2)		9.0	5.6	4.8	4.2	3.6		4.8	3.3	2.8	3.0	2.7		2.4	1.8	1.8	1.5	1.4
rs)		Меап	Error (1)		-13.6	-8.9	-6.1	-5.3	-5.0		-7.0	-4.4	-2.1	-1.7	-1.5		-2.9	-2.6	-1.7	-1.3	-1.1
billion dolla		Code	of Estimate <sup>a</sup>		N	$A_0$	$A_1$	$A_2$	$A_3$		Z	$A_0$	$A_1$	$A_2$	$A_3$		N	$A_0$	$A_1$	$A_2$	$A_3$
			Line		ŗ	7	ę	4	S		9	7	×	6	10		11	12	13	·14	15

TABLE 7. Errors in Naive Model Projections and Successive Estimates of Quarterly Levels and Changes in Gross National Product and Its Components. 1947 II-1961 IV

Relative Accuracy

41

TABLE	7. (continued	0									
			QUAR	rerly Li	EVELS			QUARTEI	RLY CH	ANGES <sup>b</sup>	
	÷ C		Standard	Root Mean	Relative R Square	oot Mean Error <sup>e</sup>	Mean	Standard	Root Mean Square	Relative R Square	oot Mean Error <sup>©</sup>
Line	Coue of Estimate <sup>a</sup>	Error (1)	of Error (2)	Error (3)	$RM_N$ (4)	RM <sub>X</sub> (5)	Error (6)	of Error (7)	Error (8)	$RM_N$ (9)	$RM_X$ (10)
				с С	NSUMER 1	NONDURA	BLES				
16	N	2.3	2.8	3.6			-1.2	1.4	1.8		
17	$A_0$	3.3	2.3	4.0	1.111	1.739	-0.2	1.0	1.0	.556	.714
18	. A	3.1	2.0	3.6	1.000	1.565	0.0	0.9	0.9	.500	.643
19	$A_2$	2.6	1.8	3.2	.889	1.391	-0.0	0.8	0.8	.444	.571
20	$A_3$	2.3	1.7	2.8	.778	1.217	-0.1	0.7	0.7	.389	.500
					CONSUME	ER SERVICE	S				
21	N	-6.4	1.4	6.5			-1.6	0.7	1.8		
22	$A_0$	-5.1	1.4	5.3	.815	2.650	-0.3	0.7	0.8	.444	1.143
23	41	-3.5	0.8	3.6	.554	1.800	-0.2	0.6	0.6	.333	.857
24	Α,	-3.0	1.2	3.2	.492	1.600	-0.1	0.6	0.6	.333	.857
25	$A_3$	-2.7	1.4	3.0	.462	1.500	-0.0	0.6	0.6	.333	.857
				GROSS P.	RIVATE DO	MESTIC IN	VESTMEN	T			
26	N	-4.3	6.5	7.8			-0.8	4.7	4.7		
27	$A_0$	-3.4	3.5	4.9	.628	.778	0.0	2.7	2.7	.574	.574
28	Åı	-3.1	2.5	4.0	.513	.635	-0.1	2.3	2.3	.489	.489
29	. A.	-2.8	2.0	3.5	.449	.556	0.1	1.9	1.9	404.	.404
30	$A_3$	-2.8	1.3	3.1	.397	.492	0.0	1.6	1.6	.340	.340

. ...

42

Errors in Provisional Estimates of GNP

ILE 7	. (continued										
			QUARI	FERLY LI	EVELS			QUARTEI	RLY CH	ANGES <sup>b</sup>	
	Code	Mean	Standard Deviation	Root Mean Square	Relative Ro Square	oot M <del>c</del> an Error <sup>e</sup>	Mean	Standard Deviation	Root Mean Square	Relative R Square	oot Mean Error <sup>e</sup>
- -	of Estimate <sup>a</sup>	Error (1)	of Error (2)	Error (3)	$RM_N$ (4)	$RM_X$ (5)	Error (6)	of Error (7)	Error (8)	$RM_N$ (9)	$RM_X$ (10)
				PRODU	ICERS' DUI	RABLE EQU	IPMENT				
	N	1.6	3.4	3.7			-0.2	1.1	1.1		
	$\mathcal{A}_0$	2.2	3.2	3.9	1.054	1.147	0.3	0.9	0.9	.818	.818
	٩ı	1.0	2.8	2.9	.784	.853	0.0	0.7	0.7	.636	.636
	$A_2$	0.7	2.4	2.5	.676	.735	-0.0	0.6	0.6	.545	.545
	$A_3$	0.6	2.2	2.3	.622	.676	-0.0	0.6	0.6	.545	.545
					NEW CON	STRUCTIO	~				
	N	-5.1	2.9	5.8			-0.4	1.1	1.2		
	$\mathcal{A}_0$	-4.8	2.8	5.5	.948	2.115	-0.1	0.7	0.7	.583	.700
	Aı	-3.9	2.3	4.6	.793	1.769	0.0	0.6	0.6	.500	.600
	$\mathcal{A}_2$	-3.6	2.0	4.2	.724	1.615	0.1	0.5	0.5	.417	.500
	$\mathcal{A}_3$	-3.5	1.9	4.0	069.	1.538	0.1	0.6	0.6	.500	.600
				CHANC	E IN BUSI	NESS INVE	NTORIES				
	N	-0.7	5.0	5.0			-0.1	3.9	3.9		
	$\mathcal{A}_0$	-0.8	2.7	2.8	.560	.667	-0.2	3.1	3.1	.795	.795
	$A_1$	-0.3	1.8	1.8	.360	.428	-0.1	2.3	2.3	.590	.590
	$A_{2}$	0.0	1.4	1.4	.280	.333	0.0	1.9	1.8	.462	.462
	$A_3$	0.1	1.1	1.1	.220	.262	0.0	1.5	1.5	.385	.385

••

Relative Accuracy

43

ntinued)										
		QUARI	TERLY LI	EVELS			QUARTEI	RLY CH	ANGES <sup>b</sup>	
Me	ue	Standard Deviation	Root Mean Square	Relative R Square	oot Mean Error <sup>e</sup>	Mean	Standard	Root Mean Square	Relative R Square	toot Mean Error <sup>e</sup>
ΗŪ	Ъ,	of Error (2)	Error (3)	$RM_N$ (4)	$RM_X$ (5)	Error (6)	of Error (7)	Error (8)	$RM_N$ (9)	$RM_X$ (10)
		GOVERN	MENT EX	PENDITUR	ES ON GO	DDS AND	SERVICES			
_	0.7	2.2	2.9			-1.5	2.2	2.6		
	2.1	2.2	3.0	1.034	1.154	-0.1	1.3	1.3	.500	.867
	2.3	2.2	3.2	1.103	1.231	-0.0	1.2	1.2	.462	.800
	2.3	2.1	3.1	1.069	1.192	-0.0	1.1	1.1	.423	.733
	2.2	2.1	3.0	1.034	1.154	-0.0	1.0	1.0	.385	.667
			ł	FEDERAL G	OVERNME	NT				
	1.3	2.8	3.1			- 0.8	2.2	2.3		
	2.0	2.2	3.0	968	1.154	-0.1	1.1	1.1	.478	.786
	2.0	2.1	2.9	.935	1.115	-0.0	1.2	1.2	.522	.857
	1.9	2.0	2.8	.903	1.077	-0.0	1.1	1.1	.478	.786
	1.8	2.0	2.7	.871	1.038	-0.0	1.0	1.0	.437	.714
			STATE	AND LOC.	AL GOVERI	NMENTS				
'	-0.5	0.7	0.9			-0.7	0.4	0.8		
	0.1	0.7	0.7	.778	1.000	-0.0	0.4	0.4	.500	1.000
	0.3	0.4	0.5	.556	.714	-0.0	0.3	0.3	.375	.750
	0.4	0.2	0.4	.444	.571	0.0	0.3	0.3	.375	.750
	0.3	0.2	0.4	.444	.571	0.0	0.3	0.3	.375	.750

44

Errors in Provisional Estimates of GNP

TABLI	: 7. (concluded							Ĩ			
			QUAR	TERLY LI	SVELS			QUARTEI	RLY CH	ANGES <sup>b</sup>	
	Code	Mean	Standard	Root Mean Square	Relative Rc Square I	oot Mean Error <sup>c</sup>	Mean	Standard	Root Mean Square	Relative R Square	.oot Mean Error <sup>e</sup>
Line	of Estimate <sup>a</sup>	Error (1)	of Error (2)	Error (3)	$RM_N$ (4)	RM <sub>X</sub> (5)	Error (6)	of Error (7)	Error (8)	$RM_N$ (9)	RM <sub>X</sub> (10)
					NET E	XPORTS					
61	N	-2.7	1.6	3.1			-0.1	1.9	1.8		
62	$A_0$	-2.6	1.6	3.1	1.000	2.067	-0.1	1.7	1.7	.944	1.700
63	$A_1$	-2.6	1.4	3.0	.968	2.000	-0.2	1.6	1.6	889.	1.600
64	$A_2$	-2.5	1.5	2.9	.935	1.933	-0.2	1.6	1.6	.889	1.600
65	$A_3$	-2.4	1.5	2.8	.903	1.867	-0.0	0.8	0.8	.444	.800
and The selection of th	timates refer t otation is: tet Published cond month of y, $T + 1$ y, $T + 2$ y, $T + 3$ he first estimate ange in the f cases $A_{0_{L_1}}$ hat de. For examp	o quarter t 1 / t + 1 / t + 1 / s of qual rrovisional been rev	t of year T. 7 V: Naive proj quarterly le qo: Provisional 4: First July T 4: Second July 4: Third July 4: Third July riter-to-quarter riterates of the ti vised by the ti	The date of ection of la evel $(A_{0,-1})$ l estimates evised estri revised estri revised estri revised estri inte the esti inte the esti f change fr	publication st known mates trimates mates e not simply $i - A_{0,-1}$ . In imate of $A_0$ om the first	to second basis revi often offs the estimates "Relativ $\sqrt{M_N}$ is the root m $\sqrt{M_X}$ is c	I quarter of sions were et by the fir $(A_{j_1} - A_{j_{1-1}})$ $(A_{j_1} - A_{j_{1-1}})$ and $RA$ are error of the root me nean square nean square	a year wou discontinuec st July revision b. n square roc $dx = \sqrt{M_j}/$ each set of an square of error of unbi	Id be $A_{0}$ , 1 in 1954 ions. The he change he change ots $RM_N$ ots $RM_N$ official est naive pr ased and $r_{A_{n}}^{2} A_{n-1}^{0}$	$-A_{1_{i-1}}$ Th because th because th is changes us is in a given and $RM_X$ a phere $\sqrt{M_j}$ fitmates $(j = 0)$ ojections, a efficient exti	ese current ey were so ed here are set of level re $RM_N =$ is the root 0,, 3), nd $\sqrt{M_X}$ is rapolations.

Relative Accuracy

The provisional estimates of quarterly levels are on the whole superior to N, but their errors exceed those of X (compare the initial entries in columns 4 and 5 in Table 7). The early data on quarterly changes, however, are substantially more accurate. The errors in levels exceed those in changes in all four major sectors, as well as in all but one of the detailed components. This is true both in terms of the absolute errors (compare the statistics in columns 1–3 with those in columns 6–8, respectively) and in terms of the relative errors (columns 4–5 compared with columns 9–10, respectively). The only exception is in estimates of the change in business inventories.

Although there is considerable bias in the initial estimates of quarterly levels, it appears to be very small in the change estimates.<sup>30</sup> Even so, the bias in the provisional estimates of quarterly changes in expenditures on producers' durable equipment, the change in business inventories, and net exports is greater than that in naive projections of "no change" (column 6).

The early figures are more efficient than naive projections for GNP and most of the components, but there are some exceptions. For example, the first estimates of consumer services (quarterly levels and changes), new construction (levels), and net exports (levels), as well as state and local government expenditures on goods and services (levels and changes) are only about as efficient as N.

To sum up, errors in the provisional estimates of quarterly changes in GNP and its components are on the average about 60 per cent as large as the errors in naive projections of no change (N) and about 90 per cent as large as the errors in unbiased and efficient extrapolations (X). Thus, one would have been about 10 per cent more accurate using the early quarterly change data than in relying on very accurate extrapolations (X).

The early data for levels are less accurate. We have seen that the provisional estimates were not much more accurate than an average of forecasters' estimates of current annual levels during the 1953–62 period,

<sup>30</sup> The fact that the revisions affect levels considerably more than changes is the reason why errors in absolute rather than in percentage changes are used in Table 7. If, for example, the initial estimate of change were from 100 in quarter t - 1 to 105 in quarter t and the revised estimate, from 105 in quarter t - 1 to 110 in quarter t, the change in both sets of estimates, in absolute terms, is 5. In percentage terms, however, the initial estimate of a 5 per cent change would appear to overestimate the revised change of 4.8 per cent. even though the forecasts are published three to four months earlier than the official figures. Comparisons with typical forecasts of quarterly data are more favorable. The provisional estimates were roughly 15 per cent more accurate than a typical forecaster's estimates of current quarterly levels for 1957 IV-1962 IV. Over the longer period 1947 II-1961 IV, however, errors in the early level data were on the whole larger than the errors in unbiased and efficient extrapolations.