This PDF is a selection from an out-of-print volume from the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: Measuring International Capital Movements

Volume Author/Editor: Walther P. Michael

Volume Publisher: NBER

Volume ISBN: 0-87014-229-1

Volume URL: http://www.nber.org/books/mich71-2

Publication Date: 1971

Chapter Title: Purpose and Scope of the Study

Chapter Author: Walther P. Michael

Chapter URL: http://www.nber.org/chapters/c3940

Chapter pages in book: (p. 3 - 13)

# MEASURING INTERNATIONAL CAPITAL MOVEMENTS



## PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF THE STUDY

It is well known that the reported data on international capital movements as given in balance-of-payments statistics are incomplete. When international capital transactions reported by individual countries are aggregated into area or global totals, large discrepancies are found between changes in assets and the corresponding changes in liabilities, or between net outflows and corresponding net inflows. This was found to be the case during work on the National Bureau's study of world trade and payments for the period 1950–54.¹ It is also true of the data for the late fifties and the early sixties, as two recent International Monetary Fund (IMF) studies show.² It is, therefore, difficult, if not impossible, to ascertain from balance-of-payments statistics the approximate magnitudes of world capital movements and of the flows between areas or to judge the relative shares of the various suppliers and recipients and the relative importance of the various types of capital.

Moreover, existing studies of postwar capital movements do not contain global quantitative presentations of all flows between countries. The main compilations of capital flow figures are in the United Nations studies of private capital and of grants and long-term capital, and in the publications of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) on the flow of financial resources to less developed areas.<sup>3</sup> The UN studies of private capital were mainly

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Herbert B. Woolley, Measuring Transactions Between World Areas, New York, NBER, 1966.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Marcus Diamond, "Trends in the Flow of International Private Capital, 1957-65," Staff Papers, International Monetary Fund, March 1967; and John S. Smith, "Asymmetries and Errors in Reported Balance of Payments Statistics," Staff Papers, IMF, July 1967.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> United Nations, The International Flow of Private Capital, 1946-1952, New York, 1954, and 1956-1958, New York, 1959, and International Flow of Long-Term Capital and Official Donations, 1951-1959, New York, 1961, 1959-1961, New York,

designed to bring out the characteristics of, and some of the factors influencing, private capital flows. While they contain much valuable information, quantitative evidence is confined to the main suppliers and selected recipients. The OECD data cover the supply to the underdeveloped areas of both official and private capital from 1956 on, as reported by the OECD members. The distribution of official capital among recipient countries is also provided from 1960 on, but much less information is given about the distribution of private capital. The scope of the OECD publications is thus limited mainly to the flow of aid to underdeveloped countries. The UN publications on long-term capital and grants cover the same field (although they show only net figures), but they also include data on aid by communist countries. Diamond's IMF study of private capital supplies no breakdown for the recipient countries. Presentations of worldwide capital movements are, therefore, not available.

It is, then, the purpose of this paper to try to construct, out of balance-of-payments data and supplementary sources, a reasonably firm and integrated set of accounts of international capital flows. The method used is to disaggregate the data by type of capital as far as possible and to compare transactions between partners by type. Sufficient details are available in most records or subsidiary sources to make such comparisons between countries, or at least between areas, feasible. In this way the discrepancies between countries or areas are revealed by type of capital. The data can then be adjusted for omitted transactions on the basis of partner data or other sources. The method of comparing the disaggregated data from both sides for all types of transactions seems indispensable for a reasonably accurate estimation of capital transactions. This two-valued approach affords a test of the data that is lacking when only the data of the lenders are used for compilations. Even if the types of transaction between two partners (say, direct investment and portfolio investment) are separately shown in only one of the records, the total amount for "private long-term" in the other still provides a limited check of the data. Of course,

1963, 1960-1962, New York, 1964, 1961-1965, New York, 1966; OECD, The Flow of Financial Resources to Countries in the Course of Economic Development, 1956-1959, Paris, 1961, 1956-1963, Paris, 1964, and annual issues, and Geographical Distribution of Financial Flows to Less Developed Countries, Paris, 1966.

transactions that took place but are not reported by either side—for instance, Latin American capital flight to Switzerland—or transactions for which neither partner collects data cannot be brought to light. But at least discrepancies in the reported data can be ascertained by this method, and frequently the source of the discrepancy can be identified. Such discrepancies are likely to be quite substantial, and while there may be no way to estimate certain clandestine movements, it is considered useful to reconstruct the movements that can be known. Many transactions that escape exchange control data, where this is the basis for collection, can be caught in the record of the other partner. It is hoped that this aspect of the study, the identification of discrepancies in the data, will prove of value in itself, both for improvement of the reporting system and as a caveat for the use of the figures.

The time period chosen for this purpose is the five years from 1950 through 1954, inclusive. The data reported to the IMF prior to this period were not detailed enough for this kind of study.

The distribution of the supply of capital by source and its allocation among recipient countries are of particular interest with regard to the level of development of the countries between which the flows occurred. Accordingly, a classification of countries by stage of development has been constructed in order to show capital flows between such groups of countries, and how the various types of private and official capital were distributed among them.

The results of the investigation are given in summary form in Table 1 and in a series of matrix tables, found in Appendix B, for major types of transactions cross-classified by source and destination of funds. These tables are for the five-year period as a whole. Though the procedure followed has involved a year-by-year inspection of the data, the preparation of annual matrixes would have increased both the burden of work and the uncertainties of the estimates without a commensurate gain in knowledge of the period studied or in experience relevant to the feasibility of developing systematic accounts of this nature in the future.

This study focuses on the exposition of the methodology and the problems that are encountered in constructing a matrix system of

<sup>4</sup> This study was undertaken in connection with the National Bureau project mentioned in footnote 1, which covers the same period.

Total Capital Movements, Types of Capital, by Groups and Subgroups, Five-Year Totals, 1950-54 (millions of dollars)

						Lender	Lenders (donors)	s).				
Borrowers (recipients)	Total	Group 1	U.S.	U.K.	Con- tinent and Japan	Group 2	Can-	Other Group 2	Group 3	Group 4	Inter- national Insti- tutions	Un- allo- cated
TOTAL	40,280	35,015	21,057	3,340	10,618	1,750	1,097	653	209	2,094	1,057	155
Government grants and net loans     Dortfelis investment issues	17,228	16,078	12,649	732	2,697	-16	-223	207	12	-61	1,243	5
Portfolio redemptions and trading	-657	-516	-762	84	162	-241	6	<u>=</u> 0	34	24	•	42
III. Direct investment	11,492	10,601	6,865	2,459	1,277	803	623	180	-10	∞		06
IV. Private loans, net	222	264	378	09-	-54	-49	4	-53	_7	7		21
V. Repatriations	-627	-563	-56	-399	-108	-5		-5	į,	-57		
VI. Short-term credit	2,365	2,219	214	-33	2,038	456	409	47	10		-320	
VII. Reserves, correspondent accounts, and net												
quota payments to international insulutions	7,213	3,591	159	-156	3,948	736	331	405	175	2,217	134	
GROUP 1	17,155	14,133	8,650	435	5,048	1,167	944	223	178	1,829	-233	81
I. Government grants and net loans	6,681	7,036	7,067	-140	109	-250	-242	<b>8</b> 0	2	-79	30	5
II. Portfolio investment issues	. 20	20			20							
Portfolio redemptions and trading	201	353	19	223	===	-265	-102	-163	34	53		20
III. Direct investment	2,792	2,189	1,128	428	633	581	548	33	ا ر	-		56
IV. Private loans, net	οC	24	261	-63	-174	-1		<del>-</del>	9-	-1		က
V. Repatriations	-84	-51		-11	<del>1</del>				ĩ	-32		
VI. Short-term credit	816	758	40	121	597	409	409				-351	
VII. Reserves band correspondent accounts	9/9/9	3,754	135	-123	3,742	703	331	372	154	1,917	148	

### Purpose and Scope of the Study

U.S.	7,170	4,713		601	4,112	1,297	1,082	215	348	401	336	75
II. Portfolio investment issues	17	17			17							
Portfolio redemptions and trading	364	377		246	131	-104	<del>6</del>	-10	31	10		20
III. Direct investment	1,402	864		391	473	521	512	6	9-	-		22
IV. Private loans, net	=	10		2	<b>∞</b>	-5		-5 -				80
V. Repatriations	-5									-5		
VI. Short-term credit	414	48		48		366	366					
VII. Reserves b and correspondent accounts	4,964	3,397		98	3,483	516	298	218	323	392	336	
U.K.	3,139	2,571	2,294		277	-161	96	65	-193	1,337	-415	
I. Government grants and net loans	1,189	1,481	1,472		7	-191	-191		4	-77		
II. Portfolio investment, redemptions, and trad-												
gui	-183	<b>%</b>	ø I			-173	-10	-163		7		
III. Direct investment	621	573	527		46	48	30	. 18				
IV. Private loans, net	143	152	168		-16	ኖ		ዮ				
V. Repatriations	-28									-28		
VI. Short-term credit	146	401		•	401	43	43				-598	
VII. Reserves b and correspondent accounts	1,251	œ Î	135		-143	121	32	88	-189	1,444	-117	
Continent	5,966	6,045	5,629	-241	657	53	4	73	23	91	-228	, 9
I. Government grants and net loans	4,975	5,069	5,089	-140	120	-59	-51	æ T	<b>9</b> ,	7	7	2
11. Portfolio investment issues	53	53			53							
Portfolio redemptions and trading	37	-	39	-18	-20	12	3	10	ec.	21		
III. Direct investment	629	644	501	30	113	10	4	9	-			4
IV. Private loans, net	-227	-214	81	-65	-167				9-			
V. Repatriations	-54	12		7	7				T	-5		
VI. Short-term credit	62	178	-18		196						-116	
VII. Reserves b and correspondent accounts	461	365		-37	402	99	-	65	20	81	-71	
			١				I	I	I	l		ļ

TABLE 1 (continued)

						Lender	Lenders (donors)	(s				
Bortowers (recipients)	Total	Group 1	U.S.	U.K.	Con- tinent and Japan	Group 2	Can- ada	Other Group 2	Group	Group 4	Inter- national Insti- tutions	Un- allo- cated
GROUP 1 (continued)												
Japan	880	804	727	75	2	2	2				74	
Covernment grants and net loans     Portfolio investment redemotions and trad.	517	206	506								Ξ	
ing	-17	-17	-12	5-								
III. Direct investment	110	108	100	7	-	5	5					
IV. Private loans, net	92	92	75		-							
VI. Short-term credit	194	131	58	73							63	
GROUP 2	8,539	8,010	5,350	1,595	1,065	66	11	88	ī	4	375	52
I. Government grants and net loans	1,825	1,443	1,073	161	179	-30	8-	-25	7	4	417	
II. Portfolio investment issues	1,673	1,653	1,179	385	88	20		20				
Portfolio redemptions and trading	-498	-497	-535	-34	72	10	_	6		4		-15
III. Direct investment	5,126	4,973	3,616	1,088	569	101	14	87		4		48
IV. Private loans, net	59	82	51	7	35	-45	4	<del>-46</del>				19
V. Repatriations	13	-13		-13								
VI. Short-term credit	415	387	-58	23	422	47		47			-19	
VII. Reserves b and correspondent accounts	-48	-18	24	7	ī			-7			-23	
U.Soriented	4,846	4,855	4,268	174	413	9-	4	-10		4	8	6
I. Government grants and net loans	574	585	370	84	131	-18		-18		4-	11	
II. Portfolio investment issues	1,165	1,165	1,165									
Portfolio redemptions and trading	-376	-366	-407	-44	85	5		5				-15
III. Direct investment	3,388	3,386	3,070	186	130							2
IV. Private loans, net	88	59	53	-12	18	10	4	9				19
VI. Short-term credit	48	44	-1	-	20						4	
VII. Reserves b and correspondent accounts	7	-18	24	7	7						-23	

Measuring International Capital Movements

Purpose	and	Scope	of	the	Study
---------	-----	-------	----	-----	-------

	U.Koriented	2,572	2,131	585	1,387	162	125	13	112		<b>∞</b>	308	
ï	I. Government grants and net loans	584	255	229	24	2	5		5			324	
Ξ	Portfolio investment issues	449	449	14	371	64							_
	Portfolio redemptions and trading	51	23	-52	49	56	28	5	56		4		4
Ξ	Direct investment	1,379	1,273	372	846	55	86	Ξ	87		4		4
Ι.	Private loans, net	71	70	16	43	œ	-		-				
VI.	Short-term credit	45	61		54	7						-16	
VII.	VII. Reserves and correspondent accounts	-1					1		-1				
	Continent-oriented	1,121	1,024	200	34	490	-20	9-	-14	ī		75	43
ï	I. Government grants and net loans	299	603	474	83	46	-17	<b>%</b>	6	ī		82	
Ξ	Portfolio investment issues	59	39		14	25	20		20				
	Portfolio redemptions and trading	-173	-154	94-	-39	-39	-20	ī	-19				1
Ξ	Direct investment	359	314	174	99	84	33	က					42
Σ.	Private loans, net	-100	<b>-47</b>	-21	-35	6	-53		-53				
>	Repatriations	-13	-13		-13								
VI.	Short-term credit	322	282	-51	-32	365	47		47			-7	
	GROUP 3	4,930	4,266	3,247	-157	1,176	283	50	233	85	7	369	5
ij	I. Government grants and net loans	2,716	2,233	1,805	131	297	185	-18	203	-5	33	297	•
ij	_	10	10	10									
	Portfolio redemptions and trading	205	-213	-65	-120	ī	6	6					2
III.	Direct investment	1,444	1,357	1,238	40	46	87	59	87	Ļ	4		-
.≥	Private loans, net	127	124	45	7	72	4		4				<del>-</del>
>	Repatriations	-165	-163	-55	-77	-31	-5		7				
VI.	Short-term credit	1,000	816	296	-138	200				10		72	
	U.Soriented	2,335	1,973	1,768	-276	481	66	99	33	-5	4	597	5
ij	I. Government grants and net loans	683	476	453	23		-5	-5				509	
Ξ	Portfolio investment issues	10	10	10					٠				
	Portfolio redemptions and trading	-173	-184	08  -	-110	9	6	6					5
Ξ	Direct investment	1,373	1,286	1,185	40	61	87	59	28	ĵ.	4		-
Ν.		150	146	65	7	74	32		τC				7
>	Repatriations	-122	-122	-52	<del>-</del> 67								
VI.	Short-term credit	414	361	190	169	340						53	
							I				l		1

(continued)

#### Measuring International Capital Movements

			Me	ası	ırır	ıg	1)	nt	er	na	tio	na	H	L	a	DIC	a.	L.	M(	ove	em	lei	nt	S			
	, p	allo- cated									20			3	15					21				21			
	Inter- national	Insti- tutions		101	88				-	10	279	301						-22		66	96						3
		Group 4		60	en						171	-14		Ŷ	ī		-25		220	4	4						
		Group		œ	<b>%</b>					0	œ	13				7	7			7					7		
s)	Other	Group 2		200	203			T	7		49	15		7	32					æ	æ						
(donor		Can- ada		-16	-16						33	32		7	67												
Lenders (donors)		Group		<u>\$</u>	187			7	7		82	47		-	34					8	<b>%</b>						
	Con- tinent			695	297		18	7	<u>-8</u>	420	2,989	2,113	352	1	596	13	-37	259		83	œ	2		16	13		45
		U.K.		119	108	-10			-10	31	1,324	437	295	18	903		-598	39	œ	-18			e F	-	;	-16	
		U.S.		1,479	1,352	-12	53	-50		106	3,109	2,334		7	883	21	T	-81		1,908	1,416		91-	581	19	7	16-
		Group 1		2,293	1,757	-29	71	-22	7	557	7,422	4,884	647	-36	2,082	34	-336	139	œ	1,973	1,424	67	-19	298	31	-17	<del>-4</del> 6
		Total		2,595	2,033	-29	17	-23	43	586	7,982	5,231	647	-36	2,130	33	-365	117	228	2,088	1,516	7	-19	619	30	<b>-1</b> 7	-43
		Borrowers (recipients)	GROUP 3 (continued)	Continent-oriented	I. Government grants and net loans	<ol> <li>Fortiono investment, redeinpuons, and trading</li> </ol>	III. Direct investment	IV. Private loans, net	V. Repatriations	VI. Short-term credit	GROUP 4	I. Government grants and net loans	II. Portfolio investment issues	Portfolio redemptions and trading	III. Direct investment	IV. Private loans, net	V. Repatriations	VI. Short-term credit	VII. Reserves b and correspondent accounts	U.Soriented	I. Government grants and net loans	II. Portfolio investment issues	Portfolio redemptions and trading	III. Direct investment	IV. Private loans, net	V. Repatriations	VI. Short-term credit

#### Purpose and Scope of the Study

	U.Koriented	2,780	2,409	929	1,376	104	88	34	55		156	125	-
Ή.	I. Government grants and net loans	1,354	1,173	728	437	<b>∞</b>	55	32	23		-25	148	
Ξ	II. Portfolio investment issues	295	295		295								
	Portfolio redemptions and trading		33	-28	56	ĸ	-	7	8		Ť		٦C
HI.	Direct investment	1,188	1,160	235	890	35	33	60	30		7		7
.∨	Private loans, net	2	5	1		-							
>	Repatriations	303	-285		-259	-23					-51		
Z.	VI. Short-term credit	27	20	-1	21	78						-23	
ΛĪ.	/11. Reserves b and correspondent accounts	217	œ		œ			-			500		
	Continent-oriented	3,114	3,040	272	-34	2,802	1	ī	8	6	11	55	-5
	I. Government grants and net loans	2,361	2,287	190		2,097				13	4	57	
Ξ	Portfolio investment issues	350	350			350							
	Portfolio redemptions and trading	-50	-20	<u>.</u>	ŗ	-15							
III.	Direct investment	323	324	67	12	245	-	7	2				-5
.∠	Private loans, net	-	-	7									
>	Repatriations	45	-37		-23	-14				7	7		
Υ.		133	135	17	-18	136						7  -	
VII.	/II. Reserves b and correspondent accounts	=									11		
	INTERNAȚIONAL INSTITUTIONS	1,342	1,131	677	108	346	104	59	45	21	86		
-	I. Government grants and net loans	446	426	348	78		18	13	rc.		8		
Ħ.	Portfolio investment issues	644	<u>09</u>	421	33	147	43	43					
	Portfolio redemptions and trading	-100	-103	-95	<u>.</u>	æ	80	က					
VII.	Reserves (IBRD bonds)	81	10			10	7		7	55	45		
	Net quotas	271	197			197	33		33	ī	45		
	UNALLOCATED	332	53	24	35	۴	15		15		-3	267	
- =	<ol> <li>Government grants and net loans</li> <li>Portfolio investment, redemptions,</li> </ol>	329	56	22	35	7	14		<u>4</u>		-	258	
	and trading	-19	-20	-15		f			-				
Z.	Short-term credit	17	11	17									
VII.	/II. Reserves b and correspondent accounts	ĸ									7	6	
l				l			١						

#### NOTES TO TABLE 1

- a Partly net, see (4), below.
- b Excluding gold.

Note: A minus sign indicates a decrease in assets (liabilities).

SOURCE: Derived from matrix tables for Types I to V, and VII. The magnitudes of I, II, and VII differ from those of the corresponding matrix tables because of changes explained in (1), (2), (3), and (5) below. The flows of Type VI (for which there is no matrix) were calculated from the data in Appendix Table B-VI and from reported (or adjusted) country data, as explained in (1) and (4) below.

- (1) In order to simplify the exposition in this summary table, the European Institutions were eliminated as intermediaries for grants and EPU balances. The grants extended to EPU and IEPA (EPU and IEPA rows in Appendix Table B-I) were distributed among the groups in proportion to the grants made by these institutions (EPU and IEPA column in Appendix Table B-I), which reduces the total of Type I by \$700 million. The EPU balances (Appendix Table B-VI, column were treated as having been extended directly by the countries with credit balances to those with debit balances, viz., by Group 1 Continent to itself, the United Kingdom, Group 2 (net of Swedish credit balance), and Group 3 (net of Portuguese credit balance). The credit balances of Sweden and Portugal were treated as having been extended to their own groups. The EPU net receipt was included in Group 1. The eliminated balances extended by EPU amount to \$920 million (the sum of the debit balances). For their loan transactions (Appendix Table B-I), the European Institutions were included as lenders in the Continent-Japan column, and as borrowers in the Continent row. The total magnitude is not affected in this case.
- (2) International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD) bonds bought by central banks as reserves are included in both Appendix Tables B-II and B-VII because from the borrower's point of view they represent funds raised through security issues, while from the lenders' point of view they represent an increase in their reserves. Either matrix would thus be incomplete without these transactions. But to avoid double counting in this summary table, the amount was excluded from Type II (\$81 million).
- (3) IBRD sales of borrowers' obligations included in Appendix Table B-II (port-folio trading) were transferred here to Type I, since they constitute a reduction in the supply of the International Institutions (-\$67 million).
- (4) Short-term credit (Type VI) was calculated for this table as explained below. For Groups 2, 3, and 4, the net receipts (or net repayments) were shown as having been received from (or repaid to) Group 1 in the following way: Their net receipts from (or repayments to) the U.S. and the U.K., according to the U.S. and U.K. data, were entered in the respective columns, and their residual transactions were entered in the Continent-Japan column, with the following exceptions:
- (a) The Canadian transactions with the U.S. and the U.K. were shown gross since they largely consist of Canadian credit extended rather than received. The Canadian asset figures were used for this purpose.
  - (b) The EPU balances were treated as described above.
- (c) The currency transactions with the International Monetary Fund (IMF) were shown as liabilities to the International Institutions.
- (d) For Group 3, U.S.-oriented (Group 3 Latin America), the British figure of the Latin American repayments to the United Kingdom was used, the residual receipts from the Continent and Japan becoming, therefore, correspondingly larger (see Walther P. Michael, "International Capital Movements, The Experience of the Early Fifties (1950–1954)," Ph.D. dissertation, Columbia University, 1965. Appendix B, Matrix IX, 1).

NOTES TO TABLE I (continued)

The net credit extended by the Group 1 lenders to each other was shown in the following way:

(a) U.S. column—The net credit received from the United States by the Group 1 Continent and Japan according to the data of these countries was entered.

(b) U.K. column-The net credit received by the United States (\$48 million) and

Japan (\$78 million reported by Japan) was entered.

(c) Continent and Japan column—Since the OEEC countries in Groups 2 and 3 reported net inflows from the Continental OEEC area totaling approximately \$350 million, an offsetting net repayment was entered under Group 1 in this column because we estimated (see Michael, ibid.) that the net increase in short-term indebtedness in the whole Continental OEEC area was approximately zero. This negative entry was reduced by \$65 million for the net credit extended to the United Kingdom according to the data of these Group 1 countries. The Continental-Japanese transactions, which were relatively small and unidentifiable by group, were ignored.

The sums of these various estimates yielded then the total for Group 1 of \$2,219 million, and for all Short-Term Credit, of \$2,365 million (this total is smaller by \$920 million than the final estimate of \$3,285 million shown in Table 2 because of the eliminated EPU balances). By this procedure we have assigned the missing outflows, evidenced by the discrepancy in Appendix Table B-VI, to Group 1 Continent (see the notes to Appendix Table B-VI). We have also included any transactions between the other groups in the Continent-Japan column, but such transactions were generally small and net out to insignificant amounts. The (partial) gross figures in this table for Group 1 cannot be reconciled with the net figures in Appendix Table B-VI because of the treatment described above, but those for Groups 2, 3, and 4 are consistent with the net figures in Appendix Table B-VI. This procedure seems to yield the best possible approximation to the short-term credit flows which appear to have taken place.

(5) Net quotas (the net increases in the liabilities of the International Institutions on subscription account), for which no matrix is included (see Chapter 2, footnote 4), were added to Type VII (\$271 million).

world capital movements.<sup>5</sup> However, in Chapter 4 the pattern of capital movements during 1950–54 is discussed and some conclusions regarding the flow of funds to the underdeveloped areas are drawn.

<sup>5</sup> The methodology is explained here in general terms. For the procedure used in particular estimates and for the adjustments made to the reported country data, see Walther P. Michael, "International Capital Movements, The Experience of the Early Fifties (1950–1954)," Ph.D. dissertation, Columbia University, 1965 (microfilmed).