This PDF is a selection from an out-of-print volume from the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: Substituting a Value Added Tax for the Corporate Income Tax: First-Round Analysis

Volume Author/Editor: Stephen P. Dresch, An-loh Lin, and D. K. Stout

Volume Publisher: NBER

Volume ISBN: 0-884-10474-5

Volume URL: http://www.nber.org/books/dres77-1

Publication Date: 1977

Chapter Title: Front matter, Substituting a Value-Added Tax for the Corporate Income Tax

Chapter Author: Stephen P. Dresch, An-loh Lin, D. K. Stout

Chapter URL: http://www.nber.org/chapters/c3827

Chapter pages in book: (p. -24 - 0)

Substituting a Value-Added Tax for the Corporate Income Tax

NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH FISCAL STUDIES

- 1. Fiscal Planning for Total War

 William Leonard Crum, John F. Fennelly, and Lawrence H.

 Seltzer
- 2. Taxable and Business Income

 Dan Throop Smith and J. Keith Butters
- 3. The Nature and Tax Treatment of Capital Gains and Losses Lawrence H. Seltzer
- 4. Federal Grants and the Business Cycle James A. Maxwell
- 5. The Income-Tax Burden on Stockholders Daniel M. Holland
- 6. Personal Deductions in the Federal Income Tax C. Harry Kahn
- 7. Dividends Under the Income Tax Daniel M. Holland
- 8. Business and Professional Income Under the Personal Income Tax C. Harry Kahn
- 9. Accelerated Depreciation in the United States, 1954-1960
 Norman B. Ture
- 10. Employee Compensation Under the Income Tax C. Harry Kahn
- 11. Executive Compensation in Large Industrial Corporations Wilbur G. Lewellen
- 12. The Personal Exemptions in the Federal Income Tax Lawrence H. Seltzer
- 13. Tax Changes and Modernization in the Textile Industry Thomas M. Stanback, Jr.
- 14. The Ownership Income of Management Wilbur G. Lewellen
- 15. Substituting a Value-Added Tax for the Corporate Income Tax: First-Round Analysis

Stephen P. Dresch, An-loh Lin, and David K. Stout, with contributions by Milton L. Godfrey



This book is printed on recycled paper.

Copyright © 1977 by The National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic mechanical photocopy, recording or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the publisher.

International Standard Book Number: 0-88410-474-5

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 77-5778

Printed in the United States of America

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Dresch, Stephen P

Substituting a value-added tax for the corporate income tax.

Bibliography: p. 199 Includes index.

1. Value-added tax. 2. Corporations—Taxation. 3. Prices. 4. Income distribution. I. Lin, An-loh, joint author. II. Stout, David, joint author. III. Title.

HJ5711.D67

336.2'71

77-5778

ISBN 0-88410-474-5

Substituting a Value-Added Tax for the Corporate Income Tax

First-Round Analysis

Stephen P. Dresch

Institute for Demographic and Economic Studies and National Bureau of Economic Research

An-loh Lin

Federal Reserve Bank of New York

David K. Stout

National Economic Development Office (London)

with contributions by Milton L. Godfrey, Cybermatics, Inc.

Published for the National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc. by Ballinger Publishing Company

A Subsidiary of J.B. Lippincott Company

Cambridge, Massachusetts
1977





Copyright © 1977 by The National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic mechanical photocopy, recording or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the publisher.

International Standard Book Number: 0-88410-474-5

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 77-5778

Printed in the United States of America

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Dresch, Stephen P

Substituting a value-added tax for the corporate income tax.

Bibliography: p. 199

Includes index.

1. Value-added tax. 2. Corporations—Taxation. 3. Prices. 4. Income distribution. I. Lin, An-loh, joint author. II. Stout, David, joint author. III. Title.

HJ5711.D67

336.2'71

77-5778

ISBN 0-88410-474-5

NBER DIRECTORS, OFFICERS, AND SENIOR STAFF......

OFFICERS

Arthur F. Burns, Honorary Chairman
James J. O'Leary, Chairman
Moses Abramovitz, Vice Chairman
Martin S. Feldstein, President
Thomas D. Flynn, Treasurer
Douglas H. Eldridge, Executive Secretary
Victor R. Fuchs, Vice President;
Co-director, NBER-West
David T. Kresge, Director, Energy
and Environmental Research

Edwin Kuh, Vice President and Director,
Computer Research Center
Robert E. Lipsey, Director, International
Studies
Sherman J. Maisel, Co-director, NBER-West
Geoffrey H. Moore, Director, Business Cycle
Research
Joel Popkin, Director, NBER-Washington;
Director, Research-New York
Edward K. Smith, Director, Corporate
Planning and Development

A SUMP WE SEE TO

DIRECTORS AT LARGE

Atherton Bean, International Multifoods Corporation
Andrew F. Brimmer, Brimmer & Company, Inc.
Otis F. Brubaker, United Steelworkers of America
Arthur F. Burns, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System
Wallace J. Campbell, Foundation for Cooperative Housing
Emilio G. Collado, Adela Investment Company, S.A.
Solomon Fabricant, New York University Martin S. Feldstein, Harvard University Eusene P. Foley, Pan American Building, New York
Edward L. Ginzton, Varian Associates
David L. Grove, International Business

LARGE
Walter W. Heller, University of Minnesota
Walter E. Hoadley, Bank of America
Roy E. Moor, Becker. Securities Corporation
Geoffrey H. Moore, National Bureau of
Economic Research
J. Wilson Newman, Dun & Bradstreet, Inc.
James J. O'Leary, United States Trust
Company of New York
Rudolph A. Oswald, American Federation of
Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations
Peter G. Peterson, Lehman, Brothers
Robert V. Roosa, Brown Brothers
Harriman & Co.
Eli Shapiro, The Travelers Corporation
Arnold M. Soloway, Jamaicaway Tower,
Boston, Massachusetts
Lazare Teper, International Ladies' Garment
Workers' Union

DIRECTORS BY UNIVERSITY APPOINTMENT

Moses Abramovitz, Stanford Gardner Ackley, Michigan Charles H. Berry, Princeton Otto Eckstein, Harvard Walter D. Fisher, Northwestern John H. Kareken, Minnesota J. C. LaForce, California, Los Angelės Robert J. Lampman, Wisconsin

Machines Corporation

Maurice W. Lee, North Carolina
Daniel L. McFadden, California, Berkeley
Almarin Phillips, Pennsylvania
Lloyd G. Reynolds, Yale
Robert M. Solow, Massachusetts Institute
of Technology
Henri Thell, Chicago
William S. Vickrey, Columbia

DIRECTORS BY APPOINTMENT OF OTHER ORGANIZATIONS

Richard M. Bird, Canadian Economics
Association
Eugene A. Birnbaum, American
Management Associations
Carl F. Christ, American Economic
Association
Robert G. Dederick, National Association
of Business Economists
Thomas D. Flynn, American Institute
of Certified Public Accountants

Franklin A. Lindsay, Committee for Economic Development
Paul W. McCracken, American Statistical Association
Douglass C. North, Economic History Association
G. Edward Schuh, American Agricultural Economics Association
James C. Van Horne, American Finance Association

DIRECTORS EMERITI

Percival F. Brundage Rank W. Fetter Gottfried Haberler Albert J. Hettinger, Jr. George B. Roberts Murray Shields Boris Shishkin Willard L. Thorp Joseph H. Willits Theodore O. Yntema

SENIOR RESEARCH STAFF

Gary S. Becker Charlotte Boschan Phillip Cagan Stanley Diller Solomon Fabricant Martin S. Feldstein Milton Friedman Victor R. Fuchs J. Royce Ginn Raymond W. Goldsmith Michael Gort Michael Grossman John F. Kain John W. Kendrick Irving B. Kravis Anne O. Krueger David T. Kresge Edwin Kuh

William M. Landes Robert E. Lipsey Sherman J. Maisel Benoit B. Mandelbrot John R. Meyer Robert T. Michael Jacob Mincer Ilse Mintz Geoffrey H. Moore

M. Ishag Nadiri Joel Popkin Richard Posner Nancy Ruggles Richard Ruggles Anna J. Schwartz Edward K. Smith Robert J. Willis Victor Zarnowitz

Relation of the Directors to the Work and Publications of the National Bureau of Economic Research

1. The object of the National Bureau of Economic Research is to ascertain and to present to the public important economic facts and their interpretation in a scientific and impartial manner. The Board of Directors is charged with the responsibility of ensuring that the work of the National Bureau is carried on in strict conformity with this object.

2. The President of the National Bureau shall submit to the Board of Directors, or to its Executive Committee, for their formal adoption all specific pro-

posals for research to be instituted.

- 3. No research report shall be published by the National Bureau until the President has sent each member of the Board a notice that a manuscript is recommended for publication and that in the President's opinion it is suitable for publication in accordance with the principles of the National Bureau. Such notification will include an abstract or summary of the manuscript's content and a response form for use by those Directors who desire a copy of the manuscript for review. Each manuscript shall contain a summary drawing attention to the nature and treatment of the problem studied, the character of the data and their utilization in the report, and the main conclusions reached.
- 4. For each manuscript so submitted, a special committee of the Directors (including Directors Emeriti) shall be appointed by majority agreement of the President and Vice Presidents (or by the Executive Committee in case of inability to decide on the part of the President and Vice Presidents), consisting of three Directors selected as nearly as may be one from each general division of the Board. The names of the special manuscript committee shall be stated to each Director when notice of the proposed publication is submitted to him. It shall be the duty of each member of the special manuscript committee to read the manuscript. If each member of the manuscript committee signifies his approval within thirty days of the transmittal of the manuscript, the report may be published. If at the end of that period any member of the manuscript committee withholds his approval, the President shall then notify each member of the Board, requesting approval or disapproval of publication, and thirty days additional shall be granted for this purpose. The manuscript shall then not be published unless at least a majority of the entire Board who shall have voted on the proposal within the time fixed for the receipt of votes shall have approved.
- 5. No manuscript may be published, though approved be each member of the special manuscript committee, until forty-five days have elapsed from the transmittal of the report in manuscript form. The interval is allowed for the receipt of any memorandum of dissent or reservation, together with a brief statement of his reasons, that any member may wish to express; and such memorandum of dissent or reservation shall be published with the manuscript if he so desires. Publication does not, however, imply that each member of the Board has read the manuscript or that either members of the Board in general or the special committee have passed on its validity in every detail.
- 6. Publications of the National Bureau issued for informational purposes concerning the work of the Bureau and its staff, or issued to inform the public of activities of Bureau staff, and volumes issued as a result of various conferences involving the National Bureau shall contain a specific disclaimer noting that such publication has not passed through the normal review procedures required in this resolution. The Executive Committee of the Board is charged with review of all such publications from time to time to ensure that they do not take on the character of formal research reports of the National Bureau, requiring formal Board approval.
- 7. Unless otherwise determined by the Board or exempted by the terms of paragraph 6, a copy of this resolution shall be printed in each National Bureau publication.

(Resolution adopted October 25, 1926, as revised through September 30, 1974)



Table of Contents

	List of Figures	xi
	List of Tables	xiii
	Preface	xvii
Chapter One	The VAT-CIT Substitution	1
1.1	Origins and Objectives	1
1.2	Differential Incidence Analysis	5
1.3	Characteristics of the VAT	7
1.4	Characteristics of the VAT-CIT Substitution	10
1.5	Consequences of the VAT-CIT Substitution	14
1.5.1	Consequences for Income Distribution	17
1.5.2	Investment Consequences	20
1.5.3	International Trade Effects	21
1.5.4	Regional Effects	22
1.5.5	Other Substitution Effects	23
Chapter Two	The Theoretical Structure of the Analysis	27
2.1	Basic Theoretical Issues	27
2.2	Criteria for a Compensating VAT-CIT	
	Substitution	29
2.3	The Consumption-Type VAT and	
	Alternatives	34
2.4	Tax Shifting and Tax-Substitution—Induced	20

viii Table of Contents

2.4.1	The Translation of Tax Changes into	
	Price Changes	38
2.4.2	CIT Shifting	39
2.4.3	Effects of the VAT on Prices	44
2.4.4	Price Formation and the Rate of Depreciation	46
2.5	Fiscal Implications of the Tax Substitution	49
2.5.1	Revenue and Expenditure Effects	49
2.5.2	CIT Revenue Loss	50
2.5.3	VAT Revenue and Interdependence in Tax Yields	51
2.5.4	Changes in Government Expenditure	52
2.6	The Input-Output Model: Analytical	
	Synthesis	53
2.6.1	Overview of the Model	53
2.6.2	The Analytics of the Model	57
Chapter Th	ree CIT-Compensating VAT Rates, Revenues, and Price Adjustments	63
3.1	CIT-Compensating VAT Rates and Revenues	63
3.1.1	Sensitivity of VAT Rate to CIT Reduction	69
3.1.2	Sensitivity of VAT Rate to CIT Shifting	73
3.2	Price Effects of the VAT-CIT Substitution	78
3.2.1	Price Effects by Component of Final Demand	78
3.2.2	General Relationships Between Price Change; CIT Reduction, Shifting and Initial Rates;	
	and Profit Shares	83
3.2.3	Price Effects by Industry	88
Chapter Fo	ur Income Distribution: Differential Incidence of the VAT-CIT Substitution	103
4.1	Income Redistribution with Full CIT Shifting	107
4.2	Income Redistribution with Zero CIT Shifting	112
4.3	Dual Rates	115

Table of Contents	ix
-------------------	----

Chapter Five	Capital Stock and Investment Effects of the VAT-CIT Substitution	123
5.1	Investment Effects with Zero Shifting	126
5.2	Investment Effects with Full Shifting	129
Chapter Six	The Tax Substitutional and International Trade	133
6.1	Effect of VAT-CIT Substitution on Exports	135
6.2	Effect of the VAT-CIT Substitution on Imports	139
6.3	Aggregate Export-Import Effects	140
6.4	Interindustry Balance-of-Trade Effects	143
6.5	Value Added and Export Substitution	146
6.6	VAT-CIT Substitution and Import—Competing	
	Output: Interindustry Effects	147
6.7	Devaluation and VAT-CIT Substitution	148
6.8	Conclusions	154
Chapter Seven	A Miscellany of Additional Topics	157
7.1	Intergovernmental Fiscal Consequences of	
	the Tax Substitution	158
7.2	Interindustry Redistributions of Tax Liabilities	163
7.3	Short-Run Interindustry Effects of Potential	167
7.4	Wage Responses Differential Regional Consequences of the Tax	101
1.4	Substitution	170
7.4.1	Income Distribution	171
7.4.2	Investment Effects	174
7.4.3	International Trade Effects	181
7.4.4	Potential Wage Adjustments	181
7.5	Allocative Effects of the Tax Substitution	184
Appendix A	Methods Used to Compute the Value-Added Tax	187
Appendix B	Industry Numbering for the Econoscope Input/ Output Table	195
	References	199
	Index	201
	About the Authors	215

.





List of Figures

3-1	Relative Change in VAT Rate (Z) for CIT	
	Reductions Moving from 25 Percent to 100	
	Percent, as Function of CIT Shifting	
	Parameter (a)	71
3-2	VAT Rate (Z) as Function of Degree of CIT	
	Reduction (S), Given CIT Shifting Parameter (α)	72
3-3	VAT Rate (Z) as Function of CIT Shifting	
	Parameter (α) , Given Degree of CIT Reduction (S)	76
3-4	Relative Change in VAT Rate (Z) for Degrees	
	of CIT Shifting (a), Moving from Zero to 100	
	Percent, as Function of Degree of CIT	
	Reductions (S)	77

. .

(



List of Tables

T-T	and Shifting	15
1-2	Price Indices for Consumption and Nonresidential Fixed	10
	Investment for Varying Degrees of CIT Reduction and	
	Shifting	17
3-1	VAT Rates and Revenues for Varying Degrees of CIT	
	Reduction and Shifting	66
3-2	Components of Change in VAT Revenues and Base	67
3-3	Percentage Changes in VAT Rates and Revenues for	
	Given Changes in CIT Reduction and Differing	
	Degrees of CIT Shifting	71
3-4	Percentage Changes in VAT Rates and Revenues for	
	Given Changes in CIT Shifting and Differing	
	Degrees of CIT Reduction	75
3-5	Aggregate Price Indices, by Category of Final Demands,	
	for Alternative Degrees of CIT Reduction and Shifting	79
3-6	Own- and Other-Industry CIT Liabilities as Proportions	
	of Final-Output Price	89
3-7	Percentage Reductions in VAT-Exclusive Producer	
	Prices, for Alternative Degrees of CIT Reduction (S)	
	and Shifting (α) , by Two-Digit Aggregated Industry	•
	Classification	90
3-8	Percentage Reduction in VAT-Exclusive Producer Prices,	
	for Alternative Degrees of CIT Reduction (S) and	^ ·
	Shifting (α) , by Industry	94

xiv List of Tables

3-9	Percentage Increase in VAT-Inclusive Consumer Prices, for Alternate Degrees of CIT Reduction (S) and Shifting	
3-10	(α), by Two-Digit Aggregated Industry Classification Percentage Increases in VAT-Inclusive Consumer Prices,	98
	for Alternative Degrees of CIT Reduction (S) and	00
	Shifting (α) , by Industry	99
4-1	Underlying Data for Personal Income Distribution,	100
	1960-1961 and 1968	108
4-2	Incidence of Income Redistribution, Assuming CIT Repeal and Full CIT Shifting	110
4-3	Incidence of Income Redistribution, Assuming CIT	
	Repeal and Zero CIT Shifting	113
4-4	Incidence of Dual VAT Rates, Assuming CIT Repeal	
	and Full CIT Shifting	117
4-5	Incidence of Dual VAT Rates, Assuming CIT Repeal	
	and Zero CIT Shifting	118
5-1	Investment Effects of the Tax Substitution, Cash-Flow	
	Approach; Assuming CIT Repeal and Zero CIT Shifting	127
5-2	Investment Effects of the Tax Substitution, Cash-Flow	
	Approach, Assuming CIT Repeal and Full CIT Shifting	130
6-1	Hypothetical Changes in U.S. Trade Balance, 1969	137
6-2	Export Composition and Exports and Imports Relative	
	to Industry Value Added, Two-Digit Aggregated	
	Industries, 1969	144
6-3	Hypothetical Balance of Trade: Devaluation Versus	
	CIT Repeal with Full Shifting, 1969	152
7-1	Federal and State-Local Budgets Effects, Assuming	
	Full CIT Repeal and Either Zero or Full CIT Shifting	161
7-2	Interindustry Changes in Tax Burdens, Two-Digit	
	Disaggregated Industries	166
7–3	Short-Run Interindustry Effects of Potential Wage	
	Increases, Two-Digit Disaggregated Industries	169
7-4	Regional Divisions of the United States	172
7-5	Change in Regional Tax Liabilities Resulting from	
	VAT-CIT Substitution, Assuming Full CIT Repeal (1969)	173
7-6	Short-Run Regional Investment Expansion Resulting	
	from VAT-CIT Substitution, Assuming Zero CIT	
	Shifting	176
7-7	Short-Run Regional Investment Expansion Resulting	
7-8	from VAT-CIT Substitution, Assuming Full CIT Shifting Regional Distribution of Value Added in Selected	177
-	Industries, 1969	179

List of Tables xv

7-9	Industry Share of Investment and Export Value Added and Imports as Proportion of Domestic Value	
	Added	180
7–10	Regional Labor Cost-CIT Differentials for Manufacturing, Assuming Full CIT Reduction, Zero CIT Shifting, and	
	Full VAT Shifting	183
A-1	GPO-I/O Value Added Reconciliation	192

. · . .

•



Preface

This book is divided into two basic parts: identification of probable first-round price changes resulting from the tax substitution and discussion of the potential implications of these changes for such substantive issues as income distribution, international trade, etc. Chapter 2 gives a detailed analysis of the theoretical issues which must be resolved if changes in tax structure are to be translated into changes in the structure of prices. These include (a) criteria for defining a compensating tax substitution, i.e., for determining tax rates, (b) alternative variants of a valueadded tax, (c) the meaning of tax shifting and the treatment of depreciation, (d) fiscal implications of a tax substitution, and (e) the input-output model as a means of analytical synthesis. On the basis of the model developed in Chapter 2, compensating VAT-CIT substitutions and price changes by component of final demand and by industry are examined in Chapter 3, under alternative stipulations concerning the degree of CIT reduction and shifting.

Chapters 4 through 7 analyze the potential consequences of the probable price changes in various substantive dimensions. In Chapter 4, the initial consequences for the size distribution of income are considered. The effects of the tax substitution for the level and composition of desired investment are examined in Chapter 5. The focus of Chapter 6 is on the broad subject of the potential consequences of the tax substitution for international trade. Finally, Chapter 7 contains a miscellany of additional topics, including intergovernmental fiscal effects, interindustry changes in tax liabilities, implications of potential short-run wage adjustments (across industries), regional consequences, and allocative effects.

At the outset we should briefly summarize the purposes which we do not intend this book to serve. First, our analysis does not project the consequences which would occur if this change in tax structure was used in a prevailing economic environment; that is, the study does not represent a conditionally predictive or projective exercise. Second, the study does not provide comparative static estimates, either quantitative or qualitative, of the long-run equilibrium consequences of this type of change in tax structure; this is explicitly indicated by the reference in the title to "first-round" effects. Clearly, we could have undertaken a more aggregate analysis of the long-run differential or absolute consequences of such a change in tax structure, focusing on implications for, e.g., macroeconomic balance, capital-labor ratios in the corporate and noncorporate sectors, and relative factor shares. However, because such analyses are well represented in the literature, with results that are quite well-known and accepted, we felt that a somewhat different study would be of potentially great value.

In general terms, we had two interrelated objectives in undertaking the writing of this book: first, to identify the mechanisms by which the effects of the tax substitution would be transmitted through the economy, and second, to indicate the relative magnitudes of the various short-term disequilibria which would result, responses to which would ultimately constitute the long-term effects of the change in tax structure. In general, the available aggregate analyses obscure the very uneven short-run impacts of a tax change at more disaggregate levels, even within the "corporate" and "noncorporate" sectors. However, at this more micro level we do not have well-articulated general equilibrium models capable of supporting comparative static analyses of long-run equilibrium states. The focus on the micro dimension thus has implied an analysis of the short-run, or "first-round," effects of the change in tax structure and of their transmission through the economy, especially through interindustry transactions, since these are particularly important in the case of a tax on value added at each stage of production.

Given this initially restricted focus, however, it was important for us to identify the relative magnitudes of the various disequilibria which would emerge in the intermediate run as the result of such a large-scale change in fiscal structure. This has led to our examination, in the second half of the study, of the initial impacts of the tax change (and of resultant first-round changes in prices, profits, and terms of trade) on income distribution, investment demand, import and export demand, and the balance of payments. While we have limited ourselves to a first-round analysis which does not trace the

later-round effects of the indicated initial changes in, e.g., investment, import and export demands, the indicated short-run demand shifts suggest the longer-run general equilibrium adjustments which will be induced. Thus, we have attempted to indicate (1) immediate consequences, behavior in response to which long run adjustment will be brought about, and (2) the differences at the micro (e.g., industry) level in the degree of initial disequilibrium and disruption which can be expected to accompany the change in tax structure.

In light of our objectives, the use of an input-output framework has not been greatly restrictive. In the long run, certainly, as capitallabor ratios, the composition of demand, etc., are altered in direct or indirect response to the change in tax structure, an input-output formulation would effectively dissolve many of the most interesting questions. But, in the short run, the restrictive relationships imposed by the input-output system can in fact be viewed as fixed. Since we have attempted to identify initial impacts on, e.g., investment, on the basis of a theoretical formulation not confined by input-output rigidities, utilization of the input-output framework has not been seriously confining. On the contrary, it has provided the only basis available for meaningfully examining the transmission of initial responses between industries and identifying the concomitant initial impacts on the structure of final demand.

Because we have been interested in the differential, not absolute, effects of this change in tax structure, it has been necessary to compensate for the macroeconomic impacts of the change. Clearly, as has been discussed, in the long run the level and composition of aggregate demand can be anticipated to change, and such changes would require further compensatory action if differential effects were to be identified. This is not to say that we are uninterested in later-round adjustments, as indicated by our concern with shifts in investment and international trade demands. However, we have not attempted, because we are unable, to trace through the ultimate differential consequences of the change in tax structure. Nonetheless, we have emphasized (and indicated the short-run disequilibrium origins of) the various macroeconomic effects which will constitute the most important consequences of this change in tax structure.

We particularly acknowledge the attention and advice given throughout the course of the study by Carl S. Shoup, without whose intellectual contributions and encouragement the inquiry would never have taken place. We are also especially grateful to John R. Meyer for his continuing interest and constructive criticisms. Edward K. Smith, with whose support this program of research in public finance was developed, has been a constant source of advice and

support. The form, structure, and substance of the manuscript have benefited greatly from the incisive criticism provided by a review committee consisting of Shoup, Richard A. Musgrave, Wassily Leontief, and Dan Throop Smith. Our research has also been strengthened by the responses of the Harvard and Stanford public finance seminars to earlier versions. Finally, the study has benefited, in substance and in exposition, from critiques by Emilio G. Collado and by the NBER's Board Reading Committee consisting of Frank Boddy, Roy Moor, and William Vickrey. The intensive examination by Vickrey has been most helpful in emphasizing the restrictions and limitations of the analysis. Of course, the authors alone bear final responsibility for any shortcomings of the study.

This study was greatly assisted by the contribution of services and data by Cybermatics, Inc.; Milton L. Godfrey of Cybermatics prepared the basic input-output data, implemented the tax substitution simulations, provided the appendixes to this volume, and served generally as a source of expert advice and technical information. We also acknowledge grant support of the National Bureau's program of Research on Federal Tax, Expenditure and Transfer Substitutions from the Office of Economic Research of the Economic Development Administration, Department of Commerce [Grant Number OER 396-G-71-17 (99-7-13227)]. This broader program of research has also been assisted financially by the United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs and by the International Division (European and International Affairs) of the Ford Foundation, under whose auspices a parallel study of large-scale changes in government expenditure (disarmament) was undertaken.

We are thankful to Frances Selhorst for her capable research assistance during the basic stages of our effort. Elisabeth Parshley, Sydney Shulman, Wendy Graves, and Nellie Zorc deserve our appreciation for their participation in the preparation of the manuscript. Finally, we must also acknowledge the ability and insight of our editor, Ester Moskowitz. The charts were drawn by the late H. Irving Forman with his customary skill.

During the preparation of this study the authors were affiliated with the NBER, Dresch and Lin as research associates and Stout as visiting fellow.

Substituting a Value-Added Tax for the Corporate Income Tax