This PDF is a selection from an out-of-print volume from the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: Shares of Upper Income Groups in Income and Savings

Volume Author/Editor: Simon Kuznets, assisted by Elizabeth Jenks

Volume Publisher: NBER

Volume ISBN: 0-87014-054-X

Volume URL: http://www.nber.org/books/kuzn53-1

Publication Date: 1953

Chapter Title: Appendix 3

Chapter Author: Simon Kuznets, Elizabeth Jenks

Chapter URL: http://www.nber.org/chapters/c3065

Chapter pages in book: (p. 301 - 307)

Appendix 3

Section A

SAMPLE CALCULATION OF INCOME SHARE OF TOP 1 PERCENT, BASIC VARIANT, TOTAL POPULATION, 1929

Section B

CALCULATION OF HYPOTHETICAL PROPORTION OF FARM POPULATION IN TAX RETURN POPULATION, 1929

Section C

SAMPLE CALCULATION OF INCOME SHARE OF TOP 1 PERCENT, BASIC VARIANT, NONFARM POPULATION, 1929

Section D

SAMPLE CALCULATION OF RATIOS FOR TABLE 78, COLUMNS 2-5, 1929

Section A: Sample Calculation of Income Share of Top 1 Percent, Basic Variant, Total Population, 1929

Log of Col. 11 .12133 .14758 .17569 .20790 .24410 .28735 .35141 .40417 .46073 .49446 50035 (12) For total income receipts see Table 114, column 12. Receipts Col. 10 as % of riduals' ncome **[3.2**23 14.047 14.986 6.140 7.543 9.380 28.889 Indi-22.460 31.648 Total (11) 25.361 31.222 For total population see Table 69, column 5. Table 111, columns 1, 2, and 8 respectively. 23,178,369 5,549,166 8,020,099 25,049,830 Cumulated 0,609,313 2,023,439 2,949,335 4,075,448 20,347,963 25,391,912 by Rank in Col. 6 11,270,061 in Col. 4 Income (000\$)(10) Column 4 divided by column 3. -0.11407-0.03905 0.35965 0.67228 0.79546 0.86753 0.88116 0.041000.13226 0.23477 0.54270 Table 112, last column. Log of Col. 8 6 **Dol.** 7 as % of Total 0.769 0.914 2.289 7.606 .356 .717 3.489 4.702 6.244 Pop. 7.371 8 by Rank in Col. 6 n Col. 3 8,979,719 937,223 ,113,976 5,727,942 7,607,108 9,265,975 ,651,943 4,250,843 lation Cumu-,338,991 2,092,211 ,788,157 Populated 9 Column 1-3 ∞ Rank of Capitas Highest owest from Per હ 9 2,558 Economic Income Capita 3,738 2,959 (,320 ,348 2,118 1,689 1,506 1,576 1,363 1,195 Per છ છ of Tax Return Population Difference between lines 2 and 3 of column 12 = 0.02811660,748 753,378 ,473,718 0,609,313 925,896 ,126,113 2,470,933 830,406 ,327,864 342,082 871,461 Log 1 is zero, falling between lines 2 and 3 of column 9 (000)Total 5 Interpolation at Top I Percent of Total Population Represented by Col. 2 a) Log.1 minus line 2, column 9 = 0.03905Line 3, column 9, minus $\log 1 = 0.04100$ Population ,477,099 937,223 176,753 225,015 312,952 440,268 695,946 462,686 ,879,166 372,611 286,256 Ξ 81,454 12,812 157,784 126,172 No. of Returns 64,393 241,596 374,032 485,822 586,833 903,082 810,347 3 $d \times e = 0.01371$ a + b = 0.080058,000 7,000 6,000 5,000 3,000 2,000 $a \div c = .4878$ 4,000 9,000-10,000 8,000- 9,000 Under 1,000 \$10,000 & over Definition ncome Class, 4,000--Net Тах 6,000-5,000-3,000-2,000--000,1 7,000-۹ ۹ Ģ Ĵ ି ିତ

Antilog of g = 14.497%

Log of percentage of income corresponding to log of top 1 percent

(g)

of total population = line 2 of column 12 + f = 1.16129

302

APPENDIX 3, SECTION B

Section B: Calculation of Hypothetical Proportion of Farm Population in Tax Return Population, 1929

I DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND INCOME OF UPPER PERCENTAGE BANDS OF TOTAL POPULATION BETWEEN FARM AND NONFARM

				NONFARM POPULATION		FARM POPULATION			
				INCL.	n col. 1	INCL.	IN COL. 1		
					Income		Income		
					Share		Share		
	TOTAL P	OPULA	TION		(% of		(% of		
		Income Share (%)			total		total		
	of Given				income	incom			
		Percenta	ige Band		receipts)		receipts)		
			Per	As %	per Per-	As %	per Per-		
	-		Percen-	ot	centile	OI	centile		
	Percentage	- ·	tile of	Total	of Pop.	Total	OI POP.		
	Band	Total	Pop.	Pop.	in Col. 4	Pop.	in Col. 6		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	.(7)		
1	Top 1	14.497	14.497	0.752	17.137	0.248	6.496		
2	2nd & 3rd	6.807	3.404	1.504	4.023	0.496	1.525		
3	4th & 5th	4.783	2.392	1.504	2.827	*	*		
4	6th & 7th	4.389	2.194	1.504	2.594	*	*		
5	Next 0.606	1.172	1.934	0.456	2.286	*	*		
6	Next 1, extrap.	1.774	1.774	0.752	2.097	*	*		
7	Next 1, extrap.	1.574	1.574	0.752	1.861	*	*		

II CUMULATION OF POPULATION AND INCOME OF UPPER PERCENTAGE BANDS, DISTINGUISHING BETWEEN FARM AND NONFARM

	% OF						
	INCOME			TOTAL INCOME			
	RECEIVED			SHARE			
	PER PER-	POP. RECEIV	VING PER	(% of total			
	CENTILE	PERCENTILE	PERCENTILE INCOME				
	OF POP.,	IN CO	L. 1	receipts)			
	COL. 5 & 7,		As %	OF POP.			
	FROM		of	IN COL. 3			
	HIGHEST	Farm or	Total	(col. 1 \times			
	ro lowest	Nonfarm	Pop.	col. 3)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
1	17.137	Nonfarm	0.752	12.887			
2	6.496	Farm	0.248	1.611			
3	4.023	Nonfarm	1.504	6.051			
4	2.827	Nonfarm	1.504	4.252			
5	2.594	Nonfarm	1.504	3.901			
6	2.286	Nonfarm	0.456	1.042			
7	2.097	Nonfarm	0.752	1.577			
8	1.861	Nonfarm	0.752	1.399			
To	tal, lines 1-8	3	7.471	32.719			
Fa	ırm, line 2		0.248	1.611			
Fa	rm as % of t	total	3.319	4.924			

For notes see page 304.

Notes to Section B

* Entry omitted since the income share per percentile for this line is smaller than that in line 2 and would therefore be excluded from the calculations in Part II.

Column

7

Part I

- 1 Percentage of population covered by federal income tax returns (see Table 69, col. 6) extrapolated to permit analysis of the additional percentage bands indicated.
- Lines 1-4: Table 118, column 1.
 Line 5: column 3 of Table 72 minus the sum of lines 1-4.
 Lines 6 & 7: see column 3.
- 3 Lines 1-5: column 2 divided by percentage of population covered in column 1.

Lines 6 & 7: line 5 extrapolated on the basis of the change from line 4 to line 5 per percentile of population.

- 4 Percentage of population covered in column 1 multiplied by 75.195, the percentage nonfarm population constitutes of total population (col. 1 of Table 115 divided by col. 5 of Table 69).
- 5 Column 3 multiplied by 1.1821, the ratio of per capita income of nonfarm population to per capita income of total population (Table 78, col. 1). The basic assumption is that the relative inequality in the distribution of income by size, as measured by column 3, is the same for the farm and the nonfarm population.
- 6 The percentage of the population covered in column 1 minus column 4.
 - Column 3 multiplied by 0.4481, the ratio of the per capita income of farm population to the per capita income of total population (col. 2 of Table 77 divided by col. 5 of Table 74). See note to column 5 regarding the basic assumption.

Part II

Only entries for the top 7.471 percent of the population (see col. 3) are used, this being the coverage most closely approximating that in Part I, column 1, lines 1-5.

1929
Population,
Nonfarm
Variant,
Basic
Percent,
Top 1
Share of
Income
Calculation of
Sample (
ion C:
Secti

·	Log of Col. 8	(6)	1.15030	1.17252	1.19874	1.22686	1.25907	1.29528	1.33852	1.40257	1.45535	1.51190	1.54563	1.55151
Col. 7 as % of	Nonfarm Population	(8)	14.135	14.877	15.803	16.860	18.158	19.737	21.803	25.268	28.533	32.501	35.126	35.605
Ec. Inc. of Tax Return Population Cumulated	Dy kank of Per Capitas (\$000)		10,080,468	10,609,313	11,270,061	12,023,439	12,949,335	14,075,448	15,549,166	18,020,099	20,347,963	23,178,369	25,049,830	25,391,912
	Log of Col. 5	(9)	-0.05306	0.00988	0.08493	0.16495	0:25600	0.35870	0.48330	0.66652	0.79602	0.91929	0.99131	1.00492
Col. 4	as % of Nonfarm Population	(5)	0.885	1.023	1.216	1.462	1.803	2.284	3.043	4.640	6.252	8.304	9.802	10.114
	Cumuatea by Rank of Per Canitas	(4)	810,525	937,223	1,113,976	1,338,991	1,651,943	2,092,211	2,788,157	4,250,843	5,727,942	7,607,108	8,979,719	9,265,975
Return Popu	Lconomic Income (\$000)	(3)	10,080,468	528,845	660,748	753,378	925,896	1,126,113	1,473,718	2,470,933	2,830,406	2,327,864	1,871,461	342,082
Tax	Numher	(2)	810,525	126,698	176,753	225,015	312,952	440,268	695,946	1,462,686	1,879,166	1,477,099	1,372,611	286,256
Net	Class, Tax Definition	(1)	\$11,000 & over	10,000-11,000	9,000-10,000	8,000- 9,000	7,000- 8,000	6,000- 7,000	5,000- 6,000	4,000- 5,000	3,000- 4,000	2,000-3,000	1,000- 2,000	Under 1,000
			1	2	m	4	Ś	9	~	œ	9	10	11	12

Interpolation at Top 1 Percent of Nonfarm Population

Log 1 is zero, falling between lines 1 and 2 of column 6 a) Log 1 minus line 1, column 6 = 0.05306

b) Line 2, column 6, minus log 1 = 0.00988

c) a + b = 0.06294

d) $a \div c = .8430$

1 Por notes see pages 306-7.

e) Difference between lines 1 and 2 of column 9 = 0.02222

f) d $\times e = 0.01873$

g) Log of percentage of income corresponding to log of top 1 percent of nonfarm population \neq line 1 of column 9 + f = 1.16903 h) Antilog of g = 14.758%

Notes to Section C

Column

- 2, 3 Appendix 3, Section A, columns 3 and 4 extended to show the \$10,000-11,000 net income class separately.
- 4, 7 See Appendix 3, Section A, columns 7 and 10. The separate coverage of the \$10,000-11,000 net income class does not alter the rank of the per capitas, those for lines 1 and 2 being \$12,437 and \$4,174 respectively.
 - 5 For nonfarm population see Table 115, column 1.
 - 8 For income of nonfarm population see Table 115, column 2, estimated as the difference between total income receipts of individuals (Table 114, col. 12) and income received by the farm population. The latter is estimated by the following steps:

1913-1938:

1) From net income from agriculture, including government payments (*Farm Income Situation*, June-July 1947, p. 20), we subtract net rent to nonfarmers (*National Income and Its Composition*, 1919-1938, p. 543, Table A1, col. 4, and unpublished extension back to 1913).

2) Net income from agriculture to persons on farms (*Farm Income Situation*, June-July 1947, p. 21) is expressed as a percentage of the total derived in step 1.

3) Farm income of persons on farms is the product of net income from agriculture (*National Product in Wartime*, p. 139, and unpublished extension for 1913, and *National Income and Its Composition*, p. 544) and the percentages derived in step 2.

4) From net income to persons not on farms, including government payments and the nonfarm income of farmers, 1934-46 (*Farm Income Situation*, June-July 1947, p. 21), we subtract undistributed corporate profits after taxes and the corporate inventory valuation adjustment (*Survey of Current Business*, July 1947, Supplement, p. 19, Table 1).

Business, July 1947, Supplement, p. 19, Table 1). 5) Nonfarm income of farmers, 1934-46 (*Farm Income Situation*, June-July 1947, p. 22, Table 2 for 1940-46, and special tabulation from the Bureau of Agricultural Economics for 1934-39) is expressed as a percentage of the total derived in step 4.

6) The percentage change from year to year is computed for the total derived in step 4.

7) The absolute change from year to year is computed for the percentages derived in step 5.

8) On the basis of steps 6 and 7 it is assumed that:

a) When the percentage change in the total derived in step 4 is -20.0 or close to it, the absolute change in the percentage derived in step 5 is +0.20.

b) When the percentage change in the total derived in step 4 is -10.0 or close to it, the absolute change in the percentage derived in step 5 is +0.10.

c) When the percentage change in the total derived in step 4 is zero or +10.0 or close to either, the absolute change in the percentage derived in step 5 is zero.

d) When the percentage change in the total derived in step 4 is +20.0 or close to it, the absolute change in the percentage derived in step 5 is -0.20.

9) From total income receipts of individuals (Table 114, col. 12) we subtract the total derived in step 3.

10) The percentage change from year to year is computed for the total derived in step 9.

11) The percentages derived for 1934-46 in step 5 are extrapolated back to 1919 by applying step 8 to the percentage changes derived in step 10. For the 1913-19 series it is assumed that the figure for 1919 is the same as that estimated for the 1919-38 series. Extrapolation back to 1913 is by the procedure indicated for 1919-33.

12) Nonfarm income of farmers is the product of the total derived in step 9 and the percentage derived in step 11.

Notes to Section C, column 8, concluded:

13) Farm income of farmers (step 3) is added to nonfarm income of farmers (step 12).

1929-1948:

1) From total income receipts of individuals (Table 114, col. 12) we subtract agricultural income received by the farm population (special tabulation from the Department of Commerce, National Income Division).

2) The percentage change from year to year is computed for the total derived in step 1.

3) The percentages derived for 1934-46 in step 5 above are extrapolated back to 1929 by applying step 8 above to the percentage changes derived in step 2. They are calculated for 1947 and 1948 from extensions of the series indicated in steps 4 and 5 above, as given in *Farm Income Situation*, August 1950, p. 27, and *Survey of Current Business*, July 1950, Table 1, p. 9. 4) Nonfarm income of farmers is the product of the total derived in step 1 and the percentage derived in step 3.

5) Farm income of farmers (see step 1) is added to nonfarm income of farmers derived in step 4.

Section D: Sample Calculation of Ratios for Table 78, Columns 2-5, 1929

	•		PER	CENTA	GE BA	ND
		totals (1)	Top 1 (2)	2nd & 3rd (3)	4th & 5th (4)	Top 5 (5)
1 2	Nonfarm population (000) Income of nonfarm popula-	91,612				
_	tion (000,000)	\$71,315				
3	Total population (000)	121,832				
4	Individuals' total income re-					
	ceipts (000,000)	\$80,232				
5	% of population in given per-					
	centage band		1	2	2	5
6	% of total income received by					
	given percentage band of total					
	population per percentile of					6
-	population		14.497	3.404	2.392	5.217
7	Nonfarm population in given					
	percentage band (line I \times		016	1 922	1 0 2 2	4 501
0	Number of the Tax		916	1,852	1,832	4,381
0	Nonfarm population, line / as		0 752	1 504	1 504	2 760
0	% of income of nonform non-		0.752	1.504	1.504	5.700
,	vision received by given					
	nercentage hand of nonfarm					
	population		14.758	6.938	4.354	26 050
0	% of income of nonfarm pop-		14.700	0.250	4.004	20.000
, v	ulation. line 9. as % of total					
	income receipts		13.118	6.167	3.870	23.155
1	% of total income, line 10,					
	per percentile of population,					
	line 8		17.445	4.101	2.573	6.159
2	Ratios (line $11 \div line 6$)		1.203	1.205	1.076	1.180

Line

ć

- 1, 2 Table 115: columns 1 and 2 respectively.
 - 3 Table 69, column 5.
- 4 Table 114, column 12.
- 6 Column 1 of Table 116 divided by line 5.
- 9 Table 116, column 4.
- 10 Line 9 multiplied by ratio of line 2 to line 4.