This PDF is a selection from an out-of-print volume from the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: Income from Independent Professional Practice

Volume Author/Editor: Friedman, Milton and Simon Kuznets

Volume Publisher: NBER

Volume ISBN: 0-87014-044-2

Volume URL: http://www.nber.org/books/frie54-1

Publication Date: 1954

Chapter Title: Tables of content, preface

Chapter Author: Milton Friedman, Simon Kuznets

Chapter URL: http://www.nber.org/chapters/c2323

Chapter pages in book: (p. -38 - 0)

Income from

# Independent Professional

## Practice

by Milton Friedman

and

Simon Kuznets

National Bureau of

**Economic Research** 

NEW YORK • 1954

Copyright, 1945 by

National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc.

261 Madison Ave., New York

All Rights Reserved

Printed in U.S.A.

#### NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH

OFFICERS, 1954 C. C. Balderston, Chairman Harry Scherman, President Gottfried Haberler, Vice President George B. Roberts, Treasurer W. J. Carson, Executive Director

DIRECTORS AT LARGE

Donald R. Belcher, Assistant Director, Bureau of the Budget Wallace J. Campbell, Director, Cooperative League of the USA Solomon Fabricant, New York University Albert J. Hettinger, Jr., Lazard Frères and Company Oswald W. Knauth. Beaufort, South Carolina H. W. Laidler, Executive Director, League for Industrial Democracy Shepard Morgan, Norfolk, Connecticut George B. Roberts, Vice President, The National City Bank of New York Beardsley Ruml, New York City Harry Scherman, Chairman, Book-of-the-Month Club George Soule, Bennington College N. I. Stone, Consulting Economist J. Raymond Walsh, New York City Leo Wolman, Columbia University Theodore O. Yntema, Vice President-Finance, Ford Motor Company DIRECTORS BY UNIVERSITY APPOINTMENT H. M. Groves, Wisconsin E. Wight Bakke, Yale Gottfried Haberler, Harvard C. C. Balderston, Pennsylvania Arthur F. Burns, Columbia Clarence Heer. North Carolina Melvin G. de Chazeau, Cornell R. L. Kozelka, Minnesota G. A. Elliott, Toronto T. W. Schultz, Chicago Frank W. Fetter, Northwestern Jacob Viner, Princeton DIRECTORS APPOINTED BY OTHER ORGANIZATIONS Percival F. Brundage, American Institute of Accountants S. H. Ruttenberg, Congress of Industrial Organizations Murray Shields, American Management Association Boris Shishkin, American Federation of Labor W. Allen Wallis, American Statistical Association Frederick V. Waugh, American Farm Economic Association John H. Williams, American Economic Association Harold F. Williamson, Economic History Association

#### RESEARCH STAFF

Solomon Fabricant, D	irector of Research
Geoffrey H. Moore, Associ	ate Director of Research
Moses Abramovitz	Thor Hultgren
Harold Barger	John W. Kendrick
Morris A. Copeland	Simon Kuznets
David Durand	Clarence D. Long
Milton Friedman	Ruth P. Mack
Raymond W. Goldsmith	Ilse Mintz
Millard Hastay	Raymond J. Saulnier
W. Braddock Hickman	Lawrence H. Seltzer
F. F. Hill	George J. Stigler
Daniel M. Holland	Leo Wolman
Herbert B.	Woolley

### Relation of the Directors to the Work and Publications of the National Bureau of Economic Research

1. The object of the National Bureau of Economic Research is to ascertain and to present to the public important economic facts and their interpretation in a scientific and impartial manner. The Board of Directors is charged with the responsibility of ensuring that the work of the National Bureau is carried on in strict conformity with this object.

2. To this end the Board of Directors shall appoint one or more Directors of Research.

3. The Director or Directors of Research shall submit to the members of the Board, or to its Executive Committee, for their formal adoption, all specific proposals concerning researches to be instituted.

4. No report shall be published until the Director or Directors of Research shall have submitted to the Board a summary drawing attention to the character of the data and their utilization in the report, the nature and treatment of the problems involved, the main conclusions and such other information as in their opinion would serve to determine the suitability of the report for publication in accordance with the principles of the National Bureau.

5. A copy of any manuscript proposed for publication shall also be submitted to each member of the Board. For each manuscript to be so submitted a special committee shall be appointed by the President, or at his designation by the Executive Director, consisting of three Directors selected as nearly as may be one from each general division of the Board. The names of the special manuscript committee shall be stated to each Director when the summary and report described in paragraph (4) are sent to him. It shall be the duty of each member of the committee to read the manuscript. If each member of the special committee signifies his approval within thirty days, the manuscript may be published. If each member of the special committee has not signified his approval within thirty days of the transmittal of the report and manuscript, the Director of Research shall then notify each member of the Board, requesting approval or disapproval of publication, and thirty additional days shall be granted for this purpose. The manuscript shall then not be published unless at least a majority of the entire Board and a two-thirds majority of those members of the Board who shall have voted on the proposal within the time fixed for the receipt of votes on the publication proposed shall have approved.

6. No manuscript may be published, though approved by each member of the special committee, until forty-five days have elapsed from the transmittal of the summary and report. The interval is allowed for the receipt of any memorandum of dissent or reservation, together with a brief statement of his reasons, that any member may wish to express; and such memorandum of dissent or reservation shall be published with the manuscript if he so desires. Publication does not, however, imply that each member of the Board has read the manuscript, or that either members of the Board in general, or of the special committee, have passed upon its validity in every detail.

7. A copy of this resolution shall, unless otherwise determined by the Board, be printed in each copy of every National Bureau book.

(Resolution adopted October 25, 1926 and revised February 6, 1933 and February 24, 1941)

•	•	· .				·•
			•		•	
		•	• •		•	
	•	• •				•
· ·		.:				
a		•				
						•
•			•			
-	· 1	• .			•	
•						
• •	•	•				
•	. ,					
•						
· · ·						
	•	,		•		
•	Friedma	n, Milton. 👘		002001	158	
	Income	from independ	lent professional	practice, by Mil	ton	
	Friedman	n and Simon K	uznets. New Yo	rk. National bur	eau	
. •	of econon	nic research 194	57 1954		· · · ·	
		-	<b>—</b>			
	S p. l., v Nettopal b	-XXXIII, 500 p. inc	l, tables, forms, diag c research, inc. Pul	rs. 23; . ( <i>Holf-t</i>	ille:	· ·
•		•	•	!	5	
			ne structure of the p		line,	•
•	Gentistry, I	law, accounting, ar ations": p. 595–599	d engineering during	, 1929-86.	1	
	A donice		•	• •		,
	1 Fore	Professions]	S. 2. Income-U. 8.	I. Kuznets, Si	mon	
	Smith, 190	1- joint author	. п. Title.			
· .			• • •	10.070	· )	
•		<b>.</b>	· · · ·	46-272	8	
	Library	of Congress	HD4965.U5F	77)		
					1.27	
•			[25]		1.27	
•		· · · · · ·			1.27	·
. · ·	بر استخد در ال	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1.27	
. · •		فعرين من الا			1.27	
. · ·		دی ہے۔ میں بر اور اور اور اور اور اور اور اور اور او			1.27	
. · .		دی. د. ۲۵ ۱۹۹۹ میرید ۱۹۹۹ میرید ۱۹۹۹ میرید			1.27	
 		وی ی ی ی ا بوری بوری بوری بوری بوری بوری			1.27	
		میں ہے۔ موج اور			1.27	
					1.27	
	f 30				1.27	
					1.27	
					1.27	
•		د میں میں <sup>22</sup> (()(() روایہ () روایہ () روای	<b>1251</b>		1.27	
		د میں میں <sup>22</sup> (()(() روایہ () روایہ () روای	<b>1251</b>		1.27	
		د میں میں <sup>22</sup> (()(() روایہ () روایہ () روای	<b>1251</b>		1.27	
•		د میں میں <sup>22</sup> (()(() روایہ () روایہ () روای	<b>1251</b>		1.27	
		د میں میں <sup>22</sup> (()(() • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<b>1251</b>		1.27	
		عبي مريح عب الإليان المريح المريح ا مريح المريح ا المريح المريح الم المريح المريح المريح المريح المريح	<b>125</b> ]		1.27	
		عبي مريح عب الإليان المريح المريح ا مريح المريح ا المريح المريح الم المريح المريح المريح المريح المريح	<b>125</b> ]		1.27	
		عبي مريح عب الإليان المريح المريح ا مريح المريح ا المريح المريح الم المريح المريح المريح المريح المريح	<b>125</b> ]		1.27	
		۲	<b>125</b> ]			
		۲	<b>125</b> ]			
		۲	<b>125</b> ]			
		۲	<b>125</b> ]			
		۲	<b>125</b> ]			
			<b>125</b> 1	83		
			<b>125</b> 1	83		
			<b>125</b> 1			
			<b>125</b> ]	83		,

. ,

### Publications of the

National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc.

Number 45

Income from Independent Professional Practice

. •

## Preface

THIS STUDY OF INCOMES from independent professional practice can be viewed as a detailed description of the income structure of five professions, as an empirical case study of the factors determining the incomes individuals receive for their work, and as an attempt to arrive at conclusions relevant to public policy. From the first point of view, the substantive results for the individual professions are of major interest. These are presented in detail in the separate chapters and are summarized in Chapter 9; they call for no further comment here.

The comments that follow are addressed to readers interested in the broader implications of the study and the general approach adopted. This approach treats professional activity as taking place in an economy best described as a free enterprise system in which the production of goods and distribution of incomes are regulated primarily by the impersonal mechanism of the market. The efficient functioning of such a system depends greatly on the freedom with which resources flow from one use to another in response to changes in economic conditions. The incentive to the flow of resources is provided by changes in the prices paid for them and hence in the incomes individuals receive.

The incomes that individuals receive thus play a dual role: they help to regulate the allocation of resources among different uses and they are the means whereby the social product is distributed. To evaluate their effectiveness in regulating the allocation of resources, it is essential to separate differences in income that are consistent with the free flow of resources from those that are not. If every individual were entirely free to choose his occupation, the "whole of the advantages and disadvantages" of different occupations would continually tend toward equality for persons with similar ability. Persistent differences in pecuniary returns would compensate for differences in training, the attractiveness of the work, the risks involved, and the like. Actual differences in income are a combination of such 'equalizing' differences, temporary differences that arise from imperfect adjustment to changing economic conditions, and differences that reflect persistent hindrances to the free choice of occupation. These hindrances may arise from the requirement of relatively rare abilities, or they may be implicit in the institutional setting, or they may be introduced by society at large or groups within it.

Average earnings of professional workers are substantially higher than average earnings of nonprofessional workers. Part of this difference compensates for the longer period of training needed by professional workers and is therefore an 'equalizing' difference. The analysis presented in Chapter 3 suggests that the entire difference cannot be thus explained; at least in part, it reflects a hindrance to the free choice of occupation. Individuals are not equally free to choose a professional or nonprofessional career. The professions require a relatively high level of ability, and for many persons entry into the professions is hindered by the social and economic stratification of the population. The economic stratification of the population is important because capital invested in professional training, unlike capital invested in factories and machines, can rarely be obtained on the open market; it must be provided by the prospective practitioner himself, his parents, or a benefactor. The many young men who do not have and cannot get the money needed to finance their training are barred from the professions. In consequence, the amount invested is controlled only in part by expected returns.

Public investment in professional training by government and by philanthropists has supplemented private investment. Few if any professional workers pay the entire cost of their training. This public investment in professional training raises two important questions of social policy. First, how much public investment is needed? Second, should the returns from public investment accrue to the individuals in whose training the investment is made? An answer to the first question re-

#### PREFACE

quires not only data on current public investment but also clarification of the meaning of 'proper' amount of public investment. Neither is provided by our analysis which takes account only of private investment. From one point of view, investment in professional training would be adjusted to investment in other fields if average earnings in the professions exceeded average earnings in comparable pursuits by an amount sufficient to replace public plus private investment and to pay the market rate of interest on that investment. But if public investment were so adjusted, the second question would arise, since, under present institutional arrangements, the return on the public investment would accrue to the professional workers. Whether this is socially desirable and if not what policies should be adopted to distribute the costs and gains in a socially advantageous way are problems that may force themselves upon public attention before long.

The hindrances to the free choice of occupation that hamper adjustments between the professions and other occupations do not seriously hamper adjustments within the professions. In the absence of purposeful interference, there appears to be sufficient mobility among the different areas of professional practice to make the differences in pecuniary returns reflect differences in age, costs of training, the nonpecuniary advantages of the type or location of practice, and the like. In other words, these differences tend to be of the 'equalizing' type. True, adjustment is not perfect, and at times may be slow and halting; but adjustment there is. This conclusion is supported by our analysis of income differences among professions, regions, and communities of varying size, and by the evidence we have collected on the influence of type and organization of practice, and number of years in practice.

Purposeful interference is often present. In a large and apparently increasing segment of the field, governmental bodies or professional associations are in a position to hinder adjustments that would otherwise occur. It is not part of our task to judge the desirability of these interferences. Our task is merely to evaluate their effects on the incomes of professional workers. This we have attempted to do in one special case, limitation of entry into medicine. The analysis has both methodological and substantive interest. Presented in detail in Chapter 4, it is a by-product of an attempt to explain the observed difference between average income in medicine and average income in dentistry, a similar profession in which there is little or no difficulty of entry other than that arising from scarcity of ability and cost of training. The available evidence on the conditions of entry in the two professions demonstrates that average income in medicine exceeds average income in dentistry by more than it would if entry into the two professions were equally easy. To determine how much larger the difference is, we resorted to the device of estimating what the difference would be if entry were equally easy. The analysis is necessarily conjectural and our quantitative results are only a rough approximation. But the problem is real, and a rough approximation seems better than none.

It is not easy to evaluate the importance of the factors that give rise to 'equalizing' differences. These factors are numerous and varied, many are vague and subjective, and their quantitative effects are merged. The attempt in Chapter 4 to explain the observed difference between average incomes in medicine and dentistry is an experiment in estimating the separate influence of these factors by interweaving empirical observation, personal judgment, and theoretical analysis. The conclusions reached are obviously restricted to medicine and dentistry, but the methods used are of fairly general applicability.

Analysis of the factors determining the incomes individuals receive contributes to an understanding not only of how incomes regulate the allocation of resources but also of how they distribute the social product, and the conclusions reached may have an important bearing on the desirability of measures designed to change the distribution of income. For example, the desirability of relating federal grants to the per capita income of particular states depends in part on the factors explaining income differences among states. As already noted, the

viii

#### PREFACE

analysis presented in Chapter 5 suggests that community differences in professional incomes are primarily 'equalizing'. The differences are small among communities of the same size but in different geographic regions of the country. Though decidedly larger, the differences among communities of varying size in the same region do not appear attributable to immobility. We do not know whether a similar conclusion is valid for other occupations or the public at large; but its apparent validity for the professions warns against the acceptance of the opposite conclusion for other groups without investigation.

Most of the questions that arise when incomes are viewed as the means whereby the social product is distributed differ from those so far considered. How much do incomes vary from individual to individual? Is the inequality of income greater or smaller in years of prosperity than in years of depression? Are the income differences among individuals fairly stable, or does the relative income status of individuals shift considerably from year to year? What are the factors responsible for the inequality of income among individuals pursuing the same occupation in the same community?

We have attempted to answer these questions for professional workers in Chapters 4.5, 6, and 7. Few of our substantive results are of general interest. Perhaps the most novel part of the analysis is our attempt, particularly in Chapter 7, to investigate changes in the relative income status of individual professional workers. Paucity of data has prevented most previous studies of the distribution of income from tackling this problem, though it is clearly an important one. A wide variability of annual income has a very different meaning if the disparities it reflects are persistent than if they are temporary in the sense that individuals who rank high in the income scale one year may rank low the next. We found a surprising degree of stability in the relative income status of professional workers. The variability of income for a two- or three-year period is not much smaller than the variability of annual income (Chapters 4 and 7). Without further investiga-

ix

tion, this conclusion cannot be assumed valid for other groups. But the methods by which it was reached are applicable to the extensive data that are now becoming available on the incomes of the same individuals in successive years.

A recurrent question about the distribution of income is whether there is a consistent relation between inequality of income and general economic conditions. Other studies have suggested that such a relationship does exist, though the evidence is far from conclusive. The data have never been adequate, nor has the relation between inequality and general economic conditions been clear-cut. We found no consistent relation for the professions. The changes in inequality from year to year, though sizable, are irregular; and we are inclined to attribute them to that convenient catchall—chance variation.

The analysis presented in this book was completed in 1941; and the data utilized cover primarily the years from the late 'twenties through 1936. No attempt has been made since the completion of the analysis to bring it up to date, either by a search for more recent data or by a thorough treatment of them. However, as questions arose concerning the validity of some conclusions in the original draft of the report, we used such of the data for more recent years as were easily available to see whether they confirmed or disproved the conclusions drawn from the data for earlier years.

To the extent that our detailed analysis is confined to data for a few years, our conclusions may be limited by the peculiarities of that segment of historical reality. We have tried to reduce these limitations by making the conclusions independent of year-to-year fluctuations in the data; by supplementing statistical data with qualitative evidence on the institutional background of the professions over a longer period than can be covered by statistical series; and by pressing the analysis to a level at which some of the important factors that account for the observed income similarities and differences can be approximated. We present the detailed evidence in order to permit readers to judge the extent to which our at-

х

#### PREFACE

tempt to overcome the limitations of a brief historical period is successful.

One aim of our analysis is to reduce a segment of changing reality to factors whose persistence over time can be more easily appraised. Yet judgments may naturally differ as to the likelihood that the observed similarities and differences in income among the professions and between professions and other pursuits, as well as the factors that account for these similarities and differences, will persist. Such judgments must rest upon one's view of the persistence or transitoriness of a variety of institutional peculiarities of our economic society; and they will naturally differ so long as our knowledge of the factors that make for social development is incomplete. We have tried to add to such knowledge and thus narrow the area within which judgments can honestly diverge. The absolute and relative quantitative differences shown by our data will naturally change, and have already changed, as life went on and people were born, worked, fought, and died. In that sense our report is a still-life picture of a segment of the past. But some of the factors suggested and their consequences are likely to persist. And it is particularly hoped that the methods used in the analysis have validity beyond the limits of the historical data used; and will stand the test of further use for some time to come.

The present investigation began in 1933, as a by-product of the study of national income of the United States for 1929-32 conducted by the U.S. Department of Commerce in collaboration with the National Bureau. In connection with this study, which was under the general direction of Simon Kuznets, a special questionnaire survey of the more important professional groups was undertaken to remedy the lack of reliable data on income originating from independent professional practice. Usable data for 1929-32 were obtained for four groups: physicians, dentists, certified public accountants, and consulting engineers. The obvious significance of these data for purposes other than that for which they were collected led Kuznets to undertake a detailed analysis of them. Early in 1936, he completed a tentative manuscript summarizing the

xi

findings for the four professions and four years for which data were then available. This first draft was incomplete and needed much further work, but the pressure of other tasks made it impossible for him to devote the time needed.

Milton Friedman took up the work in 1937, and from then on both the statistical analysis and the preparation of the manuscript were in his charge, though plans for further work were developed jointly by the two authors, and Kuznets critically reviewed the manuscript and participated in its revision. Friedman expanded the study to include additional samples collected by the Department of Commerce, providing data for years after 1932 for lawyers, as well as for three of the four professions previously covered. This expansion involved testing the samples for bias, devising methods for correcting biases (see Appendix A), summarizing the statistical evidence, and integrating it with earlier results. The wider range of years and professions permitted new inferences to be drawn, and provided a check on the inferences previously drawn by Kuznets. Friedman also added new material. The result of Friedman's work was a completely rewritten version of the earlier manuscript. Chapter 3, Section 2 of Chapter 4, Chapter 7, Appendix A, the appendices to Chapters 4, 5, and 7, and most of Chapter 2 are entirely new, and the remainder has been altered very substantially in both form and content.

In a preface written by two individuals jointly, it is naturally difficult for either properly to indicate his feeling of indebtedness to the other. Perhaps it will suffice to say that each of us feels that whatever defects the present work may have would have been multiplied many-fold but for his collaborator.

No study can pass through the hands of the research staff and directors of the National Bureau without being improved in the process. Thanks are due the staff members and the directors for the elimination of many deficiencies of the original manuscript, and apologies for those that still remain.

Careful scrutiny of the manuscript by Wesley C. Mitchell removed errors, changed the emphasis at several important

xii

#### PREFACE

points, and made many a page more readable. In addition, his steady and unflagging support greatly eased our task. The organization of the book was improved as a result of extensive discussions with Arthur F. Burns, who read several drafts of the entire manuscript and made many valuable suggestions. Chapters 3 and 4, parts of which were published in *Bulletin* 72-73, owe much to the stimulating criticism of Frederick C. Mills. W. Allen Wallis and Moses Abramovitz read the entire manuscript in draft form and made many helpful suggestions, the former, particularly on Chapters 5 and 7, the latter, particularly on Chapters 3 and 4.

The manuscript was commented upon by several directors of the Bureau. We are indebted to each of them, especially C. Reinold Noyes, William L. Crum, Theodore O. Yntema, and Winfield W. Riefler, for their criticisms and constructive suggestions.

Edna E. Deutsch assisted Friedman from the time he took over the direction of the study in 1937. She organized and carried out most of the computations, prepared Appendix B, assisted in the gathering of source material, compiled the indexes, and checked the proof. In addition, she carried most of the load of seeing the manuscript through its several versions. We are deeply indebted to her for faithful and exact work. Thanks are also due Lucille Kean, Arthur Stein, and Richard Machol, who assisted in the early stages of the work. We are grateful to Martha Anderson for editing the manuscript with her usual care, and to H. Irving Forman for the excellence of the charts.

We are indebted to the Department of Commerce for making the original questionnaire returns available to us, for permission to use them, and for generous cooperation in other ways. Officials of various professional societies and firms publishing professional directories have always provided needed information. Specific acknowledgment for detailed information is made at the appropriate points in the text.

> Milton Friedman Simon Kuznets

xiii

--,

## Contents

Prefa	ace	v
СНА	PTER 1 The Five Professions Studied	3
1	Medicine	8
2	Dentistry	21
3	Law	30
4	Certified Public Accountancy	39
5	Consulting Engineering	43
СНА	PTER 2 The Data on Income from Independent Pro-	
	fessional Practice	46
1	The Original Data	47
2	Correction for Bias	50
	a Biases affecting all samples	51
	b Biases affecting specific samples	53
	Medicine	53
	Dentistry	54
	Law	55
	Certified public accountancy	56
	Consulting engineering	57
3	Tests of the Reliability of the Corrected Data	57
СНА	PTER 3 Incomes in the Professions and in Other	
	Pursuits	62
1	The Plan of the Study	62
2	Statistical Evidence on Differences in Income	67
	a Level of income	67
	b Variability of annual income	71
3	Factors Making for Differences of Income	81.

xvi	CONTE	NTS
CHA1	PTER 4 Incomes in the Five Professions	95
1	Statistical Evidence on Differences in Income	99
	a Level of income	<b>99</b>
	b Variability of annual income	105
	c Variability of income for a longer period	115
2	Factors Making for Differences in Level of Income,	
	with Special Reference to Physicians and Dentists	118
	a Effect of differences in length of training	125
	b Effect of variability of income	127
	c Nonpecuniary factors affecting the choice of a	
	profession	1 30
	d Influence of demand	132
	e Barriers to rapid adjustment	134
	f Difficulty of entry	135
3	Factors Making for Differences in the Variability of	
	Income	137
A	andia to Chapton (	
	endix to Chapter 4 How the Effect of Difference in Learnth of Training in	142
1	How the Effect of Difference in Length of Training is	
	Estimated	142
	a Physicians and dentists b Professional and nonprofessional workers	142
•	b Professional and nonprofessional workers The Statistical Validity of the Estimated Difference	148
2	between Average Incomes in Medicine and Dentistry	
		151
	a Sampling fluctuations b The correction applied to dental incomes	152
	c Differences in age distribution and geographic	153
	location	153
	d Combined influence of the possible deficiencies	-55 153
3	Demand and Supply Curves for Professional Services	-55 155
3	a Theoretical	-55 155
	i The supply curve	-55 155
	ii The demand curve	157
	iii The 'equilibrium' difference	159
	b Statistical	161
		101
CHA	PTER 5 Income and the Location of Practice	173
1	Level of income	181
	a Size of community differences	181
	b Regional differences	189

CONTENTS	xvii
2 Variability of Income	199
3 Summary	219
Appendix to Chapter 5 1 Tests of the Existence of Regional and Size of Com-	220
munity Differences in Average Income 2 Regional Differences in the Average Income of Physi-	220
cians and Dentists	229
CHAPTER 6 Other Determinants of Professional Income	235
1 Training and Ability	235
2 Number of Years in Practice	237
3 Type and Organization of Practice	260
a Type of practice	262
b Organization of independent practice	279
c Salaried and independent practice	295
CHAPTER 7 The Stability of Relative Income Status 1 The Incomes of the Same Individuals in Different	300
Years	301
2 An Interpretation of the Linear Regressions	325
Appendix to Chapter 7	<b>338</b>
1 Statistical Tests of the Linearity of Regression between	
Income in Two Years	<b>338</b>
a Effect of unequal variances	341
b Effect of nonnormality	346
c An alternative test of linearity	349
2 The Analysis of Changes in Relative Income Status for	
Periods Longer than Two Years	352
a The mean assumption	355
i The procedure	355
ii Illustrative computations of percentage contri-	
butions	359
b The variability assumption	363
i The procedure	363
ii Illustrative computations of percentage contri-	
butions	364
CHAPTER 8 Temporal Changes in Income	365
1 Changes in Average Income	865
2 Changes in Variability of Income	369

xviii	CONTE	N T S
3	Changes in Income by Size of Community	373
4	Changes in Income by Geographic Region	379
5	Changes in Income by Type and Organization of	
	Practice	<u></u> 884
СНА	PTER 9 Summary	390
1	Professional Workers and Others-Numbers and Earn-	
	ings (Ch. 3)	390
2	Earnings from Independent Practice and from Salaried	
	Employment (Ch. 6)	<b>39</b> 2
3	Average Level of Income in the Five Professions	
	(Ch. 4)	<b>39</b> 3
4	Variability of Income in the Five Professions (Ch. 4)	395
5	Stability of Relative Income Status (Ch. 4 and 7)	396
6	Factors Determining Size of Earnings within the Pro-	
	fessions	397
	a Location of practice (Ch. 5)	398
	b Specialization (Ch. 6)	400
	c Organization of practice (Ch. 6)	401
	d Years in practice (Ch. 6)	402
7	Changes in Income from 1929 to 1936 (Ch. 8)	403
8	Income from Independent Professional Practice and	
	Total Income	404
DIRE	CTOR'S COMMENT	405

APPENDIX A The Reliability of the Department of Com-	
merce Samples	411
1 The Sampling Method	411
a The lists employed	412
i The incompleteness of the lists for the earlier	
years	412
ii The inclusion of persons not in independent	
practice	414
iii The medical, legal, and accountancy lists	415
iv The dental list	415
v The engineering list	419

CONTENTS	xix
b The selection of the persons to whom question-	
naires were sent	419
i The nonrandomness of the 1937 medical and	
legal samples by states	419
ii The size of community bias in the medical and	
legal samples	421
iii The overrepresentation of certain types of	
physicians and lawyers	429
iv The firm member bias in the legal and ac-	
countancy samples	430
c The return of questionnaires by the respondents	438
d The editing of the questionnaires	446
2 Tests of the Reliability of the Data	448
a Comparison with geographic distribution of all	
practitioners	448
b Comparison of different samples for the same pro-	
fession	455
c Comparison of our samples with other studies	461
i Incomes of physicians in 1929	461
ii Incomes of dentists in 1929	467
iii Incomes of physicians and dentists in Cali-	
fornia	47²
iv Other studies of the incomes of physicians	477
v Incomes of dentists in Minnesota	481
vi Incomes of lawyers	483
vii Incomes of consulting engineers	486
APPENDIX B Supplementary Material	489
Explanatory Note	489
,,, _,, _	1-5
APPENDIX C Samples of Questionnaires Sent Out by De-	
partment of Commerce	.571
1	57
Tabular Index	581
	0.
Author Index	585
Subject Index	587
	J-1
Publications	595

•

## List of Tables

.

1	Number of Persons in Five Professions and Number	
	and Percentage in Independent Practice	5
2	Admissions to Approved Medical Schools in the	-
	United States, 1926–1929 and 1932–1941	14
3	Admissions to the Bar, 1927–1940	38
4	Methods of Selecting Samples and Coverage of Sam-	•
-	ples	48
5	Arithmetic Mean Income in Years Covered by More	_
Ũ	than One Sample for the Same Profession	52
6	Summary of Comparisons between the Department	•
	of Commerce and Other Samples	
	Physicians, Dentists, Lawyers, and Consulting En-	
	gineers	60
7	Average Earnings	
•	Certified Public Accountants, Physicians, Dentists,	
	and All Gainfully Occupied Persons	68
8	Average Income of Nonrelief Families in Seven Oc-	
	cupational Groups	
	National Resources Committee Estimates, 1935-1936	69
9	Comparison between Discounted and Cost Values of	-
-	Special Training	
	J. R. Walsh's Estimates	85
10	Arithmetic Mean and Median Incomes, and Number	
	of Persons Covered	101
11	Final Estimates of Arithmetic Mean Income	
	Physicians and Certified Public Accountants, 1929-	
	1936; Dentists, 1929–1934	104
12	Median and Quartile Incomes	106
1 3	Measures of Variability of Income	108

xxii	LIST OF TAB	LES
14	Rough Estimates of Coefficient of Variation for	
-	One-, Two-, and Three-year Income Periods	117
15	Alternative Estimates of the Percentage Difference	
	between the Arithmetic Mean Incomes of Physicians	
	and Dentists	154
16	Arithmetic Mean Income, Relatives of Arithmetic	
	Mean Income, and Number of Persons Covered, by	
	Size of Community	180
17	Arithmetic Mean Income and Relatives of Arithmetic	
	Mean Income, by Size of Community	
	Professions and All Nonrelief Families	184
18	Arithmetic Mean Income, Relatives of Arithmetic	
	Mean Income, and Number of Persons Covered, by	
	Region	
	Professions and All Persons	190
19	Difference between Highest and Lowest Size of Com-	
	munity and Regional Relatives of Arithmetic Mean	
	Income	193
20	Rank Difference Correlation Coefficients between Per	
	Capita Income and Average Professional Income, and	
	between Average Incomes in Different Professions,	
	1934 and 1936	
•••	48 States and the District of Columbia	195
21	Coefficient of Variation and Relative Interquartile Difference, and Relatives of Coefficient of Variation	
	and Relative Interquartile Difference, by Size of Com-	
	munity	
	Professions and All Nonrelief Families	204
22	Coefficient of Variation and Relative Interquartile	204
	Difference, and Relatives of Coefficient of Variation	
	and Relative Interquartile Difference, by Region	
	Professions and All Nonrelief Families	206
23	Ranking of Regions by Coefficient of Variation and	
-J	by Relative Interquartile Difference	216
24	Difference between Highest and Lowest Size of Com-	
-	munity and Regional Relatives of Coefficient of Vari-	
	ation and Relative Interquartile Difference	217
25	Arithmetic Mean Income and Number of Persons	•
•	Covered, by Region and Size of Community	
	Physicians, 1934: 1935 Sample	221

LIST	OF TABLES	xxiii
26	Ranking of Regions by Arithmetic Mean Income, by Size of Community	
	Physicians, 1934: 1935 Sample	222
27	Ranking of Size of Community Classes by Arithmetic	
•	Mean Income, by Region	
	Physicians, 1934: 1935 Sample	223
28	Tests of the Significance of Size of Community and	÷
	Regional Differences in Arithmetic Mean Income	226
29	Arithmetic Mean Income Standardized with Respect	
-	to Size of Community, and Relatives of Actual and	
	Standardized Arithmetic Mean Income, by Region	
	Physicians and Dentists	230
30	Median Income, by Prelegal Training and by Type	
	of Law School Attended	-
	New York County Lawyers, 1933 and 1928-1932	236
31	1932 Professional Income, by Grade in Law School	
	Graduates of University of Wisconsin Law School	237
32	Average Income, by Years in Practice	
	Physicians, Dentists, and Lawyers: Selected Studies	240
33	Percentage Distribution by Years in Practice; Arith-	
	metic Mean Income and Number of Persons Covered,	
	by Years in Practice and Type of Practice	
	Physicians, 1936	244
34	Arithmetic Mean Income and Number of Persons Cov	V-
	ered, by Years in Practice and Size of Community	
	a All Physicians, 1936	252
	<ul> <li>b General Practitioners, 1936</li> <li>c Partial Specialists, 1936</li> </ul>	252
	d Complete Specialists, 1930	253
	Age Distribution, by Size of Community	254
35	All Physicians, General Practitioners and Partial Spe	_
	cialists, and Complete Specialists, 1931: 16 States and	
	the District of Columbia	
36	Arithmetic Mean Income and Percentage Distribu-	<b>2</b> 57
30	tion, by Type of Practice	-
	Physicians and Dentists: Selected Studies	265
37	Arithmetic Mean Income, by Type of Practice	
57	Physicians, 1929–1936	266
38		
0,	Physicians, 1934–1936	267

,

xxiv	LIST OF TAB	LES
39	Measures of Variability of Income, by Type of Prac-	
40	tice Physicians, 1934–1936 Median and Quartile Incomes, and Measures of Vari- ability, by Type of Practice	268
41	Dentists in 20 States, 1929: Committee on Costs of Medical Care Study Distribution by Type of Practice, by Size of Com- munity and by Region	269
42	Physicians, 1936 Distribution by Type of Practice, by Size of Com- munity	270
49	Physicians Listed in 1931 Directory of American Medi- cal Association Arithmetic Mean Income, Relatives of Arithmetic	270
43	Mean Income, and Number of Persons Covered, by Size of Community and by Region All Physicians, General Practitioners, Partial Special- ists, and Complete Specialists	272
44	Arithmetic Mean Income Standardized with Respect to Size of Community, by Type of Practice Physicians, 1929–1936	<sup>2</sup> 75
45	Distribution by Type of Practice, by Years in Practice Physicians, 1936	
<b>4</b> 6	Percentage of Persons in Independent Practice Who are Members of Firms and Number of Persons Covered Certified Public Accountants, Lawyers, and Consult-	277
47	ing Engineers Arithmetic Mean Income, by Organization of Practice Certified Public Accountants, Lawyers, and Consult-	280
48	ing Engineers Median and Quartile Incomes, by Organization of Practice	283
49	Certified Public Accountants, Lawyers, and Consult- ing Engineers Measures of Variability of Income, by Organization	284
15	of Practice Certified Public Accountants, Lawyers, and Consult- ing Engineers	286

ł

LIST	OF TABLES	xxv
50	Percentage of Persons in Independent Practice Who are Members of Firms, by Size of Community and by	
	Region	
	Certified Public Accountants, Lawyers, and Consult-	-
	ing Engineers	289
51	Difference between Arithmetic Mean Incomes of Firm Members and of Individual Practitioners	
	Certified Public Accountants, Lawyers, and Consult-	
	ing Engineers	292
52	Difference between Arithmetic Mean Incomes of Firm	
	Members and of Individual Practitioners, Based on	
	Averages Standardized with Respect to Size of Com- munity	
	Certified Public Accountants, Lawyers, and Consult-	
	ing Engineers	293
53	Distribution by Organization of Practice and Year of	
	Admission to Bar	
	New York County Lawyers, 1933	294
54	Arithmetic Mean and Median Incomes of Salaried	
	Employees and Independent Practitioners	
	Physicians and Lawyers: Selected Studies	299
55	Relation between 1932 and 1934 Incomes	
	Dentists, 1935 Sample	302
56	Correlation Coefficients between Incomes in Different	
	Years, and Number of Returns Correlated	305
57	Computation of Transitory Component in Deviation	•
	of Average 1932 Income for 1932 Income Classes from	
	Average Income for Profession	
	Dentists, 1935 Sample	331
58	Percentage Contribution of Transitory Component	
	to Deviation of Average Income for Each Income	
	Class from Average Income for Profession	3 <b>3</b> 7
59	Tests of Linearity of Regression between Incomes in	
	Different Years	
	Physicians, Dentists, Lawyers, and Certified Public Ac-	
	countants	340
60	Constants of Original and Weighted Regression Equa-	
	tions	344

xxvi	LIST OF TAB	L E S
61	Test of Consistency of Deviation of Points from Re- gressions	
	Physicians	350
62	Percentage of Total Variance Attributable to Perma-	
	nent, Quasi-permanent, and Transitory Components	
	Computed under Mean Assumption	360
63	Percentage of Total Variance Attributable to Perma-	
	nent, Quasi-permanent, and Transitory Components	
	Computed under Variability Assumption	364
64	Indices of Arithmetic Mean Income	
	Professions and All Gainfully Occupied Persons	366
65	Change in Arithmetic Mean Income, by Size of Com-	
	munity	
	Average for Period Covered $=$ 100	375
<b>6</b> 6	Change in Arithmetic Mean Income, by Region	
	Average for Period Covered $=$ 100	381
67	Indices of Arithmetic Mean Income, by Type of Prac-	
	tice	
	Physicians, 1929-1936	384
68	of Practice	
	Certified Public Accountants, Lawyers, and Consult-	
	ing Engineers	3 <sup>8</sup> 7
A 1	0 1	
	tory of the American Medical Association, by Size of	
	Community	422
A 2	Effect of Size of Community Bias on Arithmetic Mean	
	Income	
	Physicians, 1932: 1933 and 1935 Samples	424
Аg		
	dale-Hubbell Law Directory, by Size of Community	4 <sup>2</sup> 5
A 4	Test of the Existence of Firm Member Bias	
•	Lawyers, 1935 and 1937 Samples	434
A 5	Test of the Existence of Firm Member Bias	
	Certified Public Accountants	437
A 6	Number of Questionnaires Returned	
	Number Usable, and Number not Usable, by Reasons	447
Α 7		
	Geographic Units and Estimated Distributions of All	
	Practitioners	

•

LIST	OF TABLES	<b>xvii</b>
	Physicians, Dentists, Lawyers, and Certified Public	
	Accountants	449
<b>A</b> 8	Rank Difference Correlation Coefficients between	
	Sample Average Income and Ratio of Sample to Uni-	
	verse	
	Physicians, Dentists, Lawyers, and Certified Public Ac-	
	countants	453
A 9	Comparisons between Distributions of Successive	
	Samples for Overlapping Years	-
<b>A</b>	Physicians, Dentists, and Certified Public Accountants	456
A 10	Rank Difference Correlation Coefficients between the	
	Ratio of the Number of Persons in One Sample to the	
	Number in Another Sample for the Same Profession and the Differences in Average.Income, by States	
	Physicians, Dentists, and Certified Public Accountants	458
A 11	Arithmetic Mean and Median Gross and Net Incomes	450
	Physicians: Department of Commerce, Committee on	
	Costs of Medical Care, and American Medical Asso-	
	ciation Samples	463
A 12	Arithmetic Mean Gross and Net Incomes	
	Dentists in 20 States: Department of Commerce and	
	Committee on Costs of Medical Care Samples, 1929	469
A 13	Arithmetic Mean Gross and Net Incomes, and Num-	
	ber of Persons Covered	
	California Physicians: Department of Commerce Sam-	
	ples and California Medical-Economic Survey, 1929-	
	1934	474
A 14	Arithmetic Mean Gross and Net Incomes, and Num-	
	ber of Persons Covered	
	California Dentists: Department of Commerce Sam- ples and California Medical-Economic Survey, 1929-	
	1984	476
A 15	Arithmetic Mean Gross and Net Incomes, and Num-	470
	ber of Persons Covered	
	Wisconsin Physicians: Department of Commerce Sam-	
	ples and Study of Wisconsin State Medical Society,	
	1930	479
A 16	Arithmetic Mean Gross and Net Incomes, and Num-	
	ber of Persons Covered	
	Michigan Physicians: Department of Commerce Sam-	

xxvii	LIST OF TAX	BLES
A 17	ples and Study of Michigan State Medical Society, 1929 and 1931 Arithmetic Mean Gross and Net Incomes, and Num-	480
,	ber of Persons Covered Utah Physicians: Department of Commerce Samples and Utah State Medical Association Study, 1929–1931 and 1933	482
A 18	Arithmetic Mean, Median, and Quartile Gross and Net Incomes, and Number of Persons Covered Minnesota Dentists: Department of Commerce 1935 Sample and Study of Minnesota University Relations	
A 19	Committee, 1933-1934 Arithmetic Mean Net Income, and Number of Per- sons Covered	483
A 20	Wisconsin Lawyers: Department of Commerce Sam- ples and Garrison's Sample, 1932 Arithmetic Mean and Median Net Incomes, and Number of Persons Covered	484
A 21	New York Lawyers: Department of Commerce Sam- ples and New York County Sample, 1933 Quartile and Median Net Incomes, and Number of	4 <sup>8</sup> 5
<b>B</b> 1	Persons Covered Consulting Engineers: Department of Commerce and Bureau of Labor Statistics Samples, 1929 and 1932 Distribution of Income of Physicians by Size and Measures Computed from the Distributions	487
	a By Region, 1929-1936	491
	b By Size of Community, 1929-1934	501
	c By Type of Practice, 1934–1936 Arithmetic Mean Income of Physicians and Number of Physicians Covered, 1929–1936; 1937 Sample	508
Вз	By Region and by Size of Community Final Estimates of Arithmetic Mean Income of Phy-	509
B 4	sicians, 1929–1936 By Region and by Size of Community Distribution of Income of Dentists by Size and Meas- ures Computed from the Distributions	510
	a By Region, 1929–1934 b By Size of Community, 1929–1934	511 518

LIST	OF TABLES	xxix
· <b>B</b> 5	Final Estimates of Arithmetic Mean Income of Den-	
	tists, 1929–1934	
	By Region and by Size of Community	5 <b>2</b> 5
<b>B</b> 6	Distribution of Income of Lawyers by Size and Meas-	
	ures Computed from the Distributions	
	a By Region, 1932–1934	526
	b By Size of Community, 1932–1934	529
	c By Organization of Practice, 1932–1934	532
B 7	Arithmetic Mean Income of Lawyers and Number of	
	Lawyers Covered, 1932–1936; 1937 Sample	
	By Region and by Size of Community	533
<b>B</b> 8	Final Estimates of Arithmetic Mean Income of Law-	
	yers, 1932–1936	
_	By Region and by Size of Community	534
В 9	Distribution of Income of Certified Public Account-	
	ants by Size and Measures Computed from the Dis-	
	tributions, 1929–1936	
	a By Region	535
	b By Size of Community	545
Π	c By Organization of Practice	555
<b>B</b> 10	Final Estimates of Arithmetic Mean Income of Certi-	
	fied Public Accountants, 1929–1936	
B 11	By Region and by Size of Community Distribution of Income of Consulting Engineers by	557
וום	Size and Measures Computed from the Distributions,	
	-	
	1929–1932 a By Region	558
	b By Size of Community	550 562
	c By Organization of Practice	566
B 12	Average Coefficient of Variation and Relative Inter-	
	quartile Difference	
	a By Region	567
	b By Size of Community	568
	,,	5-5

.

.

·

.

## List of Charts

1	Frequency Histogram of Net Incomes of Dentists, 1934	63
2	National Resources Committee Distributions of In-	
	come, 1935–1936	
	Nonrelief Families in Five Occupational Groups	72
3	National Resources Committee Distributions of In-	
	come, 1935–1936	
	Nonrelief Business and Professional Families	73
4	National Resources Committee Distributions of In-	
	come, 1935–1936	
	All Nonrelief Families, All Families, and All Families	<b>.</b> .
	and Single Individuals	74
5	National Resources Committee Distribution of In-	
	come for Nonrelief Independent Professional Fam-	
	ilies, 1935-1936, and Distribution of Income from	
	Independent Practice for Four Professions Combined,	
6	1933 Distribution of Income for All Individuals in the	77
U	United States, 1918, and Distribution of Income from	
	Independent Practice for Four Professions Combined,	
	1933	70
7	Arithmetic Mean and Median Income	79 100
8	Absolute Variability of Income Measured by Inter-	100
Ū	quartile Difference	110
9	Two Measures of Relative Variability of Income	113
10	Distribution of Income, 1929 and 1933	114
11	Relation among Arithmetic Mean Income of Dentists	
	in 1934, Dentists per 10,000 Population in 1936, and	
	per Capita Income in 1934	
	Based on Data for 48 States and the District of Co-	
	lumbia	165
	•	-

xxxi

xxxii	LIST OF CHA	RTS
12	Relation among Arithmetic Mean Income of Dentists in 1936, Dentists per 10,000 Population in 1936, and per Capita Income in 1936	
	Based on Data for 48 States and the District of Co- lumbia	166
13	Relation between the Ratio of Income of Physicians to Income of Dentists and the Ratio of Number of Physicians to Number of Dentists	
	Based on Data for 48 States and the District of Co- lumbia, 1934 and 1936	170
14	Relatives of Arithmetic Mean Income by Size of Com- munity	
	Professions and All Nonrelief Families	185
. 15	Relatives of Arithmetic Mean Income by Region Professions and All Persons	192
16	Relation between Standard Deviation and Arithmetic Mean; and between Interquartile Difference and Me-	U
	dian, by Size of Community	200
17	Relation between Standard Deviation and Arithmetic Mean; and between Interquartile Difference and Me-	
18	dian, by Region Relatives of Coefficient of Variation and Relative In- terquartile Difference by Size of Community	201
19	Professions and All Nonrelief Families Relatives of Coefficient of Variation and Relative In-	208
	terquartile Difference by Region Professions and All Nonrelief Families	210
20	Arithmetic Mean Income of Physicians and Dentists	
	by Years in Practice	239
21 22	Average Income of Lawyers by Years in Practice Arithmetic Mean Income of Physicians by Type of	242
	Practice and Years in Practice, 1936	245
23	Arithmetic Mean Income of Physicians by Type of Practice, Size of Community, and Years in Practice	<b>2</b> 48
24	Distribution of Physicians by Type of Practice, by	-
25	Years in Practice Relation between Incomes of Same Individuals in	279
-	Different Years Belation between Absolute Veriability and Size of In-	310
26	Relation between Absolute Variability and Size of In- come in Base Year	320

.

.

:	LIST	OF CHARTS	xxxiii
	27	Relation between Relative Variability and Size of In	-
		come in Base Year	323
	28	Illustration of Transitory Component	333
	29	Illustration of Different Types of Regression	334
	30	Indices of Arithmetic Mean Income for Five Profes	-
		sions and Indices of Employee Compensation plu	
		Entrepreneurial Withdrawals per Gainfully Occupied	-
		Worker	3 <sup>6</sup> 7
	31	Two Measures of Absolute Variability of Income	370
	32	Two Measures of Relative Variability of Income	371
	33	Arithmetic Mean Income by Size of Community	374
	34	Relatives of Arithmetic Mean Income by Size of Com	I
		munity	377
		Arithmetic Mean Income by Region	380
	36	Relation between Relatives of Regional Changes from	
		One Year to the Next in Professional Income and in	ı
		per Capita Income	
		Regional Change for U. S. $=$ 100	383
	37	Indices of Arithmetic Mean Income by Type of Pra	IC-
		tice	
		Physicians, 1937 Sample	385
	38	Indices of Arithmetic Mean Income by Organization	n
		of Practice	
		Certified Public Accountants, Consulting Engineers	
		and Lawyers	388
	A 1	Difference between Arithmetic Mean Incomes from	n
		Successive Samples	459
	A 2	Distribution of Gross Income by Size	
		Physicians, 1929: Committee on Costs of Medical Car	
		and Department of Commerce Samples	464
	Ag	Difference between Arithmetic Mean Gross Income	
		from Committee on Costs of Medical Care and De	<u>-</u>
		partment of Commerce Samples	
		Physicians, 1929	465
	A 4	•	_
		Dentists in 20 States, 1929: Committee on Costs o	
		Medical Care and Department of Commerce Sample	s 471

•