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## APPENDIX $A$

## Supplementary Measures of the Relative Contributions of the Three Determinants

This appendix presents various measures of the relative contributions of the determinants to specific cycles in the rate of change in the money stock in order to check and amplify the results in Chapter 2.

Table A-1 gives weighted averages of the relative contributions to five specific-cycle stages, where the weight for each stage is the number of cycles covered. This treats the relative contributions in each stage with equal emphasis, no matter how large or small the value of the money series in each stage. Table 4, in effect, weights the relative contributions in each stage by the amplitude of the money series. ${ }^{1}$ It should be noted that the measure of Table A-1 for any group of cycles cannot be expressed as a weighted average of the relatives for the subgroups, as is true for Table 4 (under very general conditions). This explains why the relative contribution of the currency ratio can be lower in all nonwar cycles than in any of the subgroups, and also why the relative contributions of high-powered money and the reserve ratio can be larger in the nonwar cycles than in either the mild- or the severe-cycle group. At first sight, the figures seem to be inconsistent.
${ }^{1}$ The measure of Table A-1 for high-powered money can be written:

$$
\Sigma\left(\frac{\bar{h}_{s}}{\bar{m}_{s}} \frac{N_{s}}{\sum_{s} N_{s}}\right),
$$

where $h$ and $m$ are defined as in Chapter 2, $N$ is the number of cycles, and the subscript $s$ enumerates the five stages. The bar over a variable designates its average value. If we weight the relatives, not by $N_{s} / \Sigma N_{s}$, but by the amplitude of the money series for each stage weighted by the number of cycles for which a figure for the stage was computed, we have

$$
\sum_{s}^{\Sigma}\left(\frac{\bar{h}_{s}}{\bar{m}_{s}} \frac{\left|\bar{m}_{s}\right| N_{s}}{\sum_{s}\left|\bar{m}_{s}\right| N_{s}}\right) .
$$

Since $\left|\bar{m}_{s}\right| / \bar{m}_{s}$ gives the sign of $\bar{m}_{s}$, we can write the preceding measure as

which was used for Table 4. An identical demonstration holds for the contributions of the currency and reserve ratios.

Table A-1 reproduces the main outline of the results in Table 4. The currency ratio was responsible for one-half or more of the nonwar cycles, and the other two determinants for the remaining one-half; although, in comparison with Table 4, high-powered money was relatively more important, and the reserve ratio relatively less. The similarity between the two tables also holds for the main differences between the major subgroups of cycles. In particular, the currency ratio maintains its primacy in all, with only small

TABLE A-1

> FIRST ALTERINATIVE MEASURE OF THE RELATIVE CONTRIBUTIONS OF DETERMINANTS TO SPECIFIC CYCLES IN THE TREND-ADUUSTEU RATE OF CHANGE IN THE MONEY STOCK, 1877-1953
> (per cent)

| Specific Cycles | Total | Higli-Powered <br> Money | Currency <br> Katio | Reserve <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 nonwar, $1877-1953$ | 100 | 32 | 48 | 19 |
| $10,1877-1913$ | 100 | 16 | 50 | 33 |
| $6,1918-53$ | 100 | 46 | 52 | 1 |
| 6 most severe, 1877-1953 | 100 | 28 | 54 | 18 |
| 10 mild, 1877-1953 | 100 | 17 | 69 | 14 |

> Source: Same as for Table 3. Note: Relative contributions of determinants computed as follows: Averages for each of five stages of the trend-adjusted contribution of each determinant to cycles in the group were divided by the corresponding average for the money series. The ratios were then averaged for the five stages; the figures for each stage were weighted by the number of cycles used in computing the average contributions for the stage (see text footnote l). a ${ }_{\text {Lines may not add exactiy to total because of rounding and approxi- }}^{\text {mation error. }}$ b Same as in Table 4.
differences between mild and severe cycles. High-powered money and the reserve ratio, as in Table 4, switch rankings in the two periods: in the pre1913 period, high-powered money was insignificant and the reserve ratio important, whereas in the post-1918 period, the converse relationship held.

One difference between Tables A-1 and 4 is the reversal of ranking of the relatives for the two ratios between severe and mild cycles. As measured by Table 4, the relative for the currency ratio is slightly higher, and that for the reserve ratio lower, in severe than in mild cycles; while the figures in Table A-1 exhibit the opposite ranking. These differences are small, however.

The over-all similarity between Tables A-1 and 4 for the nonwar cycles suggests that the stage-by-stage variations in the average contributions of the determinants are not closely related to the amplitudes of the corresponding variations in the money series. Such a relation for any determinant, if positive, associates the larger weights with the larger relatives in the measure of Table 4 (see footnote 1). Given such an association, this measure would then exceed the corresponding measure in Table A-1, which is not weighted; and,
conversely for a negative relation. Since treating the average contributions in each cycle stage equally, as in Table A-1, does not affect the over-all results, the conclusion is that the behavior inferred from Table 4 was not importantly influenced by the particular stages in which the money series typically reached its highest and lowest levels.

The chief effect of the formula used for Table A-1 as compared with that in Table 4 is to increase the relative contributions of high-powered money in all the cycle groups. The cyclical pattern of this determinant explains the difference. High-powered money, with its double-peak pattern, made its smallest contribution, in both absolute and relative terms, to the peak and trough stages of the money series. And it is these stages to which the measures of Table 4 give the heaviest weight, because the money series then reaches the extremes of its cycle.

The counterpart of the greater contribution of high-powered money shown in Table A-1 is the lesser contribution of the reserve ratio. (Such differences in the contributions of the currency ratio shown in the two tables are small and not uniform, as we might expect.) The small differences between the two tables in the contributions of the reserve ratio mean that its average contribution correlates positively over cycle stages with the average amplitude of the money series. The corresponding correlation for high-powered money is negative. The reason for these correlations is that the reserve ratio made its largest relative contributions in the trough and peak stages, when the deviation of the money series from trend was greatest; and conversely, for the relative contributions of high-powered money.

The contrasting cyclical patterns of high-powered money and the reserve ratio are brought out in another way by Table A-2, with two measures of the relative contributions to the average amplitude of contractions in the nonwar cycles of the money series. Both measures automatically eliminate the trend without further adjustment. The first covers the change from peaks to troughs of specific cycles. By omitting the intraphase variations, it shows, as might be expected, that the two ratios account for virtually all the movement in the money series. High-powered money accounts for almost nothing, because its contribution in the peak and trough stages deviates very little from trend.

The second measure deals with the change from the expansion to the contraction phases of step cycles. Friedman and Schwartz derived these phases from changes in the average level of the money series, that is, they selected dates when the series "steps" noticeably to a higher or lower level. Such cycles provide meaningful intervals, if the time series approximates a step function, which cyclical fluctuations in the money series do, to some extent. Since the step phases are averages for the entire upward and the entire downward movements, they catch the major contributions of high-powered money and so, as the table shows, elevate its relative importance compared with the change of specific cycles from peaks to troughs. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{2}$ For a further description of step cycles, see Milton Friedman and Anna Jacobson Schwartz, "Trends and Cycles in the Stock of Money in the United States, 1867-1960," a National Bureau Study, in preparation, Chap. 5.

TABLE A-2
RELATIVE CONTRIBUTIUNS OF DETERMINANTS TO AMPLITUDE OF COINTRACTIONS IN NONWAR SPECIFIC AND STEP CYCLES IN THE RATE OF CHANGE IIV THE MOINEY STOCK, 1877-1953
(per cent)

|  | Totala | iligh-Powered <br> Honey | Currency <br> Ratio | Keserve <br> Ratio |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specific cycles <br> fifference between <br> peak and succeeding <br> trough stage | 100 | 1 |  |  |
| Step cycles <br> Difference between <br> expansion and <br> succeeding con- <br> traction phase | 100 | 29 | 52 | 46 |

Source: Specific cycles, Table $\mathrm{F}-1$; step cycles, Table $\overline{\mathrm{F}}-3$.
Note: Relative contributions of the determinants computed as
follows: Averages of the difference between the contributions of each deterninant to the two stages or phases of each cycle were divided by the corresponding average for the money series. No weighting was necessary because every cycle has a value for tnese stages or phases. No adjustment for crend was necessary, because taking the difference between tinese stages or phases automatically adjusts for intracyclical trend in the money stock.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Lines may not add exactly to total because of rounding and approximation error.
Because they average the entire movement within each phase, the step cycles necessarily have a smaller average amplitude of variation than the specific cycles have. In addition, the step dates differ from the peak and trough dates of the specific cycles. Despite these differences, the step cycle measures for nonwar specific cycles closely follow those in Table A-1. By both measures, the currency ratio accounts for one-half the movement, the reserve ratio for about one-fifth, and high-powered money for about one-third. The similarity of the results justifies some confidence that they are not wholly dependent on the particular measures of the data used here and in Chapter 2.

As a further check on the results, the relative-of-averages type of measure, used in Tables A-1 and A-2, may be contrasted with the average-of-relatives type, presented in Table A-3. The latter is an unweighted average of the relative contributions over all stages of all cycles in a group. The measure used in Table A-1 can be interpreted as a weighted average of relative contributions, where the weights are the corresponding value of the money series relative to its average value over the same stage of all cycles in the group. ${ }^{3}$
${ }^{3}$ The unweighted average is

$$
\frac{\sum \sum \frac{h_{s c}}{m_{s c}}}{\sum_{s} N_{s}}
$$

TABLE A-3
second alternative measure of the relative CONTRIBUTIONS OF DETERMINANTS TO SPECIFIC CYCLES IN THE TREND-ADJUSTED RATE OF CHANGE in The miney stock, 1877-1953
(per cent)

| Specific Cycles | Total $^{\text {a }}$ | Higin-Powered <br> Money | Currency <br> Katio | Reserve <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 nonwar, $1877-1953$ | 100 | 20 | 88 | -10 |
| $10,1877-1913$ | 100 | 8 | 105 | -18 |
| $6,1918-53$ | 100 | 37 | 64 | 3 |
| 6 most severe, |  |  |  |  |
| $1877-1953^{5}$, | 100 | 18 | 66 | 15 |
| 10 mild, $1877-1953^{\text {b }}$ | 100 | 21 | 101 | -24 |

Source: Same as for Table 3.
Note: Relative contributions of determinants computed as follows:
The trend-adjusted contributions of each determinant for the five stages
of each cycle in the group were divided by tne corresponding value of the money series. An unweighted average of the ratios was taken for each determinant, covering all stages of the cycles in the group, except sone of the expansion and contraction stages of the pre-1907 cycles, which are lacking.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Lines may not add exactly to total because of rounding and approximation error.
${ }^{b}$ Same as for Table 4.
The measure of Table A-3, unlike the previous ones, shows the relative importance of the determinants without regard to the size of concurrent levels of the money series. Contributions of the determinants occurring with large values of the money series count equally with those occurring with small values. Because this measure is not weighted as is the measure in Table A-1, it is apt to be distorted by extreme relative contributions in particular stages and, in
where the subscript $c$ enumerates specific cycles and the other symbols are the same as in footnote 1 . The weighted average referred to in the text is

$$
\frac{\sum_{s} \sum_{c} \frac{h_{s c}}{m_{s c}} \frac{m_{s c}}{\bar{m}_{s}}}{\sum_{\delta} \sum_{c} \frac{m_{s c}}{\overline{m_{s}}}}
$$

which $\left(\right.$ since $\left.\underset{c}{ } \Sigma_{c} \frac{m_{s c}}{\bar{m}_{s}}=N_{s}\right)$ equals

$$
\frac{\sum \frac{\bar{h}_{s}}{\bar{m}_{s}} N_{s}}{\sum_{s} N_{s}}
$$

the measure used in Table A-1. An identical relation holds for the currency and reserve ratios.
that respect, may be less representative of the over-all relative contributions of the determinants than the other measures are.

From the results in Table A-3, the currency ratio appears even more important than previously indicated. Its contributions are responsible for almost nine-tenths of all nonwar cycles in the rate of change of the money stock and for over 100 per cent of the pre-1913 cycles and of the mild cycles. The over 100 per cent contribution implies that the contribution of at least one of the other determinants (and the combined contribution of the other two) is a negative one-in all cases the reserve ratio. High-powered money has a lower relative contribution than in Table A-1 but not a negative one. The major over-all difference in results of the second alternative measure is the enhanced importance of the currency ratio gained primarily at the expense of the reserve ratio.

The measure of Table A-3 can be interpreted as showing the average percentage reduction in amplitude of cycles in the money series if one of the determinants had remained constant and the others had behaved as they actually did. The negative figures mean that, had the reserve ratio been constant, the amplitude of the money-series pattern would have been increased, and the figures over 100 per cent (plus) mean that, had the currency ratio been constant, the pattern would have been inverted. (These percentage reductions are to be distinguished from the numerical amount of reduction in amplitude, discussed in Chapter 2.) While formally correct, however, such an interpretation of Table A-3 is misleading. The results do not mean that, had the currency ratio been constant, the over-all amplitude of nonwar cycles in the money series would have been reduced by nine-tenths, or that the pre-1913 cycles would have been negligible. The measure, as noted, can be abnormally affected by a few extreme values as, in fact, it was. The trendadjusted value for the money series was typically small in stage III, and the value for the contribution of the currency ratio was high, so the figure for this determinant occasionally had extreme values in that stage. Consequently, the high average relative contribution of this determinant would be reduced if stage III were omitted. What the results mean, therefore, is that (1) while the average amplitude of the money series would be reduced greatly without the contribution of the currency ratio, much of the reduction would occur in stage III; and (2) the numerical amount of the reduction, though large relative to the level of the money series in that stage, would be fairly small relative to the level in other stages.

# APPENDIX B 

Some Evidence on Fisher's Explanation of the Gibson Paradox

One test of Fisher's explanation (Chapter 6) is to compare yields on bonds and common stocks during periods of rising or falling commodity prices. If Fisher is right, the Gibson Paradox reflects an adjustment of bond yields to allow for the expected rate of change in prices, while stock yields-which need no such adjustment-will be uncorrelated with price movements, except perhaps in short-run business cycles. Since Fisher's explanation allows for

TABLE B-1
BOND AND STOCK YIELDS AND THEIR DIFFERENTIALS: AVERAGE REFERENCE CYCLE STANUINGS, 1873-1913
(per cent per year)

| Period Between Reference Cycle Bases. Centered at Peaks |  |  | Bonds ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Common Stock ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Dividends |  |  | Excess ${ }^{c}$ over Bond Yields of Stock Dividends |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Industrials | Util- <br> ities | Railroads | Industrials | Utilities | Railroads |
| PERIOD OF DEFLATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. | '73-Mar. ' | '82 |  | 5.0 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Mar. | '82-Mar. | '87 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 6.9 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 1.0 |
| Mar. | '87-July ' | '90 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 0.1 |
| July | '90 - Jan. ' | '93 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
| Jan. | '93-Lec. ' | '95 | 3.6 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 0.4 |
| PERIOD OF INFLATIOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | '95-June | '99 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| June | 'yy - Sept. | '02 | 3.2 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| Sept. | ${ }^{\prime} 02$ - May | '07 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| May | '07 - Jan. | '10 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| Jan. | '10-Jan. | '13 | 3.8 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.8 |

[^0]Yield Differentials of Stocks over Bonds: Average Reference Cycle Standings, 1873-1913


Source: Table B-1.
long-run changes common to yields on all assets, it pertains to the differential between stock and bond yields, not to their absolute levels.

Long periods of more or less continual inflation or deflation are necessary to reveal clearly whether yield differentials support or conflict with Fisher's explanation. One period satisfying this requirement in recent U.S. history is the downward and subsequent upward sweep of commodity prices from 1873 to World War I, though most of the rise ended by 1910. Since then, price trends have been either horizontal or too volatile for simple classification. The relevant figures for the pre-1913 period, presented in Table B-1, were averaged for each reference cycle to avoid so far as possible the influence of short-run fluctuations. The differentials are plotted in Chart 25. For bonds, Macaulay's yields on railroad debentures were used and, for common stocks, Cowles' dividend-price ratios for industrials, utilities, and railroads. Conceptually, earnings-price ratios might be more appropriate, but dividend data, less volatile and more reliable than earnings data, seemed preferable for comparison with bond yields. The behavior of earnings-price ratios for that period, apart from their greater volatility, was about the same as that of dividend-price ratios.

For these data, the magnitude of the movement in bond yields appears consistent with Fisher's explanation. Wholesale prices fell at an average rate of 2.4 per cent per year from 1873 to 1895 , and rose 2.5 per cent per year from 1895 to 1910 (computed between reference cycle bases centered at the reference peaks). Bond yields fell and rose during those respective periods somewhat less: a fall of 1.4 percentage points in the first period (or 1.7 points allowing for the lagged upturn) and a rise of 0.1 point in the second period (or 1.0 point from their trough in 1899-1902 to a prewar high for the 1913-18 reference cycle, not shown in the table). Bond yields in money terms, therefore, seem to have accounted gradually and slowly for roughly half the average rate of initial appreciation and subsequent depreciation of money; and, the longer the movement of commodity prices in one direction, the larger was the adjustment. Furthermore, the timing of the upturn in bond yields, which came in the 1899-1902 cycle and lagged behind the upturn in prices in 1896, is consistent with Fisher's explanation; but it is incompatible with a theory which relates movements in both series to exogenous changes in aggregate demand. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ David Meiselman (see "Bond Yields and the Price Level: The Gibson Paradox Regained," in Banking and Monetary Studies, D. Carson, ed., Irwin, 1963) has regressed interest rates on lagged changes in prices in a test similar to Fisher's but covering a longer period. Meiselman finds some support for the theory but concludes that the evidence is inconclusive.

A suggested explanation (not opposed to Fisher's theory) why bond yields do not adjust fully to the rate of change in prices is that real money balances and saving are affected. Inflation, for example, allegedly reduces the amount of real money balances demanded and thereby reduces total real wealth. Hence, real saving, which depends on real wealth, increases, reducing the real rate of interest and the amount of increase in the money rate required to adjust it to the inflation (see Robert Mundell, "Inflation

The differentials of stock over bond yields present a mixed picture. By Fisher's explanation the differentials should widen or be higher during deflation and narrow or be lower during inflation.
l. industrials. The differential displays such a pattern; it rose considerably during the pre-1895 period of deflation and fell moderately during the post-1895 period of inflation. The magnitudes of the movements were less than the respective average rates of price change, which would be true of lagged adjustments.
2. utilities. The differential cannot be said to display the same pattern. Possibly it might, if the high yields in the 1882-87 reference cycle could be dismissed as unduly high for other reasons, though the rising trend of the differential after 1895 is still troublesome. Apart from the low yield in the 1873-82 reference cycle, which might be unduly low, the differential was apparently higher on the average before 1895 than after, which is consistent with Fisher's explanation without an adjustment lag. But by that interpretation the differentials for utilities and industrials were not consistent with each other. The pattern for utilities, therefore, is a puzzle, but it is even more of a puzzle if interpreted according to the Wicksell-Keynes explanation.
3. railroads. The differential cannot be reconciled with Fisher's explanation. It fell in the pre-1895 period and rose thereafter. Railroad stock yields, therefore, fell more than bond yields did during deflation and rose more than bond yields did during inflation; this is consistent with the WicksellKeynes explanation, as a constant differential would be also.

Why the railroad differential displays a different pattern from the others is perplexing, and I have discovered no satisfactory explanation. One important difference between railroad and industrial stocks even accentuates the disagreement. Railroads have large amounts of bonds outstanding, the real burden of which varies inversely with the price level, so that railroad net income in money as well as real terms is affected by price movements. Industrials as a group may also be net monetary debtors and so be affected in the same way, but certainly less. The effect of changes in the real burden of bonds on net income reflects a change in the average return on a firm's previously invested equity, rather than reflecting the estimated return on new additions to equity-relevant here. Insofar as a change in prices is expected, stock market yields are adjusted to allow for the expected gains or losses resulting from a firm's indebtedness already incurred. For this reason, railroad stock yields should rise, relative to industrial stock yields, during deflation and fall during inflation, just the opposite to their actual behavior shown in
and Real Interest," Journal of Political Economy, June 1963, pp. 280-283). A more plausible argument to me along these lines would be that inflation induces people to shift from money and other assets with fixed nominal values to common stocks and real assets, raising the price and lowering the yield on the latter assets for a prolonged period until suppliers of assets adjust to the change in demand. Contrary to either argument, however, such changes in real money balances seem normally too small to have much effect on the real rate of interest.

Chart 25. Utilities, like railroads, also have large amounts of bonds outstanding, and the yield on their stock did change in the inflation and deflation in the expected manner. This might explain some of our difficulty in reconciling the pattern of utilities stock differentials over bond yields with the pattern shown by industrials.

These observations take no account of changes over time in premiums for risk. Risk premiums on widely traded assets probably have declined as the economy has matured, but whether more so on bonds than on stocks, or the reverse, is not known. In any event, a constant secular decline in risk premiums would affect yields before and after 1895 in the same direction and should not disturb the foregoing comparisons of the two periods before and after that year. Conceivably, one might explain these results by pointing to the 1890's as a period of extreme economic instability, which might have raised yields on industrial and utility stocks, but not yields on railroad stocks, higher in that decade than they would have been. But that is conjecture.

In summary, Fisher's explanation is neither firmly supported nor rejected outright by these data. ${ }^{2}$ One may nevertheless conclude that the Gibson Paradox shows up much less clearly-if at all-in stock than in bond yields, and not solely because stock yields are more volatile. This is some slight confirmation that Fisher's explanation accounts for at least part of the paradox. Yet even this weak conclusion must be hedged. Table B-1 covers only two movements, one down and one up, which essentially give two observations in the allegedly long history of the Gibson Paradox. The subject clearly requires a good deal more careful investigation than it has so far received. ${ }^{3}$

[^1]3. J. R. Hicks (A Contribution to the Theory of the Trade Cycle, Oxford, 1950, p. 154n) attributes the paradox to a tendency of the monetary growth rate to fluctuate more when its secular trend is higher, because banks take more risks; with greater monetary fluctuation, short-term interest rates rise more sharply in booms, which allegedly keeps long rates at a higher average level. And, conversely, for low secular trends in monetary growth.
I doubt the adequacy of all three of these explanations for long-run movements.

## APPENDIX C

Four Theories of How Price Changes May Affect Output in the Long Run

Some studies have pointed to a tentative relation between long-run swings in prices and output (Chapter 6, p. 260). If such a relation does exist, it apparently runs primarily from prices to output, not the other way. The evidence is inconsistent with the second part of a connection from output to prices to money (Chapter 6), and there is no evidence of an important effect of output on money. (For short-run cyclical movements, of course, there probably are such effects.) Economists have long found it plausible that the rate of change in prices might affect the rate of change in output in the long run. Of the explanations offered, four can be distinguished and are discussed here.
l. If price movements are largely unforeseen, or at least unprepared for, business firms are said to gain from inflation, which stimulates them to expand, and to lose from deflation, which induces or forces them to curtail investment and (the rate of increase in) output. Unforeseen price rises would confer gains on business firms, and unforeseen price declines impose losses, if firms are generally net monetary debtors (that is, liabilities fixed in money terms exceed assets fixed in money terms), or if wage contracts are fixed in money terms for a fairly long time. Yet, the question remains whether such windfall profits would stimulate purchase of additional capital goods, since by hypothesis the expected rate of return on new investment need not have changed. If they did stimulate capital investment, the reasons might be that inflation redistributed income in favor of businessmen, who supposedly tend to save more than others, and that the resulting addition to the supply of loanable funds reduced rates on new borrowing. Even granting that much, there remain the two assumptions underlying the cause-and-effect relation of rising prices to gains for business: most business firms are net debtors; and wages lag behind prices. The validity of these assumptions is doubtful for recent decades and unknown for earlier periods. ${ }^{1}$ Despite its long standing, therefore, this explanation is by no means established.
${ }^{1}$ See R. Kessel and A. Alchian, "The Inflation-Induced Lag of Wages," American Economic Review, Mar. 1960, pp. 43-66; A. Rees, Real Wages in Manufacturing 18901914, Princeton University Press for NBER, 1961, especially pp. 10-11, 13, and 125-126. A wage lag is alleged for the 1897-1913 inflation by F. Lavington (The English Capital Market, London, 1921, Chap. IX).

Actually, if recognized at the time, a lag in wages ought to increase the use of labor
2. A different kind of lag is reflected in the discrepancy Irving Fisher noted between the market rate of interest and the real rate, that is, adjusted for future price changes. His argument is presented in Chapter 6 as a possible explanation of the Gibson Paradox. If such a discrepancy were to affect the level of investment and so the growth of output in a way that would explain an association between output and prices, however, that would require lenders and borrowers systematically to disagree on expected price movements. Indeed, borrowers would always have to foresee future price trends more accurately than lenders would, which is difficult to rationalize. To the extent that a discrepancy between money rates and real rates of interest explains the Gibson Paradox, both lenders and borrowers would have to be slow in perceiving new price trends; but then the discrepancy cannot explain a longrun association between prices and output.
3. An association between prices and output in the long run might be created by variations in the severity of cyclical contractions. Contractions might tend to be more severe in a long-run period of falling prices than in one of constant or rising prices, even though business firms were generally not net debtors and wages did not lag behind prices. In cyclical expansions, a downward price trend will be temporarily counteracted, and prices might rise slightly or be constant, avoiding the downward pressure on inflexible wage levels and other costs. In cyclical contractions, a downward price trend will accentuate the cutback in production and employment. Successive cyclical contractions have a cumulative effect on the long-run growth of output, provided the amplitudes of contractions and expansions are not positively related (although some evidence suggests they are). A deflationary period of unusually severe contractions would then retard long-run growth compared with an inflationary period of mild contractions. This theory claims only that falling prices retard growth, not that rising prices stimulate growth.
4. A fourth explanation-more appealing to me than the others because it does not rest on lags or inflexibilities-is that changes in the rate of growth of the money stock affect the supply of loanable funds and so induce increases in investment, in periods of rising prices, and reductions, in periods of falling prices. Such an effect seems to imply that interest rates move inversely to changes in monetary growth. Because actual behavior has shown the reverse relation-the Gibson Paradox again-this explanation has often been dismissed. If we accept Fisher's hypothesis to account for the paradox, however, and formulate the argument in terms of real interest rates, it is possible that real rates do move in a direction consistent with this explanation. To be sure, our examination of stock yields in Appendix B does not clearly reveal such behavior
and not of capital goods. The wage lag might temporarily make employment "fuller" than it would otherwise be, but it hardly seems able to account for variations in the secular growth of output. Of course, if the lag is recognized at the time, even if only by employers, bidding for labor would remove the lag. Such a lag, therefore, presupposes that price movements are unforeseen.
(no clear-cut response of stock yields either up or down to deflation and inflation could be established). But this might mean only that real interest rates are not very sensitive to changes in the supply of loanable funds, possibly because the investment curve is fairly elastic in the long run to interest rate changes or is highly dependent on prevailing expectations of the future state of business.

Historical variations in the growth of the money stock were sufficient to have had sizable effects on the supply of loanable funds, assuming that nearly all new money enters into circulation through bank loans. Table 28 shows that the growth rate of the money stock varied between reference cycle bases often by 2 percentage points or more. Taking into account the probable ratio of the money stock to the supply of loanable funds, we may expect such changes in monetary growth to have increased or decreased the amount of loanable funds supplied by as much as 10 per cent or more. All increases in those funds could not have been hoarded or all decreases offset by dishoarding, for, if they had been, money and prices would not have been highly correlated. Apparently most of the funds supplied were spent, therefore, on whatever they were borrowed to procure, presumably consumers' and producers' investment goods. Whether an increase in investment of about 10 per cent would stimulate the growth of output very much would depend upon the importance of increases in capital equipment relative to autonomous technological improvements. ${ }^{2}$

These are the standard rationalizations of a long-run association between prices and output. Though none of the four can be ruled out, none seems compelling either, which is one reason for doubts about the firmness of the empirical connection between the two variables. The question is of considerable importance and merits further research.

[^2]
## APPENDIX D

## Mathematical Analysis of a Purely Monetary Cycle

The relations outlined in Chapter 6 ( pp .276 -277) can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{t+\theta} & =\phi\left(\dot{M}_{t}\right)  \tag{1}\\
\dot{M}_{t+\eta} & =\Omega\left(\dot{Y}_{t}\right), \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Y_{t}$ is an index of economic activity and $M_{t}$, of the money stock, both measured at time $t$ as deviations from their respective secular trends. Overhead dots signify the rate of change in the variable.

Equation 1 expresses the lagged dependence of activity on the rate of growth of the money stock, where $\theta$ is the length of the lag. The first derivative of $\phi$ is positive, and also assumed to be more or less constant (i.e., $\phi$ is linear) over the relevant range. The evidence does not clearly indicate whether the rate of change or the level of the money stock should be related to the level of economic activity. Consequently, the results of using the level of the money stock (adjusted for trend) as the argument of equation 1 will be examined later in this appendix.

Equation 2 describes the effect of economic activity on the rate of change in the money stock. The lag time $\eta$ is assumed to be short and will be omitted hereafter. The argument of $\Omega$ is shown in 2 as the rate of change in economic activity, which seems plausible. Hence, by integration, the stock of money is a function of the level of economic activity. (Note that a similar model results from making $Y_{t+\theta}$ depend on $M_{t}$, and $\dot{M}_{t}$ depend on $Y_{t}$.)

The analysis of the behavior of $\dot{M}$ (Chapter 2, summarized in Chart 2) suggests that equation 2 has the general form shown in Figure 1. The evidence suggests that the function passes through the origin and has two turning points, one in the first and one in the third quadrant. (Remember that the variables are measured as deviations from their respective secular trends.) Otherwise, the exact shape of the function cannot be specified and, in particular, whether it is approximately symmetrical or not. For present purposes, however, such details do not seem necessary.

It should be emphasized that Figure 1 is based solely on the average behavior of $\dot{M}$ over business cycles. Besides ignoring differences among individual cycles, the diagram translates an empirical covariation into a fixed relation. The evidence of this study pertains only to the observed values of the variables

FIGURE 1

over the cycle, during which they appear to be associated in the manner shown. We cannot be certain that the value of $\dot{M}$ corresponding to a given $\dot{Y}$ on the diagram does not in fact change over time even though $\dot{Y}$ were to remain unchanged. (The behavior of the reserve ratio, in particular, is subject to this qualification.) What this qualification amounts to is the possibility that $\ddot{M}$ or higher-order derivatives rather than $\dot{M}$ are stable functions of (the rate of change in) economic activity. This possibility is ignored in what follows.

Combining (1) and (2) to eliminate $\dot{M}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t+\theta}=\phi\left(\Omega\left[\dot{Y}_{t}\right]\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may approximate the left-hand side at time $t$ with the first two terms of a Taylor expansion, which gives

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{t}+\theta \dot{Y}_{t} & =\phi\left(\Omega\left[\dot{Y}_{t}\right]\right)  \tag{4}\\
\psi\left(\dot{Y}_{t}\right) & =\phi\left(\Omega\left[\dot{Y}_{t}\right]\right)-\theta \dot{Y}_{t}, \\
Y_{t} & =\psi\left(\dot{Y}_{t}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The shape of $\psi$ can be surmised from Figure 1. If $\phi$ is roughly linear in the relevant range, $\psi$ will have the shape of $\Omega$ in Figure 1 with the curve pulled progressively downwards to the right of the origin and progressively upwards to the left by the second term on the right in equation 5, and pulled in the same or the opposite direction, respectively, as the first derivative of $\phi$ is less or greater than unity. Hence the phase map of equation 6, which is a firstorder nonlinear differential equation, may be sketched as in Figure 2. ${ }^{1}$

There is one equilibrium point, at the origin, but it is unstable, so that from there the movement proceeds to either $A$ or $C$. Both $A$ and $C$ are unstable
${ }^{1}$ Equation 6 is similar mathematically (not in derivation) to one presented by R. M. Goodwin in his paper on nonlinear investment accelerators, and I have followed his analysis. See "The Nonlinear Accelerator and the Persistence of Business Cycles," Econometrica, Jan. 1951, equation 5a (with $\alpha=0$ ) and Figure 5.
positions, and there is a discontinuous jump in $\dot{Y}$ to $B$ from $A$ and to $D$ from $C$. A solution of equation 6, therefore, is a cyclical movement following the closed loop $A B C D A$. The discontinuous jump in $\dot{Y}$ at $A$ and $C$ is partly a consequence of neglecting higher-order terms of the Taylor expansion of $Y_{t+\theta}$ in equation 4. Equation 3 would presumably have a similar solution but with less abrupt turning points.

As noted earlier, it might be more appropriate to use $M$ (adjusted for trend) as the argument of equation 1. If so, equation 3 becomes, if we write equation 2 as $M_{t}=\pi\left(Y_{t}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t+0}=\phi\left(\pi\left[Y_{t}\right]\right) . \tag{3a}
\end{equation*}
$$

The cycle produced by equation 3 a is somewhat different, and considerably easier to analyze. Whether $Y$ moves continually in one direction or oscillates depends on whether

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d Y_{t+\theta}}{d Y_{t}}=\phi^{\prime} \pi^{\prime} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is positive or negative, respectively; and whether the movement is explosive or damped depends on whether

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} Y_{t+\theta}}{d Y_{t}^{2}}=\phi^{\prime} \pi^{\prime \prime}+\phi^{\prime \prime} \pi^{\prime} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is positive or negative, respectively. (The primes indicate derivatives.)
FIGURE 2


Suppose $\phi^{\prime}$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ were always positive and, because of barriers that tighten gradually, $\phi^{\prime \prime}$ is negative. If $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ is negative or, if positive, constant, so that the first term is gradually reduced by the decline in $\phi^{\prime}$, equation 8 will either be always negative or eventually become negative, implying a damped, one-way movement. Equation 7, however, though at times positive, becomes negative at certain times because $\pi^{\prime}$ gradually turns negative after $Y$ increases or decreases a certain amount, then becomes positive again sometime after $Y$ turns back from either its peak or trough level.

Peaks and troughs in $Y$ will be rounded rather than cusps (explosive) if the positive value of the second term of equation 8 (produced by negative values of $\pi^{\prime}$ and $\phi^{\prime \prime}$ ) is outweighed, as seems plausible, by the negative value of the first term (produced by a negative value of $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ occurring sometime before the change in sign of $\pi^{\prime}$ from plus to minus).
A cusp would occur if the negative value of $\phi^{\prime \prime}$ became sufficiently large. In that event the extreme levels of $Y$ would be determined (as in the multiplieraccelerator model cited of Goodwin, for example) by physical-not mone-tary-barriers to further increases or decreases in output. Output would then remain at this barrier level, however, until $\pi^{\prime}$ declined. There is no reason for $\dot{M}$ to decline simply because $Y$ hits a barrier. This model therefore differs from the multiplier-accelerator type in having no accelerator-that is, no relation involving the rate of change of output-whereas the first model presented above has.

It is possible to obtain a self-generating cycle from the purely monetary relations posited by equations 1 and 2 in either form. These models bear a mathematical resemblance to those describing interactions between the multiplier and accelerator. The foregoing paragraphs should be viewed only as illustrative of the statements made in Chapter 6. They hardly constitute a complete theory of cycles. Some of the as yet unknown characteristics of the functions may alter the results in important ways. In addition, the model, as presented, does not allow for changes in the demand to hold money balances, which a complete theory needs to specify.

## APPENDIX E

## Interest Payments and Service Charges on Bank Deposits

This appendix brings together scattered data on deposit rates and charges from which are inferred the major secular movements in the net rate of return on deposits.

The available data on member and insured banks are presented in Table E-1. Service charges were first reported separately in 1933. It is not known when charges were first imposed, but they were not common before the 1930's. The Banking Act of 1933 prohibited interest on demand accounts at member banks, and the Banking Act of 1935 extended the prohibition to insured banks. In interpreting these data, one should bear in mind that (except interbank deposits, which are excluded from these figures) interest was paid mainly on U.S. Treasury deposits (included here until mid-1933) and other large accounts, and that charges have been levied only on small checking accounts. Table E-1 gives an average rate on deposits, on many of which no interest was paid or charges levied. The rates applicable to deposits on which interest was paid or charges levied, therefore, were higher (in absolute amount) than the average rates shown. Interest is paid on virtually all time deposits, though the rates vary. Interest payments were not reported separately for demand and time deposits before 1927.

The rates paid by mutual savings banks are presented in Table E-2. These rates and those on time deposits and savings and loan shares are graphed in Chart 18. The post-World War I data all agree in showing little change during the 1920's and a sharp decline after 1929. Thereafter, rates paid on time and savings deposits reached a trough in 1946-47 and then rose. Charges on demand deposits were roughly constant during the 1930's and 1940's and then doubled during the 1950's.

For the years before 1919, the data are much less satisfactory, consisting of only some indirect evidence on the average rate paid on total deposits at commercial banks. One relevant series is the annual rate of return received on loans and investments by national banks. That rate fell gradually from 6.5 per cent in 1890, the year such data were first reported, to 4.8 per cent in 1905 and rose to 6.2 per cent by 1913. ${ }^{1}$ Such movements are consistent with the well-documented fall in long-term interest rates in the economy at large

[^3]TABLE E-1
average rate of interest or charge on demand and time DEPOSITS, ANNUALLY, 1927-60
(per cent per annum)

| Year | Average Kate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Interest | Charge | Time Deposits |
| 1927 | 1.25 |  | 3.34 |
| 1928 | 1.28 |  | 3.36 |
| 1929 | 1.32 |  | 3.34 |
| 1930 | 1.23 |  | 3.31 |
| 1931 | 0.84 |  | 2.49 |
| 1932 | 0.69 | $b$ | 2.80 |
| 1933 | 0.32 | 0.16 | 2.55 |
| 1934 | a | . 18 | 2.41 |
| 1935 |  | . 19 | 2.01 |
| 1936 |  | . 18 | 1.72 |
| 1937 |  | . 20 | 1.62 |
| 1938 |  | . 23 | 1.55 |
| 1939 |  | . 24 | 1.43 |
| 1940 |  | . 22 | 1.30 |
| 1941 |  | . 21 | 1.20 |
| 1942 |  | . 19 | 1.11 |
| 1943 |  | . 17 | 0.93 |
| 1944 |  | .17 | 0.88 |
| 1945 |  | . 15 | 0.86 |
| 1946 |  | . 15 | 0.84 |
| 1947 |  | . 17 | 0.87 |
| 1948 |  | . 20 | 0.90 |
| 1949 |  | . 22 | 0.91 |
| 1950 |  | . 23 | 0.94 |
| 1951 |  | . 24 | 1.04 |
| 1952 |  | . 24 | 1.15 |
| 1953 |  | . 26 | 1.24 |
| 1954 |  | . 29 | 1.32 |
| 1955 |  | . 30 | 1.38 |
| 1956 |  | . 33 | 1.58 |
| 1957 |  | . 33 | 2.08 |
| 1958 |  | . 41 | 2.11 |
| 1959 |  | . 43 | 2.36 |
| 1960 |  | . 47 | 2.56 |

Source: Annual Report, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), and Banking and Monetary Statistics, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 1943, pp. 262-263. See also Cagan, The Demand for Currency Relative to Total Money Supply, New York, NBER, Occasional Paper 62, 1958, Appendix.
vemand deposits: Amounts paid and charged both divided by the same Dec.-June-Dec, weighted average of demand deposits to which the data on payments pertain (see The Demand for Currency). Data are for member banks, 1927-41, and insured banks, thereafter. No deductions were made for losses. The small federal tax levied on checks from June 21, 1932, to Jan. 1, 1935, has been ignored.

## NOTES TO TABLE E-1 (concluded)



Source: 1920-34: A weighted average of interest rates reported for each state, begun in 1920 and discontinued after 1934, in Annual Report of the Conptroller of the Currency. Earlier rates, which are comparable but not as accurate, arè given in Cagan, The Demand for Currency, Table C.

1945-60: An average rate of interest for all mutual savings banks from the ivational Association of Mutual Savings Banks. (No comparable figures are available for 1935-44. Data given by the FDIC for that period are for insured banks only and are not representative.)
until the turn of the century and the rise, thereafter, until World War I. The rate paid by mutual savings banks shows the same pattern, though the magnitude of movement was smaller. ${ }^{2}$ Commercial bank earnings were sufficient
${ }^{2}$ See Cagan, The Demand for Currency Relative to Total Money Supply, New York, NBER, Occasional Paper 62, 1958, p. 29. Fragmentary data on the rate paid by commercial banks on time and savings deposits (ibid.) are also consistent with this pattern though, for reasons given in footnote 5, below, these data seem unreliable.

The reserve ratio of commercial banks began a long-run decline around the turn of the century (Chapter 5), which had the smail effect of raising earnings per dollar of deposits and allowing banks to pay more, but that would not have been a sufficient reason for a rise in the interest paid on deposits.
table E-3

> AVERAGE RATE OF INTEREST PAID ON DEPOSITS AT STATE AND PRIVATE BANKS IN KANSAS, 1897-1927, AND AT ALL MEMBER
> BANKS, 1919-26
> (per cent per annum)

|  | Kansas <br> Banks |  | Kansas <br> Banks | Lember <br> Banks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1897 | $1.15^{\text {a }}$ | 1913 | 1.29 |  |
| 1898 | 0.93 | 1914 | 1.49 |  |
| 1899 | 0.77 | 1915 | 1.44 |  |
| 1900 | 0.71 | 1916 | 1.45 |  |
| 1901 | 0.56 | 1917 | 1.55 |  |
| 1902 | 0.63 | 1918 | 1.53 |  |
| 1903 | 0.63 | 1919 | 1.54 | 1.87 |
| 1904 | 0.71 | 1920 | 1.68 | 1.91 |
| 1905 | 0.73 | 1921 | 1.83 | 2.04 |
| 1906 | 0.76 | 1922 | 1.94 | 2.07 |
| 1907 | 0.79 | 1923 | 1.83 | 2.05 |
| 1908 | 0.90 | 1924 | 1.90 | 2.06 |
| 1909 | 0.86 | 1925 | 1.78 | 2.03 |
| 1910 | 0.94 | 1926 | 1.75 | 2.04 |
| 1911 | 1.05 | 1927 | 1.73 |  |
| 1912 | 1.21 |  |  |  |

Source: Biennial Report of the Bank Commissioner of the State of Kansas and Banking and Monetary Statistics, pp. 262-263.

Note: Interest rate was computed by dividing interest paid on total deposits by a weighted average of total deposits (including interbank deposits) on call dates.
${ }^{a}$ Not available before 1897 .
on the average, therefore, to allow an increase in the rates paid on deposits after 1905. The number of commercial banks increased rapidly in the decades before and after the turn of the century and, from all indications, competition for deposits intensified.

Confirmation is provided by data on state and private commercial banks in Kansas, the only state that collected data on bank earnings and expenses well before World War I (Table E-3). From 1897, when the reports started, to 1902 , the annual rate paid on all deposits fell. From 1902 to 1903, it stayed the same and then began to rise, adding two-thirds of a percentage point by 1913 and just over a full point by 1920. For comparison, an average rate paid on all deposits at member banks, which can be computed back to 1919, is also shown.

The only comparable figure for earlier years is a lone statement of the Comptroller of the Currency on the amount of interest paid by national banks in 1870 which, divided by the total deposits of those banks, gives an average rate of 1 per cent. ${ }^{3}$ That is below the rate paid by member banks in the 1920's and about equal to that paid by Kansas nonnational banks around 1897-98.

These pre-1919 data portray an uncertain picture. The Kansas data are

[^4]not necessarily representative of all commercial banks, though they do agree fairly well in direction of change with rates paid by all member banks during the 1920's. Even if the average rate on all deposits did behave as previously described, however, there is no certainty that rates on demand deposits behaved the same way; the rates on time deposits may have produced most of the change in the total. The latter comprised only 12 per cent of total deposits at commercial banks in 1900 but grew in relative importance thereafter, reaching 30 per cent by 1915.4 This means that the averages shown progressively understate the rate on time deposits. It is plausible but not certain that the two rates usually moved more or less together, as they did from 1927 to 1933, though they differed later partly because interest payments on demand deposits were prohibited. The evidence is admittedly hazy, therefore, and needs to be supplemented by more exact data on when and by how much the demand deposit rate rose. ${ }^{5}$ Perhaps a more intensive search of early sources would turn up more than has so far been found. In the meantime the evidence suggests that the rate paid on time deposits (and perhaps also on large demand accounts) was constant or falling from 1890, or earlier, to around the turn of the century; and that it then rose appreciably until World War I and more slowly thereafter until 1929.

[^5]
# APPENDIX $F$ 

TABLE F-1
COiNTRIÉUTIONS OF THE THREE DETERMINANTS TO MATCHED SPECIFIC CYCLES in the rate of change in the money stock, 1877-1953
(per cent per year)

| Matched Keference Cycles (trougn to trough) | Specific Cycle Stage |  |  |  |  | AVERA <br> Total | rate of change in money stock Contributed by: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | HighPowered Money | CurrencyRatio | Keserve Katio |
|  | Number | Dates (end of month) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } 1879- \\ & \text { May } 1885 \\ & (1) \end{aligned}$ | I | Feb. | 1877 | - Aug. | 1877 | -7.5 | 0.6 | -6.5 | -1.5 |
|  | III | Aug. | 1877 | - Aug. | 1888 | 7.8 | 8.3 | 0.0 | -0.6 |
|  | v | Aug. | 1880 | - Feb. | 1881 | 20.2 | 6.7 | 2.8 | 10.2 |
|  | VII | Feb. | 1881 | - June | 1883 | 9.4 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 |
|  | IX | June | 1883 | - June | 1884 | -1.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | -2.2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1835- \\ & \text { Apr. }_{\text {(2) }} 1888 \end{aligned}$ | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | III | June | 1884 | - June | 1885 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 5.5 | -6.2 |
|  | $v$ | June | 1885 | - June | 1886 | 8.3 | -3.2 | 6.0 | 5.3 |
|  | VII | June | 1886 | - June | 1887 | 6.2 | 5.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
|  | IX | June | 1887 | - June | 1888 | 2.5 | 4.0 | -0.5 | -0. 8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1888- \\ & \text { Ilay } \quad 1891 \\ & \text { (3) } \end{aligned}$ | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | III | June | 1888 | - June | 1889 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 1.7 |
|  | $v$ | June | 1889 | - June | 1890 | 9.8 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 5.3 |
|  | IX | June | 1390 | - June | 1891 | 2.8 | 4.8 | -0.5 | -1.7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1891- \\ & \text { June } 1894 \\ & (4) \end{aligned}$ | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | June | 1891 | - June | 1892 | 10.6 | 6.5 | 5.1 | -0.9 |
|  | IX $\}$ | June | 1892 | - June | 1893 | -6.5 | -1.0 | -6.5 | 1.1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 1894- \\ & \text { June } 1897 \\ & (5) \end{aligned}$ | I $\}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | III | June | 1893 | - June | 1894 | 0.9 | 3.5 | 5.9 | -8.5 |
|  | $\checkmark$ | June | 1894 | - June | 1895 | 5.8 | -4.4 | 2.8 | 7.3 |
|  | IX $\}$ | June | 1895 | - June | 1896 | -4.8 | -7.2 | 0.5 | 1.9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 1897- } \\ & \text { Dec. } 1900 \\ & (6) \end{aligned}$ | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | III | June | 1896 | - June | 1898 | 9.3 | 10.4 | -0.4 | -0.9 |
|  | v | June | 1898 | - June | 1899 | 16.1 | 4.8 | 5.6 | 5.6 |
|  | IX $\}$ | June | 1899 | - June | 1900 | 5.5 | 7.9 | -2.7 | 0.4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } 1900- \\ & \text { Aug. } 1904 \\ & \text { (7) } \end{aligned}$ | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $v$ | June | 1900 | - June | 1901 | 14.0 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 2.5 |
|  | VII | June | 1901 | - June | 1903 | 7.5 | 4.3 | 0.6 | 2.5 |
|  | IX $\}$ | June | 1903 | - June | 1904 | 5.3 | 6.4 | 2.6 | -3.7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug, 1904- } \\ & \text { June } 1908 \\ & \text { (8) } \end{aligned}$ | I) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | V | June | 1904 | - June | 1905 | 11.4 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 5.4 |
|  | VII | June | 1905 | - Dec. | 1907 | 3.4 | 8.3 | -2.7 | -2.1 |
|  | IX $\}$ | Nov. | 1907 | - Feb. | 1908 | -13.4 | 12.2 | -8.7 | -16.9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 1908- \\ & \text { Jan. } 1912 \\ & (9) \end{aligned}$ | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | II | Jan. | 1908 | - Apr. | 1908 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 19.3 | -18.0 |
|  | III | Apr. | 1908 | - July | 1908 | 13.0 | -3.6 | 11.3 | 5.2 |
|  | IV | July | 1908 | - oct. | 1908 | 13.4 | -0.8 | 9.2 | 4.7 |
|  | v | Sept. | 1908 | - Dec. | 1908 | 13.4 | -0.8 | 3.3 | 10.9 |
|  | VI | Nov. | 1908 | - Apr. | 1909 | 9.2 | -0. 7 | 5.5 | 4.3 |
|  | VII | Apr. | 1909 | - Oct. | 1909 | 7.7 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 4.0 |
|  | VIII | Oct. | 1909 | - Mar. | 1910 | 4.8 | 1.0 | 3.8 | -0.2 |
|  | IX | Feb. | 1910 | - May | 1910 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.5 | -1.4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } 1912- \\ & \text { Dec. } 1914 \\ & \text { (iv) } \end{aligned}$ | I $\}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | II | Apr. | 1910 | - Oct. | 1910 | 4.4 | 3.2 | -1.9 | 2.9 |
|  | III | Oct. | 1910 | - Mar. | 1911 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 5.0 | -5.1 |
|  | IV | Mar. | 1911 | - Sept. | 1911 | 6.5 | 0.2 | 2.9 | 3.2 |
|  | V | Aug. | 1911 | - Nov. | 1911 | 9.9 | 0.8 | 8.5 | 0.5 |
|  | VI | Oct. | 1911 | - Apr. | 1912 | 7.3 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 3.2 |
|  | VII | Apr. | 1912 | - Nov. | 1912 | 5.7 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 3.3 |
|  | VIII | Nov. | 1912 | - May | 1913 | 1.6 | 1.8 | -2.4 | 2.0 |

TAB̈LĖ F-1 (continued)



NOTES TO TABLE F-1

Source: Milton Friedman and Anna Jacobson Schwartz, A Monetary History of the United States, 1867-1960, Princeton for NBER, 1963, Tables A-1 and B-3, except that the data here exclude from bank reserves vault cash at mutual savings banks and do not incorporate minor later revisions made in the Friedman and Schwartz estimates.

Vault cash at mutual savings banks was excluded from currency outside banks (inadvertently) and from reserves of commercial banks (correctly), whereas it is included in high-powered money (correctly). Hence the relation shown by the formula does not hold exactly, though the error on this account is small. For this reason and also because of rounding and approximation error, the total rate may not equal exactly the sum of the other three columns.

Hote: Specific cycle dates and matconed reference cycles: Based on an earlier version of Table 1. The differences are as follows: For Table F-1, a peak is dated in Nov. 1880 instead of May 1881, as in Table 1, a peak in Nov. 1908 instead of Uct. 1908, a trough in July 1923 instead of June 1923, a peak in Aug. 1925 instead of Aug. 1924, a trough in Dec. 1948 instead of Jan. 1949, a peak in July 1951 instead of Nov. 1951, and a trough in Nov. 1953 instead of Sept. 1953. A few of these changes are large, but over-all they would not greatly affect the tables in Chapter 2.

The nonmatched phases in Table 1 are suppressed here; that is, the Feb.-Uct. 1941 specific cycle contraction was suppressed and the period from Oct. 1937 to June 1943 was treated as one expansion matched with the $1938-45$ reference expansion. (Also, there is no specific cycle to match the reference cycle, with trough in Uct. 1945 and peak in Nov. 1948. Consequently, the June $1943-\mathrm{Dec} .1948$ specific cycle contraction was matched with a reference cycle contraction assumed to run from Feb. 1945 to Oct. 1949 by suppressing tie short 1945 contraction.)

The specific cycle dates shown are for the noney-stock figures used to conpute tia rates of change. (Table 1 gives the corresponding peak and trough dates for the rate-of-change series.) the specific cycle stages were dated by the usual National Bureau procedure. Stages II, III, and IV divide into thirds the expansion phase between the peak and trough in the rate of change; and stages VI, VII, and VIII divide into thirds the contraction phase. The rates for peak and trough stages in the monthly data are derived from the four end-of-month dates surrounding the widmonth rate-of-change peak or trough month (hence are based on the rates of change for the three months surrounding the peak or trough month) and so overlap the adjacent stages. For the annual and semiannual data, stages II, IV, VI, and VIII were omitted, and sometimes also stage III or VII, if too short to be computed satisfactorily with these data.

Rates of change: Computed by formula 2 in Chap. 2. The four differentials,

$$
\frac{d \log _{e} H}{d t}, \frac{d \log _{e}{ }^{i I}}{d t}, \frac{d \frac{C}{M}}{d t}, \frac{d \frac{R}{D}}{d t},
$$

in the formula were approximated for finite periods by numerical changes in $C / M$ and $R / D$ and in the natural logarithms of $\mathbb{M}$ and $\mathbb{N}$ (hence the rates for the latter two are compounded instantaneously). The factors $M / H(1-C / N)$ and $: 1 / H(1-R / D)$ were approximated by averages of the beginning and ending values of the factors for each stage.

Averages at end of table: An aritnmetic average of the relevant cycles for which a figure for the stage had been computed. War cycles are numbers 11 and 17; the six severe cycles are numbers $1,4,8,12,15$, and 16 . Tinese are the matcined reference contractions ranked in 'rable 1 as the 6 most severe oy an average of three indexes of business activity (see Business Cycle Indicators, G. li. Moore, Ed., 1461, Vol. I, p. 104). All others are mild nonwar cycles. The sixth most severe reference contraction, 1882-85, is a marginal one, but is considerably more severe by Moore's rankings than the seventh most severe, 1895-97 (and even ranks slightly ahead of the protracted 1873-79 decline).

TABLE F-2
CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE THREE DETERMINANTS TO NONWAR REFERENCE CYCLE PATTERNS OF THE RATE OF CHANGE IN THE MONEY STOCK, 1878-1961 (per cent per year)

| Reference Cycle Stages |  | AVER | RATE OF | E IN THE <br> ntributed | STOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | High- <br> Powered <br> Money | Currency Ratio | Reserve Ratio |
| FISCAL-YEAR DATA |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1878-85$ <br> (1) | I | -12.2 | -5.6 | -3.7 | -2.9 |
|  | II | 5.0 | -0. 5 | 1.6 | 4.0 |
|  | III | 5.8 | 11.0 | -1.3 | -4.0 |
|  | IV | 11.9 | 6.9 | -0.2 | 5.3 |
|  | V | -3.8 | -5.4 | 0.5 | 1.1 |
|  | VII | -5.0 | -3.8 | -0.5 | -0.7 |
|  | IX | -5.6 | -3.0 | 4.4 | -7.0 |
| Cycle Average |  | 8.2 | 6.6 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| 1885-88 | I | -3.0 | 1.7 | 2.5 | -7.3 |
|  | III | 2.6 | -5.0 | 3.0 | 4.7 |
| (2) | V | 0.5 | 3.1 | -2.5 | -0.2 |
|  | IX | -3.1 | 2.2 | -3.5 | -1.9 |
| Cycle Average |  | 5.7 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 0.8 |
| 1888-91 |  | -3.4 | 1.2 | -1.6 | -3.0 |
|  | III | -0.6 | -2.2 | 1.7 | -0.1 |
| (3) | V | 3.9 | 0.7 | -0.1 | 3.4 |
|  | IX | -3.2 | 1.9 | -1.6 | -3.4 |
| Cycle Average |  | 5.9 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 1.9 |
| 1891-94 | I | 0.8 | 1.6 | -0.9 | 0.1 |
|  | III | 8.7 | 3.2 | 4.7 | 0.7 |
| (4) | V | -8.5 | -4.2 | -6.9 | 2.6 |
|  | IX | -1.2 | 0.4 | 5.4 | -6.8 |
| Cycle Average |  | 2.0 | 3.2 | 0.5 | -1.7 |
| 1894-97 | I | -0.4 | 5.4 | 3.8 | -9.5 |
|  | III | 4.5 | -2.5 | 0.7 | 6.3 |
| (5) | V | -6.1 | -5.3 | -1.6 | 0.8 |
|  | IX | 3.6 | 10.3 | -2.1 | -4.7 |
| Cycle Average |  | 1.3 | -1.8 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| 1897-1901 |  | -6.3 | 0.5 | -1.2 | -5.7 |
|  | III | 3.7 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 2.0 |
| (6) | V | -5.7 | -0.1 | -4.0 | -1.6 |
|  | IX | 2.8 | -2.2 | 4.3 | 0.8 |
| Cycle Average |  | 11.2 | 8.0 | 1.2 | 2.0 |
| 1901-04 | I | 5.8 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 1.2 |
|  | III | 0.5 | -1.4 | 0.8 | 1.2 |
| (7) | V | -2.0 | 0.3 | -3.2 | 0.8 |
|  | IX | -2.9 | 1.5 | 0.9 | -5.3 |
| Cycle Average |  | 8.2 | 4.9 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| 1904-08 | I | -0.1 | 0.2 | 2.3 | -2.6 |
|  | II | 6.0 | -3.6 | 3.0 | 6.6 |
| (8) | III | 2.2 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 2.4 |
|  | IV | 2.0 | 2.3 | $-1.0$ | 0.7 |

(continued)

TABLE F-2 (continued)

AVERAGE RATE OF CHANGE IN THE MONEY STOCK

| Reference Cycle Stages | Total | Contributed by: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | HighPowered Money | Currency Ratio | Reserve katio |
|  |  | MOiNTHLY LATA |  |  |
| V | -8.1 | -10.0 | 8.1 | -6.2 |
| VI | -12.7 | -9.0 | 3.7 | -7.5 |
| VII | -17.8 | 22.1 | -30.2 | -9.8 |
| VIII | -0.5 | -9.4 | 17.4 | -8.5 |
| IX | 8.2 | -7.8 | 9.6 | 6.2 |
| Cycle Average | 5.4 | 6.2 | 0.4 | -1.2 |
| 1908-12 I | 6.2 | -3.6 | 6.5 | 3.3 |
| II | 5.8 | -0.5 | 2.9 | 3.4 |
| (9) III | 2.3 | 0.4 | 2.5 | -0.6 |
| IV | -0.7 | -2.4 | -1.7 | 3.3 |
| $V$ | -2.7 | -1.8 | -1.5 | 0.7 |
| VI | -4.0 | 1.8 | -3.5 | -2.2 |
| VII | -0.2 | 1.3 | -0.9 | -0.6 |
| VIII | -0.9 | -1.1 | 2.6 | -2.4 |
| IX | -0.5 | 0.8 | 0.2 | -1.7 |
| Cycle Average | 7.3 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 1.7 |
| 1912-14 I | 2.7 | 0.8 | 3.5 | -1.6 |
| II | 2.6 | 1.7 | -6.8 | 7.7 |
| (10) III | 1.3 | -2.7 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| IV | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.9 | -2.9 |
| V | -0.4 | 0.6 | -0.3 | -0.7 |
| VI | -2.7 | -0.6 | -1.5 | -0.6 |
| VII | 0.5 | -1.9 | 6.0 | -3.6 |
| VIII | -0.1 | 4.9 | -4.0 | -1.0 |
| IX | -5.7 | -24.7 | 16.1 | 2.8 |
| Cycle Average | 4.1 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 1.6 |
| 1919-21 | 9.8 | 6.2 | 5.8 | -2.1 |
| II | 8.2 | 3.0 | 7.9 | -2.7 |
| (11) III | 15.9 | 8.9 | 2.6 | 4.3 |
| IV | 15.3 | 11.5 | -2.4 | 6.3 |
| V | 8.2 | 10.2 | -4.8 | 3.0 |
| VI | 2.1 | 9.3 | -6.6 | -0.6 |
| VII | -5.7 | -3.1 | -2.4 | -0.3 |
| VIII | -18.1 | -18.6 | 2.0 | -1.4 |
| IX | -12.7 | -16.5 | 4.0 | -0.1 |
| Cycle Average | 4.3 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 1921-24 | $-14.2$ | -18.0 | 2.4 | 1.4 |
|  | -5.1 | -10.8 | 6.1 | -0.4 |
| (12) | 6.8 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 2.2 |
|  | 2.4 | 4.7 | -3.4 | 1.1 |
|  | 0.8 | 1.6 | -7.4 | 6.6 |
|  | -4.8 | 1.1 | -4.8 | -1.1 |
|  | -2.9 | -0.9 | -0.5 | -1.5 |
|  | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.0 | -0.7 |
| IX | 7.2 | 3.5 | 8.0 | -4.2 |
| Cycle Average | 5.9 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 0.4 |

(continued)

TABLE F-2 (continued)

AVERAGE RATE OF CHANGE IN THE MONEY STOCK

| Reference Cycle Stages | Total | Contributed by: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | High- <br> Powered Money | Currency Ratio | Reserve Ratio |
|  |  | MONTHLY DATA |  |  |
| 1924-27 I | 8.0 | 4.3 | 8.8 | -4.9 |
| II | 3.5 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 2.0 |
| (13) III | 2.3 | 2.7 | 0.3 | -0.7 |
| IV | -3.7 | -1.4 | -2.3 | 0.0 |
| V | -6.9 | -5.3 | -2.1 | 0.4 |
| VI | -1.8 | -1.5 | -1.9 | 1.5 |
| VII | -1.0 | 5.3 | 1.1 | -7.4 |
| VIII | -2.0 | -5.0 | 0.5 | 2.4 |
| LX | -2.2 | -2.1 | 3.0 | -3.3 |
| Cycle Average | 5.0 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 1.2 |
| 1927-33 I | 9.8 | -4.4 | 13.1 | 1.0 |
| II | 7.9 | -3.4 | 6.1 | 5.1 |
| (14) III | 10.0 | -4.4 | 11.2 | 3.2 |
| IV | 6.3 | -3.4 | 5.9 | 3.8 |
| $V$ | 10.5 | -5.0 | 13.8 | 1.9 |
| VI | 4.6 | -7.6 | 9.9 | 2.4 |
| VII | -9.2 | 7.3 | -11.9 | -4.6 |
| VIII | -4.7 | 7.6 | -8.3 | -4.1 |
| IX | -48.4 | -13.1 | -32.7 | -2.5 |
| Cycle Average | -6.9 | 3.5 | -7.3 | -3.1 |
| 1933-38 | -61.8 | -19.5 | -43.3 | 1.0 |
| II | 2.0 | -1.4 | 8.0 | -4.7 |
| (15) III | 6.4 | 4.7 | 0.2 | 1.6 |
| IV | 2.3 | 1.8 | -3.4 | 3.9 |
| V | -8.8 | -10.6 | -4.8 | 6.6 |
| VI | -6.8 | -6.9 | -5.4 | 5.4 |
| VII | -12.3 | -8.7 | -3.1 | -0.5 |
| VIII | -8.6 | 3.1 | -3.3 | -8.4 |
| IX | -6.7 | 6.7 | -3.8 | -9.6 |
| Cycle Average | 6.5 | 9.9 | 3.3 | -6.6 |
| 1945-49 | 9.9 | 7.4 | 3.0 | -0.6 |
|  | 3.9 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| (16) | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.2 | -0.3 |
|  | -2.7 | 5.3 | -0.8 | -7. 3 |
|  | -4.2 | 6.8 | -1.0 | -9.7 |
|  | -4.2 | -2.7 | -0.4 | -1.3 |
|  | -2.0 | -9.8 | -0.9 | 9.0 |
|  | -3.4 | -19.8 | -0.6 | 16.4 |
| IX | -3.4 | -11.1 | -0.5 | 7.9 |
| Cycle Average | 2.6 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.8 |

(continued)

TABLE F-2 (concluded)
average rate of change in the money stock

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Reference Cycle } \\ \text { Stages } \end{gathered}$ | Total | Contributed by: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | HighPowered Money | Currency Ratio | Reserve Ratio |
|  |  | montily data |  |  |
| 1949-54 I | -4.7 | -13.2 | 0.1 | 8.2 |
| II | -0.6 | -0.3 | 0.9 | -1.3 |
| (17) | 1.4 | 4.6 | -0.7 | -2.7 |
|  | 0.1 | 0.9 | -1.1 | 0.6 |
|  | -1.2 | -3.9 | -0.5 | 3.0 |
|  | -1.5 | -2.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
|  | -1.1 | -4.1 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
|  | 0.3 | -4.7 | 1.4 | 3.6 |
|  | 1.0 | -12.1 | 2.8 | 10.5 |
| Cycle Average | 3.8 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 0.5 |
| 1954-58 I | 1.9 | -10.2 | 2.9 | 9.5 |
| II | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| (18) III | -1.2 | 0.1 | -0.9 | -0.3 |
| IV | 0.2 | 1.0 | -0.1 | -0.8 |
| $v$ | -0.1 | -1.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| VI | -1.0 | -0.3 | 0.0 | -0.3 |
| VII | -3.5 | 0.9 | -1.5 | -2.3 |
| VIII | 3.6 | -1.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 |
| IX | 5.7 | -4.4 | 2.6 | 7.7 |
| Cycle Average | 2.9 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.5 |
| 1958-61 | 5.5 | -3.1 | 2.4 | 6.5 |
|  | 3.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.4 |
| (19) III | 0.1 | 3.1 | -0.7 | -2.4 |
| IV | -4.3 | -0.9 | -1.8 | -1.6 |
| v | -3.1 | 0.9 | -0.6 | -3.7 |
| vi | 0.6 | 1.8 | 1.3 | -2.2 |
| viI | 3.5 | -4.0 | 1.3 | 5.8 |
| VIII | -0.1 | -10.7 | 1.2 | 9.6 |
| IX | 4.6 | 6.8 | 2.9 | -5.7 |
| Cycle Average | 3.1 | -0.9 | 1.2 | 2.8 |
|  |  | AVERAGES |  |  |
| 7 mild I |  |  |  | -3.2 |
| cycles III | 2.0 | -1.8 | 1.7 | 2.2 |
| 1878-1914 | -1.8 | -0.4 | -1.9 | 0.5 |
|  | -1.3 | -1.5 | 2.0 | -1.9 |
| 6 mild I | 1.1 | -5.5 | 3.3 | 3.4 |
| cycles II | 0.9 | -1.1 | 1.8 | 0.2 |
| 1919-61 | 1.8 | 2.7 | -0.2 | -0.7 |
|  | -1.3 | 1.6 | -1.6 | -1.3 |
|  | -2.4 | -0.2 | -1.9 | -0.5 |
|  | -2.1 | -0.6 | -1.0 | -0.4 |
|  | -1.2 | -2.1 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
|  | 0.0 | -6.6 | 1.0 | 5.6 |
|  | 2.2 | -3.2 | 3.1 | 2.2 |

NOTES TO TABLE F-2
Source: Friedman and Schwartz, A Monetary History, Tables A-1 and B-3, extended to 1961. These data differ slightly from those used for Table F-1.

Note: These patterns are numerical deviations from cycle averages. Add the cycle average to derive the actual rates of change. Because of rounding and approximation error, the total rate may not equal exactly the sum of the other three columns.

Rates of clange: Computed the same way as for Table $\mathrm{F}-1$, except that the rates of change were first computed for each year or month and then averaged for the reference cycle stages. Although semiannual data are avallable before 1882, cycle 1 is based on Jan. figures only, centered on preceding Dec.

Mild cycles: Same as for Table F-1.

TABLE F-3
CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE THREE DETERMINANTS TO STEP CYCLES IN THE RATE OF CHANGE IN THE MONEY STOCK, 1877-1954
(per cent per year)
average rate of change in the money stock

| Step Cycl |  | e Phase |  |  | Total | Contributed by: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | High-Powered ! H ney | Currency Ratio |  | Reserve Ratio |
| Expansion | Feb . |  |  | 1879 | - Aug. | 1881 | 19.3 | 15.7 | 1.2 | 2.1 |
| Contraction | Aug. | 1881 | - June | 1885 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 2.1 | -1.4 |
| Expansion | June | 1885 | - June | 1887 | 7.2 | 0.9 | 3.3 | 2.8 |
| Contraction | June | 1887 | - June | 1888 | 2.5 | 4.0 | -0.5 | -0.8 |
| Expansion | June | 1888 | - June | 1890 | 7.5 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 3.4 |
| Contraction | June | 1890 | - June | 1891 | 2.8 | 4.8 | -0.5 | -1.7 |
| Expansion | June | 1891 | - June | 1892 | 10.6 | 6.5 | 5.1 | -0.9 |
| Contraction | June | 1892 | - June | 1893 | -6.5 | -1.0 | -6.5 | 1.1 |
| Expansion | June | 1893 | - June | 1895 | 3.3 | -0.4 | 4.6 | -0.9 |
| Contraction | June | 1895 | - June | 1896 | -4.8 | -7.2 | 0.5 | 1.9 |
| Expansion | June | 1896 | - June | 1899 | 11.5 | 8.5 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| Contraction | June | 1899 | - June | 1900 | 5.5 | 7.9 | -2.7 | 0.4 |
| Expansion | Junc | 1900 | - June | 1901 | 14.0 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 2.5 |
| Contraction | June | 1901 | - June | 1904 | 6.8 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| Expansion | June | 1904 | - June | 1907 | 8.4 | 5.2 | 1.1 | 2.1 |
| Contraction | June | 1907 | - Feb. | 1908 | -11.4 | 12.2 | -9.3 | -14.3 |
| Expansion | Feb. | 1908 | - Aug. | 1909 | 10.8 | -0.9 | 9.1 | 2.6 |
| Contraction | Aug. | 1909 | - Aug. | 1910 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| Expansion | Aug. | 1910 | - June | 1912a | 7.1 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 3.3 |
| Contraction | June | 1912 | - July | $1913{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.2 | 2.5 | 0.5 | -0.8 |
| Expansion | July | 1913 | - May | $1914^{\text {a }}$ | 6.1 | 3.0 | 3.9 | -0.7 |
| Contraction | May | 1914 | - Dec. | $1914{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.4 | -3.6 | -0.4 | 5.4 |
| Expansion | Dec. | 1914 | - July | 1917 | 15.8 | 15.7 | -0.2 | 0.2 |
| Contraction | July | 1917 | - Aug. | 1918 | 7.8 | 18.9 | -13.6 | 2.4 |
| Expansion | Aug. | 1918 | - Mar. | 1920 | 17.0 | 9.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 |
| Contraction | Mar. | 1920 | - July | 1921 | -6.1 | -7.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Expansion | July | 1921 | - May | 1923 | 7.3 | 1.8 | 4.2 | 1.3 |
| Contraction | May | 1923 | - Feb. | 1924 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.0 | -1.1 |
| Expansion | Feb. | 1924 | - Sept. | 1925 | 9.3 | 2.5 | 5.2 | 1.6 |
| Contraction | Sept. | 1925 | - Dec. | 1926 | 0.2 | 0.6 | -0.7 | 0.2 |
| Expansion | Dec. | 1926 | - Apr. | 1928 | 6.1 | 1.3 | 4.1 | 0.8 |
| Contraction | Apr. | 1928 | - Apr. | 1933 | -9.0 | 2.3 | -8.4 | -3.4 |
| Expansion | Apr. | 1933 | - July | 1936 | 12.1 | 14.1 | 4.5 | -6.7 |
| Contraction | July | 1936 | - May | 1938 | 0.4 | 8.0 | -0.6 | -7.0 |
| Expansion | May | 1938 | - Sept. | 1945 a | 14.9 | 15.0 | -2.5 | 2.4 |
| Contraction | Sept. | 1945 | - Oct. | $1949{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.3 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.5 |
| Expansion | Oct. | 1949 | - Aug. | 1952 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 1.0 | -1.1 |
| Contraction | Aug. | 1952 | - June | 1954 | 2.9 | -0.4 | 0.8 | 2.6 |

## NOTES TO TABLE F-3

Source: Step cycle dates were derived by Friedman and Schwartz (see Table 1 of "Money and Business Cycles"). The published dates have been revised from those used above. The differences are as follows: The Aug. 1909 peak has been revised to June 1909, the Aug. 1918 trough to May 1918, the Feb. 1924 trough to Mar. 1924, the Sept. 1945 peak to Oct. 1945, the Oct. 1949 trough to Jan. 1950, the Aug. 1952 peak to Dec. 1952, and the June 1954 trough to April 1954.

Rates of change were computed by the method described for Table F-1.
${ }^{\text {a July }} 1913$ trough and May 1914 peak in step cycles do not correspond to reference cycles. All others do, though there is no step cycle corresponding to a reference cycle trough in Oct. 1945 and peak in Nov. 1948.

TABLE F-4
CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE THREE DETERMINANTS TO SECULAR MOVEMENTS IN the money stock, 1877-1953: average rate of change between SPECIFIC CYCLE BASES CENTERED AT PEAKS
(per cent per year)
average rate of change in the soney stock

| Period Between Specific Cycle Bases, Centered at Peaks |  | Contributed by: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { High-Powered } \\ \text { Money } \end{gathered}$ | Currency Ratio | Reserve Ratio |
| Nov. 1880 - Dec. 1885 | 6.8 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 0.1 |
| Dec. 1885 - Dec. 1889 | 4.7 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 0.8 |
| Dec. 1889 - Dec. 1891 | 8.1 | 5.3 | 1.8 | 1.0 |
| Dec. 1891 - Dec. 1894 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.4 | -1.0 |
| Dec. 1894 - Dec. 1898 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| Dec. 1898 - Dec. 1900 | 20.7 | 13.3 | 3.1 | 4.3 |
| Dec. 1900 - Dec. 1904 | 7.9 | 5.3 | 1.6 | 1.0 |
| Dec. 1904 - Nov. 1908 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 1.3 | -1.5 |
| Nov. 1908 - Oct. 1911 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 1.8 |
| Oct. 1911 - Dec. 1916 | 6.1 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Dec. 1916 - Dec. 1918 | 23.3 | 25.1 | -5.1 | 3.4 |
| Dec. 1918 - Apr. 1922 | 2.4 | -1.5 | 2.6 | 1.3 |
| Apr. 1922 - Aug. 1925 | 5.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 0.7 |
| Aug. 1925 - Nov. 1927 | 4.7 | 0.9 | 2.9 | 0.9 |
| Nov. 1927 - Apr. 1936 | -2.0 | 4.5 | -2.8 | -4.0 |
| Apr. 1936 - June 1943 | 12.3 | 15.8 | -1.2 | -2.4 |
| June 1943 - July 1951 | 6.6 | 5.0 | -0.1 | 1.7 |
| Source: Rates of ch formula 2 in Chap. 2 (a tween average levels of the initial to the term and centered at the spe cycle dates are the sam sum of other cols. beca (Comparable figures on Table 28.) | were hence mone trou ic cyc for of ro feren | omputed by th e compounded stock and the of each mate peak. The d ble F-l. Tot ing and appro cycle basis | approxim ontinuous determina ed specif ta and sp $l$ may not ximation re given | ion to ), besfrom cycle ific qual ror. |

TABLE F-5
SOURCES OF CHANGE IN HIGH-POWERED MONEY, FISCAL YEARS, 1876-1955 (mlllions of dollars)

| Year Ending June 30 | Total <br> (1) | SOURCES OP CHANGE IN HIGH-POWERED MONEY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Monetary <br> Gold <br> Stoek <br> (2) | Federal Reserve Operations (3) | National Bank Notes (4) | Treasury Operations |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Silver Purchases (5) | Total Excluding Silver (6) | Budget <br> (7) | Public Debt (8) | ```Depos1ts at Banks (9)``` | Miscellaneous Accounts (10) |
| 1876 | -20 | 10 |  | -24 | 11 | -17 | -29 | 20 | -4 | -4 |
| 1877 | 5 | 33 |  | $\pm$ | 12 | -36 | -40 | -14 | 0 | 18 |
| 1878 | 5 | 46 |  | 9 | 20 | -68 | -21 | -72 | 40 | -15 |
| 1879 | 18 | 34 |  | 9 | 22 | -47 | -7 | -138 | 161 | -63 |
| 1880 | 159 | 106 |  | 10 | 25 | 18 | -66 | 207 | -200 | 77 |
| 1881 | 135 | 123 |  | -6 | 23 | -5 | -100 | 85 | 4 | 6 |
| 1882 | 52 | 24 |  | -3 | 24 | 7 | -146 | 166 | 0 | -13 |
| 1883 | 59 | 34 |  | 3 | 26 | -4 | -133 | 134 | 1 | -6 |
| 1884 | 6 | 2 |  | -17 | 24 | -3 | -104 | 100 | 1 | 0 |
| 1885 | 65 | 42 |  | -25 | 24 | 4 | -63 | 46 | -3 | 24 |
| 1886 | -41 | 0 |  | -26 | 23 | -38 | -94 | 45 | 6 | 5 |
| 1887 | 68 | 60 |  | -72 | 26 | 54 | -103 | 128 | 7 | 22 |
| 1888 | 49 | 50 |  | -15 | 24 | -10 | -111 | 75 | 35 | -9 |
| 1889 | 9 | -26 |  | -26 | 25 | 36 | -88 | 121 | -11 | 14 |
| 1890 | 47 | 15 |  | -3 | 27 | 8 | -85 | 105 | -17 | 5 |
| 1891 | 67 | -53 |  | -2 | 54 | 68 | -27 | 101 | -4 | -2 |
| 1892 | 96 | 11 |  | 17 | 51 | 17 | -10 | 26 | -12 | 15 |
| 1893 | -10 | -79 |  | 13 | 46 | 10 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 11 |
| 1894 | 50 | 28 |  | 17 | 9 | $-4$ | 61 | -50 | 0 | -15 |
| 1895 | -68 | 0 |  | 6 | 0 | -74 | 31 | -81 | 1 | -25 |
| 1896 | -102 | -45 |  | 21 | 0 | -78 | 16 | -131 | 1 | 38 |
| 1897 | 126 | 89 |  | -2 | 0 | 39 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 1898 | 197 | 163 |  | -8 | 0 | 42 | 38 | 0 | 23 | -19 |
| 1899 | 83 | 105 |  | 10 | 0 | -32 | 89 | -199 | 38 | 40 |
| 1900 | 122 | 57 |  | 66 | 1 | -2 | -46 | 23 | 22 | -1 |
| 1901 | 116 | 85 |  | 53 | 0 | -22 | -63 | 36 | 1 | 4 |
| 1902 | 70 | 66 |  | -9 | 0 | 13 | -77 | 56 | 24 | 10 |
| 1903 | 116 | 55 |  | 53 | 0 | 8 | -45 | 17 | 28 | 8 |
| 1904 | 145 | 76 |  | 39 | 0 | 30 | 43 | 18 | -35 | 4 |
| 1905 | 70 | 28 |  | 51 | 0 | -9 | 23 | 1 | -60 | 7 |
| 1906 | 147 | 116 |  | 58 | 0 | -27 | -25 | 0 | 17 | -19 |
| 1907 | 172 | 124 |  | 38 | 8 | 2 | -87 | 0 | 88 | 1 |
| 1908 | 264 | 152 |  | 64 | 10 | 38 | 57 | -6 | -19 | 6 |
| 1909 | 61 | 24 |  | 29 | 3 | 5 | 89 | -15 | -88 | 19 |
| 1910 | 13 | -6 |  | 33 | 1 | -15 | 18 | 1 | -19 | -15 |
| 1911 | 118 | 117 |  | 10 | 1 | -10 | -11 | -2 | -4 | 7 |
| 1912 | 47 | 65 |  | 24 | 3 | -45 | -3 | -48 | 2 | 4 |
| 1913 | 92 | 53 |  | 13 | 1 | 25 | 0 | -2 | 27 | 0 |
| 1914 | 32 | 20 |  | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | -2 | 9 | -2 |
| 1915 | 178 | 95 | 11 | 0 | 6 | 66 | 63 | -2 | -8 | 13 |

(continued)

TABLE F-5 (concluded)

| Year <br> Ending <br> June 30 | Total (1) | SOURCES OF CHANGE IN HIGH-POWERED MONEY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Monetary Gold Stock (2) | Federal <br> Reserve Operations (3) | National <br> Bank <br> Note 8 <br> (4) | Treasury Operations |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Silver Purchases (5) | Total Excluding S1lver (6) | Budget <br> (7) | Public Debt (8) | Deposits at Banks (9) | Miscellaneous Accounts (10) |
| 1916 | 466 | 459 | 131 | -46 | 7 | -85 | -48 | -2 | -21 | -14 |
| 1917 | 919 | 775 | 296 | -15 | 8 | -145 | 853 | -1,754 | 782 | -26 |
| 1918 | 824 | -57 | 748 | 21 | 34 | 78 | 9,032 | -9,279 | 736 | -411 |
| 1919 | 629 | -50 | 955 | -4 | -193 | -89 | 13,363 | -13,240 | -492 | 280 |
| 1920 | 677 | -248 | 763 | 11 | -19 | 169 | -291 | 1,176 | -649 | -67 |
| 1921 | -672 | 410 | -1,118 | 16 | 66 | -46 | -509 | 320 | 145 | -2 |
| 1922 | -205 | 510 | -845 | 22 | 57 | 51 | -736 | 1,014 | -248 | 21 |
| 1923 | 365 | 265 | -42 | -6 | 70 | 78 | -713 | 630 | 147 | 14 |
| 1924 | 162 | 438 | -283 | 10 | 20 | -23 | -963 | 1,094 | -120 | -34 |
| 1925 | 73 | -128 | 187 | -81 | 3 | 92 | -717 | 770 | -3 | 42 |
| 1926 | 173 | 87 | 86 | 3 | 5 | -8 | -865 | 844 | 53 | -40 |
| 1927 | 90 | 140 | -32 | 0 | 5 | -23 | -1,155 | 1,130 | -2 | 4 |
| 1928 | -58 | -478 | 400 | -2 | 4 | 18 | -939 | 904 | 41 | 12 |
| 1929 | -61 | 215 | -262 | 0 | 2 | -16 | -734 | 673 | 101 | -56 |
| 1930 | -172 | 211 | -409 | 3 | 3 | 20 | -738 | 736 | -76 | 98 |
| 1931 | 366 | 421 | -4 | -13 | 1 | -39 | 462 | -618 | 148 | -31 |
| 1932 | 469 | -1,037 | 1,409 | 13 | 1 | 83 | 2,735 | -2,650 | -14 | 12 |
| 1933 | 184 | . 399 | -263 | 78 | 1 | -31 | 2,602 | -3,002 | 425 | -56 |
| 1934 | 1,601 | 1,040 | 332 | -32 | 47 | 214 | 3,630 | -4,311 | 862 | 33 |
| 1935 | 1.440 | 1,239 | -39 | -476 | 202 | 514 | 2,791 | -1,341 | -896 | $23$ |
| 1936 | 968 | 1,551 | -286 | -222 | 396 | -471 | 4,425 | -5,282 | 327 | 59 |
| 1937 | 1,794 | 1,820 | 392 |  | 125 | -543 | 2,777 | -2,760 | -482 | -78 |
| 1938 | 1,142 | 520 | -40 |  | 202 | 460 | 1,177 | -799 | -74 | 156 |
| 1939 | 2,688 | 3,188 | -272 |  | 195 | -423 | 3,862 | -3,311 | 196 | -1.170 |
| 1940 | 4,496 | 3,854 | -564 |  | 122 | 1,084 | 3,918 | -2,553 | 35 | -316 |
| 1941 | 1,054 | 2,664 | -882 |  | 83 | -811 | 6,159 | -6,011 | -75 | -884 |
| 1942 | 2,134 | 46 | 1,098 |  | 70 | 920 | 21,490 | -23,474 | 1,086 | 1,818 |
| 1943 | 4,222 | -360 | 4,076 |  | 12 | 494 | 57,420 | -63,454 | 6,185 | - 343 |
| 1944 | 6,123 | -1,205 | 7,559 |  | -29 | -202 | 51,423 | -64,223 | 11,489 | 1,109 |
| 1945 | 5,859 | -900 | 6,741 |  | -48 | 66 | 53.941 | -56,881 | 4,875 | -1,869 |
| 1946 | 2,595 | 47 | 2,376 |  | -40 | 212 | 20,676 | -11,862 | -10,964 | 2,362 |
| 1947 | 221 | 1,076 | -1,790 |  | 17 | 918 | -754 | 13,143 | -12,057 | 586 |
| 1948 | 952 | 2,323 | -128 |  | 31 | -1,274 | -8,419 | 5,001 | 813 | 1,331 |
| 1949 | 242 | 897 | -2,224 |  | 33 | 1,536 | 1,811 | -663 | 124 | , 264 |
| 1950 | -2,447 | -306 | -1,712 |  | 37 | -466 | 3,122 | -4,468 | 1,497 | -617 |
| 1951 | 3,185 | -2,459 | 4,979 |  | 33 | 632 | -3,510 | 2,110 | 2,531 | -499 |
| 1952 | 2,075 | 1,660 | 419 |  | 35 | -39 | 4,017 | -3,918 | -211 | 73 |
| 1953 | 1,503 | -1,011 | 2,081 |  | 32 | 401 | 9,449 | -6,963 | -2,179 | 94 |
| 1954 | -1,316 | -494 | -584 |  | 31 | -269 | 3,117 | -5,102 | 1,953 | -237 |
| 1955 | -264 | -297. | -538 |  | 32 | 539 | 4,180 | -2,983 | -477 | -181 |

Note: For schematic description, see Table 9.

## NOTES TO TABLE F-5

## Source, by Column

(1): Change in currency outside the Treasury and Federal Reserve Banks, less national bank notes in vaults of issuing banks, plus deposits of commercial banks with Federal Reserve Banks. This series differs slightly from that in Friedman and Schwartz, A Honetary History, Table B-3, chiefly because of no seasonal adjustment and no adjustment for gold correction (see col. 2 and col. $1, c$, below). The quantity of high-powered money outstanding at the beginning of each fiscal year is shown in Table F-6, col. 7, and was derived by adding:
a. National bank notes secured by lawful money (the difference between national bank notes in circulation and national bank notes secured by U.S. bonds) : Historical Statistics of the United States, 1789-1945, Bureau of the Census, 1949 (Historical Statistics, 1949), Series H-165, p. 275; and Annual Rejort, Comptroller of the Currency, 1908, pp. 123-129; 1926, Pp. 138-140; 1931, pp. 178-179; 1934, p. 176; 1935, p. 184.
b. Note liabilities of national banks: 1875-1918: Annual Report, Comptroller of the Currency, 1918, Vol. II, pp. 254 ff .; values interpolated between closest June call dates in years for which national banks did not report on June 30 th. 1919-35: Historical Statistics, 1949, Series N-33, p. 263.
c. Treasury and Federal Reserve currency, i.e., the difference between money in circulation and national bank notes in circulation, 1875-1935; thereafter, money in circulation: Money in circulation is based on the published series in Banking and Monetary Statistics, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 1943, pp. 408-09, thereafter the Circulation Statement of U.S. Money in Federal Reserve Bulletin, with corrections for: lost gold 1875-1907, as estimated in Annual Report, Director of the Mint, 1907, pp. 87 and 94; fractional currency presumed lost 1875-78; subsidiary silver error 1891-1910, as estimated in ibid., 1910, p. 54. The series does not include: minor coin; an adjustment for gold presumed lost 1907-33. The original figures exclude $\$ 287$ million of gold coin in circulation beginning with 1914, the amount presumed lost in 1934. In computing the change from 1913 to 1914 this amount was restored.
d. Deposits of conmercial banks at Federal Reserve Banks (i.e., member bank reserves at Federal Reserve Banks less float, plus nonmember bank clearing accounts). Member bank deposits at Federal Reserve Banks less float, i.e., uncollected items less deferred availability items, excluding through April 1929 miscellaneous components not properly classified as defcred availability items (last Fri. of June 1915-21; last Wed. thereafter): 1915-16, Net deposits from Annual Report, Federal Reserve Board, 1915, p. 46 and FRB, 1916. 1917-21, ERB. 1922-41, deposits from Banking and Monetary Statistics, pp. 378-394 and float from FRB, 1942-55, deposits and float from FRB. Nonmember bank clearing accounts, beginning 1917: Figure for 1917 is for July 6, from FRB. 1918-55, straight-line estimates between Dec. 31 figures are shown on Reserve System balance sheets in Annual Report, Federal Reserve Board and, after 1935, Board of Governors, FRS, 1917-21, 1926-43, 1945-55. So derived, high-powered money excludes deposits at Federal Reserve Banks of certain government agencies (in addition to Treasury deposits, which are excluded intentionally). The first exclusion is inconsistent with the inclusion in the money stock of deposits of such agencies at commercial banks, though the quantities involved are quite small. Minor coin (nickels and pennies) is ignored because of unavailability of early data and its quantitative insignificance, though it is reported by banks in vauit cash data. Silver coinage is included in high-powered money.
(2): Change in domestic monetary gold stock (i.e., all pold coin and bullion at par value outside and inside banks and the Treasury): 1875-1907, Annual Report, Mint, 1907, p. 87. 1908-13, Circulation Statement of

## NOTES TO TABLE F-5 (continued)

United States Money. 1914-41, Banking and Monetary Statistics, pp. 373-77 and 536, with the corrections noted below. 1942-55, ERB. No adjustment was made for the premium on gold before the resumption of specie payments in 1879. For the post-1913 data, the three following corrections were made.
a. Gold in the active portion of the Exchange Equalization Fund, reported in Banking and Yonetary Statistics, p. 526, and in Report on the Finances, Secretary of the Treasury, $1940, \mathrm{p} .789$, was added to the figures shown in sources listed above, beginning 1934.
b. The official figures, beginning 1914, exclude (arbitrarily) \$287 million gold coin, the amount unaccounted for when gold coin was retired in 1933. In computing the change from 1913 to 1914 that amount was restored.
c. Part of the increase in the gold stock from 1933 to 1934 in the offim cial figures ( $\$ 2,811$ million) resulting from the devaluation of the dollar in 1934, was excluded in computing the change from 1933 to 1934. (The gold-stock series is shown annually in col. l, Table $\mathrm{F}-7$, with a different adjustment for lost gold.)
(3): Change in Federal Reserve currency outside Federal Reserve Banks, less all currency and gold held (here and abroad) by Federal Reserve Banks, plus deposits at Reserve Banks of the Treasury and of commercial banks, less float. The actual figures were derived as follows.
a. Federal Reserve notes and Federal Reserve Bank notes outside Federal Reserve banks (last Fri, of June 1915-20, June 30th thereafter): 1915-36, compiled directly from Annual Report, Federal Reserve Board and FRB, or by deduction of Federal Reserve notes at other Banks from amounts in circulation. 1937-50, Federal Reserve notes outstanding minus notes held by Federal Reserve Banks and agents, from Report on the Finances. 1951-55, "Statement of Condition of Federal Reserve Banks," FRB.
b. Reserve cash, redemption fund, nonreserve cash, other cash and gold held abroad: 1915-55, Annual Report, FRS, and FRB.
c. Deposits of the Treasury at Rederal Reserve Banks (last Friday of June 1915-20, end of June thereafter), FRB, and Banking and ilonetary Statistics, pp. 374-77.
d. Deposits of commercial banks at Federal Reserve Banks, less float: Same series described in note to col. 1, d. Before 1923, currency holdings of Reserve Banks exclude nickels, cents, and unassorted currency which are shown in "other resources," but with only small error.
(4): Liabilities of national banks for notes in circulation: Same series described in note to col. $1, \mathrm{~b}$, excluding Treasury liability for the notes (col. 1, a).
(5): Acquisition or sale by the Treasury of silver bullion (excluding U.S. coin) by cash payment, not by exchange for silver bars. This series measures net silver purchases at cost and represents increase or decrease in silver coin issued (or to be issued) or held at the Treasury as backing for silver certificates or Treasury notes of 1890. No appropriation of tax revenues was made for those purchases, which do not appear in the budget figures in col. 7. Seigniorage, when spent, was treated before 1934 as a budget receipt (see footnote 32, Chap. 3). 1934-55, purchase and sale under various acts (including lend-lease programs to cancel U.S. foreign debts) from Annual Report, Mint, 1955, pp. 56-84 (see 1954, pp. 36-39 for explanatory text), and amounts acquired and sold by the Exchange Equalization Fund in 1934 from Report on the Finances, 1940, p. 789. 1895-1933, cost of purchases (including amounts combined with gold ore purchased by the mints) from Annual Renort, Mint, for each year. Amounts deducted were realized from sale to India in 1919 under the Pittman act

## NOTES TO TABLE F-5 (concluded)

and from open-market sales in 1920 (D.il. Leavens, Silver Money, Bloomington, 1939, pp. 147 and 152). 1878-94, cost of purchases under the BlandAllison Act of 1878 and the Sherman Silver Purchase Act of 1890 from Annual Report, Hint, for each year (see al so summaries in ibid., 1894. pp. 16-17, and in Report on the Finances, 1897, pp. 192-193). The figure for 1878 includes $\$ 7.1$ million purchased for fractional coinage in addition to the $\$ 13.0$ million purchased under the act of 1878. 1875-77, cost of purchases under the acts of 1873 and 1875 from Annual Report, Mint for 1875 and 1877. Since only total purchases for $1876-77$ are given, that total was divided between the two fiscal years according to deposits of silver bullion at mints in each year.
(6): Sum of cols. 7-10.
(7): Fiscal year deficits or surpluses of the budget (minus signs indicate Treasury receipts, and conversely): 1875-1931, Historical Statistics, 1949, Series P-98, pp. 295-297. 1932-55, Report on the Finances, 1957, p. 332. The budget figures do not include transactions recorded in other Treasury accounts (cols. 8, 3, and 10) or net operating income of certain government agencies, such as trust funds and government corporations--the latter included here in the nongovernment sector. Budget figures for various years up to 1896 include premiums over par paid or recelved by the Treasury for U.S. bonds, but in inconsequential amounts (see Report on the Finances, 1899).
(8): Change in par value of interest-bearing and matured debt of the Treasury: Historical Statistics, 1949, Series P-134 and P-136, pp. 305-306; and Report on the Finances, 1955, pp. 404-405 (minus signs indicate payment of high-powered money by the public to the Treasury, and conversely).
(9): Change in deposits of the Treasury and its disbursing officers at comanercial banks: 1876-1918, Report on the Finances. 1918-47, All-Bank Statistics, United States, 1896-1955, Board of Governors, FRS, 1959. 1947-55, FRB. This series was taken from bank statements for the years after 1918 in order to omit amounts in transit between banks and the Treasury. For the years before 1919 , since banks did not consistently report on June 30 , Treasury statements were used. Transit items are excluded in the Report on the Finances, 1914-18.
(10): Col. 1 minus cols. 2-5 and 7-9. Figures renresent changes in miscellaneous (nonmonetary) Treasury assets and liabilities not shown in other columns. On the asset side are: dishursing officers' balances at subtreasuries (largely warrants issued but not paid); minor coin and fractional currency in Treasury offices; prepaid interest on the public debt and other prepaid items; and moneys in the Treasury, received but not yet registered by the issue of warrants. On the liability side are: checks and warrants outstanding; redemption fund for Federal Reserve Bank notes (but not the 5 per cent or retirement fund for national bank notes, shown as changes in note liabilities of national banks, col. 4); and Post Office funds on deposit at the Treasury.

TABLE F-6
SOURCES OF CHANGE IN HIGH-PONERED MONEY AS PERCENTAGE OF HIGH-POWERED MONEY, FISCAL YEARS, 1876-1955
(per cent per year)

| Year <br> Ending <br> June 30 | Total <br> (1) | SOURCES OF CHANGE IN HIGH-POWERED MONEY |  |  |  |  | Quantity of High-Powered Money at Beginning of Fiscal Year (\$ millions) (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Monetary Gold Stock <br> (2) | Federal <br> Reserve Operations (3) | National <br> Bank <br> Notes <br> (4) | Treasury Operations |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Silver Purchases <br> (5) | Total, Excluding silver <br> (6) |  |
| 1876 | -2.6 | 1.3 |  | -3.1 | 1.4 | -2.2 | 770 |
| 1877 | 0.7 | 4.4 |  | -0.5 | 1.6 | -4.8 | 750 |
| 1878 | 0.7 | 5.8 |  | 1.2 | 2.6 | -9.0 | 755 |
| 1879 | 2.4 | 4.5 |  | 1.2 | 2.9 | -6.2 | 760 |
| 1880 | 20.4 | 13.6 |  | 1.3 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 778 |
| 1881 | 14.4 | 13.1 |  | -0.6 | 2.5 | -0.5 | 937 |
| 1882 | 4.9 | 2.2 |  | -0.3 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 1,072 |
| 1883 | 5.2 | 3.0 |  | 0.3 | 2.3 | -0.4 | 1,124 |
| 1884 | 0.5 | 0.2 |  | -1.4 | 2.0 | -0.3 | 1,183 |
| 1885 | 3.8 | 3.5 |  | -2.1 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 1,189 |
| 1886 | -3.3 | 0.0 |  | -2.1 | 1.9 | -3.1 | 1.234 |
| 1887 | 5.7 | 5.0 |  | -6.0 | 2.2 | 4.5 | 1,193 |
| 1888 | 3.9 | 4.0 |  | -1.2 | 1.9 | -0.8 | 1,261 |
| 1889 | 0.7 | -2.0 |  | -2.0 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 1,310 |
| 1890 | 3.6 | 1.1 |  | -0.2 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 1,319 |
| 1891 | 4.9 | -3.9 |  | -0.1 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 1,366 |
| 1892 | 6.7 | 0.8 |  | 1.2 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 1,433 |
| 1893 | -0.7 | -5.2 |  | 0.9 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 1,529 |
| 1894 | 3.3 | 1.8 |  | 1.1 | 0.6 | -0.3 | 1,519 |
| 1895 | -4.3 | 0.0 |  | 0.4 | 0.0 | -4.7 | 1,569 |
| 1896 | -6.8 | -3.0 |  | 1.4 | 0.0 | -5.2 | 1,501 |
| 1897 | 9.0 | 6.4 |  | -0.1 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 1,399 |
| 1898 | 12.9 | 10.7 |  | -0.5 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 1,525 |
| 1899 | 4.8 | 6.1 |  | 0.6 | 0.0 | -1.9 | 1,722 |
| 1900 | 6.8 | 3.2 |  | 3.7 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 1,805 |
| 1901 | 6.0 | 4.4 |  | 2.8 | 0.0 | -1.1 | 1,927 |
| 1902 | 3.4 | 3.2 |  | -0.4 | 0 | 0.6 | 2,043 |
| 1903 | 5.5 | 2.6 |  | 2.5 | 0 | 0.4 | 2,113 |
| 1904 | 6.5 | 3.4 |  | 1.7 | 0 | 1.3 | 2,229 |
| 1905 | 2.9 | 1.2 |  | 2.1 | 0 | -0.4 | 2,374 |
| 1906 | 6.0 | 4.7 |  | 2.4 | 0.0 | -1.1 | 2,444 |
| 1907 | 6.6 | 4.8 |  | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 2,591 |
| 1908 | 9.6 | 5.5 |  | 2.3 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 2,763 |
| 1909 | 2.0 | 0.8 |  | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 3,027 |
| 1910 | 0.4 | -0.2 |  | 1.1 | 0.0 | -0.5 | 3,088 |
| 1911 | 3.8 | 3.8 |  | 0.3 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 3,101 |
| 1912 | 1.5 | 2.0 |  | 0.7 | 0.1 | -1.4 | 3,219 |
| 1913 | 2.8 | 1.6 |  | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 3,266 |
| 1914 | 1.0 | 0.6 |  | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 3,358 |
| 1915 | 5.7 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 2.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,390 \\ & 3,103 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1916 | 14.2 | 14.0 | 4.0 | -1.4 | 0.2 | -2.6 | 3,281 |
| 1917 | 24.5 | 20.7 | 7.9 | -0.4 | 0.2 | -3.9 | 3,747 |
| 1918 | 17.7 | -1.2 | 16.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 4.666 |
| 1919 | 11.5 | -0.9 | 17.4 | -0.1 | -3.5 | -1.6 | 5,490 |
| 1920 | 11.1 | -4.1 | 12.5 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 2.8 | 6,119 |
| 1921 | -9.9 | 6.0 | -16.5 | 0.2 | 1.0 | -0.7 | 6.796 |
| 1922 | -3.3 | 8.3 | -13.8 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 6,124 |
| 1923 | 6.2 | 4.5 | -0.7 | -0.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 5,919 |
| 1924 | 2.6 | 7.0 | -4.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | -0.4 | 6,284 |
| 1925 | 1.1 | -2.0 | 2.9 | -1.3 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 6,446 |

(continued)

TABLE F-6 (concluded)

| Year <br> Ending <br> June 30 | Total <br> (1) | SOURCES OF CHANGE IN HIGG-POWERED MONEY |  |  |  |  | Quantity of High-Powe red Money at Beginning of Fiscal Year ( $\$$ millions) (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Monetary Gold Stock (2) | Federal <br> Reserve Operations (3) | National Bank Notes (4) | Treasury Operations |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Silver Purchases (5) | Total, Excluding Silver (6) |  |
| 1926 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 6,519 |
| 1927 | 1.3 | 2.1 | -0.5 | 0.0 | . 1 | -0.3 | 6,692 |
| 1928 | -0.9 | -7.0 | 5.9 | 0.0 | . 1 | 0.3 | 6,782 |
| 1929 | -0.9 | 3.2 | -3.9 | 0.0 | 0 | -0.2 | 6,724 |
| 1930 | -2.6 | 3.2 | -6.1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.3 | 6,663 |
| 1931 | 5.6 | 6.5 | -0.1 | -0.2 | 0 | -0.6 | 6,491 |
| 1932 | 6.8 | -15.1 | 20.5 | 0.2 | 0 | 1.2 | 6,857 |
| 1933 | 2.5 | 5.4 | -3.6 | 1.1 | 0.0 | -0.4 | 7,326 |
| 1934 | 21.3 | 13.8 | 4.4 | -0.4 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 7,510 |
| 1935 | 15.8 | 13.6 | -0.4 | -5.2 | 2.2 | 5.6 | 9.111 |
| 1936 | 9.2 | 14.7 | -2.7 | -2.1 | 3.8 | -4.5 | 10,551 |
| 1937 | 15.6 | 15.8 | 3.4 |  | 1.1 | -4.7 | 11,519 |
| 1938 | 8.6 | 3.9 | -0.3 |  | 1.5 | 3.5 | 13,313 |
| 1939 | 18.6 | 22.1 | -1.9 |  | 1.3 | -2.9 | 14,455 |
| 1940 | 26.2 | 22.5 | -3.3 |  | 0.7 | 6.3 | 17,143 |
| 1941 | 4.9 | 12.3 | -4.1 |  | 0.4 | -3.7 | 21,639 |
| 1942 | 9.4 | 0.2 | 4.8 |  | 0.3 | 4.1 | 22,693 |
| 1943 | 17.0 | -1.5 | 16.4 |  | 0.0 | 2.0 | 24,827 |
| 1944 | 21.1 | -4.1 | 26.0 |  | -0.1 | -0.7 | 29,049 |
| 1945 | 16.7 | -2.6 | 19.2 |  | -0.1 | 0.2 | 35,172 |
| 1946 | 6.3 | 0.1 | 5.8 |  | -0.1 | 0.5 | 41,031 |
| 1947 | 0.5 | 2.5 | -4.1 |  | 0.0 | 2.1 | 43,626 |
| 1948 | 2.2 | 5.3 | -0.3 |  | 0.1 | -2.9 | 43,847 |
| 1949 | 0.5 | 2.0 | -5.0 |  | 0.1 | 3.4 | 44,799 |
| 1950 | -5.4 | -0.7 | -3.8 |  | 0.1 | -1.0 | 45,041 |
| 1951 | 7.5 | -5.8 | 11.7 |  | 0.1 | 1.5 | 42,594 |
| 1952 | 4.5 | 3.6 | 0.9 |  | 0.1 | -0.1 | 45,779 |
| 1953 | 3.1 | -2.1 | 4.3 |  | 0.1 | 0.8 | 47,854 |
| 1954 | -2.7 | -1.0 | -1.2 |  | 0.1 | -0.5 | 49,357 |
| 1955 | -0.5 | -0.6 | -1.1 |  | 0.1 | 1.1 | 48,041 |

Source, by Column
(1)-(6): Table F-5, cols. l-6, respectively, divided by col. 7 of Table F-6.
(7): Same series for which changes are shown in col. 1. Table F-5. The first figure for 1915 includes, and the second excludes, the $\$ 287$ million presumed lost between 1907 and 1934. The figure for 1956 is $\$ 47,777$ million.
Note: Detail may not add exactly to total because of rounding.

TABLE F-7
STOCK OF MONETARY GOLD, ITS RELATION TO HIGH-POWERED MONEY, AND COMMODITY VALUE OF GOLD, AINUALLY, 1875-1955

| Year <br> (end of June) | Stock of Monetary Gold ( $\$$ millions) |  | Ratio of High-Powered Money to Gold Stock (3) | Index of Commodity Value of Gold (1926a100) (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current Par Value <br> (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pre-1934 } \\ & \text { Par Value } \\ & \text { (2) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 1875 | 89 | a | 8.65 | 142 |
| 1876 | 99 |  | 7.58 | 148 |
| 1877 | 132 |  | 5.72 | 144 |
| 1878 | 176 |  | 4.32 | 162 |
| 1879 | 210 |  | 3.70 | 162 |
| 1880 | 316 |  | 2.96 | 146 |
| 1881 | 439 |  | 2.44 | 142 |
| 1882 | 463 |  | 2.43 | 135 |
| 1883 | 497 |  | 2.38 | 145 |
| 1884 | 499 |  | 2.38 | 157 |
| 1885 | 541 |  | 2.28 | 172 |
| 1886 | 541 |  | 2.21 | 178 |
| 1887 | 601 |  | 2.10 | 172 |
| 1888 | 651 |  | 2.01 | 170 |
| 1889 | 625 |  | 2.11 | 180 |
| 1890 | 640 |  | 2.13 | 178 |
| 1891 | 587 |  | 2.44 | 179 |
| 1892 | 598 |  | 2.56 | 192 |
| 1893 | 519 |  | 2.93 | 187 |
| 1894 | 547 |  | 2.87 | 209 |
| 1895 | 547 |  | 2.74 | 205 |
| 1896 | 502 |  | 2.79 | 215 |
| 1897 | 591 |  | 2.58 | 215 |
| 1898 | 754 |  | 2.28 | 206 |
| 1899 | 859 |  | 2.10 | 192 |
| 1900 | 916 |  | 2.10 | 178 |
| 1901 | 1,001 |  | 2.04 | 181 |
| 1902 | 1,067 |  | 1.98 | 170 |
| 1903 | 1,122 |  | 1.99 | 168 |
| 1904 | 1,198 |  | 1.98 | 168 |
| 1905 | 1,226 |  | 1.99 | 166 |
| 1906 | 1,342 |  | 1.93 | 162 |
| 1907 | 1,466 |  | 1.88 | 153 |
| 1908 | 1,607 |  | 1.88 | 159 |
| 1909 | 1,620 |  | 1.89 | 148 |
| 1910 | 1,603 |  | 1.91 | 142 |
| 1911 | 1,709 |  | 1.86 | 154 |
| 1912 | 1,763 |  | 1.82 | 145 |
| 1913 | 1,805 | a | 1.82 | 143 |
| 1914 | 1.814 |  | 1.83 | 147 |
| 1915 | 1,898 |  | 1.83 | 144 |
| 1916 | 2,346 |  | 1.68 | 117 |
| 1917 | 3,110 |  | 1.56 | 85 |
| 1918 | 3,041 |  | 1.86 | 76 |
| 1919 | 2,980 |  | 2.11 | 72 |
| 1920 | 2,721 |  | 2.55 | 65 |

(continued)

TABLE F-7 (continued)

| Year (end of June) | Stock of Monetary Gold ( $\$$ millions) |  | Ratio of High-Powered Money to Gold Stock <br> (3) | Index of Commodity Value of Gold (1926-100) (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current Par Value <br> (1) | Pre-1934 <br> Par value <br> (2) |  |  |
| 1921 | 3,120 |  | 2.01 | 102 |
| 1922 | 3,619 |  | 1.67 | 103 |
| 1923 | 3,873 |  | 1.65 | 99 |
| 1924 | 4,300 |  | 1.52 | 102 |
| 1925 | 4,161 |  | 1.59 | 97 |
| 1926 | 4,237 |  | 1.60 | 100 |
| 1927 | 4,366 |  | 1.57 | 105 |
| 1928 | 3,877 |  | 1.75 | 103 |
| 1929 | 4,081 |  | 1.64 | 105 |
| 1930 | 4,281 |  | 1.52 | 116 |
| 1931 | 4,691 |  | 1.47 | 137 |
| $1 \rightarrow 32$ | 3,643 |  | 2.01 | 154 |
| 1933 | 4,031 |  | 1.86 | 194 |
| 1934 | 7,877 | 4,652 | 1.16 | 225 |
| 1935 | 9.116 | 5,384 | 1.16 | 212 |
| 1936 | 10,667 | 6,300 | 1.08 | 210 |
| 1937 | 12,487 | 7,375 | 1.07 | 196 |
| 1938 | 13,007 | 7,682 | 1.11 | 215 |
| 1939 | 16,195 | 9,565 | 1.06 | 220 |
| 1940 | 20,049 | 11,841 | 1.08 | 215 |
| 1941 | 22,713 | 13,414 | 1.00 | 194 |
| 1942 | 22,759 | 13,441 | 1.09 | 171 |
| 1943 | 22,399 | 13,229 | 1.30 | 164 |
| 1944 | 21,194 | 12,517 | 1.66 | 163 |
| 1945 | 20,294 | 11,986 | 2.02 | 160 |
| 1946 | 20,341 | 12,013 | 2.15 | 140 |
| 1947 | 21,417 | 12,649 | 2.05 | 114 |
| 1948 | 23,740 | 14,021 | 1.89 | 105 |
| 1949 | 24,637 | 14,551 | 1.83 | 111 |
| 1950 | 24,331 | 14,370 | 1.75 | 107 |
| 1951 | 21,872 | 12,918 | 2.09 | 96 |
| 1952 | 23,532 | 13,898 | 2.03 | 99 |
| 1953 | 22,521 | 13,301 | 2.19 | 100 |
| 1954 | 22,027 | 13,009 | 2.18 | 100 |
| 1955 | 21,730 | 12,834 | 2.20 | 99 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Not shown, because same as col. 1, through 1933.

## Source, by Column

(1): Same as for Table F-5, col. 2, except that instead of corrections under (C) for lost gold in the notes to that table the following adjustment was made: The $\$ 287$ million gold coin presumed lost was distributed over the period 1908-33; that is, $\$ 11.05$ million was deducted from each of those years, on the assumption that the estimates of the Director of the Mint for the period before 1908 allow for lost coin. This seems preferable to assigning the entire loss to one arbitrary year.
(2): 1934-55: Col. 1 times the ratio of the old to the new dollar value, $\$ 20.67 / \$ 35$ or $\$ 0.5906$.
(3): Table F-6, col. 7, for the end of the corresponding fiscal year, adjusted for gold coin presumed lost (see correction for gold stock Eigures in col. 1) divided by col. 1.
(4): Index of average monthly wholesale prices due to Warren and Pearson 1875-89 and Bureau of Labor Statistics thereafter (Historical Statistics, 1949, Series App. 23-24, p. 344), adjusted for monthly variations in the dollar price of gold, $1875-78$ (Erom W. C. Mitchell, Gold Prices Under the Greenback Standard, Berkeley, 1908), and 1933-34 (from G. F. Warren and F. A. Pearson, Gold and Prices, New York, 1935, p. 154), and for the devaluation of the dollar in 1934.
TABLE F-8
deposits and reserves at commercial banks, antually and semiannually, 1875-1955

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date } \\ & \text { (end of } \\ & \text { month) } \end{aligned}$ | National Banks |  |  | Other Conmercial Banks |  | All Commercial Banks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\underset{\text { Deposits }}{\text { (1) }}$Net Due to <br> Nonnational <br> Banks <br> (2) |  | Reserves <br> (3) |  |  |  |  | Mutual |
|  |  |  | Deposits <br> (4) |  |  | Reserves <br> (5) | Deposits <br> (6) | Reserves <br> (7) | Banks <br> (8) |
| (million dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1875 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 575 | 38 |  | 167 | 610 | 74 | 1,185 | 242 | 29 |
| Feb . | 560 | 43 | 156 | 598 | 71 | 1,158 | 227 | 29 |
| Aug. | 549 | 36 | 160 | 603 | 71 | 1,152 | 230 | 29 |
| 1877 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | 577 | 36 | 158 | 589 | 71 | 1,166 | 229 | 30 |
| Aug. | 529 | 35 | 150 | 563 | 71 | 1,092 | 221 | 30 |
| 1878 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. | 525 | 30 | 152 | 501 | 74 | 1,026 | 226 | 28 |
| 1879 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb . | 533 | 33 | 152 | 490 | 69 | 1,023 | 221 | 28 |
| Aug. | 674 | 38 | 157 | 527 | 76 | 1,201 | 233 | 31 |
| 1880 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | 699 | 51 | 174 | 596 | 86 | 1,295 | 261 | 29 |
| Aug. | 715 | 60 | 198 | 665 | 99 | 1,380 | 297 | 30 |
| 1881 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | 808 | 55 | 184 | 737 | 95 | 1,545 | 279 | 33 |
| Aug. | 837 | 70 | 208 | 865 | 96 | 1,702 | 304 | 38 |

TAÍLE F-8 (continued)

| Date (end of month) | National Banks |  |  | Other Commercial Banks |  | All Commercial Banks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Due to |
|  |  | Net Due to Nonnational 3anks (2) | Keserves <br> (3) |  |  |  |  | Mutual |
|  | Deposits <br> (1) |  |  |  |  | Deposits <br> (4) | Keserves <br> (5) | Deposits <br> (6) | Rescrves (7) | Banks <br> (8) |
| (million dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1882 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 894 | 68 | 208 | 893 | 97 | 1,787 | 305 | 38 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1884 \end{aligned}$ | 943 | 65 | 223 | 1,012 | 94 | 1,955 | 317 | 40 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1885 \end{aligned}$ | 900 | 56 | 216 | 1,023 | 120 | 1,922 | 336 | 43 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1886 \end{aligned}$ | 982 | 70 | 293 | 1,074 | 146 | 2,057 | 438 | 41 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ 1887 \end{gathered}$ | 1,059 | 73 | 265 | 1,270 | 163 | 2,330 | 42\% | 44 |
| 1888 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |
| June | 1,204 | 87 | 298 | 1,332 | 175 | 2,541 | 473 | 51 |
| June 1890 | 1,330 | 1.05 | 314 | 1,394 | 170 | 2,724 | 484 | 52 |
| June | 1,422 | 108 | 297 | 1,598 | 166 | 3,020 | 463 | 53 |
| June | 1,443 | 110 | 325 | 1,655 | 173 | 3,098 | 498 | 57 |

[^6]TABLE F-8 (continued)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { (end of } \\ \text { month) } \end{gathered}$ | National 3anks |  |  | Otier Comnercial Banks |  | All Commercial Banks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Net Due to Nonnational Banks (2) | Reserves <br> (3) |  |  |  |  | Due to Sutual |
|  | Deposits <br> (1) |  |  | Veposits <br> (4) | Reserves (5) | Deposits <br> (6) | Reserves <br> (7) | Banks <br> (8) |
| (million dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1892 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June1894 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,436 | 99 | 308 | 1,767 | 204 | 3,203 | 512 | 71 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1895 \end{aligned}$ | 1,602 | 155 | 460 | 1,739 | 208 | 3,341 | 668 | 71 |
|  | 1,642 | 159 | 392 | 1,954 | 211 | 3,596 | 603 | 73 |
| 1897 | 1,582 | 134 | 360 | 1,852 | 189 | 3,434 | 549 | 73 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1898 \end{aligned}$ | 1,671 | 175 | 433 | 1,939 | 201 | 3,609 | 634 | 81 |
| June | 1,914 | 209 | 482 | 2,205 | 205 | 4,120 | 687 | 97 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1899 \\ \text { June } \end{gathered}$ | 2,301 | 277 | 503 | 2,665 | 218 | 4,966 | 721 | 99 |
| 1900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901June | 2,279 | 397 | 520 | 2,908 | 225 | 5,187 | 745 | 102 |
|  | 2,617 | 454 | 560 | 3,487 | 261 | 6,104 | 821 | 107 |

TABLE F-8 (continued)

TABLE F-8 (continued)

| Date (end of month) | National Banks |  |  | Other Comercial Banks |  | All Comercial Banks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Net IUe to Nonnational Banks (2) | Reserves (3) |  |  |  |  | Mutual |
|  | Deposits <br> (1) |  |  |  |  | Deposits <br> (4) | Keserves <br> (5) | Deposits <br> (6) | Keserves <br> (7) | Banks <br> (8) |
| (million dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 4,806 | 809 | 848 | 6,551 | 587 | 11,357 | 1,435 | 135 |
| Dec. 1911 | 4,917 | 821 | 915 | 0,792 | 551 | 11,709 | 1,466 | 144 |
| June | 5,147 | 877 | 942 | 7,023 | 582 | 12,170 | 1,524 | 154 |
| ${ }_{1912}{ }^{\text {Dec. }}$ | 5,291 | 885 | 970 | 7,427 | 614 | 12,713 | 1,584 | 152 |
| June | 5,539 | 878 | 953 | 7,596 | 532 | 13,135 | 1,485 | 150 |
| 1913 ( 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 5,649 | 865 | 937 | 7,847 | 581 | 13,496 | 1,518 | 155 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1914 \end{aligned}$ | 5,794 | 904 | 1,034 | 8,136 | 554 | 13,930 | 1,588 | 163 |
| June | 5,486 | 934 | 1,006 | 8,313 | 625 | 14,299 | 1,631 | 172 |

(continued)
TABLE F-8 (continued)

| Date (end of month) | Hember Banks |  |  | Uther Commercial Banks |  | All Commercial Banks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Uue to |
|  | Deposits <br> (1) | Net Due to Nionmember Banks (2) | Reserves <br> (3) |  |  |  |  | Mutual |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Deposits <br> (4) | Reserves (5) | Deposits <br> (6) | Reserves <br> (7) | Banks <br> (8) |
| (million dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1914 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5,986 | 681 | 1,003 | 8,457 | 617 | 14,443 | 1,620 | 177 |
| June | 6,445 | 977 | 1,128 | 8,811 | 620 | 15,256 | 1,748 | 182 |
| Dec. | 7,155 | 1,143 | 1,307 | 9,855 | 637 | 17,010 | 1,944 | 196 |
| 1916 ( 190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 7,904 | 1,228 | 1,278 | 10,285 | 6.71 | 18,189 | 1,949 | 211 |
| Dec. | 8,625 | 1,364 | 1,574 | 11,667 | 842 | 20,292 | 2,416 | 212 |
| 1917 ( 17 l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 9,558 | 1,465 | 1,723 | 11,758 | 676 | 21,316 | 2,399 | 212 |
| Dec. | 13,534 | 1,441 | 1,923 | 9,104 | 503 | 22,638 | 2,426 | 205 |
| 1918 ( 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 14,528 | 1,435 | 1,835 | 7,834 | 427 | 22,362 | 2,262 | 199 |
| Dec. | 15,729 | 1,526 | 2,018 | 9,168 | 391 | 24,897 | 2,409 | 198 |
| 1919 (1) 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 17,234 | 1,549 | 2,095 | 9,228 | 421 | 26,462 | 2,516 | 197 |
| Dec. | 17,027 | 1,548 | 2,182 | 10,316 | 428 | 29,343 | 2,610 | 197 |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 19,514 | 1,662 | 2,224 | 10,949 | 436 | 30,463 | 2,660 | 196 |
| Dec. | 19,441 | 1,463 | 2,303 | 10,584 | 404 | 30,025 | 2,707 | 189 |

TABLE F-8 (continued)

| Date (end of month) | Member banks |  |  | Other Commercial Banks |  | All Commercial Banks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Net Due to Nonmember Banks (2) | Reserves <br> (3) |  |  |  |  | Mutual |
|  | Deposits <br> (1) |  |  | Deposits <br> (4) | Reserves (5) | Deposits <br> (6) | Reserves <br> (7) | Banks <br> (8) |
| (million dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 18,451 | 1,354 | 2,041 | 9,839 | 379 | 28,290 | 2,420 | 182 |
| 1922 20, 2, 20,160 , 188 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 20,389 | 1,499 | 2,236 | 9,756 | 367 | 30,145 | 2,603 | 194 |
| Dec. | 21,648 | 1,622 | 2,248 | 10,238 | 393 | 31,936 | 2,641 | 191 |
| 1923 . 10,641 le |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 21,751 | 1,612 | 2,245 | 10,817 | 378 | 32,568 | 2,623 | 188 |
| Dec. | 22,035 | 1,627 | 2,373 | 11,043 | 401 | 33,078 | 2,774 | 202 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 22,893 | 1,908 | 2,446 | 11,303 | 399 | 34,196 | 2,845 | 216 |
| 1925 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 213 |
| June | 25,560 | 1,990 | 2,640 | 12,363 | 412 | 37,923 | 3,052 | 209 |
| Dec. | 25,874 | 1,984 | 2,757 | 13,183 | 428 | 39,057 | 3,185 | 209 |
| 1926 (185 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 26,430 | 1,984 | 2,694 | 12,799 | 414 | 39,729 | 3,108 | 208 |
| Dec. | 26,441 | 1,908 | 2,698 | 12,621 | 399 | 39,062 | 3,097 | 215 |
| 1927 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 27,828 | 2,135 | 2,342 | 12,777 | 405 | 40,605 | 3,247 | 223 |
| Dec. | 28,282 | 2,297 | 2,907 | 12,872 | 403 | 41,154 | 3,310 | 214 |

TABLE F-8 (continued)

TABLE F-8 (continued)

| Date (end of month) | Member Banks |  |  | Other Commercial Banks |  | All Commercial Banks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |
|  |  | Net Due to Nonmember Sanks (2) | Reserves (3) |  |  |  |  | Alutual |
|  | Deposits <br> (1) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deposits } \\ & \text { (4) } \end{aligned}$ | Reserves (5) | Deposits (6) | Keserves (7) | Banks (8) |
| (million dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1935 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 27,377 | 2,027 | 5,393 | 6,375 | 296 | 33,752 | 5,689 | 473 |
| Dec. | 28,864 | 2,481 | 6,282 | 6,839 | 322 | 35,701 | 6,604 | 495 |
| 1936 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 31,280 | 2,704 | 6,167 | 7,043 | 358 | 33,323 | 6,525 | 488 |
| Dec. | 32,184 | 2,883 | 7,333 | 7,427 | 378 | $39,611$ | $7,711$ | 503 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 32,306 | 2,887 | 7,377 | 7,536 | 382 | 39,842 | 7,759 | 482 |
| Dec. | 31,213 | 2,575 | 7,707 | 7,317 | 350 | 38,530 | 8,057 | 481 |
| 1938 ( 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 31,588 | 2,516 | 8,605 | 7,227 | 378 | 38,815 | 8,983 | 514 |
| Dec. | 33,579 | 2,869 | 9,497 | 7,444 | 375 | 41,023 | 9,872 | 512 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 34,306 | 3,220 | 10,691 | 7,528 | 410 | 41,834 | 11,101 | 626 |
| Dec. | 37,278 | 3,845 | 12,531 | 7,764 | 438 | 45,042 | 12,969 | 729 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 39,876 | 3,998 | 14,297 | 7,916 | 562 | 47,792 | 14,859 | 890 |
| Dec. | 42,265 | 4,174 | 14,832 | 8,111 | 658 | 50,376 | 15,490 | 866 |
| 1941 , 8,111 50,376 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 44,744 | 4,205 | 14,055 | 8,443 | 672 | 53,187 | 14,727 | 875 |
| Dec. | 45,675 | 4,215 | 13,325 | 8,822 | 635 | 54,497 | 13,960 | 691 |

TABLE F-8 (continued)

| Date (end of month) | Member Banks |  |  | Other Commercial Banks |  | All Commercial Banks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Net Due to Nonmember Banks (2) | Reserves (3) |  |  |  |  | The to Mutual |
|  | Deposits <br> (1) |  |  | Deposits <br> (4) | Reserves (5) | Deposits <br> (6) | Reserves <br> (7) | Banks <br> (8) |
| (million dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1942 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 49,413 | 4,264 | 13,057 | 8,586 | 560 | 57,999 | 13,617 | 660 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 63,278 | 6,052 | 12,904 | 11,253 | 534 | 74,531 | 13,438 | 630 |
| Dec. | 67,881 | 5,029 | 13,130 | 12,409 | 503 | 80,290 | 13,633 | 699 |
| June | 70,382 | 5,182 | 13,969 | 13,292 | 544 | 83,674 | 14,513 | 449 |
| 1945 ( 10 , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 83,323 | 5,831 | 15,627 | 16,179 | 563 | 99,502 | 16,190 | 495 |
| Dec. | 88,110 | 6,425 | 16,353 | 18,158 | 593 | 106,268 | 16,937 | 504 |
|  | (\$bill.) |  | (\$bill.) | (\$bill.) |  | (\$bill.) | (\$bill.) |  |
| 1946 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 93.6 | 6,120 | 16.56 | 18.5 | 654 | 112.1 | 17.20 | 645 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1947 \end{aligned}$ | 95.7 | 6,031 | 17.05 | 19.6 | 675 | 115.3 | 17.73 | 706 |
| June | 97.4 | 5,851 | 17.15 | 20.1 | 709 | 117.5 | 17.86 | 738 |
| Dec. | 100.1 | 5,765 | 18.89 | 20.4 | 695 | 120.5 | 19.60 | 762 |

(continued)
TABLE F-8 (concluded)

| Date (end of month) | Member Banks |  |  | Other Commercial Banks |  | All Commercial Banks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Net Due to Nonnember Banks (2) | Reserves (3) |  |  |  |  | Mutual |
|  | Deposits <br> (1) |  |  |  |  | Deposits <br> (4) | Reserves (5) | Deposits <br> (6) | Reserves (7) | Banks (8) |
|  | (\$bill.) |  | (\$bill.) | (\$bill.) |  | (\$bill.) | (\$bill.) |  |
| 1948 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 99.9 | 5,739 | 18.75 | 19.7 | 694 | 119.6 | 19.46 | 722 |
| Dec. | 98.9 | 5,609 | 21.39 | 20.2 | 748 | 119.1 | 22.14 | 749 |
| June | 99.6 | 5,628 | 19.54 | 19.9 | 728 | 119.5 | 20.27 | 683 |
| Dec. | 99.7 | 5,549 | 17.61 | 20.5 | 762 | 120.2 | 18.37 | 738 |
| June | 102.9 | 5,694 | 17.41 | 20.4 | 763 | 123.3 | 18.17 | 710 |
| dec. | 104.6 | 6,184 | 17.96 | 21.3 | 788 | 125.9 | 18.74 | 653 |
| June | 106.4 | 6,127 | 20.08 | 21.0 | 811 | 127.4 | 20.88 | 722 |
| Dec. | 110.4 | 6,544 | 20.95 | 21.8 | 811 | 132.1 | 21.76 | 728 |
| 1952 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 113.2 | 6,721 | 21.39 | 23.2 | 809 | 136.3 | 22.20 | 826 |
| Dec. | 115.0 | 6,805 | 20.88 | 23.5 | 761 | 138.5 | 21.64 | 763 |
| June | 116.9 | 6,948 | 21.13 | 23.8 | 815 | 140.7 | 21.94 | 729 |
| Dec. | 118.6 | 7,159 | 21.05 | 24.3 | 845 | 142.9 | 21.90 | 819 |
| June | 120.7 | 8,131 | 20.47 | 24.6 | 814 | 145.2 | 21.29 | 836 |
| Dec. | 124.3 | 7,868 | 20.4 | 25.2 | 863 | 149.5 | 21.26 | 852 |
| 1955 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 127.1 | 8,223 | 20.1 | 25.3 | 904 | 152.3 | 21.00 | 831 |
| Dec. | 127.8 | 7,759 | 19.8 | 26.1 | 959 | 153.9 | 20.76 | 887 |

## NOTES TO TABLE F-8

Note: Semiannual figures are seasonally adjusted.

Source, by Column
(1): Deposits of the public less float and (beginning June 1911) of the postal savings system at national member banks.

1875-June 1914: For annual data and, for semiannual data, call-date figures from Annual Report, Comptroller, nearest to June 30 seasonally adjusted and interpolated arithmetically to end-of-month dates.

Dec. 1914-55: Call-date figures from Banking and Monetary Statistics and FRB, seasonally adjusted.

Deposits of the public at national banks are individual deposits and dividends unpaid; and at member banks are time and demand deposits, letters of credit, and certiffed, cashiers', travelers' checks.

Deposits of the postal savings system were estimated for some earlier years (for which they were not reported separately from U.S. deposits) to be the total held at all banks given in Banking and Monetary Statistics (assuming that amounts held at nonmember banks were zero before June 1921 and at nonnational banks were zero before June 1912).
(2) 1875-June 1914: Due to nonnational banks less due from the same, including the net amount owed on clearing house loan certificates. 1875-1906, for annual data, call-date figures from Annual Report, Comptroller, nearest June 30; for semiannual data, call-date figures seasonally adjusted and interpolated arithmetically to end-of-month dates. June 1907-14, call-date figures from Annual Report, Comptroller, seasonally adjusted and interpolated arithmetically to end-of-month dates.

Dec. 1914-55: Due to all banks less due from the same. Call-date figures from Banking and Monetary Statistics and FRB seasonally adjusted. "Due from" excludes foreign banks after 1919. This series includes "due to less due from" member banks, which may not equal zero because of items in transit.
(3): Vault cash, plus (Dec. 1914 and after) deposits less float of member banks at Federal Reserve Banks.

Annual data, 1882-1906: Vault cash (all currency and coin on hand).
Semiannual data, 1875-81 and 1907-June 1919: Call-date figures nearest June 30, from Annual Report, Comptroller. Call-date figures, from ibid., and Banking and Monetary Statistics, adjusted for daily and monthly seasonal variations and internolated arithmetically to end-of-month dates.

Dec. 1919-55: Wed. figures nearest end of June and Dec. (except before June 1921 when it is Fri.) from FRE, adjusted for daily and monthly seasonal variations.

Federal Reserve member bank balances less float (i.e., uncollected items less deferred avallability items): Dec. 1914-55 Federal Reserve Bank reports from Lanking and Monetary Statistics and FRB for Ned. nearest June 30 and last Wed. in Dec., except June 1915-Dec. 1920 , when it is Fri.: Dec. 1914 , for which only an end-of-month figure is available; and June 1930-36, for which only end-of-month figures are available for float. All are seasonally adjusted. In 1933 the figures include special deposits of member banks not recorded in their reserve accounts (FRB, 1933 and 1934). (This series is the same as that used to derive high-powered money for Table $\mathrm{F}-5$ except that the latter series contains minor adjustments of reported Federal Reserve float.)
(4): Deposits less float of the public and postal savings system deposits at other conmercial banks.

1875-95: Centered annual and semiannual averages from David I. Fand, "Non-National Banks Estimates: 1867-1896," unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of Chicago, 1954.

1896-1906: Call-date figures nearest June 30 for each state from AllBank Statistics, Board of Covernors, FRS, 1943.

June 1914-Dec. 1955: Seasonally adjusted estimates from Friedman and Schwartz, A llonetary History, worksheets underlying Table A-l, col. 4.

## INOTES TO TABLE F-8 (concluded)

(5): Vault cash plus (Dec. 1914 and after) balances of nonmember comercial banks at Federal Reserve Banks.

Vault cash of nonnational commercial banks.
1875-95: Centered annual and semiannual averages from Fand, "Non-National Banks Estimates."
1896-1906: Call-date figures nearest June 30, for each state, from AllBank Statistics.

1907-42: Vault cash of nonmember commercial and mutual savings banks seasonally adjusted from Friedman and Schwartz worksheets, less vault cash of mutual savings banks on June 30 from All-Bank Statistics and, for Dec., an arithmetic interpolation of June figures. (Vault cash data for the two classes of banks are not available separately in Friedman and Schwartz estimates.)

1943-1955: Call-date figures from $F R B$, seasonally adjusted (including a small amount of vault cash in banks in U.S. possessions, excluded from the other series).

Balances at Federal Reserve Banks.
Dec. 1914-55: Balances of nonmember banks at years end from Annual Report, Federal Reserve Board and Board of Governors, FRS, and FRB, partly estimated for certain years and, for June, an arithmetic interpolation of Dec. figures, less balances of mutual savings banks at Federal Reserve Banks from Annual Report, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation since Dec. 1947, and assumed zero earlier.
(6): Deposits at banks of the public and the postal savings system less float. Col. 1 plus col. 4. This series differs slightly from that in Friedman and Schwartz, A Monetary History, Table A-1, col. 4. The differences are due to later revisions made in the latter.
(7): Col. 3 plus col. 4 before rounding. (The vault cash component of this series is the same as estimates of total vault cash in Friedman and Schwartz, A Monetary History, Table A-2, except for the exclusion here of mutual savings banks vault cash and for minor differences in seasonal adjustment.
(8) 1875-1887: Cash assets of mutual savings banks (5.2 per cent of deposits, which is the corresponding average percentage for 1888-92) less their vault cash from Fand, "Non-National Banks Estimates."

1888-95: Balances of mutual savings banks in each state at commercial banks from Annual Report, Comptroller, interpolated logarithmically to June 30 , totaled, and raised by 10 per cent ( 10 per cent is the average understatement of the uncorrected figures in 1896-97, based on a comparison with the subsequent, more accurate source).

1896-1934: Balances of mutual savings banks at banks for June 30, from All-Bank Statistics, and interpolated logarithmically for Dec.

1935-46: Total cash assets of mutual savings banks excluding cash items (Table F-17, col. 2), less their vault cash for June from All-Bank Statistics, and interpolated arithmetically for Dec.

1947-55: Balances of mutual savings banks at banks, excluding Federal Reserve Banks, from Annual Report, EDIC, seasonally adjusted.

TABLE F-9
PERCENTAGE OF COMNERCIAL BANK DEPOSITS CREATED BY NATIONAL OR MEMBER BANKS, ANNUALLY AND SEMIANNUALLY, 1875-1955

| 1875 | Aug. | 49.8 | 1911 | June | 43.0 | 1933 | June | 79.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1876 | Feb. | 49.6 |  | Dec. | 42.3 |  | Dec. | 80.9 |
|  | Aug. | 48.9 | 1912 | June | 42.8 | 1934 | June | 81.4 |
| 1877 | Feb. | 50.8 |  | Dec. | 42.7 |  | Dec. | 81.1 |
|  | Aug. | 49.8 | 1913 | June | 42.5 | 1935 | June | 81.4 |
| 1878 | Feb. | 51.8 |  | Dec. | 42.3 |  | Dec. | 81.1 |
|  | Aug. | 52.5 | 1914 | June | 42.6 | 1936 | June | 81.9 |
| 1879 | Feb. | 53.4 |  | Dec. | 42.2 |  | Dec. | 81.5 |
|  | Aug. | 57.2 | 1915 | June | 42.9 | 1937 | June | 81.3 |
| 1880 | Feb. | 55.0 |  | Dec. | 42.7 |  | Dec. | 81.2 |
|  | Aug. | 52.8 | 1916 | June | 44.1 | 1938 | June | 81.6 |
| 1881 | Feb. | 53.3 |  | Dec. | 43.1 |  | Dec. | 82.1 |
|  | Aug. | 50.3 | 1917 | June | 45.4 | 1939 | June | 82.3 |
|  | June | 51.1 |  | Dec. | 60.1 |  | Dec. | 83.0 |
| 1883 | June | 49.3 | 1918 | June | 65.3 | 1940 | June | 83.7 |
| 1884 | June | 48.0 |  | Dec. | 63.5 |  | Dec. | 84.2 |
| 1885 | June | 48.8 | 1919 | June | 65.4 | 1941 | June | 84.4 |
| 1886 | June | 46.4 |  | Dec. | 65.1 |  | Dec. | 84.0 |
| 1887 | June | 47.0 | 1920 | June | 64.3 | 1942 | June | 85.4 |
| 1888 | June | 48.6 |  | Dec. | 65.0 |  | Dec. | 84.7 |
| 1889 | June | 49.8 | 1921 | June | 65.4 | 1943 | June | 85.0 |
| 1890 | June | 48.0 |  | Dec. | 66.7 |  | Dec. | 84.7 |
| 1891 | June | 47.5 | 1922 | June | 67.8 | 1944 | June | 84.2 |
| 1892 | June | 47.6 |  | Dec. | 68.0 |  | Dec. | 83.5 |
| 1893 | June | 46.0 | 1923 | June | 67.0 | 1945 | June | 83.8 |
| 1894 | June | 49.0 |  | Dec. | 66.8 |  | Dec. | 83.0 |
| 1895 | June | 46.7 | 1924 | June | 67.2 | 1946 | June | 83.6 |
| 1896 | June | 47.2 |  | Dec. | 67.7 |  | Dec. | 83.1 |
| 1897 | June | 47.5 | 1925 | June | 67.6 | 1947 | June | 83.0 |
| 1898 | June | 47.7 |  | Dec. | 66.4 |  | Dec. | 83.2 |
| 1899 | June | 47.4 | 1926 | June | 68.0 | 1948 | June | 83.6 |
| 1900 | June | 45.0 |  | Dec. | 67.9 |  | Dec. | 83.2 |
| 1901 | June | 43.9 | 1927 | June | 68.7 | 1949 | June | 83.5 |
| 1902 | June | 43.0 |  | Dec. | 68.9 |  | Dec. | 83.0 |
| 1903 | June | 42.3 | 1928 | June | 69.2 | 1450 | June | 83.5 |
| 1904 | June | 42.3 |  | Dec. | 68.9 |  | Dec. | 83.2 |
| 1905 | June | 41.4 | 1929 | June | 69.1 | 1951 | June | 83.6 |
| 1906 | June | 40.7 |  | Dec. | 69.3 |  | Dec. | 83.6 |
| 1907 | June | 41.8 | 1930 | June | 69.8 | 1952 | June | 83.1 |
|  | Dec. | 43.4 |  | Dec. | 71.6 |  | Dec. | 83.1 |
| 1908 | June | 44.1 | 1931 | June | 72.7 | 1953 | June | 83.2 |
|  | Dec. | 43.7 |  | Dec. | 73.7 |  | Dec. | 83.1 |
| 1909 | June | 42.8 | 1932 | June | $75.2$ | 1954 | June | 83.2 |
|  | Dec. | 42.9 |  | Dec. | 76.3 |  | Dec. | 83.2 |
| 1910 | June | $43.0$ |  |  |  | 1955 | June | 83.5 |
|  | Dec. | 42.7 |  |  |  |  | Dec. | 83.1 |

Semiannual figures are seasonally adjusted.
Sum of Table $F-8$, cols. 1 and 8 , divided by sum of cols. 6 and 8 , before rounding. These figures are too high (at most, by a percentage point or two) because col. 8 includes mutual savings bank balances at other commercial banks, which cannot be excluded. The amount of this overstatement is not the same from year to year but probably varies with the relative importance of other commercial banks; hence it is probably smaller for more recent years than earlier.

TABLE F-10
RESERVE RATIOS OF COMMERCIAL BANKS, ANNUALLY AND SEMIANJUALLY, 1875-1955 (per cent)

| Date (end of month) |  | National Banks, Net Due to Nonnational Banks |  | Other Commercial Banks (3) | All <br> Commercial Banks <br> (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Included | Excluded |  |  |
| 1875 | Aug. | 27.2 | 27.6 | 12.1 | 19.9 |
| 1876 | Feb. | 25.9 | 26.5 | 11.9 | 19.1 |
|  | Aug. | 27.4 | 27.7 | 11.8 | 19.5 |
| 1877 | Feb. | 25.8 | 26.0 | 12.1 | 19.1 |
|  | Aug. | 26.6 | 26.8 | 12.6 | 19.7 |
| 1878 | Eeb. | 27.5 | 27.6 | 13.2 | 20.8 |
|  | Aug. | 27.4 | 27.5 | 14.8 | 21.4 |
| 1879 | Feb. | 26.9 | 27.1 | 14.1 | 21.0 |
|  | Aug. | 22.1 | 22.3 | 14.4 | 18.9 |
| 1880 | Feb. | 23.2 | 23.9 | 14.4 | 19.7 |
|  | Aug. | 25.5 | 26.6 | 14.9 | 21.1 |
| 1881 | Feb . | 21.3 | 21.9 | 12.9 | 17.7 |
|  | Aug. | 22.9 | 23.8 | 11.1 | 17.5 |
| 1882 | June | 21.6 | 22.3 | 10.9 | 16.7 |
| 1883 | June | 22.1 | 22.7 | 9.3 | 15.9 |
| 1884 | June | 22.6 | 22.9 | 11.7 | 17.1 |
| 1885 | June | 27.8 | 28.6 | 13.6 | 20.9 |
| 1886 | June | 23.4 | 24.0 | 12.8 | 18.0 |
| 1887 | June | 22.9 | 23.3 | 12.9 | 17.8 |
| 1888 | June | 23.0 | 23.7 | 13.1 | 18.2 |
| 1889 | June | 21.9 | 22.7 | 12.2 | 17.4 |
| 1890 | June | 19.4 | 20.1 | 10.4 | 15.1 |
| 1891 | June | 20.9 | 21.7 | 10.4 | 15.8 |
| 1892 | June | 21.2 | 22.2 | 10.7 | 16.2 |
| 1893 | June | 20.1 | 20.4 | 11.5 | 15.6 |
| 1894 | June | 26.2 | 27.5 | 12.0 | 19.6 |
| 1895 | June | 21.8 | 22.9 | 10.8 | 16.4 |
| 1896 | June | 21.0 | 21.8 | 10.2 | 15.7 |
| 1897 | June | 23.5 | 24.7 | 10.4 | 17.2 |
| 1898 | June | 22.7 | 24.0 | 9.3 | 16.3 |
| 1899 | June | 19.5 | 21.0 | 8.2 | 14.2 |
| 1900 | June | 19.4 | 21.8 | 7.7 | 14.1 |
| 1901 | June | 18.2 | 20.6 | 7.5 | 13.2 |
| 1902 | June | 17.6 | 19.9 | 6.7 | 12.4 |
| 1903 | June | 16.4 | 18.4 | 6.7 | 11.6 |
| 1904 | June | 17.7 | 20.3 | 7.3 | 12.8 |
| 1905 | June | 16.0 | 18.2 | 6.3 | 11.2 |
| 1906 | June | 15.6 | 17.6 | 6.4 | 10.9 |
| 1907 | June | 15.3 | 17.1 | 6.6 | 11.0 |
|  | Dec. | 16.8 | 18.8 | 8.2 | 12.8 |
| 1908 | June | 17.9 | 20.4 | 8.9 | 14.0 |
|  | Dec. | 17.0 | 19.8 | 8.2 | 13.2 |
| 1909 | June | 16.5 | 19.0 | 8.6 | 13.1 |
|  | Dec. | 15.6 | 17.6 | 8.5 | 12.4 |
| 1910 | June | 15.1 | 17.2 | 9.0 | 12.5 |
|  | Dec. | 15.9 | 18.1 | 8.1 | 12.4 |
| 1911 | June | 15.6 | 17.8 | 8.3 | 12.4 |
|  | Dec. | 15.7 | 17.8 | 8.3 | 12.3 |
| 1912 | June | 14.9 | 16.8 | 7.0 | 11.2 |
|  | Dec. | 14.5 | 16.3 | 7.7 | 11.4 |
| 1913 | June | 14.4 | 16.1 | 7.4 | 11.1 |
|  | nec. | 15.4 | 17.4 | 6.8 | 11.3 |
| 1914 | June | 14.5 | 16.3 | 7.5 | 11.3 |

TABLE F-10 (continued)

| Date (end of month) |  | Member Banks <br> Net Due to Nonmember Banks |  | other Commercial Bank s (3) | All <br> Commercial Banks (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Included <br> (1) | Excluded (2) |  |  |
| 1914 | Dec. | 15.0 | 16.3 | 7.3 | 11.1 |
| 1915 | June | 15.2 | 17.0 | 7.3 | 11.3 |
|  | Dec. | 15.3 | 17.8 | 6.5 | 11.3 |
| 1916 | June | 14.0 | 15.7 | 6.5 | 10.6 |
|  | Dec. | 15.8 | 17.8 | 7.2 | 11.8 |
| 1917 | June | 15.6 | 17.6 | 5.7 | 11.1 |
|  | Dec. | 12.8 | 14.0 | 5.5 | 10.6 |
| 1918 | June | 11.5 | 12.5 | 5.5 | 10.0 |
|  | Dec. | 11.7 | 12.7 | 4.3 | 9.6 |
| 1919 | June | 11.2 | 12.0 | 4.6 | 9.4 |
|  | Dec. | 10.6 | 11.4 | 4.1 | 8.8 |
| 1920 | June | 10.5 | 11.3 | 4.0 | 8.7 |
|  | Dec. | 11.0 | 11.7 | 3.8 | 9.0 |
| 1921 | June | 10.3 | 11.0 | 3.9 | 8.5 |
|  | Dec. | 10.4 | 11.0 | 3.8 | 8.6 |
| 1922 | June | 10.2 | 10.9 | 3.8 | 8.6 |
|  | Dec. | 9.7 | 10.3 | 3.8 | 8.2 |
| 1923 | June | 9.6 | 10.2 | 3.5 | 8.0 |
|  | Dec. | 10.0 | 10.7 | 3.6 | 8.3 |
| 1924 | June | 9.9 | 10.6 | 3.5 | 8.3 |
|  | Dec. | 10.1 | 10.9 | 3.6 | 8.5 |
| 1925 | June | 9.6 | 10.2 | 3.3 | 8.0 |
|  | Dec. | 9.9 | 10.6 | 3.2 | 8.1 |
| 1926 | June | 9.3 | 9.9 | 3.2 | 7.8 |
|  | Dec. | 9.5 | 10.1 | 3.2 | 7.9 |
| 1927 | June | 9.5 | 10.1 | 3.2 | 8.0 |
|  | Dec. | 9.5 | 10.2 | 3.1 | 8.0 |
| 1928 | June | 9.0 | 9.6 | 3.0 | 7.5 |
|  | Dec. | 9.0 | 9.6 | 3.0 | 7.6 |
| 1929 | June | 8.9 | 9.4 | 2.9 | 7.4 |
|  | Dec. | 8.9 | 9.5 | 2.8 | 7.4 |
| 1930 | June | 9.2 | 9.8 | 2.7 | 7.7 |
|  | Dec. | 9.6 | 10.2 | 2.9 | 8.1 |
| 1931 | June | 9.5 | 10.1 | 3.0 | 8.2 |
|  | Dec. | 10.7 | 11.2 | 3.5 | 9.2 |
| 1932 | June | 10.4 | 10.9 | 3.6 | 9.1 |
|  | Dec. | 11.9 | 12.5 | 3.3 | 10.3 |
| 1933 | June | 12.7 | 13.3 | 4.3 | 11.4 |
|  | Dec. | 14.1 | 14.7 | 4.7 | 12.7 |
| 1934 | June | 16.9 | 17.8 | 4.6 | 15.2 |
|  | Dec. | 17.2 | 18.0 | 4.7 | 15.5 |
| 1935 | June | 18.3 | 19.4 | 4.6 | 16.6 |
|  | Dec. | 20.0 | 21.4 | 4.7 | 18.2 |
| 1936 | June | 18.1 | 19.4 | 5.1 | 16.8 |
|  | Dec. | 20.9 | 22.4 | 5.1 | 19.2 |
| 1937 | June | 21.0 | 22.5 | 5.1 | 19.2 |
|  | Dec. | 22.8 | 24.3 | 4.8 | 20.7 |
| 1938 | June | 25.2 | 26.8 | 5.2 | 22.8 |
|  | Dec. | 26.1 | 27.9 | 5.0 | 23.8 |

TABLE F-10 (concluded)

| Date (end of month) |  | Mem Net Nonme | Banks, ue to r Banks | Other <br> Commercial <br> Banks <br> (3) | All <br> Commercial <br> Banks <br> (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Included } \\ \text { (1) } \end{gathered}$ | Excluded <br> (2) |  |  |
| 1939 | June | 28.5 | 30.6 | 5.4 | 26.1 |
|  | Dec. | 30.5 | 33.0 | 5.6 | 28.3 |
| 1940 | June | 32.6 | 35.1 | 7.1 | 30.5 |
|  | Dec. | 31.9 | 34.4 | 8.1 | 30.2 |
| 1941 | June | 28.7 | 30.8 | 8.0 | 27.2 |
|  | Dec. | 26.7 | 28.7 | 7.2 | 25.3 |
| 1942 | June | 24.3 | 26.1 | 6.5 | 23.2 |
|  | Dec. | 22.3 | 24.0 | 4.7 | 21.0 |
| 1943 | June | 18.6 | 20.2 | 4.7 | 17.9 |
|  | Dec. | 18.0 | 19.1 | 4.1 | 16.8 |
| 1944 | June | 18.5 | 19.7 | 4.1 | 17.3 |
|  | Dec. | 17.8 | 19.0 | 3.7 | 16.4 |
| 1945 | June | 17.5 | 18.6 | 3.5 | 16.2 |
|  | Dec. | 17.3 | 18.5 | 3.3 | 15.9 |
| 1946 | June | 16.6 | 17.6 | 3.5 | 15.3 |
|  | Dec. | 16.8 | 17.7 | 3.5 | 15.3 |
| 1947 | June | 16.6 | 17.5 | 3.5 | 15.1 |
|  | Dec. | 17.8 | 18.7 | 3.4 | 16.2 |
| 1948 | June | 17.7 | 18.6 | 3.5 | 16.2 |
|  | Dec. | 20.5 | 21.5 | 3.7 | 18.5 |
| 1949 | June | 18.6 | 19.5 | 3.7 | 16.9 |
|  | Dec. | 16.7 | 17.5 | 3.7 | 15.2 |
| 1950 | June | 16.0 | 16.8 | 3.7 | 14.7 |
|  | Dec. | 16.2 | 17.1 | 3.7 | 14.8 |
| 1951 | June | 17.8 | 18.7 | 3.9 | 16.3 |
|  | Dec. | 17.9 | 18.9 | 3.7 | 16.4 |
| 1952 | June | 17.8 | 18.8 | 3.5 | 16.2 |
|  | Dec. | 17.1 | 18.0 | 3.2 | 15.5 |
| 1953 | June | 17.1 | 18.0 | 3.4 | 15.5 |
|  | Dec. | 16.7 | 17.6 | 3.5 | 15.2 |
| 1954 | June | 15.9 | 16.9 | 3.3 | 14.6 |
|  | Dec. | 15.4 | 16.3 | 3.4 | 14.1 |
| 1955 | June | 14.8 | 15.7 | 3.6 | 13.7 |
|  | Dec. | 14.6 | 15.4 | 3.6 | 13.4 |

Source by Column
(1): Col. 3 divided by sum of cols. 1 and 2, Table F-8.
(2): Col. 3 divided by cols. 1 and 8 , Table $F-8$. These figures are slightly too low because col. 8 includes mutual savings bank balances at other commercial banks, which cannot be excluded.
(3): Col. 5 divided by col. 4, Table F-8.
(4): Col. 7 divided by sum of cols. 6 and 8 , Table F-8.

All computations were made before rounding the data from table $\mathbb{H}-8$. Semi-
annual figures are seasonally adjusted.
table F-11

TABLE F-11 (concluded)

| Year | Central Reserve City Banks |  |  | Reserve City Banks |  |  | Country Banks |  |  | All National Banks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> (1) | Required <br> (2) | Usable (3) | Total <br> (4) | Required (5) | Usable (6) | Total (7) | Required <br> (8) | Usable (9) | Total <br> (10) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Required } \\ & \text { (11) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Usable } \\ \text { (12) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1905 | 34.5 | 33.4 | 1.1 | 16.0 | 14.6 | 1.4 | 8.6 | 5.3 | 3.3 | 16.7 | 14.3 | 2.4 |
| 1906 | 34.5 | 35.1 | -0.6 | 15.1 | 14.4 | 0.7 | 8.2 | 5.3 | 3.0 | 15.0 | 13.3 | 1.7 |
| 1907 | 35.9 | 33.9 | 2.0 | 16.5 | 14.3 | 2.2 | 8.4 | 5.3 | 3.1 | 15.6 | 12.9 | 2.7 |
| 1908 | 37.9 | 33.7 | 4.2 | 17.5 | 14.1 | 3.4 | 9.3 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 18.0 | 14.0 | 4.0 |
| 1909 | 34.0 | 33.1 | 0.9 | 16.2 | 14.1 | 2.1 | 8.7 | 5.2 | 3.4 | 16.2 | 13.7 | 2.5 |
| 1910 | 35.5 | 33.1 | 2.4 | 15.9 | 13.9 | 2.0 | 8.5 | 5.3 | 3.2 | 15.9 | 13.1 | 2.8 |
| 1911 | 34.6 | 33.0 | 1.6 | 15.8 | 13.9 | 1.9 | 8.2 | 5.3 | 2.9 | 15.6 | 13.2 | 2.4 |
| 1912 | 33.1 | 33.1 | 0.0 | 15.2 | 13.9 | 1.3 | 8.0 | 5.3 | 2.7 | 14.7 | 12.9 | 1.8 |
| 1913 | 33.8 | 31.4 | 2.4 | 15.5 | 14.0 | 1.5 | 7.7 | 5.5 | 2.2 | 14.6 b | 12.5 | 2.1 |
| 1914 | 31.9 | 30.7 | 1.2 | 16.1 | 13.8 | 2.3 | 8.3 | 5.9 | 2.4 | $15.1{ }^{\circ}$ | 13.0 | 2.1 |
| 1915 | 37.6 | a | a | 13.4 | a | a | 8.7 | a | a | 16.4 | a | a |
| 1916 | 26.3 |  |  | 14.7 |  |  | 10.1 |  |  | 15.0 |  |  |
| 1917 | 21.2 |  |  | 15.1 |  |  | 10.4 |  |  | 14.2 |  |  |

[^7]TABLE F-12
REQUIRED AND USABLE RESERVE RATIOS OF MEMBER BANKS, SEMIANNUALLY, 1914-55
(per cent)

| (end | Date <br> of month) | Reserve Ratio |  | (end | Date of month) | Reserve Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Required <br> (1) | Usable <br> (2) |  |  | Required <br> (1) | Usable <br> (2) |
| 1914 | June | 13.3 | 1.2 | 1935 | June | 8.9 | 9.4 |
|  | Dec. | 10.3 | 4.7 |  | Dec. | 8.6 | 11.4 |
| 1915 | June | 10.3 | 4.9 | 1936 | June | 8.7 | 9.4 |
|  | Dec. | 11.9 | 3.9 |  | Dec. | 13.0 | 7.9 |
| 1916 | June | 11.6 | 2.4 | 1937 | June | 17.5 | 3.5 |
|  | Dec. | 12.4 | 3.4 |  | Dec. | 16.9 | 5.9 |
| 1917 | June | 13.4 | 2.2 | 1938 | June | 15.3 | 9.9 |
|  | Dec. | 8.9 | 3.9 |  | Dec. | 14.9 | 11.2 |
| 1918 | June | 8.6 | 2.9 | 1939 | June | 15.9 | 12.6 |
|  | Dec. | 9.0 | 2.7 |  | Dec. | 15.4 | 15.1 |
| 1919 | June | 8.9 | 2.3 | 1940 | June | 16.1 | 16.5 |
|  | Dec. | 8.7 | 1.9 |  | Dec. | 15.6 | 16.3 |
| 1920 | $J$ une | 8.8 | 1.7 | 1941 | June | 16.3 | 12.4 |
|  | Dec. | 8.1 | 2.9 |  | Dec. | 18.4 | 8.3 |
| 1921 | June | 8.4 | 1.9 | 1942 | June | 18.8 | 5.5 |
|  | Dec. | 8.1 | 2.3 |  | Dec. | 18.3 | 4.0 |
| 1922 | June | 8.3 | 1.9 | 1943 | June | 16.0 | 2.6 |
|  | Dec. | 7.9 | 1.8 |  | Dec. | 15.8 | 2.2 |
| 1923 | June | 8.1 | 1.5 | 1944 | June | 15.8 | 2.7 |
|  | Dec. | 7.8 | 2.2 |  | Dec. | 15.3 | 2.5 |
| 1924 | June | 8.1 | 1.8 | 1945 | June | 15.2 | 2.3 |
|  | Dec. | 8.0 | 2.1 |  | Dec. | 15.1 | 2.2 |
| 1925 | June | 8.0 | 1.6 | 1946 | June | 15.3 | 1.3 |
|  | Dec. | 8.0 | 1.9 |  | Dec. | 15.2 | 1.6 |
| 1926 | June | 7.8 | 1.5 | 1947 | June | 15.1 | 1.5 |
|  | Dec. | 7.8 | 1.7 |  | Dec. | 15.3 | 2.5 |
| 1927 | June | 7.9 | 1.6 | 1948 | June | 15.9 | 1.8 |
|  | Dec. | 7.8 | 1.7 |  | Dec. | 18.2 | 2.3 |
| 1928 | June | 7.7 | 1.3 | 1949 | June | 16.7 | 1.9 |
|  | Dec. | 7.5 | 1.5 |  | Dec. | 14.5 | 2.2 |
| 1929 | June | 7.7 | 1.2 | 1950 | June | 14.4 | 1.6 |
|  | Dec. | 7.6 | 1.3 |  | Dec. | 14.7 | 1.5 |
| 1930 | June | 7.8 | 1.4 | 1951 | June | 16.6 | 1.2 |
|  | Dec. | 7.5 | 2.1 |  | Dec. | 16.7 | 1.2 |
| 1931 | June | 7.7 | 1.8 | 1952 | June | 16.9 | 0.9 |
|  | Dec. | 7.5 | 3.2 |  | Dec. | 16.6 | 0.5 |
| 1932 | June | 7.6 | 2.8 | 1953 | June | 15.6 | 1.5 |
|  | Dec. | 7.8 | 4.1 |  | Dec. | 15.2 | 1.5 |
| 1933 | June | 8.3 | 4.4 | 1954 | June | 14.4 | 1.5 |
|  | Dec. | 8.1 | 6.0 |  | Dec. | 13.9 | 1.5 |
| 1934 | June | 8.4 | 8.5 | 1955 | June | 13.5 | 1.3 |
|  | Dec. | 8.4 | 8.8 |  | Dec. | 13.7 | 0.9 |

Source
1914-June 1917, National Banks Only, by Column
(1): Ratio of required reserves to deposits. Denominator is deposits of the public and postal savings system, less float and due to less due from nonnational banks, for call dates nearest end of June and Dec. from Banking and Monetary Statistics, seasonally adjusted. This series is the same as the sum of cols. 1 and 2, Table $F-8$, except for the exclusion of state member banks.

The latter banks were unimportant during that period, and their exclusion has little effect on the figures. Numerator is the required reserves of national banks on corresponding call dates from Annual Report, Comptroller, Vol. II, 1917, pp. 244-48, seasonally adfusted.
(2): Ratio of usable reserves to deposits. Denominator is the same as for col. 1. Numerator is high-powered reserves minus required reserves used for col, 1. High-powered reserves are the same as col. 3, Table $F-8$, except for the exclusion of state member banks.

Dec. 1917-55, All Member Banks, by Column
(1): Ratio of required reserves to deposits. Denominator is the sum of cols. 1 and 2, Table $F$-8. Numerator is member bank reserve balances (excluding excess reserves) at Federal Reserve Banks, for Wed. nearest end of June and Dec., from Banking and Monetary Statistics and FRB seasonally adjusted.
(2): Col. 1 subtracted from col. 1, Table F-10.

Note: Figures are seasonally adjusted. The corresponding total reserve ratio (sum of cols. 1 and 2 ) is given in Table $F-10$, col. 1.
table f-13
RESERVE RATIOS OF MEMBER BANKS, BY CLASS OF BANK,
ANNUALLY, 1918-55
(per cent)

| Year | Central Reserve City Banks (1) | Reserve City Banks (2) | Country Banks (3) | All <br> Member Banks <br> (4) | Year | Central Reserve City Banks (1) | Reserve City Banks (2) | Country <br> Banks (3) | All <br> Member Banks <br> (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1918 | 20.4 | 12.6 | 9.5 | 13.9 | 1938 | 46.5 | 22.0 | 13.9 | 26.5 |
| 1919 | 17.5 | 12.2 | 9.4 | 12.6 | 1939 | 52.1 | 24.0 | 14.3 | 29.7 |
| 1920 | 15.9 | 11.2 | 9.0 | 11.5 | 1940 | 55.7 | 28.8 | 16.0 | 34.5 |
| 1921 | 14.8 | 10.6 | 8.5 | 10.8 | 1941 | 43.5 | 28.3 | 16.2 | 29.9 |
| 1922 | $15.7{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10.1 a | 8.2 | 10.8 | 1942 | 33.3 | 24.5 | 16.9 | 25.0 |
| 1923 | 16.5 | $10.2{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7.8 | 10.5 | 1943 | 21.7 | 21.0 | 16.0 | 19.6 |
| 1924 | 18.9 | 10.1 | 8.1 | 11.0 | 1944 | 21.6 | 20.3 | 15.5 | 18.9 |
| 1925 | 18.7 | 10.1 | 8.1 | 10.9 | 1945 | 22.0 | 19.8 | 14.7 | 18.4 |
| 1926 | 17.8 | 9.7 | 7.9 | 10.5 | 1946 | 21.3 | 18.5 | 13.4 | 17.2 |
| 1927 | 17.0 | 9.3 | 7.7 | 10.2 | 1947 | 21.0 | 18.0 | 13.4 | 16.9 |
| 1928 | 15.2 | 9.0 | 7.1 | 9.6 | 1948 | 25.1 | 18.5 | 13.9 | 18.2 |
| 1929 | 15.4 | 9.0 | 7.1 | 9.6 | 1949 | 24.9 | 19.2 | 14.5 | 18.7 |
| 1930 | 18.2 | 8.9 | 7.5 | 10.2 | 1950 | 22.4 | 16.7 | 11.9 | 16.1 |
| 1931 | 17.0 | 9.0 | 7.7 | 10.3 | 1951 | 25.9 | 19.1 | 13.4 | 18.3 |
| 1932 | 17.6 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 10.7 | 1952 | 25.7 | 17.9 | 13.7 | 17.9 |
| 1933 | 18.9 | 11.1 | 9.1 | 12.7 | 1953 | 25.9 | 17.5 | 13.2 | 17.5 |
| 1934 | 26.9 | 15.5 | 11.6 | 17.5 | 1954 | 22.5 | 17.1 | 12.8 | 16.5 |
| 1935 | 30.3 | 16.1 | 12.2 | 19.3 | 1955 | 20.3 | 15.7 | 11.6 | 15.0 |
| 1936 | 30.7 | 18.3 | 12.3 | 20.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1937 | 34.9 | 20.5 | 14.4 | 22.6 |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Ratio of high-powered reserves to deposits for call dates nearest June 30, from Banking and Monetary Statistics and Member Bank Call Report.

Deposits: Demand deposits (including certified, cashiers', and travelers' checks listed separately 1919-22) less float; time deposits including postal savings (except 1942, when they are not separated from U.S. time deposits); and due to nonmember banks less due from (estimated by multiplying "due to banks" of each class by the ratio for all member banks of "net due to banks" to "gross due to banks"). "Due from banks" excludes foreign banks except in 1918 and excludes reciprocal bank balances after 1941. "Due to banks" excludes foreign banks, foreign branches of domestic banks, and reciprocal bank balances after 1941.

High-powered reserves: All currency and coin on hand and balances at federal Reserve Banks.
${ }^{a}$ St. Louis was a central reserve city until 1922 ; its reserve city status begins with the 1923 figure.

## TABLE F- 14

> HYPOTHETICAL RESERVE RATIO OF NATIONAL OR MEMBER BANKS, BASED ON 1914 DISTRIBUTION OF DEPOSITS AMONG BANKS, ANNUALLY, 1875-1955
> (per cent)

| Year | Hypothetical Ratio <br> (1) | Actual Ratio Minus Hypothetical Ratio (2) | Year | Hypothetical Ratio <br> (1) | Actual Ratio Minus Hypothetical Ratio (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1875 | 23.9 | 1.1 | 1916 | 14.6 | 0.4 |
| 1876 | 24.3 | 1.4 | 1917 | 13.8 | 0.4 |
| 1877 | 23.7 | 0.8 | 1918 | 12.5 | 1.4 |
| 1878 | 25.2 | . 7 | 1919 | 11.8 | 0.8 |
| 1879 | 23.8 | . 6 | 1920 | 11.0 | 0.5 |
| 1880 | 23.3 | . 6 | 1921 | 10.4 | 0.4 |
| 1881 | 20.4 | 0.1 | 1922 | 10.2 | 0.6 |
| 1882 | 20.6 | -0.3 | 1923 | 10.2 | 0.3 |
| 1883 | 21.4 | -0.4 | 1924 | 10.8 | 0.2 |
| 1884 | 25.5 | 0.0 | 1925 | 10.8 | 0.1 |
| 1885 | 26.5 | 0.3 | 1926 | 10.4 | 0.1 |
| 1886 | 22.5 | -0.5 | 1927 | 10.0 | 0.2 |
| 1887 | 21.7 | 0.1 | 1928 | 9.2 | 0.3 |
| 1888 | 21.5 | . 4 | 1929 | 9.3 | 0.4 |
| 1889 | 19.9 | . 1 | 1930 | 10.0 | 0.2 |
| 1890 | 19.3 | . 1 | 1931 | 10.0 | 0.3 |
| 1891 | 20.2 | . 1 | 1932 | 10.2 | 0.5 |
| 1892 | 19.3 | . 1 | 1933 | 11.6 | 1.1 |
| 1893 | 25.2 | 0.6 | 1934 | 15.7 | 1.8 |
| 1894 | 21.9 | 1.8 | 1935 | 16.9 | 2.4 |
| 1895 | 19.1 | 1.0 | 1936 | 17.6 | 2.5 |
| 1896 | 21.8 | 0.5 | 1937 | 20.1 | 2.5 |
| 1897 | 20.4 | 1.1 | 1938 | 22.6 | 3.9 |
| 1898 | 19.8 | 1.1 | 1939 | 24.5 | 5.2 |
| 1899 | 18.0 | 1.1 | 1940 | 27.4 | 7.1 |
| 1900 | 18.5 | 1.3 | 1941 | 24.9 | 5.0 |
| 1901 | 16.8 | 1.3 | 1942 | 22.2 | 2.8 |
| 1902 | 15.7 | 0.5 | 1943 | 18.5 | 1.1 |
| 1903 | 16.4 | 0.5 | 1944 | 18.0 | 0.9 |
| 1904 | 16.3 | 1.5 | 1945 | 17.5 | 0.9 |
| 1905 | 15.8 | 0.9 | 1946 | 16.3 | 0.9 |
| 1906 | 15.4 | -0.4 | 1947 | 16.1 | 0.8 |
| 1907 | 16.1 | -0.5 | 1948 | 17.4 | 0.8 |
| 1908 | 17.2 | 0.8 | 1949 | 17.8 | 0.9 |
| 1909 | 15.8 | 0.4 | 1950 | 15.3 | 0.8 |
| 1910 | 15.9 | 0.0 | 1951 | 17.4 | 0.9 |
| 1911 | 15.5 | 0.1 | 1952 | 17.2 | 0.7 |
| 1912 | 15.0 | -0.3 | 1953 | 16.9 | 0.6 |
| 1913 | 15.0 | -0.4 | 1954 | 15.9 | 0.6 |
| 1914 | 15.1 | 0.0 | 1955 | 14.4 | 0.6 |
| 1915 | 15.8 | 0.6 |  |  |  |

Source, by Column
(1): Weighted average of reserve ratios for three classes of national or member banks (Table F-11, cols. 1, 4, and 7; and Table F-13, cols. 1, 2 , and 3), where the weights are the proportionate amount of deposits at each class in 1914: central reserve city banks, 20.3 per cent; reserve city banks, 26.0 per cent; and country banks, 53.7 per cent.

## NOTES TO TABLE F-14 (concluded)

(2): Table F-11, col. 1 subtracted from col. 10 (1875-1917) and Table F-13, col. 4 (1918-55).

An algebraic expression for col. 2 is derived as follows: Let $R^{i}$ represent the reserve ratio for the $i$ class of banks at time $t$, and $w_{t}{ }^{1}$ the amount of deposits at this class as a fraction of those at all classes at time $t$. The operator $\Delta$ signifies the change in a variable over the period $t-1$ to $t$. Then the actual ratio $R_{t}^{a}$ and the hypothetical ratio $R_{t}^{h}$ are ${ }^{g i v n n}{ }_{t}=R_{t}^{1} w_{t}+R_{t}^{2} w_{t}^{2}+R_{t}^{3} w_{t}^{3}$

$$
R_{t}^{h}=R_{t}^{1} w_{1914}^{1}+R_{t}^{2} w_{1914}^{2}+R_{t}^{3} w_{1914}^{3}
$$

The difference between them is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{t}^{a}-R_{t}^{h}=R_{t}^{1}\left(w_{t}^{1}-w_{1914}^{1}\right)+R_{t}^{2}\left(w_{t}^{2}-w_{1914}^{2}\right)+R_{t}^{3}\left(w_{t}^{3}-w_{1914}^{3}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may similarly express the total change in $R^{a}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta R_{t}^{a}=\sum_{1}^{3} \Delta\left(R_{t}^{1} w_{t}^{1}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding and rearranging terms, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta R_{t}^{a}=\sum_{1}^{3} R_{t}^{i} \Delta w_{t}^{i}+\sum_{1}^{3} w_{t}^{1} \Delta R_{t}^{i}-\underset{1}{3} \Delta R_{t}^{i} \Delta w_{t}^{1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This divides the total into three subchanges: one representing the change in weights, one, the change in reserve ratios, and one, the interaction between the two subchanges, respectively.

The change in $R^{a}-R^{h}$, using (1), is

$$
\Delta\left(R_{t}^{a}-R_{t}^{h}\right)=\underset{1}{3}\left(R_{t}^{i} w_{t}^{i}\right)-\sum_{1}^{3} w_{1914}^{i} \quad \Delta R_{t}^{i} \text {, since } \Delta w_{1914}^{i}=0
$$

Collecting terms and using (3), we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(R_{t}^{a}-R_{t}^{h}\right)=\sum_{1}^{3} R_{t}^{1} \Delta w_{t}^{1}+\sum_{1}^{3}\left(w_{t}^{i}-w_{1914}^{1}\right) \Delta R_{t}^{1}-\sum_{1}^{3} \Delta R_{t}^{i} \Delta w_{t}^{i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

 that is, ${ }^{1}$ t-1 $-w_{1}^{1} 914$ is small, since the weights in fact have
small year-to-year changes and no trend. Consequently, the second term on the right side approximates in magnitude the interaction (last) term, and the two tend to cancel each other. Hence, changes in the difference between the actual and the hypothetical ratio reflect mainly changes in the weights.

TABLE F-15
total cash reserve ratio of national or member banks, BY CLASS OF BANK, ANNUALLY, 1875-1955
(per cent)

| Year | Central Reserve City Banks (1) | Reserve City Banks (2) | Country <br> Banks <br> (3) | All National or Nember Banks <br> (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1875 | 35.8 | 40.7 | 40.7 | 39.3 |
| 1876 | 37.1 | 42.5 | 41.8 | 40.7 |
| 1877 | 34.8 | 39.9 | 40.4 | 38.7 |
| 1878 | 33.6 | 42.4 | 44.7 | 40.9 |
| 1879 | 29.9 | 43.1 | 45.3 | 40.4 |
| 1880 | 31.7 | 43.0 | 43.7 | 40.1 |
| 1881 | 30.2 | 36.5 | 39.6 | 36.3 |
| 1882 | 32.0 | 34.9 | 34.3 | 34.0 |
| 1883 | 32.4 | 38.1 | 33.7 | 34.7 |
| 1884 | 40.9 | 39.7 | 35.9 | 38.2 |
| 1885 | 42.0 | 40.9 | 38.7 | 40.2 |
| 1886 | 32.8 | 36.9 | 36.4 | 35.7 |
| 1887 | 36.2 | 37.1 | 34.1 | 35.4 |
| 1888 | 36.1 | 38.7 | 35.1 | 36.3 |
| 1889 | 35.0 | 37.1 | 34.9 | 35.5 |
| 1890 | 36.3 | 35.3 | 32.3 | 34.1 |
| 1891 | 36.0 | 37.3 | 32.8 | 34.8 |
| 1892 | 34.5 | 36.8 | 33.9 | 34.8 |
| 1893 | 44.3 | 39.6 | 34.5 | 38.5 |
| 1894 | 40.7 | 38.6 | 36.0 | 38.2 |
| 1895 | 35.0 | 36.5 | 32.9 | 34.5 |
| 1896 | 36.9 | 38.9 | 33.9 | 36.1 |
| 1897 | 36.4 | 41.1 | 37.5 | 38.1 |
| 1898 | 35.4 | 39.8 | 36.7 | 37.1 |
| 1899 | 33.0 | 38.5 | 37.8 | 36.6 |
| 1900 | 36.0 | 40.9 | 36.0 | 37.4 |
| 1901 | 34.7 | 38.7 | 33.3 | 35.2 |
| 1902 | 33.5 | 37.5 | 31.2 | 33.6 |
| 1903 | 35.0 | 38.3 | 29.8 | 33.5 |
| 1904 | 34.3 | 41.6 | 30.8 | 34.8 |
| 1905 | 32.7 | 39.6 | 31.1 | 33.9 |
| 1906 | 32.6 | 37.4 | 29.4 | 32.5 |
| 1907 | 33.6 | 37.1 | 28.1 | 31.9 |
| 1908 | 34.5 | 41.1 | 29.9 | 34.3 |
| 1909 | 31.9 | 38.2 | 28.2 | 32.1 |
| 1910 | 33.7 | 37.8 | 26.2 | 31.4 |
| 1911 | 33.2 | 37.3 | 26.3 | 31.2 |
| 1912 | 32.9 | 37.5 | 26.4 | 31.2 |
| 1913 | 33.0 | 36.9 | 24.8 | 30.1 |
| 1914 | 32.9 | 34.5 | 24.1 | 29.1 |
| 1915 | 33.6 | 34.9 | 24.0 | 29.7 |
| 1916 | 26.6 | 33.2 | 26.5 | 28.4 |
| 1917 | 20.8 | 24.1 | 23.1 | 22.8 |
| 1918 | 24.6 | 26.4 | 20.8 | 23.7 |
| 1919 | 22.1 | 23.3 | 20.3 | 21.8 |
| 1920 | 18.1 | 20.0 | 17.7 | 18.5 |
| 1921 | 16.8 | 17.6 | 15.4 | 16.5 |
| 1922 | 17.1 | 18.4 | 16.3 | 17.2 |
| 1923 | 17.7 | 17.4 | 15.3 | 16.6 |

(continued)

TABLE F-15 (concluded)

| Year | Central <br> Reserve City Banks (1) | Reserve City Banks (2) | Country <br> Banks <br> (3) | All National or Member Banks (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1924 | 19.9 | 19.2 | 16.1 | 18.0 |
| 1925 | 20.2 | 17.6 | 16.2 | 17.6 |
| 1926 | 18.7 | 17.2 | 15.5 | 16.8 |
| 1927 | 18.0 | 16.2 | 15.2 | 16.2 |
| 1928 | 16.4 | 15.7 | 13.8 | 15.1 |
| 1929 | 17.4 | 15.8 | 13.3 | 15.2 |
| 1930 | 19.5 | 18.2 | 14.9 | 17.2 |
| 1931 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 16.4 | 17.7 |
| 1932 | 19.0 | 17.8 | 14.7 | 17.0 |
| 1933 | 20.4 | 22.1 | 18.5 | 20.4 |
| 1934 | 25.7 | 27.6 | 24.1 | 25.9 |
| 1935 | 29.0 | 28.1 | 26.4 | 27.9 |
| 1936 | 27.9 | 30.9 | 27.9 | 29.0 |
| 1937 | 32.1 | 29.0 | 27.3 | 29.4 |
| 1938 | 40.8 | 33.8 | 29.2 | 34.6 |
| 1939 | 45.1 | 36.1 | 31.3 | 37.7 |
| 1940 | 47.8 | 41.3 | 36.0 | 42.3 |
| 1941 | 38.19 | 39.6 |  |  |
| 1942 | $30.5{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $32.2{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $34.7{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $32.3{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1943 | 20.5 | 25.7 | 30.7 | 25.7 |
| 1944 | 20.3 | 24.8 | 28.8 | 24.8 |
| 1945 | 20.7 | 23.7 | 27.2 | 24.1 |
| 1946 | 20.3 | 21.9 | 22.6 | 21.8 |
| 1947 | 20.1 | 21.4 | 21.7 | 21.2 |
| 1948 | 23.8 | 21.8 | 21.7 | 22.2 |
| 1949 | 23.7 | 22.3 | 21.8 | 22.4 |
| 1950 | 21.2 | 19.7 | 20.0 | 20.2 |
| 1951 | 24.5 | 22.0 | 21.1 | 22.2 |
| 1952 | 24.2 | 21.0 | 22.0 | 22.2 |
| 1953 | 24.3 | 20.7 | 21.0 | 21.6 |
| 1954 | 21.2 | 20.4 | 21.1 | 20.9 |
| 1955 | 19.3 | 18.8 | 19.1 | 19.0 |

Source: 1875-1917: Call date nearest Oct. for national banks from Annual Report, Comptroller; and National Bank Call Report.

1918-55: Call date nearest June 30 for member banks from Niember
Bank Call Report.
Denominator is the same as for Tables $\mathrm{F}-11$ and $\mathrm{F}-13$ except that interbank deposits are treated differently: gross (not net) amounts due to all (not just other commercial) banks are included (except that deposits of foreign banks and reciprocal balances were excluded when available separately).

Numerator is high-powered reserves used in Tables $F-11$ and $F-13$ plus amounts due from all banks (excluding deposits of foreign banks and reciprocal balances when available separately).

These reserve ratios represent a combination of banks without cancellation of interbank deposits in contrast to all the ratios used elsewhere in this study, in which the banking system is consolidated and interbank deposits cancel out.

The cash reserve ratio is high-powered reserves and amounts due from other banks divided by deposits of the public and amounts due to banks.
 Ratios for 1942 , including these balances, are, reading left to right: 30.8, $33.6,34.9$, and 33.1.

TABLE F-16
total cash reserve ratio of other commercial banks, ANNUALLY AND SEMIANJNUALLY, 1896-1955
(per cent)

| 1896 | June | 24.6 | 1924 | June | 16.2 | 1943 | June | 32.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1897 | June | 27.8 | 1925 | June | 17.2 |  | Dec. | 28.8 |
| 1898 | June | 27.0 | 1926 | June | 16.4 | 1944 | June | 28.9 |
| 1899 | June | 25.7 | 1927 | June | 16.3 |  | Dec. | 26.5 |
| 1900 | June | 25.3 | 1928 | June | 14.4 | 1945 | June | 27.2 |
| 1901 | June | 23.5 | 1929 | June | 13.5 |  | Dec. | 25.2 |
| 1902 | June | 22.6 | 1930 | June | 14.3 | 1946 | June | 23.6 |
| 1903 | June | 21.9 | 1931 | June | 16.3 |  | Dec. | 21.0 |
| 1904 | June | 25.3 | 1932 | June | 16.4 | 1947 | June | 20.7 |
| 1905 | June | 21.8 | 1933 | June | 19.8 |  | Dec. | 20.2 |
| 1906 | June | 21.2 | 1934 | June | 23.8 | 1948 | June | 20.1 |
| 1907 | June | 21.7 |  | Dec. | 25.4 |  | Dec. | 19.3 |
| 1908 | June | 25.6 | 1935 | June | 26.0 | 1949 | June | 19.6 |
| 1909 | June | 24.2 |  | Dec. | 27.0 |  | Dec. | 18.7 |
| 1910 | June | 22.9 | 1936 | June | 28.1 | 1950 | June | 19.9 |
| 1911 | June | 24.0 |  | Dec. | 28.9 |  | Dec. | 20.2 |
| 1912 | June | 22.5 | 1937 | June | 26.1 | 1951 | June | 20.8 |
| 1913 | June | 22.0 |  | Dec. | 25.6 |  | Dec. | 22.0 |
| 1914 | June | 22.2 | 1938 | June | 27.3 | 1952 | June | 20.5 |
| 1915 | June | 23.3 |  | Dec. | 27.0 |  | Dec. | 20.0 |
| 1916 | June | 22.6 | 1939 | June | 28.3 | 1953 | June | 20.0 |
| 1917 | June | 22.6 |  | Dec. | 30.8 |  | Dec. | 19.9 |
| 1918 | June | 21.9 | 1940 | June | 33.9 | 1954 | June | 19.9 |
| 1919 | June | 20.3 |  | Dec. | 34.6 |  | Dec. | 19.2 |
| 1920 | June | 17.8 | 1941 | June | 35.5 | 1955 | June | 18.9 |
| 1921 | June | 16.1 |  | Dec. | 35.0 |  | Dec. | 18.4 |
| 1922 | June | 17.0 | 1942 | June | 35.6 |  |  |  |
| 1923 | June | 16.7 |  | Dec. | 34.6 |  |  |  |

Source: This series is the same as Table F-10, col. 3, except for the inclusion here in the numerator of the amount due from banks and inclusion here in the denominator of the amount due to banks from All-Bank Statistics, FRB, and Annual Report, FDIC, seasonally adjusted after 1933. This series is comparable to the national or member bank ratio shown in Table F-15.

Note: Semiannual figures are seasonally adjusted; not available before 1896.

TABLE F-17
DEPOSITS, RESERVES, AND RESERVE RATIO OF MUTUAL SAVINGS BANKS, ANNUALLY AND SEMIAJNUALLY, 1875-1955

| Date (end of month) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Deposits } \\ (\$ \text { millions }) \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reserves } \\ (\$ \text { millions }) \\ (2) \end{gathered}$ | ```Reserve Ratio (per cent) (3)``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1875 | Aug. | 837 |  |  |
| 1876 | Feb. | 842 |  |  |
|  | Aug. | 847 |  |  |
| 1877 | Feb. | 841 |  |  |
|  | Aug. | 818 |  |  |
| 1878 | Feb. | 797 |  |  |
|  | Aug. | 772 |  |  |
| 1879 | Feb. | 751 |  |  |
|  | Aug. | 744 |  |  |
| 1880 | Feb. | 787 |  |  |
|  | Aug. | 829 |  |  |
| 1881 | Feb. | 867 |  |  |
|  | Aug. | 957 |  |  |
| 1882 | June | 952 |  |  |
| 1883 | June | 1,004 |  |  |
| 1884 | June | 1,034 |  |  |
| 1885 | June | 1,068 |  |  |
| 1886 | June | 1,125 |  |  |
| 1887 | June | 1,183 |  |  |
| 1888 | June | 1,237 | 66 | 5.3 |
| 1889 | June | 1,300 | 68 | 5.2 |
| 1890 | June | 1,373 | 68 | 5.0 |
| 1891 | June | 1,427 | 71 | 5.0 |
| 1892 | June | 1,517 | 83 | 5.5 |
| 1893 | June | 1,546 | 88 | 5.7 |
| 1894 | June | 1,571 | 89 | 5.7 |
| 1895 | June | 1,650 | 91 | 5.5 |
| 1896 | June | 1,693 | 91 | 5.4 |
| 1897 | June | 1,784 | 98 | 5.5 |
| 1898 | June | 1,869 | 114 | 6.1 |
| 1899 | June | 1,999 | 116 | 5.8 |
| 1900 | June | 2,128 | 120 | 5.6 |
| 1901 | June | 2,260 | 124 | 5.5 |
| 1902 | June | 2,389 | 123 | 5.1 |
| 1903 | June | 2,504 | 121 | 4.8 |
| 1904 | June | 2,601 | 135 | 5.2 |
| 1905 | June | 2,743 | 133 | 4.8 |
| 1906 | June | 2,911 | 132 | 4.5 |
| 1907 | June | 3,011 | 141 | 4.7 |
|  | Dec. | 3,017 | 149 | 4.9 |
| 1908 | June | 3,000 | 157 | 5.2 |
|  | Dec. | 3,055 | 157 | 5.1 |
| 1909 | June | 3,133 | 157 | 5.0 |
|  | Dec. | 3,221 | 156 | 4.8 |
| 1910 | June | 3,290 | 155 | 4.7 |
|  | Dec. | 3,356 | 162 | 4.8 |
| 1911 | June | 3,429 | 169 | 4.9 |
|  | Dec. | 3,501 | 168 | 4.8 |
| 1912 | June | 3,587 | 166 | 4.6 |
|  | Dec. | 3,658 | 169 | 4.6 |

TA8LE F-17 (continued)

| Date (end of month) |  | Deposits (\$ millions) (1) | Reserves (\$ millions) (2) | Reserve Ratio (per cent) (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913 | June | 3,732 | 171 | 4.6 |
|  | Dec. | 3,786 | 181 | 4.8 |
| 1914 | June | 3,841 | 193 | 5.0 |
|  | Dec. | 3,862 | 199 | 5.2 |
| 1915 | June | 3,873 | 205 | 5.3 |
|  | Dec. | 3,986 | 220 | 5.5 |
| 1916 | June | 4,103 | 236 | 5.8 |
|  | Dec. | 4,277 | 238 | 5.6 |
| 1917 | June | 4,342 | 238 | 5.5 |
|  | Dec. | 4,359 | 229 | 5.3 |
| 1918 | June | 4,344 | 222 | 5.1 |
|  | Dec. | 4,498 | 222 | 4.9 |
| 1919 | June | 4,715 | 222 | 4.7 |
|  | Dec. | 4,926 | 223 | 4.5 |
| 1920 | June | 5,146 | 222 | 4.3 |
|  | Dec. | 5,362 | 213 | 4.0 |
| 1921 | June | 5,492 | 205 | 3.7 |
|  | Dec. | 5,572 | 215 | 3.9 |
| 1922 | June | 5,683 | 225 | 4.0 |
|  | Dec. | 5,919 | 220 | 3.7 |
| 1923 | June | 6,189 | 215 | 3.5 |
|  | Dec. | 6,416 | 229 | 3.6 |
| 1924 | June | 6,582 | 243 | 3.7 |
|  | Dec. | 6,819 | 240 | 3.5 |
| 1925 | June | 7,033 | 236 | 3.4 |
|  | Dec. | 7,218 | 235 | 3.3 |
| 1926 | June | 7,424 | 234 | 3.2 |
|  | Dec. | 7,678 | 242 | 3.2 |
| 1927 | June | 7,953 | 251 | 3.2 |
|  | Dec. | 8,264 | 242 | 2.9 |
| 1928 | June | 8,508 | 234 | 2.8 |
|  | Dec. | 8,752 | 225 | 2.6 |
| 1929 | June | 8,836 | 216 | 2.4 |
|  | Dec. | 8,794 | 249 | 2.8 |
| 1930 | June | 9,050 | 287 | 3.2 |
|  | Dec. | 9,387 | 332 | 3.5 |
| 1931 | June | 9,854 | 383 | 3.9 |
|  | Dec. | 9,940 | 407 | 4.1 |
| 1932 | June | 9,880 | 432 | 4.4 |
|  | Dec. | 9,871 | 426 | 4.3 |
| 1933 | June | 9,576 | 420 | 4.4 |
|  | Dec. | 9,503 | 460 | 4.8 |
| 1934 | June | 9,638 | 506 | 5.3 |
|  | Dec. | 9,702 | 511 | 5.3 |
| 1935 | June | 9,777 | 516 | 5.3 |
|  | Dec. | 9,839 | 541 | 5.5 |
| 1936 | June | 9,918 | 536 | 5.4 |
|  | Dec. | 10,018 | 553 | 5.5 |
| 1937 | June | 10,094 | 533 | 5.3 |
|  | Dec. | 10,129 | 535 | 5.3 |
| 1938 | June | 10,155 | 570 | 5.6 |
|  | Dec. | 10,238 | 572 | 5.6 |

(continued)

TABLE F-17 (concluded)

| Date (end of month) |  | Deposits (\$ millions) (1) | Reserves (\$ millions) (2) | ```Reserve Ratio (per cent) (3)``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1939 | June | 10,377 | 690 | 6.6 |
|  | Dec. | 10,481 | 799 | 7.6 |
| 1940 | June | 10,573 | 967 | 9.1 |
|  | Dec. | 10,615 | 944 | 8.9 |
| 1941 | June | 10,595 | 955 | 9.0 |
|  | Dec. | 10,500 | 771 | 7.3 |
| 1942 | June | 10,344 | 741 | 7.2 |
|  | Dec. | 10,637 | 641 | 6.0 |
| 1943 | June | 11,070 | 709 | 6.4 |
|  | Dec. | 11,678 | 776 | 6.6 |
| 1944 | June | 12,390 | 524 | 4.2 |
|  | Dec. | 13,297 | 563 | 4.2 |
| 1945 | June | 14,331 | 572 | 4.0 |
|  | Dec. | 15,295 | 585 | 3.8 |
| 1946 | June | 16,172 | 730 | 4.5 |
|  | Dec. | 16,769 | 790 | 4.7 |
| 1947 | June | 17,500 | 822 | 4.7 |
|  | Dec. | 17,700 | 858 | 4.8 |
| 1948 | June | 18,100 | 815 | 4.5 |
|  | Dec. | 18,400 | 841 | 4.6 |
| 1949 | June | 18,800 | 781 | 4.2 |
|  | Dec. | 19,300 | 834 | 4.3 |
| 1950 | June | 19,800 | 801 | 4.0 |
|  | Dec. | 20,000 | 754 | 3.8 |
| 1951 | June | 20,300 | 822 | 4.0 |
|  | Dec. | 20.900 | 836 | 4.0 |
| 1952 | June | 21,700 | 928 | 4.3 |
|  | Dec. | 22,600 | 872 | 3.9 |
| 1953 | June | 23,500 | 838 | 3.6 |
|  | Dec. | 24,400 | 928 | 3.8 |
| 1954 | June | 25,300 | 955 | 3.8 |
|  | Dec. | 26,300 | 967 | 3.7 |
| 1955 | June | 27,200 | 951 | 3.5 |
|  | Dec. | 28,100 | 904 | 3.2 |

Source, by Column
(1): Deposits of the public: Estimates of Friedman and Schwartz, A Monetary llistory; Table $A-1$, col. S, not incorporating minor later revisions in the lat ter.
(2): Vault cash; and balances at commercial banks, not available before 1888. (For the purposes of Table $F-8$, col. 8, balances at commercial banks were estimated indirectly by extrapolating later reserve ratios back in time, which is not appropriate here.)
1888-95: Balances of mutual savings banks in each state at commercial banks from Annual Report, Comptroller, interpolated logarithmically to June 30 , totaled, and raised by 10 per cent (which is the average understatement of this series in 1896-97, based on a comparison with the subsequent, more accurate source) plus vault cash from Fand, "Nonnational banks Estimates." 1896-1934: Total cash assets, excluding cash items in process of collection, tor June from All-Bank Statistics and interpolated logarithmically for Dec.

NOTES TO TABLE F-17 (concluded)
1935-46: Total cash assets from Annual Report, FDIC, for 1935-38 and FRB for 1939-46, seasonally adjusted, less cash items, for June from All-Bank Statistics, and for Dec. an arithmetic interpolation of June figures. 1947-55. Total cash assets excluding cash items and balances at Federal Reserve Banks from Annual Report, FDIC, seasonally adjusted.

This series, less balances at commercial banks, shown in Table F-8, col. 8, equals vault cash of mutual savings banks.
Note: Semiannual figures are seasonally adjusted.

TABLE F-18
RATIO OF CURRENCY TO CONSUMER EXPENDITURES, DECENNIALLY AND ANNUALLY, 1869-1960
(per cent)

| 1869-78 | $9.0{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1911 | 5.9 | 1936 | 8.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1879-88 | $8.7{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1912 | 5.7 | 1937 | 8.2 |
| 1889 | 8.4 | 1913 | 5.6 | 1938 | 8.5 |
| 1890 | 9.3 | 1914 | 5.6 | 1939 | 8.8 |
| 1891 | 9.1 | 1915 | 5.6 | 1940 | 9.3 |
| 1892 | 9.1 | 1916 | 5.2 | 1941 | 10.2 |
| 1893 | 9.4 | 1917 | 5.6 | 1942 | 12.8 |
| 1894 | 9.4 | 1918 | 6.7 | 1943 | 16.2 |
| 1895 | 8.5 | 1919 | 7.0 | 1944 | 19.2 |
| 1896 | 8.3 | 1920 | 6.7 | 1945 | 20.7 |
| 1897 | 8.0 | 1921 | 6.4 | 1946 | 17.9 |
| 1898 | 9.0 | 1922 | 5.9 | 1947 | 15.8 |
| 1899 | 8.3 | 1923 | 5.7 | 1948 | 14.4 |
| 1900 | 8.7 | 1924 | 5.4 | 1949 | 13.8 |
| 1901 | 8.1 | 1925 | 5.4 | 1950 | 12.6 |
| 1902 | 8.0 | 1926 | 5.1 | 1951 | 12.0 |
| 1903 | 8.2 | 1927 | 5.0 | 1952 | 12.0 |
| 1904 | 8.0 | 1928 | 4.8 | 1953 | 11.7 |
| 1905 | 7.8 | 1929 | 4.5 | 1954 | 11.3 |
| 1906 | 7.5 | 1930 | 4.8 | 1955 | 10.5 |
| 1907 | 7.6 | 1931 | 6.3 | 1956 | 10.2 |
| 1908 | 7.9 | 1932 | 9.3 | 1957 | 9.7 |
| 1909 | 6.5 | 1933 | 10.2 | 1958 | 9.5 |
| 1910 | 6.2 | 1934 | 8.8 | 1959 | 9.0 |
|  |  | 1935 | 8.4 | 1960 | 8.6 |

Source: Currency outside banks from Friedman and Schwartz, A Monetary History, Table A-1. Annual and semi annual data before 1907, for dates near the middle of year; thereafter, averages of monthly data. Currency includes state bank notes 1869-75.

Consumer expenditures from John $W_{\text {. K K }}$ Kendrick, Productivity Trends in the United States, Princeton for NBER, 1961, pp. 296-297, extended with Department of Commerce figures.
$\mathrm{a}_{\text {Ten-year }}$ averages of annual figures.


[^0]:    a Average of monthly money yields, beginning and terminal peaks weighted one-ialf, Macaulay's series adjusted for drift (rrederick R. Macaulay, Some Theoretical
    Hroblems Suggested by the Movements of Interest Rates, Bond Yields and Stock Prices in tine United States Since 1856, New York, NBER, 1938, Table 10, col. 5).
    ${ }^{b}$ Average of prevailing dividend rates for each month divided by prices, beginning and terminal peaks weighted one-half (A. Cowles and Associates, Common-Stock Indexes 1871-1937, Bloomington, 1938, Series $Y-2, Y-3$, and $Y-4$ ).
    $c_{\text {Numerical }}$ difference may not exactly equal difference between yields shown because of rounding.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ As Rendigs Fels also concluded from such evidence (see his American Business Cycles, 1865-1897, Chapel Hill, 1959, pp. 71-72).
    ${ }^{3}$ Three other explanations of the Gibson Paradox may be mentioned.

    1. R. G. Hawtrey (Good and Bad Trade, London, 1913) denies that investors (and savers?) have any long-run expectations of price movements and so rules out an explanation of Fisher's kind. Hawtrey argues instead that price movements cause rates of return and profits to move in the same direction, because of a lag in wages. For this reason money, prices, and interest allegedly move together.
    2. F. R. Macaulay (Some Theoretical Problems Suggested by the Movements of Interest Rates, Bond Yields and Stock Prices in the United States since 1856, New York, NBER, 1938, Chap. VI) was vehemently critical and highly skeptical of Fisher's empirical results. Macaulay's explanation of the paradox was that rising prices induce increases in investment expenditures and thereby cause interest rates to rise; and, conversely, for falling prices.
[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ If increased growth of the money stock removed some pockets of persistent unemployment, this too would add to aggregate output. That effect on the long-run rate of growth of output, however, is limited and would be negligible, notwithstanding the exaggerated emphasis accorded the effect in recent discussions of growth.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ See "Trends in Rates of Bank Earnings and Expenses," Federal Reserve Bulletin, Feb. 1938, especially p. 103.

[^4]:    ${ }^{3}$ Annual Report, 1870, p. xii; see also Cagan, The Demand for Currency, p. 24n.

[^5]:    ${ }^{4}$ See Chapter 5, sect. 1, subsect. Shifts Between Time and Demand Deposits.
    ${ }^{5}$ I have intentionally ignored one other piece of evidence: a rate of 2.35 per cent on demand deposits reported by the Comptroller of the Currency for national banks in 1910 (see Annual Report, 1910, p. 57). That figure is much higher than the data show for the 1920's and for 1870 . (Although the 1870 rate of 1 per cent was an average for total deposits, we may assume that the demand rate was lower and the time rate, higher.) A rate of 2.35 per cent seems much too high compared with all the other data. This figure has puzzled me for a long time and I have finally concluded that it is unrepresentative and should be ignored. It seems to be an unweighted average of rates paid by reporting banks, and it very likely excludes banks or deposits that paid nothing-possibly a not inconsequential part of the total. In the Comptroller's survey (Annual Refort, 1870), for example, one-third of the banks paid no interest. The 2.35 rate is probably typical, however, of the rate then paid on interbank deposits and large accounts.

[^6]:    (continued)

[^7]:    Source: Ratio of reserves to deposits for call date nearest Oct. lst from Annual Report, Comptroller, and National Bank Call Report. The
    usable ratio is the difference between the total and the required ratios. Cols. 10 , 11 , and 12 are presented back to 1865 in Table 24 . Deposits (all cols.): 1875-1914, Individual deposits and dividends unpaid (and deposits of postal savings system first shown separately Treasury balances, which are shown for all banks only and so were distributed one-third to each class) less float, time deposits including fing postal savings, dividends unpaid (shown separately 1915-16) ; and due to less due from nonnational banks (estimated 1915-16 for each class of banks by multiplying "due to banks" of the class by the ratio for all national banks of net due to banks" to "gross due to banks".
    1914) and (beginning 1915) balances at Federal Reserve Banks. ported in Annual Report, Comptroiler, less ( $1875-1913$ ) the 5 per cent redemption fund for national bank notes, which counted toward reserve $a_{\text {Not }}$ computed for years after 1914 (see Table $F-12$ for all national banks).
    $\mathbf{b}_{\text {Figure }}$ for December 1914 (just after Federal Reserve Act came into effect) is 14.8.

