This PDF is a selection from a published volume from the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: Social Security Programs and Retirement around the World: Working Longer

Volume Authors/Editors: Courtney C. Coile, Kevin Milligan, and David A. Wise, editors

Volume Publisher: University of Chicago Press

Volume ISBNs: 978-0-226-61929-3 (cloth); 978-0-226-61932-3 (electronic)


Conference Date:

Publication Date: December 2019

Chapter Title: Labor Force Participation of the Elderly in Japan

Chapter Author(s): Takashi Oshio, Emiko Usui, Satoshi Shimizutani


Chapter pages in book: (p. 163 – 178)
7.1 Introduction

An aging population has an adverse impact on the fiscal positions of social security programs and long-term growth potential, and encouraging labor force participation (LFP) is needed to mitigate demographic pressures. Moreover, social security benefits have been reducing the elderly’s incentives to work (Gruber and Wise 1999). As such, previous studies have demonstrated that social security programs’ enhanced generosity has been reducing the elderly labor supply despite general improvement in their health condition (Usui, Shimizutani, and Oshio 2016; Wise 2017). At the same time, the transition from work to retirement has become more complicated and diversified among the elderly via disability and unemployment insurance programs, as well as core public pension schemes (Wise 2012, 2016).

An association between social security benefits and elderly work/retirement decisions has been observed in Japan, although the elderly LFP rate is much higher than in other advanced countries (Yashiro and Oshio 1999). Additionally, the elderly LFP had been on a downward trend since the 1960s and was amplified by a long-term decline in the proportion of self-employed workers and farmers. Furthermore, compared to other countries,
disability insurance programs play a limited role in the transition from work to retirement (Shimizutani, Oshio, and Fujii 2015).

However, in the last two decades, there has been a remarkable change in the elderly labor market in Japan. The elderly LFP and, more specifically, employment rates have been on an uptrend after a stabilizing period in the early 2000s. Several factors have contributed to these trends, including changes in incentives related to social security and disability insurance programs, improved health and longevity, increased educational attainment, a shift toward less physically demanding jobs, and rising female LFP (combined with the desire for joint retirement among couples). Their relative importance is likely to differ substantially across countries, and some of them may be less relevant to Japan.

In this study, we overview the recent trend of the elderly LFP in Japan and discuss what factors have contributed to its rebound. We focus on employment, considering that the unemployment rate among the elderly has been relatively low and stable in Japan. Our findings highlight the role played by changes to public social security programs as key determinants of the variation in the elderly’s long-term employment trend.

The remainder of the chapter is organized as follows: section 7.2 provides an overview of recent trends in elderly employment, with specific reference to social security programs; section 7.3 discusses factors that could have contributed to the recent increases in elderly employment; section 7.4 focuses on social security incentives and their impact on the long-term evolution of elderly employment based on the results of Oshio, Oishi, and Shimizutani (2011); and section 7.5 concludes the chapter.

7.2 Recent Trends in Elderly Employment and Social Security Programs

7.2.1 Employment Patterns of Elderly Men

The overview of the elderly’s recent employment trends uses aggregated data from Labour Force Surveys (LFSs) released by the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications. Figure 7.1 depicts recent fluctuations of male employment rates, dividing them into four age groups (55–59, 60–64, 65–69, and 65+). The data of those aged 65–69 are available only from 2002.

The employment rate of those aged 55–59 has been staying high at around 90 percent, despite cyclical fluctuations, because these ages are lower than the mandatory retirement and pensionable ages. Meanwhile, the employment rate of those aged 60–64 bottomed in the early 2000s but has been rising since. This age group has been dominating the dynamics of elderly employment, with the timing of the rebound after the late 1990s, as observed in other advanced countries. The employment rate of those aged 65–69 has also been on an uptrend since the mid-2000s, albeit more modestly than for the 60–64 age group. This movement presumably reflects the cohort effect:
those more active at age 60–64 are more inclined to stay in the workforce at age 65–69. Moreover, the employment rate of those aged 65 and older stopped declining in the mid-2000s, probably due to the increase of those aged 65–69.

Consequently, the employment of elderly males stabilized in the early 2000s and has been on an increasing trend since. This evolution has been largely led by those aged 60–64, an age group that is usually transitioning from work to retirement and thus is most likely to be affected by the overall economic conditions and social security programs. As younger age groups tend to be close to full employment and older ones are more likely to have retired, both have a limited impact on the changes in elderly employment.

Nonetheless, the turnaround of the 60–64 age group’s LFP is not fully attributable to a change in macroeconomic conditions. Figure 7.2 compares the employment rate of this male age group with the national male unemployment rate (on a reverse scale). As seen in this figure, the elderly’s employment rate had been moving with the nationwide unemployment rate until the late 1990s. However, in the early 2000s, the gap between two variables started to widen, deviating from their previous comovement. The elderly have been increasing their labor supply since then, despite the growth of nationwide unemployment due to the overall economic downturn. Additionally, this figure suggests that institutional changes affected the elderly’s labor market in the early 2000s and that tighter national labor-market conditions around 1990 accounted for a delayed trough of elderly employment compared to other countries.

A plausible factor for the elderly employment rebound in the early 2000s was an increase in the eligibility age of the Employees’ Pension Insurance (EPI), a public pension program for employees in the private sector. The
EPI benefits consist of a flat-rate component (basic pension) and a wage-proportional one. The eligibility age for male EPI members was 60 for both components, but the flat-rate one was raised by one year every three years starting in 2001, increasing the age to 65 by 2013. The eligibility age of the wage-proportional benefit has been raised by one year every three years since 2013 and is scheduled to increase to 65 by 2025. Figure 7.3 compares the evolution of the eligibility age for EPI benefits and the employment rate of males aged 60–64 and shows that both an increase in the employment rate and the timing of its start were closely associated with an increase in the eligibility age for the flat-rate benefit from age 60.
Along with an increase in the eligibility age of EPI benefits, a series of policy measures to stimulate the elderly LFP were implemented. First, the Continuous Employment Benefits for the Elderly was introduced in 1995 to subsidize 15 percent of the preretirement wage for those aged 60–64 who kept working after mandatory retirement. Second, the disincentive effects of the Zaishoku pension benefits (i.e., income-tested EPI benefits for active employees) were reduced in 2005. Finally, the Law for the Stabilization of Employment of the Aged was enforced in 2000, 2004, and 2012 to recommended/required firms to keep employing workers until at least 65. These policy measures allowed EPI members to postpone retirement, resulting in an increase in the employment rate of the 60–64 age group since the early 2000s.

7.2.2 Employment Patterns of Elderly Women

The elderly female employment also has been increasing in recent years, although there are some differences from the case of males. As such, figure 7.4 depicts the female employment rate, dividing it into four age groups (55–59, 60–64, 65–69, and 65+). Unlike males, the rate of employment for the 55–59 age group started rising in the late 1980s, and after a short pause, it resumed rising. Meanwhile, the employment rate of the 60–64 age group remained almost constant, at a level slightly lower than 40 percent until the mid-2000s, and subsequently started rising abruptly somewhat later than the men's. The trend for the 65–69 and 65-and-older age groups are similar to those for men.

Additionally, the dynamics of female employment rates are closely related to increases in the eligibility age of EPI benefits. Figures 7.5a and 7.5b compare the evolutions of the EPI eligibility age with the employment rates of females aged 55–59 and 60–64, respectively. However, the EPI eligibility age
differs between men and women. Unlike for males, the eligibility age for the flat-rate and wage-proportional benefits—both of which used to be set at 55, five years younger than for males—had been raised by one year every three years from 55 in 1987 to 60 in 1999. The eligibility age of the flat-rate benefit resumed rising in 2006, five years later than for males.

An increase in the employment of the female 55–59 age group during the late 1980s and 1990s (not observed for males) was consistent with the adjustments of the EPI eligibility age (figure 7.5a). For those aged 60–64, the employment rate started increasing in the mid-2000s, after a modest increase compared to the previous decades (figure 7.5b). This change was
consistent with an increase in the eligibility age of the EPI flat-rate benefit starting in 2006, which has also encouraged those aged 55–59 to stay longer in the labor force, since the employment rate for those aged 55–59 resumed rising in the mid-2000s.

7.3 Determinants of Elderly Employment Increases

7.3.1 Employed and Self-Employed Workers

The previous section overviewed the recent increase in elderly employment, with specific reference to the increases in the eligibility age for EPI benefits. This section discusses other factors that may have favorably affected the elderly LFP, such as a decrease in self-employed workers, an increase in part-time workers, higher education, more jobs that require less physical exertion, and improving health conditions.

As such, we focus on different trends of employed and self-employed workers (including farmers). A reduction in self-employed workers has led to a long-term downtrend of elderly employment, meaning that the recent rebound was driven by an increase in employed workers. In addition, the work/retirement decisions of self-employed workers are not likely to have been affected, at least directly, by recent social security reforms, as they are covered by the National Pension Insurance (NPI), which has only the flat-rate benefit and a fixed eligibility age of 65 since 1960, although the amount of the benefit has changed.

To capture the different trends of employment between employed and self-employed workers, figure 7.6 decomposes workers aged 60–64 into
these two groups and compares their contributions to changes in employment rate since 1985 for both males and females. The data are based on the population census released by the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications.

For males, we observe that the proportion of self-employed workers to the total population aged 60–64 declined steadily from 31.4 percent in 1985 to 17.1 percent in 2010 and contributed negatively to the overall employment rate of this age group. In addition, there are no changes in the curve for this group, which is consistent with no change in the eligibility age for NPI benefits. In contrast, the proportion of employed workers increased, with a short period of reduction in 1995–2000. An increasing number of employees aged 60–64, who used to retire, are now continuing to work. This increase has been offsetting a reduction in the proportion of self-employed workers, leading to a U-shaped rebound of the employment rate for this age group. The short period of reduction corresponds to a postboom reduction in the elderly labor demand.

We observe a similar development for females: an increase in employed workers has been offsetting a decrease in self-employed workers. However, unlike males, females’ overall employment rate has not been falling since 1985, and the increase in employed workers has been smoother than for males, probably reflecting the lack of changes in the EPI eligibility age for this age group (until 2013).

7.3.2 Full- and Part-Time Employees

The previous subsection confirms that the recent increase in elderly employment accounted for an increase in employees rather than self-employed individuals. Subsequently, we divide employed workers into full-and part-time groups. Based on the data from the LFS, figure 7.7 compares the proportion of part-time workers among the total number of employees between the 55–64 and 65-and-older age groups for males and females.

For males aged 60–64, the proportion of part-time employees has remained low between 1990 and 2015, although it has been gradually rising. This suggests that an increase in employment for those aged 60–64 has reflected the postponed retirement of full-time employees who responded to the raised eligibility age of EPI benefits. For those aged 65 and older, the proportion of part-time employees has been increasing more, suggesting that those who have retired from their jobs and/or started to claim EPI benefits were inclined to stay in the labor force as part-time workers.

For females, the proportion of part-time employees has been much higher than for males for both age groups. The proportion has been increasing over recent decades for both 55–64 and 65-and-older age groups, indicating that the recent increase in elderly female employment has been concentrated on part-time work.
7.3.3 Higher Education and Fewer Physical Constraints

There are two structural changes in the elderly labor market that have probably contributed to their employment in recent decades—that is, an increasing proportion of highly educated workers and a decreasing proportion of blue-collar workers (figure 7.8). Combined with the health conditions...
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Moreover, the proportion of those who graduated from college or other higher education has been rising remarkably. According to the wage census of the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, the proportion of college (including graduate school) employees aged 55–64 rose from 6.7 percent to 32.5 percent for males and from 0.9 percent to 9.0 percent for females between 1975 and 2014. Meanwhile, the proportion of blue-collar workers declined from 34.2 percent to 19.5 percent for males and from 37.1 percent to 16.6 percent for females during the same period, along with a shift from the manufacturing to the service sector.

7.3.4  Improving Health Conditions

Another important factor for elderly employment is the health and longevity improvement. To confirm this, we looked at two health variables—that is, life expectancy and mortality rates at age 60 over the last two decades (figure 7.9), based on the data from the life tables released by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Life expectancy at age 60 has increased from 15.9 to 23.4 years for males and from 19.3 to 28.7 years for females between 1970 and 2014. Consistently, the mortality rate at age 60 has been declining from 0.0173 to 0.0070 for males and from 0.0099 to 0.0032 for females during the same period.

The two measures confirm substantial improvement in the elderly’s health conditions, which would allow them to remain active and work longer. However, the elderly males have been reducing LFP over time in response to wider
coverage of social security programs and increasing benefits (Yashiro and Oshio 1999). Consequently, the employment rate of elderly males has been positively correlated with their mortality rate, with both variables declining simultaneously. This fact suggests that, first, improving health conditions have not led a rebound in elderly male employment, even if they may have prevented it from declining further. Second, substantial work capacity remains unutilized among elderly males, meaning that social security reforms can potentially enhance elderly employment (Usui, Shimizutani, and Oshio 2016).

However, the relationship between elderly employment and health has changed remarkably since the early 2000s and differs substantially between males and females. Figure 7.10 shows the combinations of the mortality rate at age 60 and the employment rate of those aged 60–64 for males and females between 1970 and 2014.

For males, both mortality and employment declined until around 2000, resulting in their positive correlation. Around 2000, the curve of their combinations turned up and to the left—that is, the employment rate rebounded, while the mortality rate continued to decline. A series of social security reforms and related policy measures—in particular, an increase in the eligibility age of EPI benefits—made the elderly males stay longer in the labor force, deviating from the previous negative correlation between health and employment. In other words, a series of policy reforms made it possible to realize the potential working capacity, which had been created by improved health conditions.

The observed relationship between health and employment changed remarkably in the mid-2000s for elderly females as well. Until then, the curve
had been nearly flat, indicating that employment had been nearly constant, as observed in figures 7.4 and 7.6b, despite improved health conditions. In the mid-2000s, the curve turned up and left, which roughly corresponded to an increase in elderly female employment in response to a rise in the eligibility age for EPI benefits starting in 2006. As for males, social security reforms helped utilize the elderly’s work capacity due to improved health and longevity.

### 7.4 Social Security Incentives and Elderly Employment

#### 7.4.1 Social Security Incentives and Option Values

As discussed in the previous sections, an increase in the eligibility age for EPI benefits seems to have been closely related to the rebound of elderly employment. However, recent social security reforms, which have been launched almost every five years since 1954, have incorporated other measures as well. Notably, social security reforms from 1985 and after have been reducing the overall generosity of benefits—in particular, by reducing the benefit multiplier of wage-proportional benefits in contrast to the previous reforms that aimed to raise it. This section evaluates the impact of these reforms in terms of the option value (OV), as per Oshio, Oishi, and Shimizutani (2011).

The OV model assumes that an individual compares utility today with that at the optimal future retirement age and chooses the optimal retirement age as such. The indirect utility function over work and leisure of an individual aged $t$, $V_t(r)$, is expressed as

$$V_t(r) = \sum_{s=t}^{r-1} p_{st} d^{r-s} \left[ y_s \right] + \sum_{s=r}^{D} p_{st} d^{r-s} [kB_s(r)]^g, \quad 0 < g < 1, \ k \geq 1,$$

assuming that he or she will retire at age $r(\geq t)$. $B_s(r)$ is the benefit that an individual is expected to receive at age $s(\geq r)$, $d$ is the cumulative discount rate, $p_{st}$ is the probability of being alive at age $s$ (conditional on being alive at age $t$), $D$ is the maximum age, $y$ is the wage income while working, $g$ is a parameter of risk aversion, and $k$ is a parameter that accounts for the disutility of labor ($k \geq 1$). $B_s(r)$ usually tends to rise as $r$ increases, reflecting a longer period of premium contributions, and is equal to 0 if $s$ is below the eligibility age.

The optimal retirement age maximizes indirect utility—that is, the age at which the utility gain derived from the additional work wage increase begins to be outweighed by the utility loss from retirement income decrease. As such, OV is defined as the difference between indirect utility from retirement at optimal age $r^*$ and indirect utility from retiring today and can be expressed as
To compute \( OV \), the values of \( k \) and \( g \) are required. Using data obtained from the LFS, we search for the combination of \( k \) and \( g \) that maximizes the good fit of the model, which regresses LFP on \( OV \). We find that the combination of \( k = 2.0 \) and \( g = 0.75 \) and of \( k = 3.0 \) and \( g = 0.75 \) are most appropriate for males and females, respectively. Consequently, we adopt the typical person approach developed by Engelhardt and Gruber (2004)—that is, we apply the same earnings profile to all cohorts and construct incentive measures according to the available social security programs. Specifically, we use the 1935 birth cohort as a benchmark and assign its earnings profile to every subsequent cohort. Based on this earnings profile, we calculate \( OV \) under the existing annual social security program. Finally, we calculate its annual weighted average by gender.

In addition, we conduct counterhistorical simulations to estimate how social security reforms have affected the elderly’s labor supply since 1985. We examine what \( OV \) would have looked like if the government had not implemented major social security reforms. For example, to estimate the impact of the 1985 and subsequent reforms, we construct all the parameters in the social security programs, including the benefit multiplier, premium rates, and eligibility ages fixed in 1984, and generate the paths \( OV \) would have taken since 1985 without any reform. In the same manner, we can construct the paths without reforms since the 1989 reform and roughly interpret the difference between these simulated paths as the impact of the 1985 reform. The experiments can be repeated to capture the impact of each reform.

7.4.2 Assessing the Changing Generosity of Social Security Programs

Figures 7.11a and 7.11b depict the estimated evolution of the weighted average of \( OV \) for those aged 55–69 to capture the changing overall generosity of social security programs for males and females, respectively. The U-shaped \( OV \) curves bottomed around 1995 for males and 1990 for females. This is consistent with social security programs starting to reduce generosity in the 1985 reform, considering a transition period.

A turnaround in the generosity, which is reflected in the U-shaped \( OV \) curves, led to a turnaround of elderly employment. As seen in figures 7.1 and 7.4, the tighter labor market conditions in the aftermath of the economic expansion of the late 1980s obscured the relationship between the \( OV \) and elderly employment. In addition, the rise in elderly employment rates was triggered by increases in the eligibility age for EPI benefits. However, a series of social security reforms starting in 1985 has been modulating the momentum of enhancing elderly work incentives by reducing the overall generosity of social security programs.

Figures 7.11a and 7.11b also present the results of these counterhistorical
Fig. 7.11a  Impact of social security reforms on OV, males aged 55–69

Fig. 7.11b  Impact of social security reforms on OV, females aged 55–69
simulations on OV to assess the impact of social security reforms since 1985. For males (figure 7.11a), the curve labeled “Without reform since 1985” depicts the path OV would have taken if the social security reform stopped just before the 1985 reform. In this case, OV for males would have declined further and would have leveled off in the late 1990s, as all the cohorts would have adopted the scheme that was applied just before the 1985 reform. The series of reforms since 1985 shifted the OV curve downward; however, the impact of the 1985 reform was larger than that of any other reform.

The impact of social security reforms is also observed in the case of females (figure 7.11b). The impact differs from that of males in that the 1985 reform raised the generosity of the programs for females until the mid-1990s, judging by the comparisons between the actual OV curve and the “Without reform since 1985” one. The 1985 reform called for a gradual increase in the eligibility age for females from 55 to 60, raising net benefits due to a prolonged period of premium contributions, which was much shorter than for males. Nevertheless, the figure confirms that the generosity of social security programs has been gradually decreasing since the late 1980s, similar to the case for males.

Oshio, Oishi, and Shimizutani (2011) estimated the impact of social security reforms on the elderly’s LFP based on the counterhistorical simulations of OV. They reported that social security reforms since 1985 increased the labor force by 0.7–1.9 percent and 0.6–0.9 percent for men and women, respectively, per year between 1995 and 2007.

7.5 Concluding Remarks

As in most advanced countries, Japan has experienced increases in elderly LFP in recent years. In this study, we overviewed the recent trend of elderly employment and examined what factors have contributed to its rebound since the early 2000s. Improved health and longevity, increased education levels, and a shift toward less physically demanding jobs seem to have allowed the elderly to stay longer in the labor force. However, the rebound and its timing in regard to elderly employment have been more closely linked to changes in social security incentives, especially the increases in the eligibility age for public pension benefits. The reduced generosity in social security programs since the mid-1980s has been a key driver of the recent increase in elderly employment, and a series of social security reforms have helped utilize the elderly’s potential work capacity, owing to improving health conditions and other favorable factors for the elderly’s LFP.
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