This PDF is a selection from a published volume from the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: Shared Capitalism at Work: Employee Ownership, Profit and Gain Sharing, and Broad-based Stock Options

Volume Author/Editor: Douglas L. Kruse, Richard B. Freeman and Joseph R. Blasi, editors

Volume Publisher: University of Chicago Press

Volume ISBN: 0-226-05695-3

Volume URL: http://www.nber.org/books/krus08-1

Conference Dates: October 6-7, 2006

Publication Date: April 2010

Chapter Title: Appendix B: The Shared Capitalist Thermometer Index

Chapter Author: Douglas L. Kruse, Richard B. Freeman, Joseph R. Blasi

Chapter URL: http://www.nber.org/chapters/c11829

Chapter pages in book: (403 - 405)

## **Appendix B** The Shared Capitalist Thermometer Index

As a first step in assessing the relation of shared capitalism to employee outcomes, we constructed a thermometer-style index of shared capitalism. This index assigns one point each when the worker was covered by any of the shared capitalist forms of compensation about which the survey asked, with additional points for recent bonuses or grants, and for large bonuses or stock holdings. For questions with a continuous numeric answer, we gave the item a value of 1 if the respondent had a value greater than the median value. Because there is no natural ordering of shared capitalist systems in the sense that a firm first introduces profit sharing, then adds employee ownership, and then gain sharing, the index is not a Guttman scale. It is a simple summated rating (Bartholomew et al. 2002; Bartholomew 1996), using dichotomous scoring.

In the GSS, there are eight variables in the index: profit-sharing eligibility, gain-sharing eligibility, owning any company stock, holding stock options, receiving a profit-sharing bonus in the past year, receiving a gain-sharing bonus in the past year, having an above-median profit- and gain-sharing bonus as a percent of pay, and having an above-median company stock holding as a percent of pay. In the NBER data there are ten variables in the index: all of the aforementioned items plus one point each for receiving a stock option grant in the past year, and having above-median stock option holdings (including unvested options if they could be exercised today) as a percent of pay.

Indices of this style have both advantages and disadvantages. On the plus side, they provide a quick and ready measure of the extent of shared capitalist arrangements that makes it easy to compare results across surveys and to summarize the broad thrust of findings. Since our firm surveys covered



Fig. B1 Distribution of shared capitalism index in GSS

only firms with some shared capitalist arrangements, the index allows us to differentiate workers with differing degrees of incentive to their firm's programs. On the negative side, the index treats different programs the same even though they potentially have different effects on particular outcomes. It postulates a single scale with equal weights rather than using factor analysis or other statistical modelling to obtain weights for given factors. To deal with these problems, we also estimate the relationship of the outcomes to the different types of shared capitalism, introduced as dummy or continuous variables in regressions.<sup>1</sup> By comparing the results using the shared capitalism index to the results using the disaggregated measures, we can assess the loss of information due to the amalgamation of the measures into a single index.

Figure B1 shows the distribution of our shared capitalism index in the GSS. This survey estimates that 40 percent of US workers have some form of shared capitalist program. This estimate is close to that obtained by Dube and Freeman in the WRPS. The mean score of the index is 1.48—a figure greatly affected by the substantial number of workers without shared capitalism systems. Conditional on having a program, most workers report scores in the range of 2 to 5, with 6 percent reporting scores of 6 or greater. Figure B2 gives the distribution of the index in the NBER survey data. It also shows a nonnormal distribution, with the most common scores as 2 to 4 but a sizable number of workers scoring 7 or above. There is sufficient varia-

<sup>1.</sup> There are statistical techniques to deal with the formation of latent variable indices from questions of the sort that we are amalgamating into a single summated rating. See Bartholomew et al. (2002) and Spector (1992).



Fig. B2 Distribution of shared capitalism index in NBER companies

tion in the index to differentiate the extent of the shared capitalist "treatment" on workers.

## References

- Bartholomew, D. 1996. *The statistical approach to social measurement*. New York: Academic Press.
- Bartholomew, D., J. Galbraith, I. Moustkaki, and F. Steele. 2002. *The analysis and interpretation of multivariate data for social scientists.* Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Spector, P. E. 1992. Summated rating scale construction: An introduction (Quantitative Applications in Social Sciences, 82). London: Sage.