

The Impact of Biopharmaceutical Innovation on Disability, Social Security Recipiency, and Use of Medical Care of U.S. Community Residents, 1998-2015

FRANK R. LICHTENBERG

Key Findings and Policy Implications

This study analyzes the overall impact of biopharmaceutical innovations in reducing disability, Social Security recipiency, and use of medical services, as new drug classes are approved and diffused through the health care system. Most of the data come from the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey. The paper finds that:

- The probability of disability, Social Security recipiency, and medical care utilization is inversely related to the number of drug classes previously approved. Due to the gradual diffusion of new drug classes through the health care system, the length of the lag between FDA approval and the full health impact of the innovation is 6 to 9 years.
- Previous biomedical innovations reduced the number of people completely unable to work, do housework, or go to school in 2015 by 4.5 percent. They reduced the number of people with cognitive limitations by 3.2 percent, home health visits by 9.2 percent, inpatient events by 5.7 percent, missed school days by 5.1 percent, and outpatient events by 4.1 percent.
- Previous innovations also reduced the number of people receiving SSI in 2015 by 247,000, or 3.1 percent, and the number of people receiving Social Security benefits by 984,000, or 2.0 percent.
- The estimated value of these impacts in 2015 are \$27 billion in increased work, \$16 billion in Social Security and SSI savings, and \$71 billion in savings on emergency room visits, inpatient events, home health care visits, and outpatient events.

Numerous past studies have shown that the use of certain drugs can reduce disability. This study analyzes the overall impact of pharmaceutical innovation, based on the new drug classes approved for over 200 medical conditions.

FRANK R. LICHTENBERG is a Professor of Healthcare Management at Columbia University and an NBER Research Associate.

The research reported herein was performed pursuant to grant RDR18000003 from the US Social Security Administration (SSA) funded as part of the Retirement and Disability Research Consortium. The opinions and conclusions expressed are solely those of the author(s) and do not represent the opinions or policy of SSA, any agency of the Federal Government, or NBER. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the contents of this report. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply endorsement, recommendation or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof.