This PDF is a selection from an out-of-print volume from the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: Income in the United States, Its Amount and Distribution 1909-1919, Volume II: Detailed Report

Volume Author/Editor: Wesley Clair Mitchell, editor

Volume Publisher: NBER

Volume ISBN: 0-87014-001-9

Volume URL: http://www.nber.org/books/mitc22-1

Publication Date: 1922

Chapter Title: Summary of Part II

Chapter Author: Oswald W. Knauth

Chapter URL: http://www.nber.org/chapters/c9422

Chapter pages in book: (p. 330 - 338)

CHAPTER 26

SUMMARY OF PART II

§ 26a. The Total Income of the United States, 1910 to 1919

The total income of the United States, as computed in the preceding sections, may now be summarized on page 331.

§ 26b. The Degree of Error in the Estimate

It is advisable to repeat the caution that these amounts are all estimates constructed from a large amount of data of varying reliability. Table 26B shows first the range within which the income for each year probably lies. This range was estimated in the same way that the mathematical "probable error" is computed, but it was not possible to do this, in mathematical terms. It is, therefore, to be regarded simply as a guessed at "probable error." Next, is shown the greatest error that could be reasonably expected, on the assumption that each item varied according to the widest indications from the figures as given, and that all these variations were in the same direction. Thus is indicated the outside limits within which the National Income must fall, if all the errors judged possible by the Bureau have been committed, and committed with no offsetting of one error by another. Evidently, the chances that this has actually occurred are extremely small. TABLE 26A

INCOME OF THE PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES ACCORDING TO THE ESTIMATE BY INCOMES RECEIVED

88
-
\$
0
6
3

	Income of receiving un	come of persons iving under \$2,000	Income of persons receiving over \$2,000	f persons ver \$2,000	Total number of	Total individual	Corporate surplus	Total
Year	Amount (Billions of dollars)	Persons (Thousands)	Amount (Billions of dollars)	Persons (Thousands)	persons (Thousands)	(Billions of dollars)	(Billions of dollars)	income
1910. 1911 1912	\$20.2 20.6 21.6	32,412 32,973 33,399	8.9.8 9.6 9.9	1,411 1,379 1,411	33,823 34,352 34,810	\$ 30.0 30.2 31.5	\$1.2 0.9 0.9	\$ 31.2 31.1 32.4
1913. 1914. 1915.	8.88 8.88 8.88	33,635 33,422 32,907	10.2 9.9 11.4	1,443 1,444 2,008	35,078 34,866 34,915	32.5 32.2 34.3	1.0 0.5 1.6	33.5 32.7 35.9
1916. 1917 1918 1919	28.5 37.0 83.5 83.5 8	34,906 34,520 35,961 33,913 34,076	25.3 25.3 25.3 25.3	2,748 4,213 4,961 5,608	37,654 38,733 40,922 39,521	41.8 50.7 60.3 64.8	33.9 8.7 1.7 1.0 1.0	45.7 54.1 682.0 66.8

THE ESTIMATE BY INCOMES RECEIVED

TABLE 26B

THE RANGE WITHIN WHICH THE INCOME OF THE PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES PROBABLY LIES

Year	Final estimate	Probable range within which the actual income falls	Estimated range beyond which actual income cannot reasonably lie
1910 1911 1912 1913	31.2 31.1 32.4 33.5	30.0-32.4 29.8-32.4 31.1-33.7 32.2-34.8	25.9-36.5 25.9-36.3 27.2-37.6 27.9-39.1
1914 1915 1916 1917	$32.7 \\ 35.9 \\ 45.7 \\ 54.1$	$\begin{array}{r} 31.4-34.0\\ 34.6-37.2\\ 44.3-47.1\\ 52.5-55.6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 26.738.7\\ 29.742.1\\ 40.550.9\\ 46.961.2 \end{array}$
1918. 1919. 1920.	62.0 66.8	60.1-63.5 64.2-68.5	53.5-70.2 58.6-73.1

1910 to 1919 (Billions of dollars)

§ 26c. Percentage Division of Number of Persons Having over \$2,000 and Under \$2,000 Income per Year

The percentage of persons having incomes over and under \$2,000 and the percentage of the total income which they received is next shown:-

TABLE 26C

PERCENTAGE OF PERSONS HAVING INCOMES OVER AND UNDER \$2,000 AND PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL INCOME WHICH THEY RECEIVED

1910 to 1919

Year	Per cent of having	total persons incomes	Per cent of inco persons	ome received by a having
1910 1911 1912 1913 1914 1915 1916 1917 1918 1919 1920	Over \$2,000 4% 4 4 4 4 4 4 1 1 12 14	Under \$2.000 96% 96 96 96 96 96 94 93 89 88 88 86	Over \$2,000 33% 32 31 31 31 33 37 41 39 39	Under \$2,000 67% 68 69 69 69 69 69 61

332

It will be seen that while the percentage of persons having incomes over \$2,000 increased from about 4 per cent in 1910 to 1914, to about 14 per cent in 1919, the percentage of the income which they received increased only from about 32 per cent to about 40 per cent during this period. The increase in the number of persons having incomes over \$2,000 is doubtless closely connected with the rise in prices, and does not denote a corresponding improvement in their well-being.

§ 26d. The Percentage of the Total Income Obtained by the Highest 5 Per Cent of Income Receivers

Using this table as a basis, and checking with the work in Part III, it is possible to make a conjectural estimate of the percentage of the total income which the highest 5 per cent of income receivers obtained.

The method employed has been to subtract from the number of persons having incomes over \$2,000 such a number as will reduce the remainder to 5 per cent of the gainfully employed. The average income of those who are subtracted is then computed in accordance with the distribution of the entire income as shown in Part III. While no claim to strict accuracy can be made for the results, the error is probably not sufficient to alter the trend shown. This percentage is shown including and excluding farmers because for many purposes farmers make a class apart from the remainder of the industrial community. Corporate surplus is left out of this computation for it is not possible to locate the recipients with any degree of accuracy (see Table 26D).

§ 26e. The Position of the Farmer

The per cent of the National Income which was received by farmers each year is next shown. The number of farmers was reported in 1910 as 6,361,000 and in 1920 as 6,460,000—a gain which is so small as to be practically negligible (see Table 26E).

§ 26f. Income in Each Year in Terms of Constant Purchasing Power

Did the income of the country increase during the decade when measured in terms of serviceable goods? In order to answer this question satisfactorily a rather elaborate computation has been found necessary. There is no satisfactory price index which can be applied indiscriminately to all products; indeed, the variations of price changes in different classes of commodities is a striking feature of the decade. In order to meet this difficulty, the income of the country was divided into four classes:—

- 1. Expenditures by persons having incomes over \$2,000.
- 2. Expenditures by persons having incomes under \$2,000.

TABLE 26D

I

PER CENT OF TOTAL INCOME OBTAINED BY THE HIGHEST FIVE PER CENT OF INCOME RECEIVERS

1913 to 1919

			ATAT ON OTAT			
	Including	Including farmers		Excluding farmers	z farmers	
Year	Total Total individual income cent of receiv recei	Estimated income received by highest 5 per cent of income receivers	Per cent of total	Total individual income	Estimated income received by highest 5 per cent of income receivers	Per cent of total
1019		(cining)		(Billions of dollars)	f dollars)	
1913 1915 1916 1916 1918 1918 1918	832.5 322.5 50.7 64.2 64.2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7	8 10.6 10.3 11.1 15.4 15.5 15.5	2539 24 2539 24 2539	828.03 28.03 29.05 29.05 29.0 29.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0	8 9.9 10.4 12.8 13.0 13.0 14.9 14.9 14.9	3888 8888 3
		-	1			

.

Year	Total national income	Farmers' income	Percentage of national income
1 can	(Billions	of dollars)	received by farmers
1910	\$31.2	\$ 3.95	12.7
1911	31.1	3.70	11.9
1912	32.4	4.00	12.3
1913	33.5	4.20	12.5
1914	32.7	4.20	12.8
1915	35.9	4.70	13.1
1916	45.7	5.80	12.7
1917	54.1	8.80	16.3
1918	62.0	10.45	16.9
1919	66.8	10.85	16.2
1920		7.20	

TABLE 26E

PER CENT OF THE NATIONAL INCOME RECEIVED BY FARMERS

3. War expenditures.

4. Construction expenditures.

For each of these divisions, the most plausible index number was found: 1. An index number of costs of living of persons having incomes over \$2,000 was constructed by the Bureau. (Chap. 2, § 2c.)

2. For persons with incomes less than \$2,000, the index number of the cost of living of the Bureau of Labor Statistics, *Monthly Labor Review*, June, 1920, p. 79, was used. The figures used are for June of each year. The years 1910 to 1912 have been compiled by this Bureau on a basis comparable to that used by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. (Chap. 2, \S 2b.)

3. An index number was constructed from selected items of materials used in war, as estimated by the War Industries Board. (*History of Prices During the War*, 1919.)

4. An index number of construction was obtained from the American Telephone and Telegraph Company.

These index numbers cannot well be carried back of the year 1913. Prior to that year, the data are too uncertain to permit of even the crude methods employed for the later years. However, the general price level did not alter greatly during the years 1910 to 1913, so that an application of any legitimate index number of prices and any reasonable subdivision of the income would not alter the final result to any marked degree.

Taking 1913 as the basic year, computation gives the National Income for each year in terms of dollars of constant purchasing power as shown in Table 26G.

CONJECTURAL EXPENDITURE OF THE ANNUAL INCOME, AND PRICE INDEX FOR EACH TYPE OF EXPENDITURE

	Total	Expenditures by persons having incomes over \$2,000	Expenditures by persons having once over \$2,000	Expenditures by persons having incomes under \$2,000	(urvs by having ader \$2,000	Expenditur	Expenditures for war	Expenditures for construction	ures for action
	incente	Billions of dollarn	Price index	Billions of dollars	Price index	Bilhons of dollars	Price index	Billions of dollars	Price index
1910	\$31.2	\$ 6.0	66	\$19.6	8			\$5.6	26
1161	31.1	6.0	6	20.1	Ś			5.0	7 6
1912	32.4	0.9	100	20 X	8			5.6	8
1913	33.5	6.1	100	21.6	001		001	сі Х	100
1014	32.7	τ. Σ	101	21.8	101	\$ 0.7	93	4. 4.	100
1915	35.9	7,0	100	52	103	2.0	101	+	103
1916.	45.7	10.0	10X	24.7	110	4.5	135	6.5	126
1917	54.1	14.0	123	27.6	671	9.5	<u>8</u>	3.0	155
1918.	62.0	15.0	141	30.7	158	14.6	195	1.7	172
1010	66.8	16.5	165	34.8	177	0.0	200	6.5	161
1920			181 a		° 202				202

^a Same ratio of increase as shown in price index for expenditures by persons having under \$2,000. ^b Average of December, 1919, June and December, 1920, June being the high point for the year.

TABLE 26F

TABLE 26G

NATIONAL INCOME AT PRICE LEVEL OF 1913

(Base Year: 1913)

1910 to 1919

Year	Total income (Billions of dollars)	Weighted index number of prices	Income at price level of 1913 (Billions of dollars)
1910	\$31.2		\$31.8
1911	31.1	98.0	31.7
1912	32.4	99.1	32.7
1913	33.5	100.0	33.5
1914	32.7	100.6	32.5
1915	35.9	102.3	35.1
1916	45.7	113.7	40.2
1917	54.1	136.1	39.7
1918	62.0	160.7	38.6
1919	66.8	177.7	37.6
1920			•

§ 26g. The Average Income per Capita in Each Year

The next table shows the average income per capita of the entire popupation and of the gainfully employed. The entire population has been interpolated according to a method devised by Mr. King, of which the details are shown in Chapter 2, § 2a.

The number of the "gainfully employed" is uncertain, owing to the divergent ways of counting the persons included under agricultural labor. It seems certain that different standards have been used by the Census enumerators in determining whether a housewife or member of the family who helps in the fields intermittently or only for a few days during the harvest season should or should not be included. On account of this difficulty (and it appears to invalidate any close comparison between the totals of 1910 and the estimated totals of 1920), the number of farm laborers has been kept at a constant figure. In a measure, this comes nearer expressing the truth than any other arbitrary interpretation, for there is much evidence to indicate that during 1916 to 1919, when farm laborers were drafted into other industries, their places were taken by members of the family who are normally not included among the gainfully employed. This view was taken by Mr. Gray Silver in explaining the large increase of farmers' income in the years 1917 to 1919.¹

The number of gainfully employed which is presented is the number actually employed in each year, as distinguished from the number attached to the industry. The former number is always smaller than the latter, and in times of depression is apt to be considerably smaller. It reflects in a broad way the changes in degree of unemployment.¹

The results are presented in several ways: (1) per capita income for the whole population, (2) per capita income for the gainfully employed, and (3) both sets of per capita figures are given first in money of current and second in money of constant purchasing power. Needless to say, changes in economic welfare are best approximated by the figures from which the price fluctuations have been eliminated.

-	Incon ei	ne per capita ntire populat	a of the tion	Incon gai	ne per capita infully empl	a of the oyed
Year	Number of persons	Income per capita	Purchasing power at 1913 price level	Number of persons	Income per capita	Purchasing power at 1913 price level
	(Millions)	(De	ollars)	(Millions)	(D	ollars)
1910 1911	92.23 93.81	\$338 332	\$345 338	$33.82 \\ 34.35$	\$ 923 907	\$ 940 923
1912	95.34	340	343	34.81	931	939
1913	97.28 99.19	344 330	344 328	35.08	955	955
1914 1915	99.19 100.43	350 357	328 349	34.87 34.91	936 1,028	932 1,005
1916	101.72 103.06	449 525	395 385	37.65	1,214	1,068
1917 1918	105.00	525 595	371	38.73 40.92	1,397 1,515	1,025 943
1919 1920	104.85	637	359	39.52	1,690	951

TABLE 26H

PER CAPITA INCOME AND ITS PURCHASING POWER AT THE PRICE LEVEL OF 1913

¹ In comparing it to the Census figures our figures for the number of gainfully employed for 1910 and in 1920, two items should be kept in mind: (1) The Census includes 3.100,000 farm laborers working on home farms. who are not included here. (2) The Census figures include all those attached to an industry. whereas only those actually at work are included here. We estimated that about 3 per cent are normally unemployed, that is to say, about one million persons.

338