
Subject Index

- Accounting systems' introduction, 36
- Addyston Pipe* case. *See United States v. Addyston Pipe & Steel Co.* (1899)
- Adelaide Steamship* case. *See Attorney-General of the Commonwealth of Australia v. the Adelaide Steamship Co. Ltd.* (1913)
- American Tobacco* case. *See United States v. American Tobacco Co.* (1911)
- Antitrust law, United States, 195–96; corporate structure protection against, 198–200; influence of enforcement on motion picture industry, 113–14, 117, 138–39. *See also* Clayton Act (1914); Sherman Act (1890)
- Antitrust policy: Australia, 189, 193; Britain, 157; United States: effect on business, 201; influence on firm structural change, 156–58; influence on high-technology start-up firms, 165; influence on industrial research, 155–58, 162–63. *See also* Cartels; Common law; Freedom of contract principle; Freedom of trade principle; Mergers; Monopoly; Per se rule; Price fixing; Pricing, predatory; Reasonableness standard of enforcement; Self-regulation; Trade practices; Trusts; Tying agreements
- Associations, Swiss watch companies: change in contemporary, 135–36; control of industry, 131; organization of, 130
- Attorney-General of the Commonwealth of Australia v. the Adelaide Steamship Co. Ltd.* (1913), 189
- Banking, universal: advantages, 260, 265, 277; criticisms, 272–77; defined, 259; Germany, 258, 278; Glass-Steagall restrictions on, 272–73; industrial finance supplied in Germany (1849–1913), 283; pre-1914 limitations in United States, 270, 272, 278, 305; savings under system of, 270
- Banking Act (1933). *See* Glass-Steagall Act (1933)
- Banking Act (1935), 307
- Banking system: Germany: role in corporate finance and control, 278, 283–88; sources of capital, 291–92; Switzerland, 133–34; United States: branch banking regulation, 270; costs of regulation, 258; role in industrial finance, 283–88; shaped by restrictions, 258; sources of capital, 291–92. *See also* Mutual savings banks, U.S.; Savings banks, U.S.
- Bedaux system of industrial engineering, 42–43, 45–46
- British United Shoe Machinery Co. Ltd. v. Somervell Bros.* (1907), 188
- Building associations: as local mortgage loan intermediaries (pre-1900), 215–21; national, 219, 221–22, 230
- Capital: capital-to-output ratio: Germany and United States (1850–1913), 280–82; sources for Thomson-Houston Electric Company, 65; United States: allocation in nineteenth century, 282–83
- Cartels: legal basis for participation, 158–59;

- Cartels (*cont.*)
 Australian antitrust policy related to, 189–90; Britain: legal decisions related to, 184–90; policy toward, 197; Germany: development and enforcement, 274–77; Swiss watch industry, 131–32, 136, 138–39; United States: with application of rule of reason, 190–92; development and enforcement, 274–77; replacement with trusts or holding companies, 192; under Sherman Act, 194, 196, 203
- Central station marketing strategy, electric industry, 62–67
- Clayton Act (1914), 276, 305
- Coalitions: in Hollywood motion picture industry, 117–20; interest groups in firms as, 57–60; in localized industry coordination, 110, 111–20
- Commercial paper market, U.S., 287
- Common law: Britain: in cartel decisions, 186–87; United States: in application of Sherman Act, 194; state-level alteration related to restrictive practices, 192–93
- Communication: among localized industries, 107; Prato wool textile industry, 124–25
- Compensation systems: early automotive industry, 15; five-dollar day, 20; group-based, 23–25, 32; heterogeneity of auto maker (1920s), 23–25; incentive wage plans, 41–46; with mass production, 20–23
- Competition: in Hollywood motion picture industry, 119; as instrument of change, 138; among localized industries, 109; Prato wool textile industry, 124–27; Sherman Act preservation of, 194
- Concentration, geographic: coordination with, 103; of firms in a given industry, 104–5. *See also* Firms, localized industry
- Consultants: Frederick Winslow Taylor as, 36–37; role in scientific and systematic management, 38–43
- Continental Paper Bag Company v. Eastern Paper Bag Company* (1908), 158
- Contracts: freedom of contract principle, 185, 195, 201; Britain: enforcement using reasonableness standard, 185; United States: legal action related to restrictive, 185
- Contracts, mortgage: between mortgage investor and loan agent, 222–24; negotiation and enforcement (pre-1930), 211–16
- Cooperation: among localized industries, 109–10; Prato wool textile industry, 127; rational-actor approach, 60–77
- Coordination: changes in factory, 35; in early auto industry, 16–18; effect among localized industries, 110; with geographic concentration, 103; in hierarchies, 108; in Hollywood motion picture industry, 111–20; of localized industry productive activity, 110–37; Prato wool textile industry, 120–27; Swiss watch industry, 127–37; within Thomson-Houston, 77, 90–91
- Corporate finance. *See* Finance, industrial
- Decision making: organizational approach, 77–89; rational-actor approach, 58–77
- Dr. Miles Medical Co. v. Park and Sons Co.* (1911), 185, 196, 204–5
- E. I. Du Pont de Nemours & Company, 45–47, 97–98
- Economic growth, German and American (1849–1913), 278–82
- Edison General Electric Company, 56, 75–77, 83–85, 90, 91–92, 97. *See also* General Electric Company
- Education: influence on U.S. industrial research, 159–62
- Emerson system of scientific management, 41
- Enforcement, antitrust/cartel: Britain: reasonableness standard, 185–88; United States, 190–91, 195–96
- Établissage* system, Swiss watch industry, 128–29, 136–37
- Farm Mortgage Banking Association, 228
- Federal Housing Administration (FHA), 242, 243
- Finance, industrial: advantages of universal banking for, 265–68; by banks in United States (1849–1913), 286–88; debt financing instruments, 262–64; pre-World War I in Germany and United States, 289–91; sources other than U.S. banks, 287–88; supply by German universal banks (1849–1913), 283–86.
- Financial intermediation: advantages of single, 265–68; interregional mortgage markets, 215, 220; local mortgage markets (pre-1900), 215–21; ratio of German and American (1849–1913), 283–88; role in cartel development in United States

- and Germany, 274–77; role of, 260–62; successful nineteenth-century institutions for, 249–50
- Financial system: Germany (circa 1850s), 278; United States (circa 1850s), 278. *See also* Banking, universal; Banking system; Commercial paper market, U.S.
- Firm organization: hierarchical, 108; interest groups in Thomson-Houston, 62–67; Thomson-Houston integration and coordination, 75–77; transition from entrepreneurial to managerial forms, 55–56
- Firms, localized industry: clusters of, 104–6; Hollywood motion picture industry, 111–20; incentive structures in, 108–10; information flows in, 125; structure in, 106–8
- Ford Motor Company, 14, 16–23, 30–31, 62
- Freedom of contract principle, 185, 187, 195, 201
- Freedom of trade principle, 185
- Game-theoretic analysis, 5
- General Electric Company, 56, 77, 89, 91
- General Motors Corporation, 21–23, 24–26, 32
- Glass-Steagall Act (1933), 272–73, 276
- Government intervention: role in mortgage market integration, 243–44; in Swiss watch industry, 130–34, 136, 138–39
- Government role: in financing of university research, 168–69; in pre–World War II research funding, 161–62; in research funding during and after World War II, 162–69
- Holding companies: Britain, 197–98; United States, 192–94, 198, 201
- Home Owners' Loan Corporation, 242
- Hopkins v. United States* (1898), 194
- Impannatori* (in wool textile industry), 125–26, 139
- Incentive wage plans: acceptance, 43–44; based on time and motion studies, 41; of Bedaux, 42–43; Du Pont de Nemours, 45–46
- Incorporation, Britain, 196
- Industrial engineering: Du Pont de Nemours, 45–47; impact of (1920s and 1930s), 43–47; popularization and diffusion of, 37–43; scientific and systematic, 52. *See also* Scientific management; Systematic management
- Industrial research and development: early independent laboratories, 147–48, 151; growth of U.S., 152–61; influence of anti-trust policy on, 156–58, 162; influence of U.S. defense-related spending, 162; influence of university-based research on, 159–62, 168; in-house activities, 148–54, 169, 177; private sector investment, 149–69
- Information: in mortgage contractual relationship, 212, 248; in mortgage market intermediation, 215–16, 222–23, 249; between western mortgage companies and investors, 226
- Information flows: intra- and interfirm, 178; among localized industries, 105–7; Prato wool textile industry, 125–26; within Swiss watch industry, 136
- Innovation: Ford Motor Company, 16–20; interest group influences on, 77–89; role of consultants, 38; in Thomson-Houston strategy, 67–68, 72–75, 90
- Institutions: reforms in American manufacturing, 50; successful nineteenth-century financial intermediation, 249–50
- Insurance. *See* Life insurance companies; Unemployment insurance
- Intellectual property rights: influence of anti-trust consent decrees, 165–66; with revised U.S. patent laws, 158
- Interest groups: business-technology mind-set in firms, 60–61; as coalitions in firms, 57–60; emergence in Thomson-Houston, 61–75, 77–89
- Joint Stock Companies Act (1844), Britain, 196
- Knight Sugar Trust* case. *See* *U.S. v. E. C. Knight* (1898)
- Legislation: establishing Swiss watch cartel, 131–32; factors in passage of Sherman Act, 193; impact of New Deal, 25–26; influence on intellectual property rights, 158–59; regulating Swiss watch industry, 130–31; state-level trade restraint, 192. *See also* Antitrust law
- Life insurance companies: interregional intermediated mortgage credit, 209–11,

- Life insurance companies (*cont.*)
 230–42; mortgage lending (1890–1935), 135–42; property management functions, 233–34; use of regional mortgage companies, 232–33. *See also* Loan agents; Mortgage companies
- Loan agents: acting for individual lenders, 250–52; of life insurance companies, 209–10; monitored by western farm mortgage companies, 225–30; of mortgage market financial intermediaries, 221–24
- Localization. *See* Firms, localized industry
- Management: late nineteenth/early twentieth century, 35; newer practices, 52; reforms in American manufacturing, 50–51. *See also* Industrial engineering; Personnel management; Scientific management; Systematic management
- Marketing: central-station strategy, 62, 64–65; franchised dealers, 62
- Markets: advantages and disadvantages to firms, 108; decision to use, 176–77; for mortgage bonds (1880–1890s), 227; for research services, 150–52; spot markets for wool textile industry, 125–26; for technology, 176–77. *See also* Mortgage markets
- Mass production: impact of methods, 26; technological assists and diffusion, 19–23
- Maxim Nordenfelt Guns and Ammunition Co. v. Nordenfelt* (1892), 184–89, 191, 193, 200
- Mergers: Britain: incidence of, 183, 201; legislation condoning, 196–98; response to antitrust policy, 156; Swiss watch industry, 132–33; United States, 183, 193, 197; creating tight corporate structures, 198–200; influence on industrial research, 155–58; reasonableness standard applied to, 190; under Sherman Act, 203
- Microeconomic analysis, 26–27
- Mogul Steamship Co. v. McGregor, Gow and Co.* (1888, 1889, 1892), 184–89, 191, 200
- Monopoly: imposition of tying agreement, 188; Britain: reasonableness standard of enforcement, 184–85; Swiss watch industry, 130; United States: unenforceability, 185
- Mortgage bond market (1880–1890s), 227
- Mortgage companies: advantages over loan agents, 251; relationships with life insurance companies, 209–10, 231, 237–42; rise and fall of western farm, 210, 225–30, 238–42. *See also* Life insurance companies
- Mortgage contracts. *See* Contracts, mortgage
- Mortgage lending: institutional lenders, 221; on interregional level, 209, 221–30; by life insurance companies, 209, 230–35; local nature of, 221; making and enforcement (pre-1900), 217–18, 221–25; of western mortgage companies, 225. *See also* Contracts, mortgage; Loan agents
- Mortgage markets: historical contract enforcement, 213–14; integration, 243–44, 247; interregional and local, 209–11, 215
- Motion picture industry, Hollywood, 111–20
- Mutual savings banks, U.S., 216–19, 221
- National Industrial Recovery Act, 25–26
- Nordenfelt v. Maxim Nordenfelt Guns* (1894), 184–89, 191, 193, 200. *See also* *Maxim Nordenfelt Guns and Ammunition Co. v. Nordenfelt* (1892)
- Northern Securities Co. v. United States* (1904), 156, 194–95, 198, 199, 201
- North Western Salt Co. Ltd. v. Electrolytic Alkali Co. Ltd.* (1913, 1914), 189–90
- Paramount decision. *See* *United States v. Paramount Pictures, Inc. et al*
- Patents: legislation protecting U.S., 158–59; licensing with unrestricted intellectual property rights, 165–66
- Per se rule: against cartel practices, 192; development, 184–85
- Personnel management, 41
- Piece-rate systems, 36
- Price fixing: enforceability of agreements in United States and Britain, 184–85; Britain: vertical enforcement agreements, 188; Swiss watch industry, 130–32; United States: unenforceable vertical agreements, 185, 203
- Pricing, predatory: as basis for *Mogul* case, 185–86; decisions under Sherman Act, 195–96; United States: of concentrated corporations, 199; reasonableness test, 198
- Production-control systems, 36, 38
- Production process: assembly innovation, 16–19; with innovation in Thomson-Houston Electric Company, 67–72; pre-mass production, 15–16; relation to com-

- pensation schemes, 23–26, 31–32; role of consultants, 38–39
- Profit sharing, 41
- Public policy: effect on localized industries, 138–39; impact on research and development in large firms, 169
- Reasonableness standard of enforcement: Britain, 185, 187–88, 196–97; United States, 190–91, 195–96
- Research. *See* Industrial research and development
- Rule of reason. *See* Reasonableness standard of enforcement
- Savings banks, U.S., 215–21. *See also* Mutual savings banks, U.S.
- Scientific management: diffusion, 38; Emerson and Bedaux systems, 41–43; revision and updating, 41; from systematic industrial management, 36–37
- Self-regulation: Britain: in interpretation of restrictive practices, 188–90, 200; United States: Holmes dissent in *Northern Securities*, 195
- Sherman Act (1890): effect of, 155–58; events leading to passage, 193; freedom to contract under, 195; *Northern Securities* decision under, 194–95, 201; Supreme Court interpretation, 193–94
- Society of Industrial Engineers, 40
- Standard Oil v. United States* (1911), 189, 190, 195–96, 198
- Studebaker Corporation, 16, 18–21
- Systematic management, 36–38
- Taylor Society, 40–41
- Taylor system of industrial engineering, 51–52
- Technology: effect of change on Swiss watch industry, 133; evolution of auto industry production technology, 14–18; markets opposed to firm in-house knowledge, 176–77. *See also* Innovation; Mass production
- Thomson-Houston Electric Company: central station strategy, 62; Edison and Westinghouse as competitors to, 75–77, 79–85, 91–92, 95; role in electrical manufacturing industry, 56. *See also* General Electric Company
- Thomson-Houston lighting system, 61
- Time studies, 38–39, 41, 44–45, 47
- Trade practices: freedom of trade concept, 185; public policy as principle for trade restraint cases, 184; Britain: contract enforcement, 184; enforcement of restraints, 185; restrictive agreements, 186–88; unenforceability and criminal liability, 184; vertical restraints derived from patent, 188; United States: contract enforcement, 184; decisions related to trade restraint (late 1800s), 190–91; *per se* rules and public policy principle, 184–85; restrictive agreements, 190–92, 197; rule of reason challenge to monopolistic, 184; state-level laws related to trade restraint, 191–94
- Transactions: coordination in hierarchies, 108; costs among localized industries, 107–8; costs in decision for market or in-house activity, 176–78
- Trans-Missouri*. *See* *U.S. v. Trans-Missouri Freight Ass'n.* (1897)
- Trusts: Switzerland, 130–32; United States, 192–93, 201
- Tuscaloosa Ice Mfg. Co. v. Williams* (1900), 191–92
- Tying agreements, 188
- Unemployment insurance, 41
- Unionism, company, 41
- United Shoe Manufacturing Co. of Canada v. Brunet* (1909), 188–89
- United States v. Addyston Pipe & Steel Co.* (1899), 191–92, 194, 203
- United States v. American Tobacco Co.* (1911), 190, 195–96
- U.S. v. E. C. Knight* (1898), 193–94, 201
- U.S. v. Joint Traffic Association* (1898), 194
- United States v. Paramount Pictures, Inc. et al* (1948), 113–14, 117, 139
- U.S. v. Trans-Missouri Freight Ass'n.* (1897), 194, 195
- U.S. v. United Shoe Manufacturing Co.* (1915), 188
- University research system, U.S., 168, 177
- Watch industry, Switzerland: cartel and aftermath, 131–32; coordination, 127–37; effect of technological change on, 133; history, 128–36; restructuring, 133–34
- Westinghouse Electric, 75–77, 79–83, 85, 90, 91–92, 96
- Wool textile industry, Prato, Italy: coordination within, 124–27; location and history, 120–24