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This chapter provides an interesting quantification of the causes of the education gradient observed in disability in the U.S. elderly population. Using data from the Health and Retirement Study (HRS), the authors find that three factors can explain more than half of the difference in disability found between high-school graduates and high-school dropouts: high school graduates were less likely to have worked in blue collar jobs during their working years, which may have protected them against wear and tear; they report better health behaviors, especially those related to smoking and obesity; and they are significantly less likely to report chronic conditions linked to disability. I found the chapter very interesting and thought provoking, and so my comments reflect thoughts on where this work might go from here.

**Difficulties in Quantifying Causal Effects of Education**

I think there is a fair amount of agreement that education is of first-order importance in protecting health status. However, that said, there are real hurdles in ruling out “third factor” explanations for the associations found between higher levels of education and better health. For example, we know that children who are sickly generally complete fewer years of schooling. Persistence in health processes could then lead to a positive association between health and education in adulthood that is really attributable to the impact of poor health in childhood on both educational attainment and health in adulthood. Relatedly, if parents are themselves in poor health, this could lead to lower family socioeconomic status (SES) and both family SES and parents’ health could lead to poorer schooling outcomes and poorer health for their children. We could have poorer health and lower educational attainment echoing down the generations, without having much with which to identify the size of the effects leading from health to education, and those leading from education to health. It is also possible that some individuals embody certain characteristics that could lead to both better education and better health. For example, more patient people may take the time to wash

Anne Case is the Alexander Stewart 1886 Professor of Economics and Public Affairs and a Professor of Economics and Public Affairs at the Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs and the Economics Department at Princeton University, and a research associate of the National Bureau of Economic Research.
their hands and get to the gym and, being more patient, they may also have greater success at school.

Over the past decade, researchers have tried a number of interesting approaches to pin down the causal effects of schooling on health. Some have chosen to instrument for years of schooling in regressions of schooling on health. Unfortunately, it is often challenging to come up with instruments that affect completed schooling that we could reasonably agree would not have direct effects on health outcomes. Other researchers have begun randomized control trials (RCTs), looking at the impact of changes in the school environment, and in turn the impact this has on children’s long term outcomes (including health in adulthood). This is a very exciting area of research. Unfortunately, not all research questions related to schooling and health can be readily answered using RCTs, and, of those that can, it will be forty to sixty years before the impact of schooling on health outcomes of the elderly could be evaluated within this framework. In this chapter, Cutler and Lleras-Muney take a different tack, using the temporal order of events (from birth to old age) to estimate the impact of earlier life events on later life outcomes. I find this life-course approach to estimating the impact of education on disability that these authors choose to use here quite appealing.

There are (at least) four interesting questions raised by this approach. Two of these I will mention in passing (since I have nothing to useful to say about them). The first is the question of how selective mortality could influence the gradient found between education and disability in the elderly. My guess is that less well-educated individuals who live into old age must be quite hardy. If this is the case, selective mortality might lead to a flattening of the education-health gradient as cohort members age. The second question that I will have little to say about (here echoing what David and Adriana themselves note) is whether education is proxying for permanent income. In old age, reported income could be a very weak measure of an individual’s income in early and middle adulthood. Education may provide a better measure of permanent income than does current income at older ages. If the focus was on how to protect against disability, the question of whether it was education or income that was protective would be quite important.

This leaves two questions that came up as I read through the authors’ results. The first is the role of heterogeneity between people, which is related to the potential “third factors” previously discussed. The authors note that better educated people are less likely to smoke. In the HRS, better educated people are equally likely to report that they ever smoked, but they are more likely to have quit. I was curious how the current authors thought about this result in comparison with those from the interesting paper on smoking and schooling by Farrell and Fuchs (which appeared in the first volume of the *Journal of Health Economics* in 1982). Farrell and Fuchs demonstrate that
the education gradient in smoking observed at age twenty-four in data collected by the Stanford Heart Disease Prevention Program could be explained by differences in smoking at age seventeen, when all respondents were still in the same grade. It would be really interesting to know what David and Adriana think of the potential role of heterogeneity between individuals as a determinant of both educational attainment and smoking habits.

The last issue I wanted to raise is on their fascinating obesity results. Obesity rates are very different between race and sex in the United States. Using data I had on hand from the National Health Interview Survey from 1986 to 1994, I found white non-Hispanic women are significantly less likely to be obese than are white non-Hispanic men. However, African American women were fully 8 percentage points more likely to be obese than were African American men. Globally, men and women face markedly different risks of obesity. In all but a handful of (primarily Western European) countries, obesity is more prevalent among women. Case and Menendez (2007) provide some evidence that these sex differences may be related to sex-specific effects of early-life deprivation. The interaction between early-life deprivation and later-life obesity, and the role played by education in this, is a fascinating topic for future research.
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