This PDF is a selection from a published volume from the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: The Economic Consequences of Demographic Change in East Asia, NBER-EASE Volume 19

Volume Author/Editor: Takatoshi Ito and Andrew Rose, editors

Volume Publisher: University of Chicago Press

Volume ISBN: 0-226-38685-6 ISBN13: 978-0-226-38685-0

Volume URL: http://www.nber.org/books/ito\_08-2

Conference Date: June 19-21, 2008

Publication Date: August 2010

Chapter Title: Demographic Transition, Human Capital Accumulation and Economic Growth: Some Evidence from Cross-Country and Korean Microdata

Chapter Authors: Chin Hee Hahn, Chang-Gyun Park

Chapter URL: http://www.nber.org/chapters/c8154

Chapter pages in book: (93 - 124)

# Demographic Transition, Human Capital Accumulation, and Economic Growth Some Evidence from Cross-Country and Korean Microdata

Chin Hee Hahn and Chang-Gyun Park

## 3.1 Introduction and Background

It is well known that Korea has sustained remarkably fast catch-up growth since the 1960s. Another salient but less well-noted aspect of the Korean economy is its fast demographic transition. Total fertility rate, which was 5.67 in 1960, has declined very fast to hit alarmingly low level of 1.16 in 2004. Meanwhile, death rate measured by the number of death per 1,000 people also declined from 13.46 in 1960 to 5.30 in 1995, and roughly remained at that level since then. With rapid decline in both fertility and death rates, population growth rate and working age population ratio went through rapid changes as well.<sup>1</sup>

From an international perspective, what distinguishes Korea from other countries is her fast *speed* of demographic transition (figures 3.1 through 3.4). Compared with other countries, various indicators of demographic structure such as fertility rate, working-age population ratio, and population growth rate in Korea went through the most dramatic changes since the 1960s.<sup>2</sup> In the early 1960s, the levels of these demographic indicators in Korea and other high-performing East Asian countries were similar to the average levels of Sub-Saharan African countries. By the early 1990s,

2. Fast demographic transition is not confined to Korean case. The same kind of phenomenon is also observed in many high performing Asian countries.

Chin Hee Hahn is a Senior Research Fellow at the Korea Development Institute. Chang-Gyun Park is an assistant professor in the College of Business Administration at Chung-Ang University.

<sup>1.</sup> Population growth rate registered 3.09 percent in 1960 but has declined since then to reach 0.49 percent in 2004. The number of working-age population per dependent population (working-age population ratio) was as low as 1.21 in 1960. After a brief decline, it increased continuously to reach 2.6 in 2004. See appendix table 3A.1.



Fig. 3.1 Trends of the fertility rates in major regions



Fig. 3.2 Trends of the death rates in major regions

however, they were roughly comparable to those of developed countries. By contrast, averaged over the whole period, levels of the demographic indicators in Korea and other East Asian countries do not stand out and are placed between those of developed and Sub-Saharan African countries. The above observation that Korea and other East Asian countries simultaneously experienced fast economic growth and fast speed of demographic transition motivates our study.

In this chapter, we explore whether similar patterns can be found in a more



Fig. 3.3 Trends of working-age population ratios in major regions



Fig. 3.4 Trends of population growth rates in major regions

broad set of countries. We cast two specific questions. "Is faster speed of demographic transition associated with faster growth of per capita income?" and "Does faster speed of demographic transition imply faster speed of human capital accumulation?" We try to tackle these questions utilizing both cross-country data and micro-level household survey data from Korea. In cross-country analysis, first of all, we suggest several measures of the *speed* of demographic transition of a country. Then we relate these measures to per capita income growth of countries relying on traditional growth

regression framework, and to measures of human capital accumulation. As a complement to the cross-country analysis, we also use household survey data in Korea to examine whether families with fewer children invest more on their education. In our opinion, empirical evidence from Korea is particularly interesting in that Korea has gone through remarkably fast economic growth and, at the same time, remarkably fast changes in demographic structure.

There are many micro-level empirical studies on the Beckerian tradeoff between number and quality of children.<sup>3</sup> Also, there are many crosscountry studies relating demographic indicators or demographic structure to per capita income growth.<sup>4</sup> However, in the case of cross-country studies, most of them do not take seriously either the theoretical implications of endogenous growth with endogenous fertility choice or the possibility that demographic transition is endogenously triggered by the conscious choice of between quality and quantity of children.

Meanwhile, some recent endogenous growth theories with endogenous fertility choice demonstrate the possible existence of multiple equilibria and try to explain the transition from high-fertility no-growth Malthusian equilibrium to low-fertility sustained-growth modern growth equilibrium (e.g., Becker, Murphy, and Tamura 1990; Tamura 1996; Lucas 2002). According to these theories, the transition from no-growth equilibrium to sustained growth equilibrium is triggered by the rise of return to human capital investment and the resulting changes in household choice favoring the quality over the quantity of children-for example, lower fertility and more investment on human capital per child.<sup>5</sup> In other words, these theories suggest that economic growth, human capital accumulation, and demographic transition are all simultaneously triggered by changes in fertility pattern stemming from increased rate of return to human capital investment. However, to the best of our knowledge, it is hard to find empirical studies which take the body of growth literature with endogenous fertility choice as an empirical framework to examine the relationship between demographic transition and per capita income growth.

Although this study could be regarded as an empirical examination of broad implications of the above class of theories, strictly speaking, the spe-

3. Empirical studies employing micro-level data to test the significance of quality-quantity trade-off hypotheses include, among many, Rosenzweig and Wolpin (1980), Hanushek (1992), and Grawe (2005).

4. Examples of cross-countries on the relationship between demographic indicators and economic growth are Romer (1990), Brander and Dowrick (1994), Kelly and Schmidt (1995), and Bloom and Williamson (1998). There are also many country-level studies examining demography and economic growth, such as Cutler et al. (1990), Fougere and Merette (1999). Meanwhile, there are some cross-country studies examining the relationship between fertility rate and income level. For example, Barro and Sala-i-Martin (1995) shows that there exists an inverted U relationship between fertility and income level.

5. There could be many factors raising the rate of return to investment in human capital which triggers the transition.

cific questions asked in this study are not explicitly derived from these theories. That is, the above theoretical models do not have an explicit analysis on the relationship between the speed of demographic transition on one hand and per capita income growth as well as human capital accumulation on the other. Thus, this chapter is a fact finding exercise broadly guided by a class of theories, rather than a formal test of existing theories, motivated by the casual observation that Korea and other high-performing countries also experienced fast speed of demographic transition.

Our chapter contributes empirically not only to the better understanding of the process of economic growth, but also to understanding the nature of population aging. It is often suggested that a country experiencing faster increase in working-age population ratio is likely to experience faster growth of per capita gross domestic product (GDP). This argument seems to be based on the presumption that increase in working-age population ratio contributes to growth primarily through increased supply of labor input per capita. For example, Bloom and Williamson (1998) argue that much of the miraculous per capita income growth of East Asian countries are attributable to the favorable demographic changes in those countries, such as the rapid increase in working-age population relative to population. They argue that as the East Asian countries are expected to experience rapid population aging or a decrease in working-age population ratio, these countries will face significant slow down in per capita income growth in near future. In sum, Bloom and Williamson (1998) suggest that the *direction* of change in working-age population ratio matters for per capita income growth.

Not denying the possibility that directional change has significant implications on economic growth, we suggest that the *speed* of demographic transition may matter for economic growth. In this chapter, we suggest several measures of the speed of demographic transition, and examine whether those measures are systematically related to per capita income growth and human capital accumulation.

Finally, by providing empirical evidence on the relationship between demographic transition and human capital accumulation, we believe that the results from our chapter also help understand the role of human capital in economic growth. Despite the important role of human capital as the engine of growth as repeatedly pointed out by endogenous growth theories, it is also true that it is quite difficult to find empirical studies documenting the importance of human capital in economic development at the comparable level suggested by theoretical studies. In so far as the changes in fertility behavior and, hence, the demographic transition are systematically related in theory to the human capital investment decision by households, the empirical relationship between demographic transition and economic growth or human capital accumulation could be presented as an indirect evidence on the role of human capital in economic growth.

The organization of this chapter is as follows. In the following section,

we briefly overview related theoretical studies. Section 3.3 explains the data, specification of the basic regression model, and measurement of the speed of demographic transition. Section 3.4 provides our cross-country regression results. We first provide per capita GDP growth regressions with the speed of demographic transition as the key explanatory variable. Then, we examine whether measures of human capital growth are related to the speed of demographic transition. Also, we discuss whether our measures of the speed of demographic transition reflect indeed the speed of demographic transition. Section 3.5 provides our empirical results for the household behavior on quality-quantity choice, based on micro data of Korea. The final section offers a conclusion of our findings.

#### 3.2 A Brief Overview of Related Theoretical Studies

Dating back to early pioneering works by Becker (1960), the effort to explain child-bearing and fertility pattern as results of deliberate economic decision by rational economic agents has a long tradition in economics. Especially, the negative correlation between the number (quantity) of children and quality of children within a family had long been a well-noted statistical regularity, and several authors had tried to construct theoretical models to predict trade-off between quality and quantity of children within a family. It was Becker and Lewis (1973) who first derived the quantity-quality trade-off under a general setting of utility maximization by a house-hold without ad hoc assumption to induce quality and quantity trade-off.<sup>6</sup>

Upon repeatedly observing declining fertility along with increasing per capita income, researchers had tried to explicitly introduce the Beckerain quality-quantity trade-off into the growing growth literature. Becker, Murphy, and Tamura (1990) constructed one of the studies that reinterpreted the implications of earlier researches on fertility decision and human capital investment in the context of economic growth. They developed a dynamic general equilibrium model with two steady state development regimes: a Malthusian regime with high fertility, no human capital accumulation, and no growth; and an economic growth regime with low fertility, high human capital investment per child, and positive growth. Although they provided an integrated explanation of the fertility behavior, human capital investment, and divergent economic growth performances, they did not explain endogenously how a country starts to make the demographic and economic transition from one development regime to another. Tamura (1996) introduces a conditional external effect of human capital in the human capital

<sup>6.</sup> The key feature of the model that derives the trade-off relationship is the fact that the shadow price of children depends on the quality as well as the number of the children in the family. The shadow price of children with respect to the number of children is greater the higher their quality is. Similarly, the shadow price of children with respect to their quality is greater, the greater the number of children.

investment sector or international knowledge or human capital spillovers from the advanced rest of the world and shows the possibility of an endogenous transition from the Malthusian regime to the economic growth regime. Tamura (1996) also shows that, among the set of countries that make the transition, there is a convergence. Here, the conditional convergence arises from the existence of international knowledge spillovers, combined with the existence of multiple equilibria. Thus, with ever-growing world human capital stock which raises the rate of return to human capital investment in follower countries, one of Tamura's main propositions is an accelerating growth: late transitioners grow faster.<sup>7</sup>

In line with Tamura's theory, Lucas (2002) views sustained economic growth of countries since the late nineteenth century—that is, industrialization—as a process of diffusion of the Western industrial revolution to other regions of the world. He further suggests that countries with open trading regime and private property right protection went through changes in a household's decision in the direction of favoring quality, rather than quantity of children, and experienced *both demographic transition and sus-tained increase in per capita income*. Under the perspectives of the theories we just discussed, demographic transition, human capital accumulation, and sustained per capita income growth could be understood as different manifestations of one phenomenon, in as much as all are triggered by changes in fertility decisions of households in response to the changes in the rate of return to human capital investment.

## 3.3 Data and Specification of Cross-Country Regressions

## 3.3.1 Measurement of Speed of Demographic Transition

#### Construction of the Measure

Our measures of the speed of demographic transition are based on the assumption that the speed of demographic transition is fixed for a country, and are basically the magnitudes of changes in certain demographic indicators during a given time interval. We consider three alternative demographic indicators—fertility rate, working-age population ratio, and population growth rate—and, for each of these indicators, construct the measure of the speed of demographic transition. Our measure of the speed of demographic transition is devised to capture how much on average certain demographic indicator has changed for a country during one unit of time interval. SFERTIL is defined as the estimated coefficient on linear time trend when

<sup>7.</sup> As mentioned at introduction, Tamura (1996) does not try to link the speed of demographic transition to economic growth and human capital accumulation. Nevertheless, as we will subsequently discuss, the positive association between the speed of demographic transition and per capita income growth is found to be a fairly robust feature of the cross-country data.

fertility rate is regressed on a constant and linear time trend from 1960 to 2004. SWRATIO and SPOPGR are similarly defined for working age population ratio and population growth rate.<sup>8</sup>

In fact, measuring the speed of demographic transition for a country for a given time period is not as obvious a task as it might seem, even with the assumption of fixed speed. Above all, it is more likely that the demographic indicators move in a nonlinear pattern rather than change linearly over time as we assumed in deriving the second type of measures. It is well known that the time profile of a country's working-age population ratio exhibits a nonlinear pattern. During one cycle of a typical demographic transition, as exemplified in figure 3.5,9 both working-age population ratio and population growth rate follow roughly inversely U-shaped pattern. The working-age population ratio, for example, mildly declines for a short time and then continues to increase with the decline in fertility rate during the early stage of a demographic transition. In later stages, it begins to decline until it finally levels off. Therefore, it is possible that the linearity assumption produces two different estimates for two countries that are experiencing the same of speed of demographic transition, depending on which phase of the transition each country is located.

Even with these limitations of our measure of demographic transition, we chose to maintain the linearity assumption primarily because it is a simple and easy way to start. More importantly, as suggested by figure 3.1, even in the case of working-age population ratio for which the linearity assumption could potentially be most problematic, most countries are located to the left half of the inversely U-shaped curve at least during the period of our analysis, which seems to make the linearity assumption less problematic.<sup>10</sup>

#### Preliminary Analysis

Table 3.1 shows summary statistics of our measure of speed of demographic transition. First of all, the average estimated speed of change in fertility rate in the whole sample is about -0.06, which means that it took about seventeen years on average for fertility rate to decline by one, say, from three to two persons per woman. However, we can note that there is a large variation across countries in the measure as suggested by the large standard

8. In an earlier version of this chapter, we also considered a simpler measure of the speed of demographic transition, which is the difference between the time averages of the corresponding demographic indicator for the two roughly evenly divided sub-periods. Specifically, for each country, DFERTIL was defined as the difference in mean fertility rates for the two adjacent sub-periods: 1960 to 1984 and 1985 to 2004. The DWRATIO and DPOPGR were defined correspondingly for working-age population ratio and population growth rate. Since the regression results using this alternative measure were not qualitatively different from tables 3.4 through 3.6, we do not report them separately here.

9. Figure 3.2 is taken from Bloom and Williamson (1998).

10. In the case of working-age population ratio, there is also the problem of whether the measured speed of change truly reflects the speed of demographic transition or the direction of change. This issue will be discussed later in the chapter.



Percent in workforce

Time

**Fig. 3.5** Patterns of demographic indicators in a demographic transition *Source:* Bloom and Williamson (1998).

Growth

Birth rate minus

death rate

deviation (about 0.04). So, the estimated speed of change in fertility rate of a country at one standard deviation above the sample mean is about -0.02, which suggests that it takes about fifty years for this country to experience 1 percentage point decline in fertility rate. Next, the average estimated speed of change in working-age population ratio defined as the number of working-age population per dependent population, is about 0.01, which suggests that it takes about one hundred years on average for working-age population ratio to rise, say, from one to two. Again, there is a large variation of this measure across countries. Lastly, the average estimated speed of change in population growth rate is about -0.017, which means that it takes about sixty years on average for population growth rate to drop by one percentage point, say, from 2 percent to 1 percent per annum.

The estimated speed of demographic transition also shows large variation

		spece of acmograph		j statistit	
		Standard			
Region	Mean	deviation	Min	Max	N
		A SFERTIL			
EASIA	-0.09	0.01	-0.12	-0.08	7
SASIA	-0.07	0.03	-0.11	-0.01	8
SUBSAHA	-0.03	0.04	-0.11	0.04	43
MENA	-0.10	0.04	-0.15	-0.03	16
LAMERICA	-0.08	0.03	-0.12	-0.02	30
INDUSTRY	-0.04	0.02	-0.10	-0.01	23
PACIFIC	-0.07	0.03	-0.11	-0.03	10
EURCASIA	-0.03	0.01	-0.03	-0.02	3
CHINA	-0.11		-0.11	-0.11	1
Total	-0.06	0.04	-0.15	0.04	141
		<b>B</b> SWRATIO			
EASIA	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.04	7
SASIA	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	8
SUBSAHA	0.000	0.01	-0.01	0.03	43
MENA	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03	16
LAMERICA	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.04	30
INDUSTRY	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	23
PACIFIC	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.06	10
EURCASIA	0.01	0.004	0.00	0.01	3
CHINA	0.03		0.03	0.03	1
Total	0.01	0.01	-0.01	0.06	141
		C SPOPGR			
EASIA	-0.03	0.02	-0.07	0.00	7
SASIA	-0.01	0.03	-0.04	0.05	8
SUBSAHA	-0.001	0.03	-0.14	0.08	43
MENA	-0.04	0.06	-0.22	0.02	16
LAMERICA	-0.02	0.02	-0.07	0.01	30
INDUSTRY	-0.01	0.01	-0.03	0.01	23
PACIFIC	-0.02	0.03	-0.07	0.02	10
EURCASIA	-0.03	0.01	-0.04	-0.02	3
CHINA	-0.03		-0.03	-0.03	1
Total	-0.02	0.03	-0.22	0.08	141

Measures of sneed of demographic transition: Summary statistics

Table 3.1

across regions. Overall, East Asia and China stand out from other regions in all of the three measures. For example, the speed of changes in fertility rate in East Asia and China are -0.09 and -0.11 respectively, which are about three times as large as developed countries or Sub-Saharan African countries. The estimated speed of changes in fertility rate for most other developing regions falls in between East Asia and Sub-Saharan African countries.<sup>11</sup>

11. However, MENA (Middle East and North Africa) region experienced somewhat faster decline in fertility rate than East Asia and Europe and Central Asia slower decline than Sub-Saharan African region.

Similar phenomenon is observed for the speed of changes in working-age population ratio. It was highest in China followed by East Asia, which are fast growers, and lowest in Sub-Saharan Africa followed by Europe and Central Asia and developed countries. The speeds of change in working-age population ratio in East Asia and China are also about three times as large as developed countries.

Although many, if not most, countries experienced decline in fertility rate, increase in working-age population ratio, and decline in population growth rate during the sample period we examine, there were some countries that do not follow this general pattern. Table 3.2 shows the number of countries according to the estimated sign of each measured speed of demographic transition. In the case of SFERTIL, negative coefficient values were obtained for 133 countries out of 141, among which 128 cases were significant at the 1 percent level. There were eight countries where the coefficient was negative, and five of them were significant at the 5 percent level. Meanwhile, in the case of SWRATIO and SPOPGR, thirty-six and thirty-four out of 141 countries, respectively, exhibited negative coefficient most of which are significant at the 10 percent level.

Particularly in the case of working-age population, the existence of negative coefficients may be problematic especially if these are for mature economies that have already passed the peak of the inverted U-shaped curve. This is so because we are trying to examine whether the speed, rather than the direction, of demographic transition matters for growth and, hence, want to get a positive estimate of the speed of changes in working-age population ratio for a country located at the declining phase of the inverted U-shaped curve. However, among the thirty-six countries where negative values of SWRATIO were obtained, only one country (Sweden) belongs to the developed region, and twenty-eight countries belongs to Sub-Saharan Africa. Nevertheless, we take this phenomenon into account and consider

Table 3.2	Sign distributions of me	asures of speed of demographic	transition
	Number of countries with positive coefficient	Number of countries with negative coefficient	Total number of countries
SFERTIL	8	133	141
	(3, 2, 0)	(128, 0, 1)	
SWRATIO	105	36	141
	(98, 0, 0)	(29, 1, 2)	
SPOPGR	34	107	141
	(19, 3, 3)	(81, 4, 4)	

*Notes:* The speed of demographic transition using, for example, fertility rate (SFERTIL), is the slope of the simple regressions of fertility rate on year variable. Numbers in parentheses are number of countries that have estimated coefficient significant at the 1 percent, 5 percent, and 10 percent level, respectively.

	GDP growth			
	GRGDPL	SFERTIL	SWRATIO	SPOPGR
GRGDPL	1.00	-0.22	0.45	-0.01
	(0.0000)	(0.0078)	(0.0001)	(0.9247)
SFERTIL	-0.22	1.00	-0.64	0.61
	(0.0078)	(0.0000)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
SWRATIO	0.45	-0.64	1.00	-0.54
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0000)	(0.0001)
SPOPGR	-0.01	0.61	-0.54	1.00
	(0.9247)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0000)

Table 3.3	Correlations between speed of demographic transition and per capita
	GDP growth

*Notes:* Numbers in parentheses are *p*-values. Measures of speed of demographic transition are for the period from 1960 to 2004. The GRGDPL is annual average real per capita GDP growth rate for the same period.

alternative measures of the speed of changes in working-age population ratio later in this paper.

As the last preliminary analysis, we present simple correlations of various measures of the speed of demographic transition and per capita GDP growth of countries for the period from 1960 to 2004. As shown in table 3.3, per capita GDP growth of countries are negatively correlated with SFERTIL and positively correlated with SWRATIO at conventional significance level, although it is not significantly correlated with SPOPGR. Also, there are strong correlations among the three measures of speed of demographic transition. That is, countries under fast demographic transition by one measure, SFERTIL for example, also exhibit fast demographic transition by other measures, such as SWRATIO and SPOPGR. The existence of strong correlations among these variables suggests that these variables indeed are likely to be three different ways to measure the speed of demographic transition of a country. One can also infer that it is useful to take into account all three of these variables in examining the relationship between demographic transition and per capita GDP growth.

# 3.3.2 Specification of the Empirical Models and Data

Equipped with three different measures of speed of demographic transition, we are now ready to embark on examining the hypotheses presented in previous section.

In testing the first hypothesis on the positive relationship between economic growth and speed of demographic transition, we follow the typical strategy found in empirical growth literature; that is, including the key variable of interest as an additional explanatory variable into a reduced-form "standard" growth regression specification and testing the statistical validity of the variable of interest:

$$GI_i = \gamma DT_i + \beta' X_i + \varepsilon_i,$$

where  $GI_i$  is country *i*'s growth rate of per capita GDP and  $DT_i$  is the variable of key interest in our study and represents one of the measures of speed of demographic transition defined earlier. The vector of usual suspect variables recognized as having certain explanatory power as the determinants of economic growth is  $X_i$ .

In this chapter, we consider three specifications as the standard regression models: two of them suggested by Levine and Renelt (1992), and one with additional explanatory variables taking subsequent development in literature into account. Then we examine whether our measure of demographic transition has additional explanatory power.<sup>12</sup> The first regression from Levine and Renelt (1992) includes—as explanatory variables—initial real GDP per capita in 1960, investment share of GDP, initial secondary school enrollment rate and the average annual rate of population growth. The second regression from Levine and Renelt (1992) has almost equivalent structure to Barro (1991), which, in addition to the first specification, includes primary school enrolment rate, average rate of government consumption expenditure to GDP, a dummy variable for socialist economic systems, indicators for revolutions and coups, and dummy variables for countries in Latin America and Sub-Saharan Africa. The third regression includes, in addition to the explanatory variables in the second regression, institutional quality, openness, natural resource abundance, and terms of trade growth.

To test the second hypothesis that relates speed of demographic transition to human capital accumulation, we examine the simple correlation between various measures of speed of demographic transition and measures of changes in human capital investment by estimating simple regression model.

The data sources for this chapter are as follows. We use real GDP per capita (RGDPL) from Penn World Table (PWT) 6.2 to measure growth rate of per capita GDP for each country. Fertility rate, death rate, population growth rate, and working-age population ratio are taken from the World Development Indicator (WDI) (World Bank 2006). The control variables in the first and the second regression equations are from the data set provided by Levine and Renelt (1992). The data sources for other control variables are as follows. Openness—the average years a country is open between 1950 and 1990—and natural resource abundance, the share of primary product exports in GDP in 1970, are from Sachs and Warner (1995). Institutional quality is from Knack and Keefer (1995). Terms of trade is the average terms of trade growth rate between 1960 and 1990 from Barro and Lee (1994).

In regressions of human capital accumulation, human capital investment is measured with years of schooling. Barro and Lee (2000) provide estimates of the number of years of schooling achieved by the average person at various levels and at all levels of schooling combined. We use total years of schooling (TYR), primary years of schooling (PYR), secondary years of

12. These are the regression equations (i) and (ii) in table 5 from Levine and Renelt (1992).

schooling (SYR), and years of higher schooling (HYR) for population aged twenty-five years or above from Barro and Lee's data set.

We tried to construct as large a sample of countries as possible for which the data on real GDP and several key demographic indicators are available. Our sample consists of 141 countries.<sup>13</sup>

#### 3.4 Cross-Country Regression Results

#### 3.4.1 Per Capita GDP Growth

Tables 3.4–3.6 show our cross-country regressions of per capita GDP growth with measures of speed of demographic transition as the explanatory variables of main interest. We use ordinary least squares (OLS), as well as the generalized method of moments (GMM) estimation technique to address the endogeneity problem that might exist in measures of speed of demographic transition. Along with all explanatory variables in the original regressions except for the speed measure, we include as the instruments measures of human capital of a country relative to the frontier country in 1960, TYR of each country divided by total years of schooling of the frontier country (the United States) in 1960, and the difference between average educational attainment of a country and that of the United States in 1960, fertility rate in 1960, population growth rate in 1960, life expectancy at birth in 1960, and female labor participation rate in 1960, which are available from WDI.<sup>15</sup>

Overall, the regression results strongly support our first hypothesis that faster speed of demographic transition is associated with faster growth of per capita GDP.<sup>16</sup> The comparison between OLS and GMM results tells us

13. However, the number of observations in the regressions below can be smaller than 141 due to missing values for some of the variables. For more detailed description of the construction of our sample countries, see appendix A.

14. We calculated average educational attainment of a country simply as the sum of educational attainment of population aged twenty-five or above at six levels of schooling from Barro and Lee (2000)—primary school attained, primary school completed, secondary school attained, secondary school completed, higher school attained, and higher school completed.

15. The way we choose instruments for the measure of speed of demographic transition reflects the difficulty of finding "smart" instruments. One possible justification for the strategy adopted in the chapter is that those initial values, especially the initial human capital stock, are likely to be correlated with the speed measure but not with the error term in the regression equation. Another justification is purely a statistical one. It is not unusual to include all lagged variables as instruments in GMM estimation if we have a time series data set. Surely, one should worry about the problems associated with weak instruments. However, we ended up with fairly accurate GMM estimates. We believe that not too much concern on weak instruments is called for in interpreting the estimation result.

16. Taking logarithms of our measures of speed of demographic transition hardly affected the results qualitatively. In the case of the speed of changes in fertility rate, we considered an alternative measure—the number of years it takes for fertility rate to decline from five to replacement level (about two)—and observed qualitatively similar results, which we do not report here.

that endogeneity issue may not be a major concern at least in our specifications.<sup>17</sup>

Most of all, table 3.4 shows that estimated coefficients on SFERTIL are mostly negative and highly significant, suggesting that countries with rapidly declining fertility rate experienced higher growth rate of per capita income. The result is robust to the inclusion of some of the conventional determinants of growth. Next, SWRATIO also enters the regressions with positive and highly significant coefficients, suggesting that countries with rapidly changing working-age population ratio exhibited faster growth (table 3.5).<sup>18</sup> Table 3.6 shows that the estimated coefficient on SPOPGR is also negative, as expected, although it lost significance with the inclusion of additional controls.

Thus, as discussed in section 3.2, the regression results are broadly consistent with the implications of several growth theories with endogenous fertility choice. Also, the fact that we could obtain qualitatively similar results using all three alternative measures of the speed of demographic transition is strongly supportive of our first hypothesis.

#### 3.4.2 Human Capital Accumulation: Growth of Years in Schooling

Now, we turn to our second hypothesis: the faster the speed of demographic transition of a country, the faster the speed of its human capital accumulation. So, we ran simple regressions with the speed of accumulation of human capital as dependent variable and our measure of speed of demographic transition as independent variable. As the measure of the speed of human capital accumulation, we use each country's annualized difference in years of schooling for the period from 1960 to 2000. Table 3.7 shows twelve regression results. The first row of the table shows the four dependent variables—annualized differences in TYR, PYR, SYR, and HYR—and the first column shows three measures of the speed of demographic transition.

The regression results are fairly strongly supportive of our hypothesis that a country experiencing fast demographic transition also experiences fast accumulation of human capital. That is, all three measures of the speed of demographic transition successfully explain variations of annualized differences in TYR and PYR. Specifically, the coefficients of SFERTIL are significantly negative in regressions of (annualized differences in) TYR and PYR. Although insignificant in regressions of SYR and HYR, they are still estimated to be negative. Both SWRATIO and SPOPGR, respectively, enter the four regressions significantly with positive coefficients. So, countries with faster changes in working-age population ratio or faster decline in population growth rate also experienced faster increase in years of schooling at all levels.

<sup>17.</sup> According to the *J*-statistic reported in the last row of table 3.4, we cannot reject the null hypothesis of overidentifying restrictions.

<sup>18.</sup> In section 3.4.3, we discuss whether the speed of change or the direction of change in working-age population ratio, in particular, matters for growth.

Table 3.4 Per capit	ta GDP growth: Char	iges in fertility rate					
	(1)		2)		3)	(4	(
	OLS	STO	GMM	OLS	GMM	OLS	GMM
SFERTIL		-19.128***	-11.016	-15.675***	-10.212*	$-14.365^{***}$	-14.155***
Initial GDP per capita	-0.245*	(-5.10) -0.208*	(-1.59) -0.312***	(-3.22) -0.248**	(-1.87) $-0.442^{***}$	(-2.84) -0.518***	(-2.47) -0.593***
	(-1.85)	(-1.75)	(-3.01)	(-2.00)	(-5.18)	(-3.29)	(-5.37)
Investment share	10.120***	$6.640^{***}$	18.343*** (5.14)	3.876	7.250*	1.048	-1.409
Population growth	$-0.514^{**}$	(2.70) -0.932***	$-0.612^{***}$	$-0.656^{***}$	-0.298	-0.247	(-0.42) 0.324
	(-2.37)	(-4.42)	(-2.92)	(-2.75)	(-1.48)	(-0.88)	(1.62)
Secondary school enrollment	2.455*	1.549	$1.799^{*}$	0.127	1.117	-0.084	$1.904^{**}$
	(1.81)	(1.26)	(1.79)	(0.10)	(1.42)	(-0.06)	(2.22)
Primary school enrollment				$1.161^{*}$	$1.563^{**}$	0.909	$1.348^{*}$
				(1.67)	(2.08)	(1.17)	(1.64)
Government share				-1.475	$3.882^{*}$	-0.993	-0.244
				(-0.47)	(1.83)	(-0.28)	(-0.09)
Socialist economy				-0.114	-0.501	-0.396	0.666
				(-0.25)	(-0.82)	(-0.77)	(1.42)
Revolution/coups				-0.610	$-0.768^{*}$	-0.295	-0.720
				(-0.97)	(-1.67)	(-0.39)	(-1.19)

Africa dummy				$-0.868^{*}$	$-1.374^{***}$	$-1.139^{**}$	-0.959
				(-1.85)	(-3.62)	(-2.02)	(-1.61)
Latin America dummy				$-1.209^{***}$	$-1.036^{***}$	-0.708*	-0.599*
				(-3.13)	(-3.41)	(-1.70)	(-1.85)
Quality of institutions						$0.397^{***}$	$0.365^{***}$
						(2.84)	(3.11)
Openness						0.246	$1.237^{**}$
						(0.37)	(2.61)
Natural resource abundance						$-3.178^{*}$	$-5.484^{***}$
						(-1.90)	(-3.20)
Terms of trade						0.112	0.011
						(1.48)	(0.15)
Number of observations	107	107	86	103	83	86	75
Adjusted $R^2$	0.292	0.431	0.368	0.479	0.485	0.590	0.582
J-statistic			0.105		0.096		0.083
Moton: The SEEDTH is the estima	ted speed means	ra for fartility rata	Mumbare in such and	these are t-statis	tion The I statistic	is the test statistic	for meridenti

*Notes:* The SFEKTLL is the estimated speed measure for tertuity rate. Numbers in parentheses are *t*-statistics. The *J*-statistic is the test statistic for overident fying restrictions for GMM estimates. The test statistic is distributed as  $\chi^2$  with the degrees of freedom 7.

\*\*\*Significant at the 1 percent level.

\*\*Significant at the 5 percent level.

\*Significant at the 10 percent level.

vth: Changes in working-age population ratio
Per capita GDP g
Table 3.5

	(1)	)	2)	()	3)	)	4)
	OLS	OLS	GMM	OLS	GMM	OLS	GMM
SWRATIO		82.416***	$56.436^{***}$	$72.406^{***}$	57.736***	66.979***	$102.29^{***}$
		(6.33)	(3.03)	(4.49)	(3.38)	(3.76)	(4.85)
Initial GDP per capita	$-0.245^{*}$	$-0.212^{*}$	$-0.371^{***}$	$-0.270^{**}$	$-0.377^{***}$	$-0.536^{***}$	$-0.482^{***}$
	(-1.85)	(-1.88)	(-5.41)	(-2.32)	(-5.65)	(-3.56)	(-5.15)
Investment share	$10.120^{***}$	5.467**	9.098**	2.774	4.642	0.473	-2.874
	(3.83)	(2.32)	(2.14)	(1.04)	(1.15)	(0.16)	(-0.67)
Population growth	$-0.514^{**}$	$-0.543^{***}$	$-0.622^{***}$	$-0.389^{**}$	$-0.308^{**}$	-0.126	-0.265
	(-2.37)	(-2.95)	(-4.33)	(-2.00)	(-2.09)	(-0.51)	(-1.45)
Secondary school enrollment	2.455*	1.302	$2.058^{***}$	-0.126	0.208	-0.106	0.652
	(1.81)	(1.12)	(2.86)	(-0.10)	(0.29)	(-0.08)	(0.69)
Primary school enrollment				1.075	$1.155^{*}$	0.802	1.044
				(1.63)	(1.86)	(1.07)	(1.36)
Government share				2.558	$5.631^{**}$	3.169	3.673
				(0.81)	(2.47)	(0.00)	(1.20)
Socialist economy				-0.059	-0.231	-0.425	0.517
				(-0.14)	(-0.42)	(-0.86)	(1.18)
Revolution/coups				-0.207	-0.466	0.110	-0.175
				(-0.34)	(-1.26)	(0.15)	(-0.34)

Africa dummy				-0.849**	$-1.418^{***}$	$-1.078^{**}$	-0.800
Latin America dummy				(-2.00) -1.011***	(-3.89) $-0.955^{***}$	(-2.04) -0.552	(-1.46) $-0.554^{*}$
				(-2.73)	(-3.44)	(-1.37)	(-1.75)
Quality of institutions						$0.357^{**}$	0.174
						(2.64)	(1.52)
Openness						-0.107	0.350
						(-0.16)	(0.81)
Natural resource abundance						$-3.197^{**}$	-3.935*
						(-2.01)	(-1.88)
Terms of trade						$0.123^{*}$	0.036
						(1.69)	(0.57)
Number of observations	107	107	86	103	93	86	75
Adjusted $R^2$	0.292	0.488	0.458	0.526	0.517	0.620	0.616
J-statistic			0.079		0.019		0.029
Motos: The SWP ATIO is the actim	ated speed mea	ours for working	age nonulation 2	te Numbers in n	ranthases are t-st	atistics The Leta	tistic is the test

*Notes:* The SWKATIO is the estimated speed measure for working-age population rate. Numbers in parentheses are *t*-statistics. The *J*-statistic is the test statistic for overidentifying restrictions for GMM estimates. The test statistic is distributed as  $\chi^2$  with the degrees of freedom 7.

\*\*\*Significant at the 1 percent level.

\*\*Significant at the 5 percent level.

\*Significant at the 10 percent level.

Table 3.6 Per capita (	GDP growth: Cha	nges in population	growth rate				
	(1)	(2)	2)		3)	7)	(†
	OLS	OLS	GMM	OLS	GMM	OLS	GMM
SPOPGR		-25.730***	-34.356***	$-13.530^{*}$	-20.131	-13.717	-9.373
Initial GDP per capita	$-0.245^{*}$	(-3./4) -0.283**	(-2.80) $-0.420^{***}$	(-1.08) $-0.333^{***}$	(-1.23) -0.430***	(-1.45) -0.615***	(-0.0-) -0.559***
•	(-1.85)	(-2.26)	(-4.00)	(-2.65)	(-4.71)	(-3.71)	(-4.44)
Investment share	$10.120^{***}$	8.303***	$14.333^{***}$	4.516	$11.165^{***}$	1.007	0.443
	(3.83)	(3.28)	(4.08)	(1.57)	(2.89)	(0.31)	(0.11)
Population growth	$-0.514^{**}$	$-0.893^{***}$	$-0.903^{***}$	$-0.490^{*}$	-0.485	-0.133	0.389
	(-2.37)	(-3.92)	(-3.45)	(-1.92)	(-1.46)	(-0.42)	(1.09)
Secondary school enrollment	2.455*	1.142	1.267	-0.374	0.294	-0.656	1.055
	(1.81)	(0.86)	(1.19)	(-0.28)	(0.36)	(-0.46)	(1.09)
Primary school enrollment				$1.401^{*}$	0.628	1.131	0.906
				(1.92)	(0.76)	(1.41)	(66.0)
Government share				-1.199	3.055	-0.062	-1.049
				(-0.36)	(1.34)	(-0.02)	(-0.40)
Socialist economy				0.012	-0.284	-0.321	0.771
				(0.03)	(-0.43)	(-0.60)	(1.43)
Revolution/coups				-0.694	-0.647	-0.455	-0.614
				(-1.07)	(-1.55)	(-0.57)	(-1.12)

Africa dummy				$-1.380^{***}$	$-1.399^{***}$	$-1.551^{***}$	$-1.988^{***}$
I atin America dummv				(-3.09) -1 188***	(-3.43)	(-2.77) -0.680	(-3.23) _0 738**
				(-2.96)	(-3.10)	(-1.57)	(-2.36)
Quality of Institutions				×	~	0.384**	0.345**
						(2.64)	(2.53)
Openness						0.320	$1.011^{**}$
						(0.46)	(2.26)
Natural resource abundance						-3.657**	-3.206
						(-2.09)	(-1.52)
Terms of trade						$0.156^{*}$	-0.021
						(1.90)	(-0.25)
Number of observations	107	107	86	103	83	86	75
Adjusted $R^2$	0.292	0.372	0.369	0.438	0.416	0.557	0.490
J-statistic			0.073		0.088		0.106
<i>Notes</i> : The SPOPGR is the estimat	ed speed measu	re for nonulation	growth rate. Nun	hers in narenthes	es are <i>t</i> -statistics.	The <i>J</i> -statistic is th	e test statistic

for overidentifying restrictions for GMM estimates. The test statistic is distributed as  $\chi^2$  with the degrees of freedom 7.

\*\*\*Significant at the 1 percent level. \*\*Significant at the 5 percent level.

\*Significant at the 10 percent level.

	TYR	PYR	SYR	HYR
SFERTIL	-0.373***	-0.291***	-0.080	-0.014
	(-4.78)	(-6.15)	(-1.50)	(-0.91)
	[0.18]	[0.27]	[0.01]	[-0.001]
SPOPGR	-0.421***	-0.158**	-0.228***	-0.049***
	(-3.89)	(-2.18)	(-3.37)	(-2.38)
	[0.13]	[0.04]	[0.09]	[0.04]
SWRATIO	1.563***	0.487**	0.919***	0.201***
	(5.57)	(2.44)	(5.25)	(3.70)
	[0.23]	[0.02]	[0.21]	[0.11]

Table 3.7Regressions of human capital accumulation

*Notes:* Numbers in parentheses are *t*-statistics and numbers in bracket are Adjusted  $R^2$ . The number of observations is 100.

\*\*\*Significant at the 1 percent level.

\*\*Significant at the 5 percent level.

\*Significant at the 10 percent level.

In order to see whether the regression results reflect cross-regional differences, rather than cross-country differences, we also ran the same regressions with the inclusion of dummy variables for Latin America and Sub-Saharan Africa (not reported). However, the regressions results with the two region dummy variables were not much different from the simple regression results above, except that the coefficients of SWRATIO and SPOPGR became insignificant in HYR regressions.<sup>19</sup>

## 3.4.3 Speed of Change Versus Direction of Change

Up to now, we have tried to come up with various measures of the speed of demographic transition of a country and provided empirical evidence suggesting that a country with faster speed of demographic transition experienced not only faster growth of GDP per capita but also faster accumulation of human capital. In the case of working-age population ratio, for example, it was shown above that a country with faster changes in working-age population ratio not only grew faster but also accumulated human capital more rapidly.

However, one could raise the question whether our measure of speed of demographic transition reflect indeed the speed of change, not the direction of change. For example, do the positive coefficients on SWRATIO in regressions of per capita GDP growth and human capital accumulation capture the effect of "the speed of demographic transition" or "the increase" in

<sup>19.</sup> Meanwhile, the dummy variables for Latin America and Sub-Saharan Africa were significant in many cases. We do not report the results of these regressions to save the space. The regression results are available upon request.

working-age population relative to population? As noted in our introduction, there does exists a view holding that a significant part of the miraculous growth of East Asian countries are due to rapid increase in working-age population (labor supply) relative to population (Bloom and Williamson 1998). Although assessing the validity of the above view is not a main objective of this chapter, we think this issue needs further examination regarding interpretation of our empirical results.

Thus, we tried to perform additional regressions which, we hope, can shed light on this issue, focusing on the speed of changes in working-age population ratio for which interpretation of our results could be most controversial. In the previous regressions, we tried to relate per capita GDP growth from 1960 to 2004 to measured speed of change in working-age population ratio for the same period. However, the existence of contemporaneous positive relationship between per capita GDP growth and speed of changes could be compatible with both views: speed of change and direction of change.

So, firstly, we ran again previous regressions with some modification of the time period in such a way that there is no overlap of time periods for which dependent variables and measures of speed of demographic transition are constructed. Specifically, in this subsection, the speed of changes in working-age population ratio is measured for the period from 1960 to 1980, and the per capita GDP growth rate and human capital accumulation are measured for the period from 1980 to 2004. The idea is to cut the channel where the changes in working-age population ratio affect per capita GDP growth by increasing per capita labor supply, and see whether our main results are preserved. Secondly, we ran regressions with SWRATIO replaced by absolute value of SWRATIO. Given the existence thirty-six countries with the estimated values of SWRATIO as negative, this procedure will reduce the direction nature of the measure.

The first column of table 3.8 is the reproduction of regression (3)(OLS) of table 3.5, the second column is the regression result with the overlap of time periods minimized, and the third column is the regression results which is the same as the first column except that SWRATIO is replaced with absolute value of SWRATIO. The table shows that our main results are still preserved in these additional regressions. That is, column (2) shows that the speed of changes in working-age population ratio is still strongly correlated with growth of per capita GDP in subsequent nonoverlapping period, and the size of the coefficient became even larger. Also, the absolute value of SWRATIO performed equally well. Thus, our main regression results seem to capture the relationship between the *speed* of demographic transition and growth.<sup>20</sup>

20. As mentioned already, the fact that all three measures of speed of demographic transition are significantly related with growth is also conducive to our proposition.

	(1)	(2)	(3)
SWRATIO	72.406***	91.720***	56.996***
	(4.49)	(4.85)	(3.06)
Initial GDP per capita	-0.270**	-0.278**	-0.296**
	(-2.32)	(-2.03)	(-2.43)
Investment share	2.774	-1.754	1.953
	(1.04)	(-0.56)	(0.67)
Population growth	-0.389**	-0.631***	-0.257
	(-2.00)	(-2.75)	(-1.27)
Secondary school enrollment	-0.126	0.153	0.072
	(-0.10)	(0.11)	(0.06)
Primary school enrollment	1.075	0.796	1.440**
	(1.63)	(1.02)	(2.11)
Government share	2.558	3.378	1.112
	(0.81)	(0.90)	(0.34)
Socialist economy	-0.059	-0.032	0.084
	(-0.14)	(-0.06)	(0.18)
Revolution/coups	-0.207	0.058	-0.611
	(-0.34)	(0.08)	(-0.97)
Africa dummy	-0.849 * *	-0.440	$-1.280^{***}$
	(-2.00)	(-0.88)	(-3.02)
Latin America dummy	$-1.011^{***}$	$-1.157^{***}$	-1.125***
	(-2.73)	(-2.66)	(-2.89)
Number of observations	103	102	103
Adjusted $R^2$	0.526	0.446	0.474

 Table 3.8
 Per capita GDP growth: Changes in working-age population ratio

Note: Numbers in parentheses are t-statistics.

\*\*\*Significant at the 1 percent level.

\*\*Significant at the 5 percent level.

\*Significant at the 10 percent level.

#### 3.5 Quality-Quantity Choice in Korea: Evidence from Household Survey

In the previous section, we have shown that change in demographic structure is closely related to both human capital accumulation and economic growth. As already discussed in section 3.2 in detail, the main factor that derives the linkage between demographic structure and economic performance is the decision by households facing trade-off between quality and quantity of children in response to changing rate of return to human capital. Therefore, it seems to be quite an interesting exercise to examine whether the quality-quantity trade-off channel in a household's fertility and human capital investment decisions is actually working at household level.

In this section, we present some evidence that explicit choice between quality and quantity of children is deliberately made by Korean households. There are already many studies that confirm the validity of quality-quantity trade-off hypotheses both in developed and developing countries.<sup>21</sup> However, we believe that it would be very interesting to reexamine the hypotheses in Korean context considering the fact that Korea has experienced one of the fastest economic growth as well as demographic transition.<sup>22</sup>

The National Statistical Office of Korea has been conducting a household survey on income and expenditure, National Household Survey, since 1963. The Survey started with the sample of wage earners residing in urban areas and later extended the coverage to include both the self-employed and nonurban residents. The survey conveys detailed information on both sides of cash flow, income and expenditure as well as demographic information such as number of children. The Survey consists of five segments of rotating panels that each segment stays at the sample for five years. Samples from the surveys conducted in 1998 and 2007 are used. Since we are interested on human capital investment on children, we include households with dependents under age thirty.<sup>23</sup>

We suggest the following regression specification;

$$lave\_ex_i = \alpha N_i + \beta' X_i + \varepsilon_i$$

where  $lave\_ex_i$  is the log of per child expenditure on education<sup>24</sup> by household *i*,  $N_i$  is the number of children in household *i*, and  $X_i$  is the vector of covariates. We include as explanatory variables average age of children and its square, educational achievement of household head and, if any, his or her partner measured by the number of schooling years, sex of household heads, log of total debt repayment, and log of disposable income. Average age of children and its square term are included to account for possible differences in educational expenditure by level of schooling. We expect per capital educational expenditure to be inverted U-shaped reflecting the fact that educational expenditure increases as children advance to higher level of schooling at a decreasing rate. Parental educational levels are expected to exert positive impacts on average educational expenditure of their children.

21. See Hanushek (1992) or Grawe (2005), among others.

22. There are some, if not many, studies that examine the hypotheses in Korean context such as Lee (2007). We do not claim that our study presents new evidence on the topic but that a new regression specification and an innovative approach to instrumental variables in our study may provide more solid empirical evidence supporting quantity-quality trade-off hypotheses.

23. It is generally observed in Korea that children do not leave their parents' house until they graduate college—almost 80 percent of high school graduates go to college in Korea—and get a job or get married. For male children, they are typically between twenty-seven and thirty years old when they leave their parents' house. Therefore, expenditures on education appear in the cash flow of households with dependents aged younger than, say, thirty.

24. As properly pointed out by one commentator, educational expenditure reported in the National Household Survey includes expenditure on education of household member(s) other than children, which implies that our dependent variable may be plagued with measurement error. However, if the measurement error in dependent variable is not correlated with other variables and across observational units, we still obtain a consistent estimator without taking further remedial measures.

The reason we included the sex of household head as an explanatory variable is that women are known to put more emphasis on children's education than men do in Korea. So, the households headed by women are more likely to allocate more resources to children's education than the ones headed by men. Log of total debt repayment defined as the total debt service including the principal and interest payments is thought to have negative impact on educational expenditure and log of disposable income positive impact.

Negative estimated coefficient on the number of children  $N_i$  implies that as more children are born, the family responds by reducing the size of resources devoted to each child's education. As long as the price for one unit of education quality does not vary across household,<sup>25</sup> one can interpret a statistically significant negative estimate of the coefficient on  $N_i$  as a supporting evidence for quality-quantity trade-off hypothesis. Note that a household's total expenditure on education  $tot\_ex_i$  can be decomposed into three different components: quality of education  $q_i$ , price for one unit of education quality  $p_a$ , and the number of children  $N_i$ :

$$tot\_ex_i = p_q \times q_i \times N_i$$

Therefore,

$$lave\_ex_i = \ln\left(\frac{tot\_ex_i}{N_i}\right) = \ln(p_q \times q).$$

Then,

$$\alpha = \frac{\partial (lavg\_ex)}{\partial N_i} = \frac{\partial (\ln(p_q q))}{\partial N_i} = \frac{1}{q} \frac{\partial q}{\partial N_i}$$

A fundamental difficulty with the specification suggested above is that the key explanatory variable N<sub>i</sub> suffers from an econometric problem, endogeniety bias. The key presumption in the theoretical literature that we pay close attention to in the chapter is that fertility is the result of deliberate choice of a family and decisions on fertility cannot be separated from the ones on human capital investment. In other words, the number of children, the explanatory variable of our primary concern, is determined jointly with the dependent variable, quality of education and hence orthogonality condition crucial for the consistency of ordinary least squares estimator cannot be maintained. In order to cope with the problem, we need to find proper instruments required for GMM estimation. Along with all explanatory variables in the regression except for  $N_i$ , we use two instrumental variables; dummy for the sex of the first child and age difference between the first child and mother. Some researchers argue that the sex of the first child is strongly correlated with the number of children in the family, especially in East Asian countries such as Korea and China where preference for male child is still strong due to

<sup>25.</sup> The assumption will hold if households are "price takers" in the market for education.

Confucius tradition (Lee 2007). The family whose first child happens to be male is less likely to have another child than the family with female child as the first child. The other instrument we propose, age difference between the first child and the mother, could be also strongly correlated with the number of children in a family. That is, larger age difference implies that the mother got married and then bore the first child at relatively old age and the number of children she eventually delivers is more likely to be small. On the other hand, there is no particular reason to believe that the age gap between the first child and the mother is correlated with the average educational expenditure. It is highly unlikely that a woman postpones marriage for the concern on fertility decision.

For comparison's sake, we report the results of both OLS and GMM in table 3.5. The OLS estimate for the coefficient on the number of children shows a downward bias compared to GMM estimate. Households with higher educational achievement by parents, especially household head and

Table 3.9	Quality-quantity trade-off:	Korean case	
		OLS	GMM
Numbe	r of children	-0.0237*	-0.0113*
		(-1.77)	(-1.69)
Average	e age of children	0.3081***	0.2873**
		(5.22)	(3.21)
Average	e age of children squared	$-0.0233^{***}$	-0.0258**
-		(-2.33)	(-3.01)
Househ	old head's years of schooling	0.1063***	0.0953***
		(4.49)	(4.03)
Sex of 1	nousehold head	-0.1083**	-0.0992*
		(-2.02)	(-1.81)
Partner	's years of schooling	0.0523***	0.0456**
		(3.53)	(2.02)
Debt re	payment	$-0.2001^{***}$	-0.1692***
		(-3.00)	(-2.99)
Dispos	able income	-0.0263**	-0.1210*
		(-2.19)	(-1.77)
Consta	nt	10.0854***	11.8321***
		(3.68)	(3.91)
Numbe	r of observations	3,184	3,184
$R^2$		0.1026	
J-Statis	tic	_	2.56E-4

*Notes:* Dependent variable is log of per-child expenditure on education. Dummy for the sex of the first and age difference between the first two children are used as instruments in GMM estimation. The *J*-statistic is under the null of non-overidentifying restrictions, and is distributed as chi-squared with the degrees of freedom 2. Numbers in parentheses are *t*-statistics.

\*\*\*Significant at the 1 percent level.

\*\*Significant at the 5 percent level.

\*Significant at the 10 percent level.

lower debt burden, show the tendency to spend more on the education of each child. Interestingly and as expected, female-headed households spend more on education. The inverted U relationship between average educational expenditure and children's average age is also confirmed by the result. According to the estimates, it seems that average expenditure on education increases with increasing rate after children reach age five. One result that cannot be intuitively understood is the relationship between household's income and educational expenditure per child. Households with less income show the tendency to spend more on education for each child. Statistically significant negative estimate of the key explanatory variable confirms the hypothesis that the quality-quantity trade-off channel is working in fertility and human capital investment decisions among Korean households.

#### 3.6 Summary and Concluding Remarks

We provide some empirical evidence both at macro and micro levels for possible linkage between demographic transition and long-term economic performance. Our empirical results from cross-country regressions show that countries that experienced faster economic growth also exhibited faster speed of demographic transition. It is also found that countries with faster speed of demographic transition also exhibited faster accumulation of human capital. These results are fairly robust to various measures of the speed of demographic transition. We also provide an empirical evidence for the quality-quantity trade-off hypothesis with micro-level household survey data from Korea which experienced both outstanding economic growth and one of the fastest speed of demographic change. Our empirical results seem broadly consistent with previous theoretical studies, such as Becker, Murphy, and Tamura (1990), Tamura (1996), and Lucas (2002), which try to explain simultaneously demographic transition, human capital accumulation, economic growth, and convergence. In our view, however, one of our main empirical results, the positive association between the speed of demographic transition and per capita income growth as well as human capital accumulation, seems to be a neglected feature of cross-country data set that deserves more attention by future theoretical and empirical studies.

Table 3	A.1 Tre	ends in demographic	indicators of Ko	rea: 1960–2004	
Year	Fertility rate (person)	Death rate (person/1,000)	Life expectancy (age)	Population growth rate (%)	Working-age population ratio (person)
1960	5.67	13.46	54.15	3.09	1.21
1965	4.87	11.24	56.68	2.46	1.15
1970	4.27	9.44	59.93	2.13	1.20
1975	3.32	7.42	63.89	1.93	1.42
1980	2.56	6.38	66.84	1.56	1.64
1985	2.04	6.24	68.65	0.99	1.92
1990	1.77	6.26	70.28	1.15	2.24
1995	1.75	5.30	71.77	1.21	2.46
2000	1.47	5.20	75.86	0.84	2.55
2004	1.16	5.10	77.14	0.49	2.56

# Appendix A

Source: World Bank (2006).

*Notes:* The fertility rate is the number of babies that one woman gives birth to throughout her life. The death rate is the number of the deceased per 1,000 people. The working-age population ratio is the reciprocal of dependency ratio, which is the number of working age people aged fifteen to sixty-four per one dependent person aged under fifteen or over sixty-five.

# Appendix **B**

# **Country Sample and Country Names**

Among 185 countries which are included in both PWT 6.2 and WDI, we discarded forty-four countries for which we think there are not enough observations to measure the speed of demographic transition and growth of GDP per capita for the period from 1960 to 2004. To be more specific, there were many missing observations for fertility rate for some of the years during the sample period. Since measuring the speed of demographic transition is important in our chapter, we tried to minimize the possibility that only a few observations dictate our measure. Also, mostly for transition economies, real GDP variable were not available before the 1990s. Thus, we first divided our sample period into two subperiods—1960 to 1984 and 1985 to 2004—and threw away forty-four countries that had less than five nonmissing entries for real GDP or fertility rate. Table 3A.2 shows the country names of our sample by region.

Table 3A.2 (	Country sample (141 countries)			
East Asia (7 countries)	) Burkina Faso	Swaziland	Latin America (30 countries)	Industrial Countries
Hong Kong, China	Burundi	Sudan	Antigua and Barbuda	(23 countries)
Indonesia	Cameroon	Tanzania	Argentina	Australia
Korea	Cape Verde	Togo	Bahamas	Austria
Malaysia	Central Africa Rep.	Uganda	Barbados	Belgium
Philippines	Chad	Zambia	Belize	Canada
Singapore	Cote d'Ivoire	Zimbabwe	Bolivia	Denmark
Thailand	Equatorial Guinea	East Furone and Middle	Brazil	Finland
South Asia (8 countrie	e) Ethiopia	A sig (3 countries)	Channel Islands	France
Afohanistan	Gabon	Hunoarv	Colombia	Greece
Rangladesh	Gambia	Poland	Costa Rica	Iceland
India	Ghana	Romania	Cuba Dominican Rep.	Ireland
Maldivee	Guinea		Ecuador	Italy
Manulves	Guinea-Bissau	Middle East and North	El Salvador	Japan
Oman	Kenya	Africa (16 countries)	Guatemala	Luxembourg
Dalzistan	Lebanon	Algeria	Haiti	Netherlands
L amstatt Sri Lanka	Lesotho	Bahrain	Honduras	New Zealand
OII LAIINA	Madagascar	Cyprus	Jamaica	Norway
Pacific (10 countries)	Malawi	Djibouti	Mexico	Portugal
Brunei	Mali	Egypt	Netherlands Antilles	Spain
Cambodia	Mauritania	Iran	Nicaragua	Sweden
Fiji	Mauritius	Iraq	Panama	Switzerland
Kiribati	Mozambique	Israel	Paraguay	Turkey
Korea, Dem. Rep.	Namibia	Jordan	Peru	United Kingdom
Lao PDR	Niger	Kuwait	Puerto Rico	United States
Macao, China	Nigeria	Malta	St. Lucia	
Mongolia	Oatar	Morocco	Suriname	China
Papua New Guinea	Rwanda	Saudi Arabia	Trinidad and Tobago	
Solomon Islands	Senegal	Syrian Arab Rep.	Uruguay	
Sub-Saharan Africa	Sierra Leone	Tunisia	Venezuela	
(43 countries)	Somalia	United Arab Emirates		
Benin	South Africa			
Botswana				

## References

Barro, R. J. 1991. Economic growth in a cross section of countries. *Quarterly Journal* of Economics 106 (2): 407–44.

———. 1994. Sources of economic growth, Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy 40 (1): 1–46. Amsterdam: North Holland.

- Barro, R. J., and J. W. Lee. 2000. International data on educational attainment: Updates and implications, Oxford Economic Papers 53 (3): 541–63. Special Issue on Skills Measurement and Economic Analysis.
- Barro, R. J., and X. Sala-i-Martin. 1995. *Economic growth*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Becker, G. S. 1960. An economic analysis of fertility. In *Demographic and economic change in developed countries*. Universities-National Bureau Conference Series, no. 11. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Becker, G. S., and H. G. Lewis. 1973. On the interaction between the quantity and quality of children. *The Journal of Political Economy* 81:279–88.
- Becker, G. S., K. M. Murphy, and R. Tamura. 1990. Human capital, fertility, and economic growth. *Journal of Political Economy* 98 (5): S12–S37.
- Bloom, D. E., and J. G. Williamson. 1998. Demographic transitions and economic miracles in emerging Asia. *The World Bank Economic Review* 12 (3): 419–55.
- Brander, J. A., and S. Dowrick. 1994. The role of fertility and population in economic growth: Empirical results from aggregate cross-national data. *Journal of Population Economics* 7 (1): 1–25.
- Cutler, D. M., J. M. Poterba, L. M. Sheiner, and L. H. Summers. 1990. An aging society: Opportunity or challenge? *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, Issue no. 1: 1–56 and 71–73. Washington, DC.
- Duraisamy, P., E. James, J. Lane, and J. P. Tan. 1998. Is there a quantity-quality trade-off as pupil-teacher ratios increase? Evidence from Tamil Nadu, India? *International Journal of Educational Development* 18 (5): 367–83.
- Fougere, M., and M. Merette. 1999. Population ageing and economic growth in seven OECD countries. *Economic Modelling* 16 (3): 411–27.
- Grawe, N. D. 2005. Family size and child achievement. In *Research on economic inequality*, vol. 13, ed. J. Creedy and G. Kalb, 189–215. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Hanushek, E. A. 1992. The trade-off between child quantity and quality. *Journal of Political Economy* 100 (1): 84–117.
- Kelly, A. C., and R. M. Schmitt. 1995. Aggregate population and economic growth correlations: The role of components of demographic change. *Demography* 32 (4): 543–55.
- Knack, S., and P. Keefer. 1995. Institutions and economic performance: Crosscountry tests using alternative institutional measures. *Economics and Politics* 7 (November): 207–28.
- Lee, J. 2007. Sibling size and investment in children's education: An Asian instrument. *Journal of Population Economics* 21 (4): 855–75.
- Levine, R., and D. Renelt. 1992. A sensitivity analysis of cross-country growth regressions. *American Economic Review* 82 (4): 942–63.
- Lucas, R. E. 2002. *Lectures on economic growth*. Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.
- Romer, P. M. 1990. Capital, labor, and productivity, *Brookings Papers on Economic* Activity, Microeconomics: 337–67.
- Rosenzweig, M., and K. I. Wolpin. 1980. Testing the quantity-quality fertility model: The use of twins as a natural experiment. *Econometrica* 48 (1): 227–40.

Sachs, J. D., and A. Warner. 1995. Economic reform and the process of global integration. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, Issue no. 1: 1–118.

Tamura, R. 1996. From decay to growth: A demographic transition to economic growth. Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control 20 (6–7): 1237–61.

World Bank. 2006. World Development Indicator, CD-ROM. Washington, DC: World Bank.

Comment Meng-chun Liu

#### Motivation

Most previous articles on the subject argued that the economic growth, human capital accumulation, and demographic transition are all triggered by changes in fertility pattern. This is because the increase in working-age population ratio contributes to economic growth mainly by the increase in the labor supply. However, few empirical studies look at the issue based on endogenous economic growth theories with fertility choice based on the change in the returns to human capital. In order to bridge the gap, Hahn and Park intend to examine the issue with the evidence from cross-country data and Korea household data.

#### Contributions

As the main question asked by this chapter, will the higher speed of demographic transition of a country speed up both per capita income growth and human capital accumulation? This chapter suggests that the speed of demographic transition may matter for economic growth. In general, Hahn and Park's chapter provides some interesting arguments and ideas. I enjoyed reading this chapter, and have some comments at the same time.

First of all, similar to the direction of demographic transition, its speed has the significant role in driving economic growth. As argued in the chapter, fast demographic transition can speed up the accumulation in human capital, which enables developing countries to get out of the trap of "Malthusian equilibrium." Are there any other possible explanations? Suppose that the fast increase in working-age population ratio may enable an economy to accumulate physical capital stock soon. There is a higher saving ratio because of lower fertility and elder population.

Second, the speeds of change in working-age population ratio in East Asia and China are about three times as large as in developed countries. The authors provide the positive perspective on economic growth with high speed of democratic transition. However, can an economy with a low fertility

Meng-chun Liu is a research fellow and deputy director at Chung-Hua Institution for Economic Research (CIER).