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This is the third volume resulting from studies conducted by the National Bureau of Economic Research for the Carnegie Commission. It presents the full report by Taubman and Wales of their detailed analysis of the results of a 1969 follow-up survey of a large sample of men who were accepted for a special Army Air Corps volunteer program in 1943. A brief summary of the findings was also included in the recently published volume of essays edited by Juster (Education, Income, and Human Behavior).

The Taubman and Wales study is based on what is in some ways the most valuable source of data that has as yet been available for human-capital analysis. Most earlier studies of the rate of return from education have been based on decennial census data that have yielded information on educational attainment and earnings, but not on individual ability. Thus, it has not been possible to determine how much of the variation in earnings associated with education might be attributable to differences in ability, although some analysts have devised methods for attempting to measure the influence of ability. But the Army Air Corps volunteers included in the Taubman-Wales study had all participated in a battery of 17 tests designed to measure various aspects of physical and mental ability. The results of these tests were available for analysis, along with the information on earnings and work histories provided by the respondents.

Another valuable feature of the data is their longitudinal character, in contrast with the cross-sectional data used by most human-capital analysts. The men included in the 1969 follow-up survey had also been respondents in an earlier study conducted by Thorndike and Hagen in 1955. Thus, Taubman and
Wales were able to analyze how education, ability, and other factors affected actual changes in earnings of these men between 1955 and 1969, rather than having to rely on variations in earnings at a given point in time to draw inferences about the interaction between age and other influences on income.

The study owes its importance, also, to the high degree of technical skill the authors have brought to the analysis.

The findings indicate that there was an upward bias of about 25 percent in their measures of the impact of education on earnings in 1955, if the influence of ability was omitted. The bias was somewhat smaller in 1969, reflecting the fact that differences in earnings associated with ability did not increase as rapidly as those associated with education between 1955, when the men were aged about 33, on the average, and 1969, when their average age was 47. Variations attributable to ability did not differ greatly according to educational attainment, with the important and interesting exception that by 1969 differentials associated with high ability were relatively large for men with graduate training.

An important qualification, however, is that it was only the results of the mathematical aptitude test that were reflected in these impacts of ability—none of the other tests had a significant effect on earnings differences. This is at variance with the findings of Hause (based on the same data and reported in the Juster volume), who used a composite measure of ability and found it had a significant effect on earnings. In evaluating the ability results, it is also important to keep in mind the fact that the average ability of the men in this sample was high—they all had to pass an initial aptitude test with a score equivalent to the median for high school graduates before qualifying for the battery of 17 special tests. Clearly, we need more experimentation with alternative measures of ability and with samples representing a broader spectrum of the population before achieving definitive results on the relative impact of ability and other influences.

In other respects, the Taubman-Wales results will add "grist to the mill" of both the supporters and the critics of human-capital theory—probably especially the latter. On the one hand, if allowance is made for differences in methodology and for the special characteristics of their sample, their rates of return to investment in education do not appear to differ strikingly from those lend only Taub the mud they in 1 com on e Pe the a B. with requ colle scre be rese W cap pai sho ex resu lip and Sim adm the the nall ofte high we ben C. J Sch o
those of earlier investigators. On the other hand, their findings lend support to those who argue that education accounts for only a relatively small proportion of variations in earnings. Yet Taubman and Wales provide some evidence that casts doubt on the implication of Christopher Jencks and his coauthors that much of the variance is attributable to “luck.” For example, they find that the difference between excellent and poor health in 1969 was worth about $7,000 a year. They also made use of a composite “background” variable that had a significant effect on earnings differences.

Potentially even more damaging to human-capital theory is the Taubman-Wales finding that, for those with some college or a B.A., a substantial part of the earnings advantage associated with education was attributable to “screening,” that is, the requirements or preferences of employers for those with a college education. But their method of measuring the effect of screening is crude, as they admit. Even so, the issue of screening and of its relationship to employment discrimination is becoming increasingly important and calls for much more research.

We are also approaching a stage in the evolution of human-capital research, I believe, when more attention needs to be paid to occupational differences in rates of return, as Eckaus has shown. Perhaps even more fundamental is the need to look less exclusively at money income as the measure of the economic results of education. Virtually all human-capital theorists pay lip service to the role of the consumption benefits of education and then ignore them as not susceptible of measurement. Similarly, most researchers, including Taubman and Wales, admit that there are social benefits that cannot be measured by the usual conventional methods, but then proceed to confine their efforts to measurement in the conventional manner. Finally, there is accumulating evidence that job satisfaction is often an extremely important component of the benefits of higher education. It would be a great step forward if someone were to devise a method of adjusting measures of pecuniary benefits to allow for the contribution of nonpecuniary rewards.

In this volume Taubman and Wales report on their study of the determinants of earnings. This work was supported by the Carnegie Commission on Higher Education as a part of the National Bureau of Economic Research's study of the benefits of higher education. The larger study was directed by F. Thomas Juster, and much of the research is summarized in the CCHE-NBER volume Education, Income, and Human Behavior, edited by Juster.

In their study Taubman and Wales make extensive use of the NBER-TH data set, a longitudinal sample of some 5,000 men born in the decade 1916-1926, surveyed in 1943 (by the U.S. Army), in 1955 (by Thorndike and Hagen), and in 1969 (by NBER). The sample consists of men who volunteered for certain Army Air Corps training programs during World War II. The data set, which is described in detail in Chapter 4, is a unique and important one. The authors of this volume were instrumental in bringing its potential availability to the attention of the National Bureau. They participated in planning the 1969 survey, and this volume contains much of their analysis of these data. (The sample was again surveyed in 1970 and 1971, and Taubman, as well as others within and outside NBER, are continuing to study the behavior of these men.) Here, Taubman and Wales use single-equation regression techniques to investigate the influence of formal schooling, measured ability, age, family background, and personal characteristics on the level of observed earnings in 1955 and in 1969, and on the growth in earnings over the working life through 1969.

This volume complements other recently completed and ongoing studies at NBER. It is one of several projects which contribute to an understanding of the relationship between for-
formal schooling and measured ability as determinants of the level of and lifetime growth in earnings, of the influence of family background variables on earnings, and of the mechanisms through which schooling affects earnings.

This question of mechanisms, of how schooling affects earnings, is a difficult and complex one. It is also one on which those subscribing to human-capital theories and those skeptical of such theories are likely to differ. For adherents of the human-capital approach, many of the "other" influences on income often cited by critics as contradicting or undermining their theory are seen as easily incorporated into the human-capital model. To the critics, such incorporations often seem artificial, or at least unnecessary if one starts with a broader, less constraining set of relationships among income and human qualities, institutions, and environments. These differences are discernible at several points in this manuscript, but perhaps most noticeably in the discussion of the possible use of colleges as a "screening" device by employers.

The larger issue, though, in determining the benefits of education remains that of measuring social and nonpecuniary returns rather than just the private monetary returns. On this point, both those who adopt and those who criticize the human-capital approach agree. As a consequence, an ever-increasing portion of our human-capital studies at the National Bureau are devoted to these issues—the relationships between education and household's consumption behavior; the relationships between the preschool environment and the later school performance and the extent to which this preschool environment is conditioned by the income and education of the parents; the relationship between education and the taste for leisure (or, its obverse, labor force participation); the relationships between education and health; and the relationships between education and demographic behavior. Some of the preliminary findings of this research is to be found in the recent volume Education, Income, and Human Behavior, published jointly by the National Bureau and the Carnegie Commission; the rest has, or will emerge, in formal publications of the National Bureau itself.

Nevertheless, the unanswered questions about the value of education beyond the purely pecuniary remain and loom large. I am sure that they will challenge scholars at the National Bureau and elsewhere for years to come.
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manuscript, or that either members of the Board in general or the
special committee have passed on its validity in every detail.
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