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1. The object of the National Bureau of Economic Research is to ascertain and to present to the public important economic facts and their interpretation in a scientific and impartial manner. The Board of Directors is charged with the responsibility of ensuring that the work of the National Bureau is carried on in strict conformity with this object.

2. To this end the Board of Directors shall appoint one or more Directors of Research.

3. The Director or Directors of Research shall submit to the members of the Board, or to its Executive Committee, for their formal adoption, all specific proposals concerning researches to be instituted.

4. No report shall be published until the Director or Directors of Research shall have submitted to the Board a summary drawing attention to the character of the data and their utilization in the report, the nature and treatment of the problems involved, the main conclusions, and such other information as in their opinion would serve to determine the suitability of the report for publication in accordance with the principles of the National Bureau.

5. A copy of any manuscript proposed for publication shall also be submitted to each member of the Board. For each manuscript to be so submitted a special committee shall be appointed by the President, or at his designation by the Executive Director, consisting of three Directors selected as nearly as may be one from each general division of the Board. The names of the special manuscript committee shall be stated to each Director when the summary and report described in paragraph (4) are sent to him. It shall be the duty of each member of the committee to read the manuscript. If each member of the special committee signifies his approval within thirty days, the manuscript may be published. If each member of the special committee has not signified his approval within thirty days of the transmittal of the report and manuscript, the Director of Research shall then notify each member of the Board, requesting approval or disapproval of publication, and thirty additional days shall be granted for this purpose. The manuscript shall then not be published unless at least a majority of the entire Board and a two-thirds majority of those members of the Board who shall have voted on the proposal within the time fixed for the receipt of votes on the publication proposed shall have approved.

6. No manuscript may be published, though approved by each member of the special committee, until forty-five days have elapsed from the transmittal of the summary and report. The interval is allowed for the receipt of any memorandum of dissent or reservation, together with a brief statement of his reasons, that any member may wish to express; and such memorandum of dissent or reservation shall be published with the manuscript if he so desires. Publication does not, however, imply that each member of the Board has read the manuscript, or that either members of the Board in general, or of the special committee, have passed upon its validity in every detail.

7. A copy of this resolution shall, unless otherwise determined by the Board, be printed in each copy of every National Bureau book.

(Resolution adopted October 25, 1926, as revised February 6, 1933, and February 24, 1941)
The high level of investor confidence since World War II, and the large and expanding volume of bond financing, raise important questions as to the quality of bond credit in the postwar period. Although our records do not cover this period—and even if they did, a retrospective test of quality could not be undertaken until the market had been subjected to a real test—the record of the past is sufficiently strong to suggest the need for constant review of bond market standards.

W. BRADDOCK HICKMAN, Corporate Bond Quality and Investor Experience, 1958
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FOREWORD

Atkinson's study of postwar corporate bonds in the United States is the third report on the business sector to appear in the National Bureau's program of studies in the quality of credit. Albert Wojnilower's *The Quality of Bank Loans* (1962) and Martin Seiden's *The Quality of Trade Credit* (1964) covered the other two major elements of the debt of American business enterprise. Since trade credit, commercial bank loans, and bonded debt constitute the overwhelming proportion of business indebtedness, Atkinson's study rounds out the program's coverage of the business sector. The program has been supported in large part by a grant from the Merrill Foundation for Advancement of Financial Knowledge, Inc.

To the degree that was possible, this study represents an updating of W. Braddock Hickman's volume, *Corporate Bond Quality and Investor Experience*. That Atkinson's study is of moderate length is not because of any decline in the importance of bonds as a source of American business finance. As he shows, corporate bonds have remained a major source of business funds since the war. Two other factors are instead responsible.

First, the resources available for this postwar study were small compared with those devoted to the National Bureau's corporate bond project, on which the Hickman studies rested. Second, the postwar performance of corporate bonds has been so strong that the detailed statistical examination of the relations between the characteristics of bonds and their performance, which comprised a large part of Hickman's study, was not feasible for the postwar period.

Indeed, the postwar years have been so free of bond defaults that one might conclude that no quality problem exists. However, the Hickman study supplies a warning. One of its salient findings was that investor confidence engendered by extended periods of prosperity appears to generate security issues of less than prime quality. Atkinson

---

Foreword

carefully examines the characteristics of postwar bond issues for evidence of such changing “ex ante” quality, covering all of the important variables that Hickman employed.

Atkinson supplements the earlier study in another important respect. Hickman studied bonds in an era when the bulk of them were “public offerings,” whereas in the postwar years the placement of securities by direct negotiation between borrower and investor has become of almost equal importance. In the case of industrials, the direct placement (along with its half-sister, the commercial bank term loan) has become the dominant form of corporate long-term financing. By examining the records of the National Association of Insurance Commissioners, which rates a large share of direct placements, and by using the new information gathered by Avery Cohan on the direct placements of life insurance companies, Atkinson has been able to make some judgments regarding the quality of this increasingly important share of corporate debt.¹

Hickman included bonds convertible into stock in his study, but did not analyze the influence of this feature on default or market performance. In view of the fact that in postwar years the share of publicly offered bonds containing the convertibility feature has been more substantial than before the war, Atkinson gives specific attention to such securities. Upon re-examining the Hickman data, he found that default experience in prewar periods was considerably poorer for convertible issues than for nonconvertibles. He appraises the prevalence of the convertibility feature in postwar corporate bond issues in the light of this finding.

In these several important respects, therefore, the present study augments our knowledge of the quality characteristics of business debt and its record of performance.

JAMES S. EARLEY, Director
Quality of Credit Studies

¹Cohan’s own study of the yields and quality of direct placements, undertaken as part of the National Bureau’s interest rate study, is in progress.