This PDF is a selection from an out-of-print volume from the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: International Migrations, Volume II: Interpretations

Volume Author/Editor: Walter F. Willcox, editor

Volume Publisher: NBER

Volume ISBN: 0-87014-017-5

Volume URL: http://www.nber.org/books/will31-1

Publication Date: 1931

Chapter Title: Hungarian Migration of Modern Times

Chapter Author: Gustav Thirring

Chapter URL: http://www.nber.org/chapters/c12910

Chapter pages in book: (p. 411 - 439)

CHAPTER XIV

HUNGARIAN MIGRATION OF MODERN TIMES¹

Bv

Dr. Gustav Thirring Budapest

Hungary's modern emigration began with an exodus to America and so increased in such a manner that later America and especially the United States, attracted the greater part of the stream. first sporadic cases of emigration occurred in the '40's of the nineteenth century, but the emigration of Hungarian patriots after the failure of the struggle for independence in 1848 and 1849—although most of them remained in the United States—was not treated in American statistics as immigration, the first two immigrants from Hungary being reported in 1861.2

With the year 1880 the number of emigrants from Hungary began to rise rapidly. In 1881 the Hungarian government placed emigration agencies under the supervision of the Ministry of the Interior, and forbade all emigration except when the emigrant was provided with a passport. The consequence, however, was merely an increase in clandestine emigration. The total flow increased in volume year by year and in 1903, when the United States was enjoying an unparalleled prosperity which drew people from all over the world, the number of Hungarian emigrants exceeded 100,000, and in 1907 it was nearly doubled.3 The number of emigrants, however, did not increase uniformly or regularly but showed great fluctuations, a fact which goes to prove that, in addition to incentives in Hungary, American business conditions also were of decisive influence. In 1908, in consequence of the great economic depression of the previous year, the number of overseas emigrants fell to less than one-fourth of the number in 1907.

¹[In connection with the present discussion see also International Migrations, Vol. I, pp. 86, 91f, 178ff, 184, 188, 200f, 230ff, 239-258, 300ff, 308-309, 329-341, 710-738.

Apparently the author of this chapter has used at times fuller or slightly different figures from those found in Volume I. In such cases his figures have been reproduced in full.—Ed.1

²[Volume I, page 377, Table 1.—Ed.] ³[Volume I, p. 716.—Ed.]

The enormous increase in emigration finally led the Hungarian government to regulate the movement by laws passed in 1903 and 1909. The first aimed to restrict emigration; the second abandoned that effort and aimed merely to protect the emigrants. These laws prescribed what classes of the population (minors, those liable to military service, destitute persons, and the like) were not allowed to emigrate and instituted a system of emigrant passports. The Minister of the Interior was empowered in the interest of emigrants to forbid emigration to certain states. Emigration was restricted to certain steamship lines. The statute defined the legal rights of the transportation companies and of the emigrants, created an emigration fund for assisting emigrants, an emigration council as a consulting agency for the government and emigration commissioners to control emigration matters.

Each transportation company received a permit to carry on its business from the Ministry of the Interior which was thereby enabled to control the company. By virtue of the law, the Cunard Steamship Company, Limited, received an exclusive permit to carry Hungarian emigrants from Fiume to New York. The company was not in a position to transport the enormous mass of emigrants on its own ships and was therefore obliged to divert many of them to ships of the Hungarian Adria Company, sailing from northern seaports. As a result of this procedure and of a rate war instituted by competing concerns against the Cunard Line, most of the emigrants travelled to America via the northern seaports and thus avoided all Government control. In 1911 the Hungarian government granted the North Atlantic Steamship Lines' Association (the socalled Continental Pool) of five combined continental companies a similar permit to transport Hungarian emigrants (Pool Agreement). Then at last all Hungarian emigration was put under government control and the object of the law was attained.

In the '80's of the last century Canada began to receive emigrants from Hungary and in subsequent years, when the United States no longer appealed to the emigrants as the land of promise, Canada exerted a growing attraction on Hungarian emigrants by reason of fantastic assurances. The Canadian government bestowed bonuses at first in the shape of free land and training in its use, such as no other country offered. But the emigrants, who had been carried by the agents of the railway companies many thousands of miles into the interior of the country, were completely disillusioned

and faced with great obstacles and hardships; they were only moderately prosperous.

Still worse was the fate of those Hungarians who went to South America. Brazil and Argentina were the South American states that received most of them. Although the information in regard to this current is scanty, it shows that there was a small migration to these countries after 1880, rising to a maximum of nearly 3,000 in 1905.¹ But the situation of the emigrants was trying. They had been lured away by deceptive promises of Italian steamship companies and found not the loudly-proclaimed landed estates but only the hardest kind of work on coffee plantations or at other tasks. Under such conditions and exposed to a deadly climate many died.

The emigration to European countries deserves more extended The movement to Austria, is one of the oldest consideration. currents. The political relations which long existed between Austria and Hungary led to a continuous exchange of population between the two states, the balance of which was unfavorable to Hungary. From early times the advanced stage of industry in Austria afforded Hungarian laborers employment, while agricultural Hungary attracted only a few Austrians. How great this movement was is shown by the fact that the number of Hungarian citizens living in Austria rose between 1857 and 1900 from 53,000 to 324,000, a more than sixfold increase. Most of the emigrants came from the counties of western Hungary bordering on Austria, and 215,000 of them went to Lower Austria, nearly seven-tenths of whom were in Vienna. Styria also received many Hungarians (39,000) of whom 10,000 were This emigration to Austria drew off especially the intelligent, able-bodied elements of the population, a serious loss for Hungary, although it afforded important advantages for the people involved.

Of more recent origin than the emigration to Austria is that from the German-speaking districts of Hungary to Germany. This movement is hardly thirty years old and has been directed to the large German cities in which qualified Hungarian laborers, tradespeople and persons with other occupations have found a good livelihood. The annual number of emigrants has been between 500 and 7,500; in the 15 years, 1899–1913, Hungary lost 42,000 persons thus.²

¹[Volume I, page 717.—Ed.] ²[Volume I, page 718.—Ed.]

For preparing a survey of Hungary's total emigration only incomplete data are available, especially about the demographic and economic composition of the migrants. Hungarian statistics are the only ones which include the entire emigration, but these go back to 1899 only. For earlier years one must turn to the emigration statistics gathered at European seaports and the immigration statistics of the United States, both of which naturally embrace only overseas emigration. But there are no returns from European countries about the number of Hungarian immigrants.

A survey of Hungary's total emigration will make clear its great fluctuations in course of time. In the last two years of the nineteenth century it rose from 37,000 to 39,000, grew rapidly in volume at the beginning of the twentieth century and reached a maximum of 193,000 in 1907. This peak was followed the next year by an extraordinary depression to little more than one-fourth of that total, due largely to a rapid decrease in American immigration; then came a new flood to 113,000 in 1909 and a second ebb-tide, likewise due largely to American conditions. In 1912 and 1913 a third high point was reached with 105,000 emigrants, but shortly thereafter the World War dammed the current.

In these 15 years nearly 1,400,000 emigrants were registered. In order to obtain the actual loss of population, those who departed more than once and those who were repatriated, should be subtracted. On an average for the 15 years there were 4.6 emigrants annually to every 1,000 of population, a rate which rose to 8.8 in the three years of greatest emigration and to 9.4 in 1907 when almost 1 per cent of the population emigrated. During the whole 15 years the country lost not less than 7 per cent of its population.

Table 168 shows the classification by sex, age, nationality and occupation of the total emigration from Hungary.² Since these phases will be discussed later, both for overseas emigration and for Continental emigration, only summaries of the numerical data are presented at this point.

OVERSEAS EMIGRATION

Overseas emigration, which includes the greater part of the total outflow, can best be grasped statistically and can be elucidated

¹[See Volume I, page 720, Table XV.—Ed.] ²[See Volume I, page 721.—Ed.]

with the most detail. The sources of these statistics are the returns from European seaports, the immigration statistics of the United States, and from 1899 on the ample material furnished by the Hungarian official statistics. As they differ in their methods of inquiry as well as in the tabulation and publication of the material, it is natural that the results of the various statistics do not entirely agree and in some respects seem contradictory. Nevertheless, they afford valuable material for a general survey and for an estimate of the importance of emigration from a demographic and economic standpoint.

The statistics of the German seaports, going back to 1871, embrace almost the whole of the important period of Hungarian emigration. They cover the ports of Hamburg, Bremen, Wilhelmshaven and Stettin, and classify by country of destination and by continent (Asia, Africa and Australia). Statistics for other European seaports began in 1899, the date to which Amsterdam, Rotterdam, Antwerp and Genoa carried back their port statistics. Much later came the inclusion of the seaports of Havre, Cherbourg, Liverpool, Naples, as well as Trieste and Fiume which last at this time attained great importance for emigration statistics on account of the Cunard agreement. While the statistical material from the most important ports of emigration to North America except the French is at hand and fairly complete, the lack of statistics from the Italian ports engaged in the South American trade leaves a perceptible gap.

The immigration statistics of the United States give many essential demographic features and afford an abundant material, but they often lump emigrants from Austria and Hungary together and so do not furnish adequate information regarding all phases of Hungarian emigration. By way of contrast, the Hungarian emigration statistics, which were started in 1899, are a mine of statistical material. They lend themselves to statistical researches of a local nature because of the exhaustive topographical classification of emigrants by counties and cities. On the other hand, the lack of an exhaustive classification of emigrant destinations according to countries is to be deplored.

During the first decades Hungarian emigration flowed almost exclusively through Hamburg and Bremen. Until 1885 the bulk of it streamed through Hamburg. Then Bremen drew to itself the

¹[See Volume I, page 716.—Ed.]

TABLE 168.
Summary of Hungary's Total Emigration, 1899-1913.
(In Thousands)

(a)	By	Sex
-----	----	-----

	Number of Emigrants						
Year	Males *	Females a	Totals	Per 1,000 Inhabitants	Per Cent Females		
1899	25	12	37	2.0	32.0		
1900	28	11	39	2.0	28.6		
1901	41	14	55	2.9	25.5 23.6 24.9 31.2 26.4		
1902	49	15	64	3.3			
1903	51	17	68	3.5			
1904	49	22	71	3.5			
1905	122	44	166	8.2			
1906	121	48	169	8.3	28.3		
1907	141	52	193	9.4	26.9		
1908	34	19	53	2.6	35.5		
1909	80	34	114	5.4	29.9		
1910	64	33	96	4.6	33.9		
1911	35	29	64	3.1	$45.9 \\ 40.5 \\ 52.1$		
1912	62	42	105	5.0			
1913	46	51	97	4.5			
1899–1904	243	91	334	2.9	27.3		
1905–1907	384	144	528	8.8	27.2		
1908–1913	321	208	529	4.2	39.3		
Grand Total	948	443	1,391	4.6	31.8		

(b) By Nationality

	(b) By Ivadionancy							
Year	Magyars	Germans	Slovaks	Ruma- nians	Ruthe- nians	Croat- ians	Serbs	
1899	8,322	4,068	14,251	4,472	1,676	3,703	296	
1900	9,883	4,393	14,170	3,092	3,116	3,697	231	
1901	14,301	5,328	19,302	4,973	3,553	7,167	316	
1902	17,821	8,147	18,760	4,429	3,328	10,143	555	
1903	17,896	10,901	17,063	5,585	3,204	12,298	728	
1904	21,856	12,134	17,477	9,892	2,895	4,141	1,148	
1905	43,754	28,303	38,770	17,747	7,287	17,523	10,376	
1906	52,121	30,551	32,904	20,859	4,920	16,016	9,950	
1907	58,739	37,611	32,737	26,491	5,088	16,589	13,514	
1908	17,144	10,609	9,308	8,277	1,917	3,251	1,737	
1909	32,802	19,640	24,229	16,224	5,159	8,817	5,587	
1910	25,562	16,758	18,010	14,968	3,805	9,817	5,430	
1911	20,143	13,221	11,595	8,227	2,269	5,338	2,513	
1912	31,478	16,803	17,029	18,620	3,761	9,961	5,908	
1913	29,301	14,124	14,827	20,656	3,002	8,805	4,892	
1899–1904 1905–1907 1908–1913 Grand Total	90,079 154,614 156,430 401,123	44,971 96,465 91,155 232,591	101,023 104,411 94,998 300,432	32,443 65,097 86,972 184,512	17,772 17,295 19,913 54,980	41,149 50,128 45,989 137,266	3,274 33,840 27,067 64,181	

^a[For the detailed numbers see Volume I, page 721, Table XVI.—Ed.]

(c) Emigrants Classified by Age, in Thousands, 1905–7 and 1911–13 (Heads of Families and Single Persons)^b

Age Class	19	905-07	1911–13		
1-90 01000	Total	Per Cent	Total	Per Cent	
0 - 19 20 - 29 30 - 39 40 - 49 50 and over	109 160 115 57 12	24.1 35.4 25.3 12.6 2.6	34 67 46 37 14	17.3 33.8 23.3 18.7 6.9	
Total	453	100.0	199	100.0	

^b[See Volume I, page 722, Table XVII.—Ed.]

(d) Emigrants Classified by Occupations, in Thousands, 1905-07 and 1911-13°

(Heads of Families and Single Persons)

	190	0507	191	1–13
Occupation	Total	Per Cent	Total	Per Cent
Agriculturists	$\begin{array}{c} -77 \\ 234 \end{array}$	17.0 51.6	42 94	21.0 47.4
Industrialists	5 10	$\frac{1.2}{2.2}$	2 6	$0.9 \\ 3.0$
Tradespeople	1	0.3	0.8	0.4
Day LaborersLearned Professions	51 2	11.3 0.5	17 1	$\begin{array}{c} 8.4 \\ 0.7 \end{array}$
Day Laborers not further specified	43 23	$9.5 \\ 5.2$	20 11	10.2 5.3
Other Occupations	6	1.2	5	2.7
Total	45 3	100.0	199	100.0

^c[See Volume I, page 723, Table XVIII.—Ed.]

great mass and this preponderance became greater year by year until it diminished a little just before the outbreak of the World War. Besides these two ports during the earlier years and especially after 1900, Rotterdam and Antwerp were important. Then, following the legislative regulation of Hungarian emigration, Fiume came to the front and from 1904 on sent out from 20 to 30 per cent of all the overseas emigrants. Trieste and Havre likewise were engaged in shipping emigrants, although in small numbers, and for some years also Liverpool whence several thousand Hungarian emigrants found their way to America.

If the available material is tabulated by ports for quinquennial periods, the figures of Table 169 result.

TABLE 169.

Hungarian Overseas Emigration by Ports of Embarkation for Quinquennial Periods, 1871–1913 (In Thousands)

		German		Du	tch_	Belgian	Fr	ench
Period	Ham- burg	Bremen	Other Ports	Amster- dam	Rotter- dam	Antwerp	Havre	Cher- bourg
1871-75 1876-80 1881-85 1886-90 1891-95 1896-1900	3 11 50 40 14 21	0.9 1.5 19 70 66 94	0.09 0.01 0.6	0.5 3 0.7	1 14 10	2 26 29		
1901–05 1906–10 1911–13 Totals	98 108 74 420	274 247 103 875	0.7	0 0 0 4	34 35 20 115	69 56 24 206	5 29 18 52	2 0.5 2.5

Period	English	Ital	lian	Austrian	Hungarian	
	Liverpool	Genoa	Naples	Trieste	Fiume	Totals
1871-75 1876-80 1881-85 1886-90 1891-95 1896-1900 1901-05 1906-10 1911-13 Totals	 10 2 1	 3 3 4 1 1 0.3	0.1	 1 20 11 32	58 186 61 305	4 13 69 118 125 160 551 686 313 2,039

Table 169 shows that more than 2,000,000 Hungarians embarked from European ports for overseas countries in 43 years 1871–1913. But this cannot be regarded as the true number of emigrants for not all of them finally abandoned their native country. Many embarked as an experiment and returned only to embark once more. Some returned several times. These persons were entered in the statistics as often as they embarked. From the American immigration statistics it appears that 23 per cent of the Hungarian immigrants had previously been in the United States. Probably the proportion or repeaters increased. If the true number of emigrants is to be ob-

tained from the port statistics, from 20 to 25 per cent should be subtracted from the reported figures.

Unfortunately the statistical data on the direction of overseas emigration are incomplete. Of the European ports only Hamburg and Bremen distinguish the countries to which the emigrants are Such data are available for the period 1871-1913 and the totals for quinquennial periods are given in Table 170. American immigration statistics show the number of Hungarians landed in the United States from 1861 on, but the figures for 1896 to 1904 are lacking because Hungarian emigrants were not then distinguished (In Table 170 the data for those years have been from Austrian. introduced from German port statistics.) Moreover, the American data differ materially from the European; first, because the American statistics are published by fiscal years and secondly, because these statistics embrace Hungarian emigrants arriving on ships from all ports, while the destination of embarking emigrants is shown only in German port statistics. No emigrants who went to the United States on Dutch, Belgian, French or Italian ships had their destination reported and tabulated. Finally, since 1899 the Hungarian emigration statistics show the stream of travelers according to destination. Table 170 brings together the results of the various statistics of overseas emigration from Hungary by quinquennial periods.

Table 171 (p. 421) shows that 98.5 per cent of the emigrants went to the United States, the participation of the other overseas countries being astonishingly small. According to the German port statistics, 17,387 emigrants embarked for the other American countries between 1871 and 1913, and only 663 for other continents.

Concerning the proportion of each sex in Hungarian emigration American statistics are available for the earlier years and Hungarian for the later. The American statistics and the German port statistics show a decrease in the per cent of females between 1871 and 1892, as presented in Table 172 (p. 421). The two sets of figures show a general agreement in per cent and in trend. If children are excluded the American statistics show for ages between 15 and 40 years the per cent of females given in the last column of that table.

For years after 1892 these data are not found in the American statistics. If the figures for Magyar, Slovak, Ruthenian and Croatian peoples coming for the most part from Hungary, are com-

TABLE 170.

HUNGARIAN OVERSEAS EMIGRATION THROUGH GERMAN PORTS AND INTO American Countries, Classified by Destination for Quinquennial Periods, 1861–1913.

(a) Through German Ports^a

Quinquen-	•			America			
nial Periods	United States	Canada	West Indies	Brazil	Argentina	Other States	Total (America)
1871–75	3,785		2	17	4	1	3,809
1876-80	12,538	2	10	49	1		12,600
1881-85	68,961	91	1	70	18	3	69,144
1886-90	100,105	125	8	39	66	5	100,348
1891-95	77,000	539	7	981	72	11	78,610
1896-1900	114,835	508	1	69	44	14	115,471
1901-05	365,329	3,259		123	3,049	11	371,771
1906-10	349,376	1,353		54	3,767	12	354,562
1911-13	174,365	2,094		92	815		177,366
Totals	1,266,294	7,971	29	1,494	7,836	57	1,283,681

			ı	Countries)
1871–75 1876–80 1881–85 1886–90 1891–95 2 1896–1900 3 1901–05 1906–10 1911–13 Totals 5	2 9 3 8 250 294 2 5	34 3 6 16 2 9 3 2 10	 323 665 70 51	3,843 12,605 69,159 100,367 78,622 116,056 372,733 354,636 177,432 1,285,453

^a[See Volume I, page 717, Table VI.—Ed.]

(b) Into American Countries

===	_						
Quinquen- nial Periods	United ^c States	Brazil	Total	Quinquen- nial Periods	United States	Brazil	Total
1861-65 1866-70 1871-75 1876-80 1881-85 1886-90	409 75 3,315 6,644 51,176 76,515		409 75 3,315 6,644 51,176 76,515	1896-1900 1901-04 1905 1906-10 1911-13	(114,835) ^a (265,134) ^a 163,703 644,808 287,536		(114,835) ^a (265,134) ^a 163,703 645,204 288,839
1891–95	118,618		118,618	Grand Total	1,732,768	1,699	1,734,467

^{*}German port statistics.

bFrom 1908 to 1910 [see Vol. I, page 551, Table II.—Ed.]

c[See Vol. I, pp. 377-83, Table I.—Ed.]

TABLE 171.

HUNGARIAN OVERSEAS EMIGRATION ANNUALLY TO AMERICA AND TO
OTHER CONTINENTS: 1899–1913
(Hungarian Statistics)

		,			
Years	America*	Other Continents	Year	America	Other Continents
1899 1900 1901 1902 1903 1904 1905 1906 1907 1908 1909 1910	26,515 31,092 45,196 56,346 61,466 57,695 142,169 149,932 172,200 38,214 100,424 85,248	166 84 174 270 366 232 411 577 1,189 489 670 260	1911 1912 1913 1899–1900 1901–05 1906–10 1911–13 Total	53,502 92,664 84,084 57,607 362,872 546,018 230,250 1,196,747	258 132 90 250 1,453 3,185 480 5,368
			<u> </u>		[

^a[Volume I, page 718, Table VIII.—Ed.]

bined the per cent of females for 1899-1902 is 22.8, showing a still further decrease in their proportion. Hungarian statistics, beginning with 1899 establish the proportion of females among those emigrating to America as given in Table 172.

TABLE 172.

Proportion of Females in Emigration From Hungary to the United States, 1871–1913.

Years	Per cer Migra	Per cent Females, 15-40 Years		
	American	German	Hungarian	American
	Returns	Returns	Returns	Returns
1871-75	39.9	33.6		30.8
1876-80	33.2	35.8		23.4
1881-85	25.1	25.8		21.6
1886-90	26.7	27.6		24.4
1891-92	26.5	32.3		25.6
1901–04 1905–07 1908–10 1911–13			27.3 28.7 33.3 47.7	

With the beginning of the twentieth century, there was a marked change in the proportion of females, the per cent rising slowly at first and then more rapidly, so that in 1913 there were more female emigrants (53.6 per cent) than male. This increase was due in part to a prohibition on the emigration of men liable to military service, issued at the time of the Balkan Wars, and in part, to a growing tendency of females to migrate, for the number of women emigrating increased rapidly.

In the distribution of emigrants according to age, the influence of a country's economic situation is revealed; that influence tending to increase the proportion of immigrants of productive age. According to the early immigration statistics of the United States, the proportion of Hungarian immigrants under 15 years of age fell, between 1873 and 1893, from 28 to 8 per cent. There was a similar decrease also in the proportion of immigrants of more advanced years (over 40 years of age) which fell from 13 to 7.8 per cent. On the other hand the proportion of productive age (from 15 to 40 years) rose steadily from 59 to 84 per cent. At the same time the increase of female immigrants 15-40 years of age, was astonishingly rapid, as Table 173 shows.

TABLE 173.

Proportion of Hungarian Immigrants of Productive age Entering the United States, by Sex, 1873–1893

Period	Per Cent of Immigra of	nts from 15 to 40 Years Age
	Men	Women
1873–75 1876–80 1881–85 1886–90 1891–93	62.5 70.0 76.0 79.7 85.1	45.5 57.9 61.4 68.4 81.2

Later American statistics make 15 and 45 years the limits between the three principal age classes; and show that there were among Hungarian immigrants, 1899–1902, 6.3 per cent under 14 years of age, 90 per cent between 14 and 45 years and 3.7 per cent over 45 years; figures which prove that there was further decrease

in the proportion of children. There was an appreciable increase, also, in the proportion of those of productive age, namely, from 84.2 per cent, when that age was between 15 and 40 years to 90 per cent when it was between 15 and 45 years. An increase partly but not wholly to be explained by the change in the age limit.

As regards the later period only the data in the Hungarian statistics for the emigrants to America are available, and those speak for 1905–07 and 1911–13. Unfortunately they employ different age limits: 0–20, 20–50, and over 50 years of age. A comparison with the earlier results therefore is not possible. These percentages among the emigrants, given in Table 174, show that the decrease in the proportion of child emigrants continued, while the proportion of elderly emigrants increased, and there was a slighter increase in the proportion of those of productive age.

TABLE 174.

Proportions of Emigrants to the United States (Hungarian Data),
By Age-Classes, 1905–07 and 1911–13.

Age Class	Per cent o	f Emigrants
	1905-07	1911–13
Under 20 years of age From 20 to 50 years of age Over 50 years of age	24.4 74.2 1.4	17.3 77.4 5.3
All ages	100.0	100.0

In the case of a country with a population so mixed linguistically and racially as that of pre-war Hungary, statistical evidence of the part played by each group in emigration would be most important. Unfortunately the statistics are inadequate and, therefore, the polyglot mass of emigrants cannot be perfectly classified by mother tongue. Only from 1899 on do the American immigration statistics classify immigrants linguistically and then in some years they do not separate Hungarians from Austrians according to the country to which they belong. While Magyar and Slovak immigrants probably may be regarded as coming from Hungary, it is

TABLE 175.

Immigrants From Hungary to the United States Classified by Linguistic Stock: 1898–1913.*

(In	Thousands)	
-----	------------	--

Fiscal Years	Magyars	Germans	Slovaks	Ruthenians	Croats	Serbs
1898-99	6		16	1	7	
1899-1900	14		29	3	11	
1900-01	13	5	28	$oldsymbol{2}$	10	• •
1901-02	24	10	36	23333	19	0.4
1902-03	27	15	33	3	21	4
1903-04	24	14	27	3	14	$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
1904-05	45	26	51	3	22	2
1905-06	42	27	35	4	28	3
1906-07	59	26	40	4 6 3	32	6
1907-08	24	17	14		12	4
1908-09	28	14	21	4 3 2 4	11	2
1909-10	26	19	31	3	22	4
1910-11	19	15	21	2	11	2
1911-12	23	15	24	4	15	2
1912-13	29	16	26	4	23	3
Total	402	219	432	47	257	34

*[The figures for 1898–1900 and 1909–13 are taken apparently from Volume I, pages 460–469, Table XIII. Those for the other years have, perhaps, been reached by estimating the share of Hungary in the figures for Austria-Hungary in the same tables.— Ed.]

not possible so to classify the Germans, Rumanians, Bulgarians, Serbians, Croats and other minor groups of immigrants from the Dual Monarchy. We are, therefore, restricted to estimates made by the Hungarian Central Statistical Bureau based on data for 1904-5 and 1907-8 to 1912-13. They give the results shown in Table 175.

Parallel with Table 175 are the results of the Hungarian statistics, available from 1905 on, giving the linguistic stocks of Hungarian emigrants to America. Table 176 shows that emigration from Hungary which was almost entirely Slovak during the early years, soon included other stocks, first Magyars and then Germans, Croats and Serbs in considerable numbers. From about 1905 on the Magyars furnished the largest contingent. Yet their share in the emigration was far below their share of the population and in the later years amounted to scarcely one-third of the total.

The reasons for this result were manifold; probably one was that the movement coming from the west past the Poles and

TABLE 176.

Emigrants From Hungary to the United States Classified by Linguistic Stock: 1905-13

Year	Magyars	Ger- mans	Slovaks	Ruman- ians	Ruthen- ians	Croats	Serbs	Others	Total
1905 1906 1907 1908 1909 1910 1911 1912 1913 Total	40,303 48,252 54,462 14,248 30,642 23,528 18,236 29,716 27,778 287,165	23,147 26,244 32,414 7,310 17,192 14,588 11,086 14,827 12,402	23,461 17,638 11,207 16,616 14,657	8,798 13,683 19,240 2,995 10,857 10,631 3,786 12,527 12,700 95,217	7,143 4,790 4,880 1,759 4,987 3,678 2,230 3,564 2,994 36,025	14,959 14,455 15,130 1,970 7,674 8,607 4,253 8,930 8,031 84,009	8,493 9,158 12,672 1,185 5,001 5,947 2,198 5,609 4,594 54,857	1,512 1,472 1,780 299 610 631 506 875 928 8,613	142,169 149,932 172,200 38,214 100,424 85,248 53,502 92,664 84,084 918,437

Ruthenians of Galicia naturally spread first through the neighboring Ruthenians of upper Hungary, and only later included the Magyar population of the lowlands. The well-known devotion to the soil, so characteristic of the Magyars may have been influential; the Slovaks have long been noted for their roaming propensities. The underlying cause was the scant fertility of their native land, which—in view of the small extent of farm land—was over-populated.¹

Table 175 (p.424) shows that between 1899 and 1913, over 400,000 Magyars and more than twice as many non-Magyars emigrated to all parts of the world. About 260,000 Magyars went to America. On the average 26,700 Magyars and 66,000 inhabitants of other nationalities left their homes in Hungary yearly. If the emigration quotas are compared with the numbers of the different nationalities, this proportion is found to vary with the nationality and to fluctuate widely in course of time. In this calculation the figures for the years 1905–1913 (Table 176) are used because the Hungarians who emigrated to America were determined by stocks for only those years. Comparing these data with the population figures for 1910 gives the rates in Table 177.

Table 177 shows that the emigration rate was smallest among the Magyars, not more than 1 to 5 per 1,000. Then come the

¹The counties of upper Hungary at the center of the emigration territory are thinly populated (100 to 130 per square mile), but the tillable soil is so limited that—after deducting the wooded area—there are from one to one and a half persons to each acre of garden or farm land, a density entirely disproportionate to the fertility of the soil.

Rumanians and after them the Croats and the Serbs whose emigration rates about correspond with the average for the entire population, but show much greater fluctuations from year to year. The emigration rates of the Ruthenians and the Germans were higher, but did not reach the level of the Slovak rate, which in each year was the highest of all and surpassed that of the Magyars more than three-fold.

TABLE 177.

Annual Emigration Rates of Hungarians Classified by Linguistic Stocks: 1905–13

Year	Magyars	Ruman- ians	Croats	Serbs	Ruthen- ians	Germans	Slovaks
1905 1906 1907 1908 1909 1910 1911 1912	4.0 4.8 5.4 1.4 3.0 2.3 1.8 3.0 2.8	3.0 4.6 6.5 1.0 3.7 3.6 1.3 4.3	8.2 7.9 8.3 1.1 4.2 4.7 2.3 4.9	7.7 8.3 11.5 1.1 4.5 5.4 1.9 5.1	15.1 10.1 10.3 3.8 10.5 7.8 4.7 7.5	11.4 12.9 16.0 3.6 8.4 7.1 5.5 7.3	19.2 16.2 16.1 4.3 11.9 9.0 5.7 8.4 7.4
Total Yearly average Minimum Maximum Range	28.5	32.4 3.6 1.0 6.5 5.5	4.4 46.0 5.1 1.1 8.3 7.2	4.1 49.6 5.5 1.1 11.5 10.4	6.3 76.1 8.5 3.8 15.1 11.3	6.1 78.3 8.7 3.6 16.0 12.4	98.2 10.9 4.3 19.2 14.9

There is a clear parallelism in the rates of the various stocks, marked by troughs for them all in 1908 and 1911. But the annual fluctuations are considerable and the crest of the rates in the years of greatest emigration is especially marked for the Slovaks, Germans and Ruthenians. The fluctuations are least with the Magyars.

Occupations and Social Status of the Emigrants

Two sources of information are available for the occupations and social status of Hungarian emigrants. For the earlier period (1876–1902) we have the immigration statistics of the United States, and for the later period (1905–1911) the Hungarian emigration statistics. In spite of the material differences in the methods and

in the classification of occupations used by these two sources they throw light on the occupational status of the emigrating masses.

According to the American statistics early Hungarian migration was characterized by the absence of persons following occupations calling for a high degree of intelligence, the learned or well-educated classes. Among the 528,000 Hungarians, who reached the United States between 1876-77 and 1901-02, there were only 655, or one-tenth of one per cent who exercised a calling demanding a high degree of intelligence (teacher, minister, artist, musician, architect, engineer, lawyer, or physician). This is a smaller percentage than in the other countries of Europe except Scandinavia and Russia.

Very small, too, is the class of "skilled" labor (in trade and industry), 23,000 persons or 4.3 per cent of all immigrants, the lowest proportion from any country (maximum, Scotland with 24.6 per cent). On the other hand, "unskilled" laborers were extremely numerous, 346,000 or 65.5 per cent of the whole number. The Hungarians stand with the Italians, 59 per cent, at the head of the list of countries, while the number and proportion of persons without an occupation, 158,000 or 30.1 per cent, is the lowest in any country in consequence of the small number of families and dependents.

Even if the classification of occupations in the American statistics is of too general a character to throw a clear light on conditions, yet it cannot be denied that a marked characteristic of early Hungarian emigration was the exceedingly large proportion of laborers in unskilled occupations who relied on their physical strength. Hungary, then, sent forth mainly untrained laborers who could devote their working power to any sort of occupation that called only for muscular strength. While the decline of the mining industry in upper Hungary naturally led 4,000 miners to emigrate to the United States, it is hard to understand why 44,000 Hungarian farmers and agricultural laborers should have left their homes when thousands of additional hands were needed to intensify Hungary's agricultural production.

Essentially different is the recent classification of Hungarian emigrants according to occupation based on Hungarian statistics. This distinguishes the principal groups of occupations in a more characteristic and rational manner and therefore comes closer to

¹The data for 1894-95 and 1895-96 are wanting. [See also Volume I, p. 455 and p. 178, Table IX.—Ed.]

the facts. But emigration itself had undergone a radical change and had reached classes of the population which had previously been untouched. Persons following other higher kinds of occupations cast their eyes upon America. Independent farmers left their estates in increasing numbers to seek their fortune across the ocean. Tradespeople, industrialists and merchants in business on their own account, embarked for the United States in growing volume, and the proportion of those having intellectual pursuits (public service and liberal professions) became greater. Material in regard to this has been worked out in detail in the Hungarian statistics for the During the first period emigration vears 1905-07 and 1911-13. was numerically more than twice as great as in the years 1911–1913. For an estimate, therefore, of the share of the individual groups of occupation only the percentages can be used and they clearly bring out the changes. [The abundant material furnished by the Royal Hungarian Central Statistical Bureau is summarized in Volume I.]1

Even though no comparison between these figures and the earlier American data is possible because of the totally different classification of occupations, yet the great change in the occupational composition can be recognized. Above all the much larger proportion of persons following intellectual pursuits is evident; they now constitute a noteworthy proportion of the emigrants. Emigration had made great gaps in Hungary's agricultural population. fact cannot be definitely proved because the old data and the new are not comparable. But if we assume that, aside from the 44,000 farmers and agricultural laborers in the American statistics, half of the 276,000 emigrant laborers were employed on farms, and that would certainly be too large a fraction as a considerably greater proportion are to be attributed to trade and commerce, there would yet be 35-40 per cent of the emigrants to be ascribed to agricultural while according to these Hungarian statistics of the twentieth century not less than 68 per cent are to be thus counted. In the course of a few decades, therefore, the proportion of agricultural emigrants in the total about doubled and surpassed the 61.7 per cent which this group forms in Hungary's total population.

Trade and industry, on the other hand, contributed a smaller

¹[See Volume I, page 723, Table XVIII.—Ed.]

proportion to the emigrants than it had of the general population. Within this group of occupations the emigration rate declined in a gratifying manner. This was probably due in part to the fact that many of the tradespeople, especially in the country, besides having a trade owned a piece of land and so found it easier to earn a living, while the agricultural population was wholly dependent on its farm work and when conditions were unfavorable found in emigration its only way of escape.

Thus it appears that during recent years emigration reached those classes of the population and those groups of occupations which were most essential for Hungary's economic prosperity. In some years, indeed, agriculture—especially at harvest time—had to struggle with a perceptible shortage in labor. Therefore the compulsory interruption of emigration by the war brought some help to Hungary's agriculture by correcting this shortage.

CONTINENTAL EMIGRATION

Although America has attracted the largest portion of Hungarian emigration, the countries of continental Europe have come to the front in recent years and attained importance as goals of the movement. The Hungarian statistics throw light on these tendencies and even if the measurement of the currents be more difficult than to observe the masses crossing the ocean and if the statistics do not include all cases, yet the figures afford a sufficient basis for an estimate of these new phases.

The economic interaction between European countries results, naturally, in an exchange of population between adjacent states. Hungary has had two different kinds of opportunity for guiding into neighboring countries the surplus population which did not deem its livelihood sufficiently assured at home. A low state of civilization in neighboring countries to the south and east (Bosnia, Herzegovina, Serbia, Rumania and Bulgaria) held out a promising future to Hungarians who had reached a higher stage; and, on the other hand, the highly developed industries of Austria and Germany afforded skilled industrial laborers an opportunity to attain a better standard of living.

Such a migration to neighboring states—and in some degree also to distant countries—has long been in progress, as shown by the number of Hungarians residing abroad and enumerated in the censuses of European states. However, only in the case of Austria, Germany, Rumania, Serbia and other Balkan states can a mass emigration be thus shown, while to other European countries the migration from Hungary is only sporadic.

Hitherto, Hungarian statistics have not recorded Continental emigration in its entirety because the relations of Hungary with Austria, Bosnia and Herzegovina did not permit an exact record of the Hungarians migrating to those countries. This circumstance must be considered whenever Hungarian statistical data are used in estimating Continental emigration, as in Table 178.

TABLE 178.

EMIGRANTS FROM HUNGARY TO OTHER EUROPEAN COUNTRIES, 1899–1913
(In Thousands)

Year	Number	Year	Number	Year	Number	Years	Number of Emigrants
1899 1900 1901 1902 1903	11 8 11 8 7	1904 1905 1906 1907 1908	13 24 19 21 15	1909 1910 1911 1912 1913	13 11 11 12 13	Totals, 1899–1904 1905–07 1908–13 1899–1913	56 64 74 194

These totals constitute for the 15 years 14 per cent or one-seventh of Hungary's total emigration. In some years the European percentage was considerably higher, not so much because of an increase in continental emigration such as occurred, 1905–1907, in consequence of the increased migration to Rumania, as because of a decrease in the emigration to America in 1904 and 1908, which pushed the share of continental emigration up from 18.1 to 27.8 per cent. This emigration went to individual countries as given in Table 179.

The migration to Germany was greatest in 1907 when 7,354 persons were enumerated as emigrants; while emigration to Rumania culminated in 1905 with 11,000 persons and in the following years was between 6,000 and 8,000. It gained in importance after 1886 in consequence of the tariff war which began then and caused Transylvania's Rumanian population to emigrate from all parts of the country.

TABLE 179.

EMIGRANTS FROM HUNGARY BY COUNTRIES OF DESTINATION, 1899-1913
(In Thousands)

Parioda	Cour	atries of Destinati	on
Periods	Germany	Rumania	Other States
1899–1904 1905–1907 1908–1913	6 19 17	34 28 40	15 18 17
Total	42	102	50

Regarded as a whole Continental emigration is distinguished by the relatively large number of females taking part in it. In the years 1901–13 females amounted to 39.8 per cent on the average (as against 33.1 per cent of the emigration to America). However, this quota is made up of various elements. Among the emigrants to Germany females were present only to the extent of 26.4 per cent, while in the emigration to Rumania not less than 47.1 per cent were of that sex. Of the emigrants to other countries 32.4 per cent were females. The great stream of females which flowed over into Rumania was, to a great extent, composed of domestic servants, a class that has migrated to Bucharest for many years and particularly from Transylvania. They were welcomed because of their trustworthiness and manual skill.

The classification of Continental emigrants by age in Table 180 (p. 432), shows that, when all countries are included, those under 20 years of age are proportionately less numerous than in the case of trans-oceanic emigration. The quota of those 20–50 years of age corresponds to the American. Elderly persons, on the other hand, leave home in considerably larger numbers, which is probably due to the fact that they undergo the lesser exertion of emigration to a neighboring country much more readily than the hardships of a wearisome sea voyage. The proportion of elderly persons in the emigration to Rumania is strikingly large.

The race or stock of those emigrating from Hungary are known for the years 1910-13 only. The statistics for those years, as presented in Table 181, show that Rumanians constituted about four-

TABLE 180.

CONTINENTAL EMIGRANTS FROM HUNGARY, ACCORDING TO AGE AND DESTINATION, 1905-7 AND 1911-13 (Per Cents)

A == Class	Germ	nany	Rum	ania	Other	States
Age Class	1905-07	1911–13	1905–7	1911–13	1905–7	1911–13
Under 20 yrs. 20–50 yrs. Over 50 yrs. Total	20.4 74.2 5.4 100.0	6.6 83.3 10.1 100.0	11.7 73.0 15.3	4.2 70.0 25.8 100.0	19.9 68.8 11.3	21.3 65.0 13.7 100.0

fifths of the emigrants who streamed in great masses to Rumania. The greater portion (60 per cent) of the Magyars also migrated to Rumania (mostly the Széklers from eastern Transylvania), nevertheless about one-fourth went to Germany. A large number of Germans went thither also and another considerable body to Rumania. The Slovaks seek out, in smaller numbers, Germany and other European states (remarkably few to the Balkan countries); the Serbs, on the other hand, principally the latter states. The Croats go to the Balkans and to Germany in about equal proportions, but in larger numbers to the remaining European countries. The small Ruthenian migration is directed principally to Rumania.

TABLE 181.
Emigrants From Hungary by Peoples and Destinations,
1910–13

i		Count	ry of Dest	ination	
Peoples	Germany	Rumania	Other Balkan States	Other European States	Totals
Magyars. Germans Slovaks Rumanians. Ruthenians Croats. Serbs. Others.	4,364 574 2,110 3 737	4,196 2,211 40 20,251 309 76 74 39	388 419 105 107 13 775 676 106	628 856 620 344 44 2,240 412 636	6,948 7,850 1,339 22,812 369 3,828 1,381 1,000
Totals	9,962	27,196	2,589	5,780	45,527

POST-WAR MIGRATIONS

Just before the outbreak of the World War there was a large emigration from Hungary. Even in 1914 the Hungarian population flowed in great streams to the United States, and had it not been for the war the emigration fever would have broken out in more virulent Even with the compulsory stoppage in the second half of 1914 the number of Hungarian emigrants at the end of the year exceeded 143,000. Then the stream gradually ran dry. Already in 1914 the Hungarian government had cancelled the transportation licenses of the Cunard Line and the Continental Pool, forbidden emigration of any kind, and strove to induce Hungarians residing in America to return to their native land. At first great numbers were repatriated, but the political and economic collapse of the country caused this movement to die down rapidly. The post-war government had to adopt strict measures in order to control the renewed and heavy emigration of the despairing population and of the Hungarian fugitives from the territories lost to the succession states.

At the same time, the United States also adopted laws restricting immigration from southern and eastern Europe including Hungary. Hungary's annual quota was fixed at 5,638 and from 1925 on, at 473. These measures made emigration to the United States extraordinarily difficult if not impossible.

The consequence was that Hungarian emigrants went in greater numbers to other countries. Canada, Brazil and Argentina particularly were available. Since the fall of 1923 only peasants and agricultural laborers go from Hungary to Canada; neither tradespeople, industrialists nor intellectuals are now admitted. The excluded classes can settle in South America, but life there has been a series of privations and reverses and few of them succeed in securing a satisfactory existence.

Trans-oceanic emigration from post-war Hungary, and repatriation from America, since 1914, as published by the countries of immigration, are shown in Table 182.

After the immigration act of July 1, 1924 was passed, 471 persons emigrated from Hungary to the United States in the fiscal year 1924-25 and 783 in 1925-26, of whom 200 and 241, respectively, did not come under the quota.

¹[In this connection see Volume I, pp. 367, 439-43, 484, 495, 527, 544, 551f.—Ed.]

TABLE 182.

Hungarian Immigrants to America by Countries, 1914-24^a
(In Thousands)

Years	United States	Canada	Cuba	Brazil	Argen- tina	Totals	Repatria- tions from United States
1914	143	· · · ·				143	40
1915	9					9	5
1916	9 2					$_{2}^{9}$	0.6
1917	0.4					0.4	0.1
1918	0	ا					١
1919	0						0.1
1920	0		• • •			0.2	14
1921	8				0.08	8	12
1922	0 8 6 6			0.2	0.3	8 6 8 9	4
1923	6	0.4	0.2	0.8	0.3	8	0.9
1924	6	1	0.6	1	0.2	9	0.5
Totals	180	1.4	0.8	2	0.9	186	77

^a[In this connection see Volume I, pp. 367, 439-43, 484, 495, 527, 544, 551f.—Ed.]

Hungarian official statistics resumed the collection of migration data in 1921 and the facts in regard thereto have been published quarterly. These statistics fail to give the migration movement in its entirety. Many cases escape record, hence the totals are lower than those of American statistics. Nevertheless, the Hungarian statistics give a general idea of the most recent emigration phenomena. The detailed figures are given in Table 183.

It appears from Table 183 that many of those Hungarians who emigrate to America are repatriated, while only a few of those who went to other countries return. No doubt those who emigrated to Canada and South America find it much more difficult to return, than do those going to the United States; many of the former succumb to the privations of the new existence.

In post-war emigration females are quite strongly represented. Two-fifths of the emigrants over 12 years of age and 15 per cent of the children under 12 were females. Compared with the pre-war years both of these ratios are very high. The emigration of the present day seems to be more of a family type than formerly.

The statistics of repatriation supplement the statistics of emigration and show the loss in population resulting from the balance

⁴See International Labour Office, Les mouvements migratoires de 1920 à 1923 (1925).

TABLE 183.

EMIGRATION FROM AND REPATRIATION TO HUNGARY (HUNGARIAN FIGURES), 1921-26.

(a) Emigration

					Desti	nation
Year	Men	Women	Children ^a	Total	America	Elsewhere
1921	503	763	191	1,457	1,218	239
1922 1923	$^{1,206}_{1,202}$	1,363 1,175	507 574	$3,076 \\ 2,951$	1,701 1,935 673	$1,375 \\ 1,016$
1924 1925	982	678 685	365 379	2,025 2,986	673 1,530	1,352 $1,456$
1926	$^{1,922}_{2,282}$	773	381	2,986 3,436	2,790	646
Total	8,097	5,437	2,397	15,931	9,847	6,084

(b) Repatriation

					From	
					America	Elsewhere
1921 1922 1923 1924 1925 1926	940 524 155 277 252 394	390 314 83 110 117 177	401 276 49 87 81 109	1,731 1,114 287 474 450 680	1,709 1,022 232 323 309 400	22 92 55 151 141 280
Total	2,542	1,191	1,003	4,736	3,995	741

^aUnder 12 years.

between emigration and repatriation. These statistics can give the number of cases only, not the number of persons, who were repatriated. Naturally the latter number must always be the smaller since the same person often returns more than once and therefore is counted several times. But in calculating the difference between emigration and repatriation this duplication cancels out, as those who are counted more than once in cases of emigration and repatriation offset each other.

Although the statistics of the United States also record the repatriations, we have confined ourselves here to the Hungarian data since the latter also take into account the demographic features. Difficulties in the way of securing complete information make these statistics on repatriation imperfect, but they are adequate to indicate

the general outlines of the movement. The data in Table 184 are from the publications of the Royal Hungarian Central Statistical Bureau.

TABLE 184.					
HUNGARIAN STATISTICS OF REPATRIATIONS, I	1899–1913.				

Year	Number	Year	Number
1899 1900 1901 1902 1903 1904 1905 1906 1907 1908 1909	4,739 a 6,169 a 8,493 11,463 20,212 16,870 17,566 27,612 51,236 53,770 16,985	1910 1911 1912 1913 1899–1900 1901–05 1906–10 1911–13 Total	24,722 32,787 23,635 21,780 10,908 74,604 174,325 78,202 338,039

^{*}Without Croatia and Slavonia.

In the 15 years, 1899–1913, repatriations increased markedly, evidently keeping step with the growth of emigration. When emigration rose the number of those who were disappointed in their expectations and decided to return increased. The economic crisis in 1907, besides checking the current of emigration also forced great numbers of Hungarians in America to return. Thus the yearly totals of the repatriated shot up to more than 50,000 and in 1908 exceeded the number of new emigrants by 15,000, an occurrence never again observed. The recent improvement in America's economic situation has naturally diminished the number of the repatriated; but, on the other hand, the increased emigration that has taken place has helped to revive repatriation. During recent years, therefore, no uniform, continuous tendency has manifested itself, but fluctuations have prevailed originating in opposing factors

According to the Hungarian data 338,000 Hungarian citizens were repatriated in the fifteen years 1899 to 1913, that is, almost one-fourth (24 per cent) of the number that emigrated. Since the years of repatriation do not coincide with those of emigration, considerable fluctuations in this ratio are to be observed. In early

years, 30 to 35 per cent repatriation quotas were observed and in some districts of upper Hungary they rose to 40 per cent.

The data for the various states from which a repatriation to Hungary took place are given in Table 185.

TABLE 185.

Repatriations to Hungary According to Country of Last Residence, 1899–1913

Periods	America	Germany	Rumania	Other States	Total
1899-1904 1905-1907 1908-1913	59,761 85,077 162,116	927 3,731 4,118	5,541 5,125 4,700	1,717 2,481 2,745	67,946 96,414 173,679
Total	306,954	8,776	15,366	6,943	338,039
Average Percentage of the emigrants	25.7	21.1	15.0	13.9	24.0

As is evident, the emigration to America shows the greatest variation, in contrast to which a considerably smaller portion of those who emigrated to Rumania and other European (especially the Balkan) countries returned to their native land. Those emigrating to America seem to have been slower in deciding to remain abroad than were those who sought their fortunes in neighboring European states.

There are an unusually small number of females among the repatriates. There were 224,000 men repatriated in 1905–13, but the number of females was only 46,000 so that while 31.8 per cent of the male emigrants returned only 13.1 per cent of females did so. Few women who had emigrated with their families returned. Inasmuch as children and youth under 20 years of age are not more than 5 per cent of the repatriates, while they make up 25 per cent of the emigrants, emigrant families take slight part in repatriation. On the other hand, 91–92 per cent of the repatriates were in the productive age-class (20–50 years) while only 75 per cent of the emigrants belong to this class.

So far as the classification of the repatriates according to race is concerned, it appears that the percentage is highest among the

Slovaks and Ruthenians (on the average for the nine years under observation, 30.5 and 35.2), while it amounts only to 24.7 per cent among the Magyars, 21.7 per cent among the Germans, 21.3 per cent among the Rumanians, and to 28 and 29 per cent among the Croats and Serbs. Slovaks and Ruthenians, the first to develop the impulse to migrate, are still leaders in migration and repatriation. Rumanians show the least attachment for their native Hungary.

TABLE 186.

THE BALANCE OF HUNGARIAN MIGRATIONS, 1899-1913.

(a) Pre-War Years (1899-1913)

			Gain (+) or Loss (-)			
Country or Peoples	Emigrants	Repatriates	Numbers	Per Cent of Population		
By Destinations (1899–1913)						
America	1,197	307	890	−4 .3		
Germany	42	9	33	0.1		
Rumania	102	15	87	-0.4		
Other States	50	7	4 3	-0.2		
Total	1,391	338	-1,052	5.0		
By Peoples (1905–13)						
Magyars	311	77	234	-2.3		
Germans	188	40	147	-7.2		
Slovaks	199	60	 139	7.0		
Rumanians	152	33	-119	4.0		
Ruthenians	37	13	24	-5.1		
Croats	96	27 17	69 44	-3.7 -4.0		
Serbs	61 12	3	<u> </u>	—1.9		
Others		1	ľ			
Total	1,056	270	786	-3.8		
(b) War and Post-War Years (1914-24)						
1914–1919	155	46	 109	—1.4		
1920–1924	31	32	+1	+0.02		
19 14–24	186	78	108	1.4		

The occupational ratios of the repatriated also display great differences. While among the emigrants the agricultural personnel is most numerous (68.4 per cent in 1911–13), they form only 18.7 per cent of the repatriates. On the other hand, the ratio of miners among the repatriates rose from 0.9 to 12.4 per cent, and the proportion of those engaged in trade and industry, from 12.3 to 55.9 per cent. Inasmuch as the actual number of these repatriates (7,925 miners and 35,835 engaged in trade and commerce in the

years 1911-1913) were considerably higher than those of the other occupational emigrant groups (630 and 7,642) a considerable change of occupation seems to have taken place here.

Many thousands of agricultural laborers who could not pursue their own calling in America, were obliged to seek work in the mines and factories. Thus upon their return they were classed by their new occupations in America. These fundamental changes in the occupational categories of the emigrating masses of people, due to American conditions, influenced materially the occupational ratios of the mother country upon their repatriation.

It remains to consider the material and intellectual success that has attended this emigration. The inquiries concerning the material means of the repatriates are of assistance. While the results must be accepted with reserve, it appears that about 70 per cent of the emigrants left their native country without any means but fully two-thirds of the repatriates had acquired some resources in America and they considered themselves capable of beginning a new mode of life in their native country. Could one assume that this conclusion held also for the emigrants who remained abroad then the latter would be justified in their resolve to seek a new home across the The balance of Hungarian migration is presented in Table 186. Emigration, which in the case of Hungary, means an important loss of population, has become today very much smaller. In the 15 years, 1899-1913, the loss amounted to 5 per cent (0.33 per cent annually). Since 1914 this loss has diminished to 1.4 per cent (0.10 per cent annually). Indeed for 1920-24 foreign statistics show more repatriates than emigrants. Should this state of affairs become established it ought to be greeted as a development of the emigration movement favorable to Hungary.