This PDF is a selection from an out-of-print volume from the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: Annals of Economic and Social Measurement, Volume 6, number 4

Volume Author/Editor: Sanford V. Berg, ed.

Volume Publisher: NBER

Volume URL: http://www.nber.org/books/aesm77-4

Publication Date: 1977

Chapter Title: Front matter, Annals of Economic and Social Measurement

Chapter Author: Sanford V. Berg

Chapter URL: http://www.nber.org/chapters/c10521

Chapter pages in book: (p. i - v)

Annals of

Economic and Social Measurement

journal of computers, information retrieval, and research methodology

volume 6

number 4

fall 1977

contents

Editor's Comer	
JOHN C. HAUSE: The Covariance Structure of Earnings and the On-the-Job Training Hypothesis	335
R. FOURER, J. B. GERTLER, and H. J. SIMKOWITZ: Models of Rail-	
road Passenger-Car Requirements in the Northeast Corri- dor: An Application of SESAME	367
ELIZABETH CHASE MACRAE: Optimal Experimental Design for Dynamic Econometric Models	399
NANCY RUGGLES, RICHARD RUGGLES AND EDWARD WOLFF: Merg-	
ing Microdata: Rationale, Practice and Testing	407
BENJAMIN M. FRIEDMAN AND V. VANCE ROLEY: Identifying Identi- cal Distributed Lag Structures by the Use of Prior Sum	
Constraints	429
Notes	
JEAN-JACQUES LAFFONT: A Note on the Asymptotic Cramer Rao	
Bound in Nonlinear Simultaneous Equations	4 45
THOMAS COOLEY, BARR ROSENBERG AND KENT WALL: A Note on	
Optimal Smoothing for Time Varying Coefficient Problems	453
BARR ROSENBERG: Estimation Error Covariance in Regressions with	
Sequentially Varying Parameters	457
A. C. HARVEY: Discrimination Between CES and VES Production	
Functions	46 3
W. A. JAYATISSA AND R. W. FAREBROTHER: A Predictive Test for	
the Reduced Form Model	473
Computer Research Center Notes	477
Index 1977	479

National Bureau of Economic Research

ANNALS OF ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL MEASUREMENT

MANAGING EDITOR SANFORD V. BERG University of Florida ASSISTANT. EDITOR ADRIENNE CIVITELLA National Bureau of Economic Research

COMPUTER CENTER EDITOR JOHN KIRSCH National Burcau of Economic Research

EDITORIAL BOARD PHILLIP CAGAN, Columbia University GREGORY CHOW, Princeton University DONALD FARMAR, University of Utah GARY FROMM, Stanford Research Institute EDWIN KUH, Massachusetts Institute of Technology LEE LILLARD, National Bureau of Economic Research JOHN MEYER, Harvard University JACOB MINCER, Columbia University M. ISHAQ NADIRI, New York University The Annels of Economic and Social Magnetic Social Magnetic

The Annals of Economic and Social Measurement is published quarterly (Winter, Spring, Summer, and Fall) by the National Bureau of Economic Research, 261 Madison Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10016. The Annals has been exempted from the rules governing submission of manuscripts to, and critical review by, the Directors of the National Bureau. Each issue, however, is reviewed and accepted for publication by the members of the Editorial Board, all of whom are affiliated with the NBER.

Communications on editorial matters should be addressed to the Managing Editor, Dr. Sanford V. Berg, Department of Economics, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32601. Applications for permission to quote from this journal should be addressed to Ms. Adrieane Civitella, at the publisher's address, and will be freely granted. Reproduction of articles requires written permission from the Assistant Editor and the author. Communications on subscriptions, single copy requests, and claims for missing issues should be addressed to Subscription Department, Annals of Economic and Social Measurement, at the publisher's address.

Institutional subscription price:\$15.00 per year; \$16.00 outside U.S.A.Individual subscription price:\$10.00 per year; \$11.00 outside U.S.A.Single and back issues:\$5.00

A perforated subscription form appears opposite the back cover.

Second-class postage paid New York, N.Y. and additional mailing offices. Priated in U.S.A.

Copyrights 1977 by the National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc. US ISSN 6044-832X CODEN: ABSINCM

EDITOR'S CORNER

The emphasis on quantitative analysis that characterizes the Annals is evident in the mix of articles for this issue. The NBER has promoted empirical research in the area of human capital formation, and in the lead article John Hause examines cohort times series data on earnings. The covariance structure of earnings is used to test the hypothesis that "syslematic differences in on-the-job training lead to significant differences in individual earnings profiles." The results of another NBER project are presented in "Modeling Railroad Passenger-Car Requirements in the Northeast Corridor." Fourer, Gertler, and Simkowitz use a linear programming technique developed at the NBER Computer Research Center to determine optimal car allocations for predetermined demands. Their results illustrate the usefulness of optimization techniques in the context of a transshipment structure.

The next three articles examine different aspects of econometric models. Elizabeth Chase MacRae develops a methodology for designing time series experiments for dynamic models. Stochastic optimization requires that the design yield data to update and refine the designing for future stages of the experiment. She shows that in some cases, it pays to delay most of the information-gathering activity until the results of early periods can be used in designing cost-effective experiments. Nancy and Richard Ruggles and Edward Wolff present an extension of earlier work in the area of merging microdata. They discuss a methodology for constructing integrated microdata sets and then they present an empirical test of their matching procedures. In an NBER sponsored study, Friedman and Roley derive an estimation procedure to be used in least squares regression when the same distributed lag appears twice in an equation. The prior imposition of a restriction on the lag weight sum serves to identify the parameters.

Five short econometric notes complete this issue. Laffont presents "A Note on the Asymptotic Cramer Rao Bound in Nonlinear Simultaneous Equation Systems." Efficient estimators are discussed in the context of this bound. As an outgrowth of work at the NBER Computer Research Center. Cooley. Rosenberg and Wall present an algorithm for obtaining a complete solution to the estimation of time-varying parameters in the absence of a specification of a proper prior distribution. Rosenberg then completes the results of the note through a more detailed consideration of the intertemporal estimation error covariance. Next, Harvey's note on "Discrimination between CES and VES Production Functions" provides a test based on their Taylor Series approximations.

All and a second second

In the last note, Jayatissa and Farebrother "... establish a test of whether two sets of observations come from the same reduced form model." Each of the five notes draws upon and extends work previously appearing in the *Annals*.

The Board of Editors would like to take this opportunity to thank the following individuals for helping to screen articles for the *Annals* during recent months.

.

Ż

Christopher Barry	Warren Lavey
David Belsley	G. S. Maddala
Charlotte Boschan	Robert Michael
Ray Fair	Barr Rosenberg
Robert Gillingham	Nancy Ruggles
John Hause	Richard Ruggles
Jerry Hauseman	Warren Sanderson
Ira Horowitz	Jeremy Shapiro
Lawrence Kriecher	Stephen Taylor

Errata

The following lines were inadvertently omitted from Carl J. Palash's article On the Specification of Unemployment and Inflation in the Objective Function on p. 276 of the Summer 1977 issue (vol. 6, No. 3) immediately preceding the last paragraph:

ł

Framework of the Analysis

An objective function is meant to represent preferences over one or more economic variables. Ideally, the preferences are determined through utility ranking without regard to feasibility. The constrained maximization solution yields the values of economic variables that best satisfy preferences and that are feasible according to the constraints. Consequently, when specifying an objective function, system constraints, ideally. should not be a consideration. This will be called "strong" dichotomy between preferences and constraints.

It has been common practice, recently, to penalize deviations (sometimes one-sided) of economic variables from their long-run, steady-state values. (2) Inasmuch as this practice does take account of the long-run properties of the constraints (but not the short-run properties) in the representation of preferences, it will be called "weak" dichotomy between preferences and constraints.