

#### Financial Globalization and Risk Sharing: Welfare Effects and the Optimality of Open Markets

#### Charles A. Trzcinka and Andrey D. Ukhov Kelley School of Business - Indiana University

NBER Universities Research Conference Structural Changes in the Global Economy: Implications for Monetary Policy and Financial Regulation

## **The Debate**



- Financial Globalization opening capital markets to foreign investors – has benefits and costs
  - Schmukler (2003); Kaminsky & Schmukler (2004)
- Benefits: Lower cost of capital, Growth
- Positive impact of financial globalization is limited
  - Prasad, Rogoff, Wei, and Kose (IMF 2003); Bhagwati (1998); Rodrik (1998, 2000)
- The empirical evidence is mixed
  - Stulz (AFA 2005)
- Needed: A neo-classical model that captures both sides of the debate to understand the trade-off, and explain reversals, and incorporate growth

#### **Literature: Foundation**



- Impact of restrictions on the portfolio problem and on asset prices (cost of capital)
  - Black (JFE 74); Stulz (JF, JFE 81); Errunza & Losq (JF 85); Eun & Janakiramanan (JF 86); Alexander, Eun & Janakiramanan (JF 87); Basak (JFQA 96);
- Welfare effects of barriers
  - Subrahmanyam (JFE 75; 1975); Stapleton & Subrahmanyam (JF 77) Errunza & Losq (JF 89); Obstfeld (AER 94)
  - Integration is Pareto Optimal
- Limitations
  - Take barriers as given (exogenous)
  - Homogenous Agents

# **An internal contradiction?**



- If a model begins by assuming barriers...
- And then shows that when barriers are removed, everyone is better off...
- Then why do the barriers exist in the first place?
- Such models are not designed to explain barriers
- Useful insights on the cost of capital...

#### **Literature: Growth & Efficiency**



- A country's financial system affects economic growth
  - King & Levine (QJE 93); Levine & Zervos (AER 98);
    Rajan & Zingales (AER 98); Demirguc-Kunt &
    Maksimovic (JF 98); Beck et al. (JME '00, JFE '00);
    Bekaert et al. (2005)
- Cost of capital drops with allowing foreign investors in
  - Bekaert & Harvey (JF 2000); Errunza & Miller (JFQA 2000)
- There are reversals in financial development (Rajan & Zingales JFE '03) and time variation in integration (Bekaert & Harvey JF 95; Kaminsky & Schmukler 2004)



#### **Research Questions**

- Rational economic framework for the existence of barriers
  - Build on existing foundation
  - Consistent with CAPM cost of capital predictions
  - Link asset pricing, risk sharing, and participation
  - Can we capture growth?
- Can we model both costs and benefits of openness in a rational economic model?
  - Endogenous liberalization decision
- Understand economics of resistance to liberalization
  - Develop policy implications



- Approach: Measure Welfare and Find Asset Prices
- General Equilibrium (GEI)
- Based on standard CARA-Normal models
- Heterogeneous Agents
  - Endogenous motive to trade to hedge the risk in their endowment income (Consumption CAPM)
  - Different endowment risk and payoff
- Endogenous participation decision
  - Agent decide whether or not to participate in the risky asset market
  - Important new feature



- Trading at time 0; Uncertainty is resolved at time 1
- Two risky assets: domestic (*m=d*) and foreign (*m=f*)
  - Load on one "risk factor" each; orthogonal factors

$$\widetilde{z}_m = \overline{z}_m + \beta_m (f_m + \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_m - Market (d \text{ or } f))$$

- Risk-free asset

**Risk factor (random)** 

• Agents receive risky endowment payoff

$$\widetilde{e}^{h} = \overline{e}^{h} + \underbrace{b_{m}^{h}}_{m} \widetilde{f}_{m} + \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{m}^{h}$$

Heterogeneity:

Agent-specific factor loading



## The Model: Agents

- Initial wealth  $W_0^h$
- Utility of consumption

$$\hat{U}(c_0, c_1) = -e^{-ac_0} - \delta e^{-ac_1}, \quad \delta \in (0, 1)$$

- Fixed fee to participate in risky asset market, *k*.
- **k** is the lifetime cost of being an investor
  - Costs impact investment policy (Abel and Eberly AER 1994; REStud 1996)
- Participation decision depends on investment opportunities.

## **Agents:** Participation Decision





- Should I incur *k* and invest in risky assets?
  - It depends on the quality of the available investment opportunities



**Benefits** 

Costs

## Agents: Insights

• Generalized Sharpe Ratio Squared is important:

- Measures quality of investment opportunities

$$S_m^2(h) = \left(\overline{\mathbf{z}}_m - R \cdot \mathbf{p}_m - a \cdot \mathbf{Cov}[\widetilde{e}^h, \widetilde{z}_m]\right)' \Sigma_m^{-1}(\bullet)$$

- Participant's utility depends on it:  $J^{p} \left[ W_{0}^{h} \right] = f \left( W_{0}^{h}; S_{m}^{2}(h); \bullet \right)$
- Participation criterion:

**Any CARA-Normal Economy** 

 Liberalization affects the set of investment opportunities and prices

 $S_m^2(h)$ 

 $> 2 \cdot a \cdot R \cdot k_m$ 

- Affects utility and set of participants

#### Equilibrium



- Several Quantities are jointly determined
- Asset prices (domestic and foreign)
  - Supply equals demand from all participants
  - Price depends on covariance with the average terminal endowment of all participants (CCAPM is a special case)

$$\widetilde{e}^{M^{p}} \equiv \int_{M^{p}} \widetilde{e}^{h} dP(h)$$

- The sets of domestic and foreign investors who participate
- Liberalization decision affect these quantities

# **Analysis of Liberalization**



- Compare equilibria under Segmentation and Liberalization
  - Segmentation: Investors invest in their own country only
  - Liberalization: Domestic invest at home; Foreign invest in both foreign and domestic assets
  - The results hold when all investors can invest in all markets
- Results apply to a broad class of economies
  - For tractability assume that factor loadings are **uniformly** distributed in the population

#### **Effects of Liberalization**



- Price of domestic asset rises (cost of capital falls)
- Welfare & Participation effects
- Classes of agents
  - Type A: Always Participate
  - Type B: Never Participate
  - Type C: Participate only under liberalization
  - Type D: Participate only under segmentation

#### **Effect on Domestic Participation**





## Effect on Domestic Agent Utility









#### Implications



#### Theory of "The Iron Curtain"

- Importance of risk sharing mechanisms in a country
- Who finds risk sharing attractive?
- Participation changes with reform
  - A new policy variable
- Endogenous nature of the liberalization decision
  - Liberalization timing is not random

# Conclusions



- Liberalization changes the *price of risk sharing*
- A simple General Equilibrium Model with Incomplete markets (GEI) captures costs and benefits of liberalization simultaneously
  - A model without agency costs
  - Cost of capital may drop
  - Liberalization may not be Pareto Optimal
  - Aggregate welfare may fall
- Liberalization: Endogenous Economic Decision