TECHNICAL APPENDIX FROM

VITAL STATISTICS OF THE UNITED STATES

2001

NATALITY

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH STATISTICS

Hyattsville, Maryland: December 2002

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The technical appendix preparation was coordinated by Paul D. Sutton and Martha Little Munson in the Division of Vital Statistics under the general direction of Stephanie J. Ventura, Chief of the Reproductive Statistics Branch. The vital statistics computer file on which it is based was prepared by staff from the Division of Vital Statistics.

The Division of Vital Statistics, Mary Anne Freedman, Director, and James A. Weed, Deputy Director, managed the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program, through which the vital registration offices of all States, the District of Columbia, New York City, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands provided the data to the National Center for Health Statistics. This Division also processed computer edits, designed and programmed the tabulations, reviewed the data, prepared documentation for this publication, and was responsible for receipt and processing of the basic data file. The following management staff provided overall direction: Ronald F. Chamblee, James A. Weed and Nicholas F. Pace. Important contributors were: Judy M. Barnes, Brenda L. Brown, Faye Cavalchire, Linda P. Currin, Celia Dickens, Thomas D. Dunn, Connie M. Gentry, Brenda A. Green, Bonita Gross, Brady E. Hamilton, Christina K. Jarman, Millie B. Johnson, David W. Justice, Virginia J. Justice, Julia L. Kowaleski, Annie Liu, Joyce A. Martin, T.J. Mathews, Susan L. McBroom, Fay Menacker, Jaleh Mousavi, Gail Parr, Phyllis Powell-Hobgood, Melissa M. Park, Seth J. Preslar, Adrienne L. Rouse, Jordan Sacks, Manju Sharma, Steve Steimel, Pam Stephenson, George C. Tolson, Mary M. Trotter, Mary Whitley, James G. Williams, Francine D. Winter, and Jiaquan Xu.

The Division of Data Services, Phillip R. Beattie, Director, and Linda Bean, Chief of Publications were responsible for publication management and editorial review. The following management staff provided overall direction: Stephen L. Sloan. Important contributors were Thelma W. Sanders, Margaret Avery, and Christine J. Brown.

The Office of Research and Methodology was responsible for the application of mathematical statistics methods to the development and implementation of quality assurance procedures. Important contributions in this area were made by Kenneth Harris.

The National Center for Health Statistics acknowledges the essential role of the vital registration offices of all States and territories in maintaining the system through which vital statistics data are obtained and their cooperation in providing the information on which this publication is based.

For a list of reports published by the National Center for Health Statistics contact:

Data Dissemination Branch National Center for Health Statistics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 6525 Belcrest Road, Room 1064 Hyattsville, MD 20782-2003 (301) 458-4636 Internet:www.cdc.gov/nchs

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
Definition of Live Birth	1
History of Birth-Registration Area	1
Sources of Data	2
Natality statistics	2
Standard Certificate of Live Birth	2
Classification of Data	2
Classification by occurrence and residence	3
Geographic classification	3
Race or national origin	4
Age of mother	5
Age of father	6
Live-birth order and parity	6
Educational attainment	7
Marital status	7
Place of delivery and attendant at birth	8
Birthweight	8
Period of gestation	9
Month of pregnancy prenatal care began	9
Number of prenatal visits	10
Apgar score	10
Tobacco and alcohol use during pregnancy	10

Weight gained during pregnancy	10
Medical risk factors for this pregnancy	11
Obstetric procedures	11
Complications of labor and/or delivery	11
Abnormal conditions of the newborn	11
Congenital anomalies of child	11
Method of delivery	12
Hispanic parentage	12
Quality of Data	12
Completeness of registration	13
Completeness of reporting	13
Quality control procedures	13
Random variation and significance testing for natality data	13
Computation of Rates and Other Measures	17
Population bases	17
Net census undercounts and overcounts	18
Cohort fertility tables	18
Total fertility rate	19
Seasonal adjustment of rates	19
Computation of percents, percent distributions, and medians	19
References	20

Figure

4-A.	U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth: 1989 Revision	23
Text	Tables	
A.	Percent of birth records on which specified items were not stated: United States and each State, and Territory, 2001	24
B.	Births by State of Occurrence and Residence for Births Occurring in the 50 States and the District of Columbia, 2001	27
C.	Lower and upper 95 percent and 96 percent confidence limit factors for a birth rate based on a Poisson variable of 1 through 99 births, B	28
D.	Sources for the resident population and population including Armed Forces abroad: Birth-and death-registration States, 1900-32, and United States, 1900-2001	30
E.	Ratio of census-level resident population to resident population adjusted for estimated net census undercount by age, sex, and race: United States, April 1, 1990	31
Рорг	llation Tables	
4-1.	Population of birth-and death-registration States, 1900-32, and United States, 1900-2001	32
4-2.	Estimated total population by specified Hispanic origin and estimated female population by age and specified Hispanic origin and by race for women of non- Hispanic origin: United States, 2001	33
4-3.	Estimated population of the United States, by age, race, and sex: July 1, 2001	34
4-4.	Estimated total population and female population aged 15-44 years: United States, each division, State, and Territory: July 1, 2001	35

Introduction

This report, published by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), is an updated and abridged version of the 1999 Technical Appendix and focuses on information for the 2001 data file (1). This Appendix is also included in "Vital Statistics of the United States, 2001,Volume I, Natality" (in preparation). Reference will be made to the 1999 Technical Appendix for historical discussion of the variables, definitions, quality, and completeness of the birth data (2). This report supplements the Technical notes section of "Births: Final Data for 2001" (3) and is recommended for use with the public-use file for 2001 births, available on CD-ROM from NCHS and the tabulated data of "Vital Statistics of the United States, 2001, Volume I, Natality" (in preparation).

Definition of Live Birth

Every product of conception that gives a sign of life after birth, regardless of the length of the pregnancy, is considered a live birth. This concept is included in the definition set forth by the World Health Organization in 1950 and revised in 1988 by a working group formed by the American Academy of Pediatrics and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (4, 5, 6):

Live birth is the complete expulsion or extraction from its mother of a product of conception, irrespective of the duration of pregnancy, which, after such separation, breathes or shows any other evidence of life, such as beating of the heart, pulsation of the umbilical cord, or definite movement of voluntary muscles, whether or not the umbilical cord has been cut or the placenta is attached; each product of such a birth is considered liveborn.

This definition distinguishes in precise terms a live birth from a fetal death (see section on fetal deaths in the Technical Appendix of volume II, *Vital Statistics of the United States*). In the interest of comparable natality statistics, both the Statistical Commission of the United Nations and CDC's NCHS have adopted this definition (7, 8).

History of Birth-Registration Area

Currently the birth-registration system of the United States covers the 50 States, the District of Columbia, the independent registration area of New York City and Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands. However, in the statistical tabulations, "United States" refers only to the aggregate of the 50 States (including New York City) and the District of Columbia. Information on the history and development of the birth-registration area is available elsewhere (2).

Sources of Data

Natality statistics

Since 1985 natality statistics for all States and the District of Columbia have been based on information from the total file of records. The information is received on electronic files of individual records processed by the States and provided to NCHS through the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program. NCHS receives these files from the registration offices of all States, the District of Columbia, and New York City. Information for Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands is also received through the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program. Information for Guam is obtained from microfilm copies of original birth certificates and is based on the total file of records for all years. Data from American Samoa first became available in 1997. Data from the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (referred to as Northern Marianas) first became available in 1998. Similar to data from Guam, the data are obtained from microfilm copies of original birth certificates and are based on the total file of records.

U.S. natality data are limited to births occurring within the United States, including those occurring to U.S. residents and nonresidents. Births to nonresidents of the United States have been excluded from all tabulations by place of residence beginning in 1970 (for further discussion see "Classification by occurrence and residence"). Births occurring to U.S. citizens outside the United States are not included in any tabulation in this report. The data for Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Northern Marianas are limited to births registered in these areas.

Standard certificate of live birth

The U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth, issued by the Public Health Service, has served for many years as the principal means of attaining uniformity in the content of the documents used to collect information on births in the United States. It has been modified in each State to the extent required by the particular State's needs or by special provisions of the State's vital statistics law. However, most State certificates conform closely in content to the standard certificate.

*1989 revision--*Effective January 1, 1989, a revised U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth (figure 4-A) replaced the 1978 revision. This revision provided a wide variety of new information on maternal and infant health characteristics, representing a significant departure from previous versions in both content and format. The most significant format change was the use of check boxes to obtain detailed medical and health information about the mother and child. Details of the nature and content of the 1989 revision are available elsewhere (2).

Classification of Data

One of the principal values of vital statistics data is realized through the presentation of rates that are computed by relating the vital events of a class to the population of a similarly defined class. Vital statistics and population statistics, therefore, must be classified according to similarly defined systems and tabulated in comparable groups. Even when the variables common to both, such as geographic area, age, race, and sex, have been similarly classified and tabulated, differences between the enumeration method of obtaining population data and the registration method of obtaining vital statistics data may result in significant discrepancies.

The general rules used to classify geographic and personal items for live births are set forth in "Vital Statistics Classification and Coding Instructions for Live Birth Records, 1999-2001," *NCHS Instruction Manual*, Part 3a (9). This material is incorporated in the basic file layout on the CD-ROM (1). The instruction materials are for States to use in coding the data items; they do not include any NCHS recodes. Therefore, the file layout is a better source of information on the code structure, since it provides the exact codes and re-codes that are available. The classification of certain important items is discussed in the following pages. Information on the completeness of reporting of birth certificate data is shown in table A, which presents a listing of items and the percent of records that were not stated for each State, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Northern Marianas.

Classification by occurrence and residence

In tabulations by place of residence, births occurring within the United States to U.S. citizens and to resident aliens are allocated to the usual place of residence of the mother in the United States, as reported on the birth certificate. Beginning in 1970 births to nonresidents of the United States occurring in the United States are excluded from these tabulations. Births to U.S. residents occurring outside this country are not included in tabulations by place of residence.

The total count of births for the United States by place of residence and by place of occurrence will not be identical. Births to nonresidents of the United States are included in data by place of occurrence but excluded from data by place of residence, as previously indicated. See table B for the number of births by residence and occurrence for the 50 States and the District of Columbia for 2001.

Residence error--A nationwide test of birth-registration completeness in 1950 provided measures of residence error for natality statistics. According to the 1950 test (which has not been repeated), errors in residence reporting for the country as a whole tend to overstate the number of births to residents of urban areas and to understate the number of births to residents of other areas (10). Recent experience demonstrates that this is still a concern based on anecdotal evidence from the States. This tendency has assumed special importance because of a concomitant development--the increased utilization of hospitals in cities by residents of nearby places--with the result that a number of births are erroneously reported as having occurred to residents of urban areas. Another factor that contributes to this overstatement of urban births is the customary practice of using "city" addresses for persons living outside the city limits. Residence error should be taken into consideration in interpreting data for small areas and for cities. Both birth and infant mortality patterns can be affected.

*Incomplete residence--*Beginning in 1973 where only the State of residence is reported with no city or county specified and the State named is different from the State of occurrence, the birth is allocated to the largest city of the State of residence. Before 1973, such births were allocated to the exact place of occurrence.

Geographic classification

The rules followed in the classification of geographic areas for live births are contained in the instruction manual mentioned previously. The geographic code structure itself for 2001 is given in another manual, "Vital Records Geographic Classification, 1995," *NCHS Instruction Manual*, Part 8, which is included with the documentation file on CD-ROM (1). The geographic code structure in 2001 is based on results of the 1990 Census of Population.

United States--In the statistical tabulations, "United States" refers only to the aggregate of the 50 States and the District of Columbia. Alaska has been included in the U.S. tabulations since 1959 and Hawaii since 1960.

Details of the classification of births for metropolitan statistical areas, metropolitan and nonmetropolitan counties, and population size groups for cities and urban places are presented elsewhere (2).

Places with a population of less than 100,000 are not separately identified on the publicuse file because of confidentiality limitations.

Race or national origin

Beginning with the 1989 data year, birth data are tabulated primarily by race of mother. In 1989, the criteria for reporting the race of the parents did not change and continues to reflect the response of the informant (usually the mother). Beginning with the 1992 issue of *Vital Statistics of the United States*, Volume I, Natality, trend data for years beginning with 1980 have been retabulated by race of mother. The factors influencing the decision to tabulate births by race of the mother have been discussed in detail elsewhere (2, 11). Information on tabulation procedures for data by race prior to 1989 is presented elsewhere (2). The change in the tabulation of births by race presents some problems when analyzing birth data by race, particularly trend data. The problem is likely to be acute for races other than white and black.

The categories for race or national origin are "White," "Black," "American Indian" (including Aleuts and Eskimos), "Chinese," "Japanese," "Hawaiian," "Filipino," and "Other Asian or Pacific Islander" (including Asian Indian). Before 1992, there was also an "other" category, which is now combined with the "Not stated" category. Before 1978, the category "Other Asian or Pacific Islander" was not identified separately but included with "Other" races. The separation of this category from "other" allows identification of the category "Asian or Pacific Islander" by combining the new category "Other Asian or Pacific Islander" with Chinese, Japanese, Hawaiian, and Filipino.

Since 1992 States with the largest Asian or Pacific Islander (API) populations have provided NCHS with data for additional API subgroups. The API subgroups include births to Vietnamese, Asian Indian, Korean, Samoan, Guamanian, and other API women. In 2001, 11 States were included in this reporting area: California, Hawaii, Illinois, Minnesota, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Texas, Virginia, Washington, and West Virginia. At least two-thirds of the U.S. population of each of these additional API groups lived in the 11-State reporting area (12). The data are available on the detailed natality tapes and CD-ROMs beginning with the 1992 data year. An analytic report based on the 1992 data year is also available upon request (13).

If the race or national origin of an Asian parent is ill-defined or not clearly identifiable with one of the categories used in the classification (for example, if "Oriental" is entered), an attempt is made to determine the specific race or national origin from the entry for place of birth. If the birthplace is China, Japan, or the Philippines, the race of the parent is assigned to that category. When race cannot be determined from birthplace, it is assigned to the category "Other Asian or Pacific Islander."

Hispanic origin and race are reported independently on the birth certificate. Data for Hispanic subgroups are shown in most cases for five groups: Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Central and South American, and other (and unknown) Hispanic. In tabulations of birth data by

race only, data for persons of Hispanic origin are included in the data for each race group according to the mother's reported race. The category "White" comprises births reported as white and births where race, as distinguished from Hispanic origin, is reported as Hispanic. In tabulations of birth data by race and Hispanic origin, data for persons of Hispanic origin are not further classified by race because the vast majority of births to Hispanic women are reported as white (98 percent in 2001). In these tabulations, data for non-Hispanic persons are classified according to the race of the mother because there are substantial differences in fertility and maternal and infant health between Hispanic and non-Hispanic white women. A re-code variable is available that provides cross tabulations of race by Hispanic origin.

Race or national origin not stated--If the race of the mother is not defined or not identifiable with one of the categories used in the classification (0.4 percent of births in 2001) and the race of the father is known, the race of the father is assigned to the mother. Where information for both parents is missing, the race of the mother is allocated electronically according to the specific race of the mother on the preceding record with a known race of mother. Data for both parents were missing for only 0.3 percent of birth certificates for 2001. Nearly all statistics by race or national origin for the United States as a whole in 1962 and 1963 are affected by a lack of information for New Jersey, which did not report the race of the parents in those years. Birth rates by race for those years are computed on a population base that excluded New Jersey in 1962 and 1963, see page 4-8 in the Technical Appendix of volume I, *Vital Statistics of the United States*, 1963. The percent of records for which Hispanic origin of the parents was not reported in 2001 is shown by State in table A.

Age of mother

Beginning in 1989 an item on the birth certificate asks for "Date of Birth." In previous years, "Age (at time of this birth)" was requested. Not all States revised this item and therefore the age of mother either is derived from the reported month and year of birth or coded as stated on the certificate. In 2001, the mother's age was reported directly by five States (Kentucky, Nevada, North Dakota, Virginia, and Wyoming) and American Samoa. From 1964 to 1996, age of mother was considered not stated and therefore imputed for ages under 10 years or 50 years and over. Beginning in 1997, age of mother was considered not stated and imputed for ages under 10 years or 55 years and over. The numbers of births to women aged 50-54 years are too small for computing age-specific birth rates. These births have been included with births to women aged 45-49 years for computing birth rates.

Age-specific birth rates are based on populations of women by age, prepared by the U.S. Census Bureau. In census years the decennial census counts are used. In intercensal years, estimates of the population of women by age are published by the U.S. Census Bureau in *Current Population Reports*. The U.S. and State-level birth and fertility rates for the 2001 final report of natality data are based on estimates as of July 1 projected from the 1990 census because detailed populations based on the 2000 census were not available when the report was prepared. When the necessary population estimates based on the 2000 census and intercensal estimates become available, population-based rates for the 1990s, 2000, and 2001 will be recalculated and presented in an upcoming report. Meanwhile, considerable caution should be used in interpreting the rates and trends for the Nation and States, particularly for race specific rates (see section on population bases).

Median age of mother--Median age is the value that divides an age distribution into two equal parts, one-half of the values being less and one-half being greater. Median ages of mothers for 1960 to the present have been computed from birth rates for 5-year age groups rather than from birth frequencies. This method eliminates the effects of changes in the age composition of the childbearing population over time. Changes in the median ages from year to year can thus be attributed solely to changes in the age-specific birth rates. Trend data on the median age is shown in table 1-5 of *Vital Statistics of the United States*, volume 1, natality (at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/datawh/statab/unpubd/natality/natab99htm).

Not stated date of birth of mother– In 2001 age of mother was not reported on 0.01 percent of the records. Beginning in 1964 birth records with date of birth of mother and/or age of mother not stated have had age imputed according to the age of mother from the previous birth record of the same race and total-birth order (total of fetal deaths and live births). (See "Computer Edits for Natality Data, Effective 1993" *NCHS Instruction Manual*, Part 12, page 9) (14). Editing procedures for 1963 and earlier years are described elsewhere (2).

Age of father

Age of father is derived from the reported date of birth or coded as stated on the birth certificate. If the age is under 10 years, it is considered not stated and grouped with those cases for which age is not stated on the certificate. Information on age of father is often missing on birth certificates of children born to unmarried mothers, greatly inflating the number of "not stated" in all tabulations by age of father. In computing birth rates by age of father, births tabulated as age of father not stated are distributed in the same proportions as births with known age within each 5-year-age classification of the mother. This procedure is followed because, while father's age is missing in 13 percent of the birth certificates in 2001; 28 percent of these were on records where the mother is a teenager. This distribution procedure is done separately by race. The resulting distributions are summed to form a composite frequency distribution that is the basis for computing birth rates by age of father. This procedure avoids the distortion in rates that would result if the relationship between age of mother and age of father were disregarded. Births with age of father not stated are distributed only for rates, not for frequency tabulations (3).

Live-birth order and parity

Live-birth order and parity classifications refer to the total number of live births the mother has had including the 2001 birth. Fetal deaths are excluded.

Live-birth order indicates what number the present birth represents; for example, a baby born to a mother who has had two previous live births (even if one or both are not now living) has a live-birth order of three. Parity indicates how many live births a mother has had. Before delivery a mother having her first baby has a parity of zero and a mother having her third baby has a parity of two. After delivery the mother of a baby who is a first live birth has a parity of one and the mother of a baby who is a third live birth has a parity of three.

Live-birth order and parity are determined from two items on the birth certificate, "Live births now living" and "Live births now dead." Editing procedures for live birth order are summarized elsewhere (2, 14).

Not stated birth order–All births tabulated in the "Not stated birth order" category are excluded from the computation of percents. In computing birth rates by live-birth order, births tabulated as birth order not stated are distributed in the same proportion as births of known live-birth order.

Educational attainment

National data on educational attainment are currently available only for the mother (2). Beginning in 1995, NCHS ceased to collect information on the educational attainment of the father.

The educational attainment of the mother is defined as "the number of years of school completed." Only those years completed in "regular" schools are counted, that is, a formal educational system of public schools or the equivalent in accredited private or parochial schools. Business or trade schools, such as beauty and barber schools, are not considered "regular" schools for the purposes of this item. No attempt has been made to convert years of school completed in foreign school systems, ungraded school systems, and so forth, to equivalent grades in the American school system. Such entries are included in the category "not stated."

Women who have completed only a partial year in high school or college are tabulated as having completed the highest preceding grade. For those certificates on which a specific degree is stated, years of school completed is coded to the level at which the degree is most commonly attained; for example, women reporting B.A., A.B., or B.S. degrees are considered to have completed 16 years of school.

Education not stated--The category "Not stated" includes all records in reporting areas for which there is no information on years of school completed as well as all records for which the information provided is not compatible with coding specifications.

Births tabulated as education not stated are excluded from the computations of percents.

In 2001 educational attainment for Alabama was miscoded with some Hispanic mothers with no education being coded as having 12 years of education. Caution should be used when interpreting Alabama data on education for Hispanic women.

Marital status

National estimates of births to unmarried women are based on two methods of determining marital status. Beginning in 1997, the marital status of women giving birth in California and Nevada is determined by a direct question in the birth registration process. Beginning June 15, 1998, Connecticut discontinued inferring the mother's marital status and added a direct question on mother's marital status to the State's birth certificate.

In the two States (Michigan and New York) which used inferential procedures to compile birth statistics by marital status in 1999, a birth is inferred as nonmarital if either of these factors is present: a paternity acknowledgment was received or the father's name is missing. The presence of a paternity acknowledgment is the most reliable indicator that the birth is nonmarital in the States not reporting this information directly; this is now the key indicator in the nonreporting States.

The procedures for reporting marital status in California, Nevada, New York City changed beginning January 1, 1997. The methods used to determine marital status and the impact of the procedures on the data were discussed in detail in a previous report (15).

The mother's marital status was not reported in 2001 on 0.03 percent of the birth records in States reporting this information from a direct question. Marital status was imputed as "married" for these records.

When births to unmarried women are reported as second or higher order births, it is not known whether the mother was married or unmarried when the previous deliveries occurred, because her marital status at the time of these earlier births is not available from the birth record.

Place of delivery and attendant at birth

The 1989 revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth included separate categories for freestanding birthing centers, the mother's residence, and clinic or doctor's office as the place of birth. Beginning in 1989 births occurring in clinics and in birthing centers not attached to a hospital are classified as "Not in hospital." This change in classification may account in part for the lower proportion of "In hospital" births compared with previous years. (The change in classification of clinics should have minor impact because comparatively few births occur in these facilities, but the effect of any change in classification of freestanding birthing centers is unknown.)

Beginning in 1975 the attendant at birth and place of delivery items were coded independently, primarily to permit the identification of the person in attendance at hospital deliveries. Additional information on these items is presented elsewhere (2).

Babies born on the way to or on arrival at the hospital are classified as having been born in the hospital. This may account for some of the hospital births not delivered by physicians or midwives. The "Not in hospital" category includes births for which no information is reported on place of birth.

In 2000 Illinois started collecting data on certified nurse-midwives (CNM) and making corrections for "other midwife" and "other" categories. Data for earlier years were incomplete for Illinois births. As a result, the number of CNMs has significantly increased while "other midwife" has sharply decreased when compared to earlier years.

Procedures in some hospitals may require that a physician be listed as the attendant for every birth and that a physician sign each birth certificate, even if the birth is attended by a midwife and no physician is physically present. Therefore, the number of live births attended by midwives may be understated in some areas.

Birthweight

Birthweight is reported in some areas in pounds and ounces rather than in grams. However, the metric system has been used in tabulating and presenting the statistics to facilitate comparison with data published by other groups. The categories for birthweight were changed in 1979 to be consistent with the recommendations in the *Ninth Revision of the International Classification of Diseases* (ICD-9) and remain the same for the Tenth Revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10) (5). The categories in gram intervals and their equivalents in pounds and ounces are as follows:

Less than 500 grams = 1 lb 1 oz or less 500-999 grams = 1 lb 2 oz-2 lb 3 oz 1,000-1,499 grams = 2 lb 4 oz-3 lb 4 oz 1,500-1,999 grams = 3 lb 5 oz-4 lb 6 oz

2,000-2,499 grams = 4 lb 7 oz-5 lb 8 oz 2,500-2,999 grams = 5 lb 9 oz-6 lb 9 oz 3,000-3,499 grams = 6 lb 10 oz-7 lb 11 oz 3,500-3,999 grams = 7 lb 12 oz-8 lb 13 oz 4,000-4,499 grams = 8 lb 14 oz-9 lb 14 oz 4,500-4,999 grams = 9 lb 15 oz-11 lb 0 oz 5,000 grams or more = 11 lb 1 oz or more

The ICD-9 and ICD-10 define low birthweight as less than 2,500 grams. This is a shift of 1 gram from the previous criterion of 2,500 grams or less, which was recommended by the American Academy of Pediatrics in 1935 and adopted in 1948 by the World Health Organization in the *Sixth Revision of the International Lists of Diseases and Causes of Death*.

After data classified by pounds and ounces are converted to grams, median weights are computed and rounded before publication. To establish the continuity of class intervals needed to convert pounds and ounces to grams, the end points of these intervals are assumed to be half an ounce less at the lower end and half an ounce more at the upper end. For example, 2 lb 4 oz-3 lb 4 oz is interpreted as 2 lb 3 ½ oz-3 lb 4 ½ oz.

Births for which birthweight is not reported are excluded from the computation of percents and medians.

Period of gestation

The period of gestation is defined as beginning with the first day of the last normal menstrual period (LMP) and ending with the day of the birth. The LMP is used as the initial date because it can be more accurately determined than the date of conception, which usually occurs 2 weeks after the LMP.

Births occurring before 37 completed weeks of gestation are considered to be "preterm" or "premature" for purposes of classification. At 37-41 weeks gestation, births are considered to be "term," and at 42 completed weeks and over, "postterm." These distinctions are according to the ICD-9 and ICD-10 (5) definitions.

The 1989 revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth included a new item, "clinical estimate of gestation," that is being compared with length of gestation computed from the LMP date when the latter appears to be inconsistent with birthweight. This is done for normal weight births of apparently short gestations and very low birthweight births reported to be full term. The use of the clinical estimate in the 2001 data file is described in the Technical Notes of "Births: Final Data for 2001" (3).

Before 1981 the period of gestation was computed only when there was a valid month, day, and year of LMP. However, length of gestation could not be determined from a substantial number of live-birth certificates each year because the day of LMP was missing. Beginning in 1981, weeks of gestation have been imputed for records with missing day of LMP when there is a valid month and year. The imputation procedure and the effect of this procedure on the data are described elsewhere (2, 16).

Because of postconception bleeding or menstrual irregularities, the presumed date of LMP may be in error. In these instances, the computed gestational period may be longer or shorter than the true gestational period, but the extent of such errors is unknown.

Month of pregnancy prenatal care began

For those records in which the name of the month is entered for this item, instead of first, second, third, and so forth, the month of pregnancy in which prenatal care began is determined from the month named and the month last normal menses began. For these births, if the item "Date last normal menses began" is not stated, the month of pregnancy in which prenatal care began is tabulated as not stated.

Number of prenatal visits

Tabulations of the number of prenatal visits were presented for the first time in 1972. Beginning in 1989 these data were collected from the birth certificates of all States. Percent distributions and the median number of prenatal visits exclude births to mothers who had no prenatal care.

Apgar score

The 1- and 5-minute Apgar scores were added to the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth in 1978 to evaluate the condition of the newborn infant at 1 and 5 minutes after birth. The Apgar score is a useful measure of the need for resuscitation and a predictor of the infant's chances of surviving the first year of life. It is a summary measure of the infant's condition based on heart rate, respiratory effort, muscle tone, reflex irritability, and color. Each of these factors is given a score of 0, 1, or 2; the sum of these 5 values is the Apgar score, which ranges from 0 to 10. A score of 10 is optimum, and a low score raises some concerns about the potential survival and subsequent health of the infant. Beginning in 1995, NCHS collected information only on the 5-minute Apgar score. Since 1991, the reporting area for the 5-minute Apgar score has been comprised of 48 States and the District of Columbia, accounting for 78 percent of all births in the United States in 2001. California and Texas did not have information on Apgar scores on their birth certificates.

Tobacco and alcohol use during pregnancy

The checkbox format allows for classification of a mother as a smoker or drinker during pregnancy and for reporting the average number of cigarettes smoked per day or drinks consumed per week. Procedures for determining the consistency between smoking and/or drinking status and the quantity of cigarettes or drinks reported are described elsewhere (2).

Information on smoking and drinking status was reported by 49 States and the District of Columbia (not available for California) in 2001. For 2001 information on number of cigarettes smoked per day was reported in a consistent manner for 46 States, the District of Columbia, and New York City (figure 4-A), accounting for 87 percent of U.S. births. Indiana and New York State (except for New York City) reported this information but in a format that was inconsistent with NCHS standards. Information was not available for California and South Dakota.

Weight gain during pregnancy

Weight gain is reported in pounds. A loss of weight is reported as zero gain. Computations of median weight gain were based on ungrouped data. This item was included on the certificates of 49 States and the District of Columbia; California did not report this information. This reporting area, excluding California, accounted for 87 percent of all births in the United States in 2001.

Medical risk factors for this pregnancy

An item on medical risk factors was included on the 1989 birth certificate, but 2 States did not report all of the 16 risk factors in 2001. Texas did not report genital herpes or uterine bleeding, and Kansas did not report Rh sensitization.

The format allows for the designation of more than one risk factor and includes a choice of "None." Accordingly, if the item is not completed, it is classified as "Not stated."

Definitions adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by a committee of Federal and State health statistics officials for the Association for Vital Records and Health Statistics are available elsewhere (3).

Obstetric procedures

This item includes six specific obstetric procedures. Birth records with "Obstetric procedures" left blank are considered "not stated." Data on obstetric procedures were reported by all States and the District of Columbia in 2001.

Definitions adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by a committee of Federal and State health statistics officials for the National Association for Public Health Statistics and Information Systems (NAPHSIS), formerly the Association for Vital Records and Health Statistics are available elsewhere (3).

Complications of labor and/or delivery

The checkbox format allows for the selection of 15 specific complications and for the designation of more than one complication where appropriate. A choice of "None" is also included. Accordingly, if the item is not completed, it is classified as "not stated."

All States and the District of Columbia included this item on their birth certificates in 2001. However, Texas did not report all of the complications. Texas did not report anesthetic complications or fetal distress.

Definitions adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by a committee of Federal and State health statistics officials are available elsewhere (3).

Abnormal conditions of the newborn

This item provides information on eight specific abnormal conditions. More than one abnormal condition may be reported for a given birth or "None" may be selected. If the item is not completed it is tabulated as "not stated." This item was included on the birth certificates of all States and the District of Columbia in 2001. However, four areas did not include all conditions. Nebraska and Texas did not report birth injury, New York City did not report assisted ventilation less than 30 minutes or assisted ventilation of 30 minutes or more, and Wisconsin did not report fetal alcohol syndrome.

Definitions adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by a committee of Federal and State health statistics are available elsewhere (3).

Congenital anomalies of child

The data provided in this item relate to 21 specific anomalies or anomaly groups. It is well documented that congenital anomalies, except for the most visible and most severe, are incompletely reported on birth certificates (17). The completeness of reporting specific

anomalies depends on how easily they are recognized in the short time between birth and birthregistration. Forty-nine States and the District of Columbia included this item on their birth certificates (New Mexico did not). This reporting area included 99 percent of all births in the United States in 2001. The format allows for the identification of more than one anomaly including a choice of "None" should no anomalies be evident. The category "not stated" includes birth records for which the item is not completed.

In 2001 rates for "other central nervous system anomalies" in Arizona and Oklahoma may be overstated because of misreporting.

Definitions adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by a committee of Federal and State health statistics officials are available elsewhere (3).

Method of delivery

The birth certificate contains a checkbox item on method of delivery. The choices include vaginal delivery, with the additional options of forceps, vacuum, and vaginal birth after previous cesarean section (VBAC), as well as a choice of primary or repeat cesarean. When only forceps, vacuum, or VBAC is checked, a vaginal birth is assumed. In 2001 this information was collected from the birth certificates of all States and the District of Columbia.

Several rates are computed for method of delivery. The overall cesarean section rate or total cesarean rate is computed as the proportion of all births that were delivered by cesarean section. The primary cesarean rate is a measure that relates the number of women having a primary cesarean birth to all women giving birth who have never had a cesarean delivery. The denominator for this rate is the sum of women with a vaginal birth excluding VBACs and women with a primary cesarean birth. The rate for vaginal birth after previous cesarean (VBAC) delivery is computed by relating all VBAC deliveries to the sum of VBAC and repeat cesarean deliveries, that is, to women with a previous cesarean section. VBAC rates for first births are computed because the rates are computed based on previous pregnancies, not just live births.

Hispanic parentage

The 1989 revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Births includes items to identify the Hispanic origin of the parents. All 50 States and the District of Columbia reported Hispanic origin of the parents for 2001.

In computing birth and fertility rates for the Hispanic population, births with origin of mother not stated are included with non-Hispanic births rather than being distributed. Thus, rates for the Hispanic population are underestimates of the true rates to the extent that the births with origin of mother not stated (0.6 percent in 2001) were actually to Hispanic mothers. The population with origin not stated was imputed. The effect on the rates is believed to be small.

Quality of Data

Although vital statistics data are useful for a variety of administrative and scientific purposes, they cannot be correctly interpreted unless various qualifying factors and methods of classification are taken into account. The factors to be considered depend on the specific purposes for which the data are to be used. It is not feasible to discuss all the pertinent factors in the use of vital statistics tabulations, but some of the more important ones should be mentioned.

Most of the factors limiting the use of data arise from imperfections in the original records or from the impracticability of tabulating these data in very detailed categories. These limitations should not be ignored, but their existence does not lessen the value of the data for most general purposes.

Completeness of registration

An estimated 99 percent of all births occurring in the United States in 2001 were registered; for white births registration was 99.5 percent complete and for all other births, 98.6 percent complete. These estimates are based on the results of the 1964-68 test of birth-registration completeness according to place of delivery (in or out of hospital) and race. (This test has not been conducted more recently.) The primary purpose of the test was to obtain current measures of registration completeness for births in and out of hospital by race on a national basis. Data for States were not available as they had been from the previous birth-registration tests in 1940 and 1950. A detailed discussion of the method and results of the 1964-68 birth-registration test is available (18). Information on procedures for adjusting births for underregistration (for cohort fertility tables) is presented elsewhere in this report (2).

Completeness of reporting

Interpretation of these data must include evaluation of item completeness. The percent "not stated" is one measure of the quality of the data. Completeness of reporting varies among items and States. See table A for the percent of birth records on which specified items were not stated. Data users should note that levels of incomplete or inaccurate reporting for some of the items are quite high in some States. Data for 2001 for the District of Columbia and Washington are of particular concern.

Quality control procedures

As electronic files are received at NCHS, they are automatically checked for completeness, individual item code validity, and unacceptable inconsistencies between data items. The registration area is notified of any problems. In addition, NCHS staff review the files on an ongoing basis to detect problems in overall quality such as inadequate reporting for certain items, failure to follow NCHS coding rules, and systems and software errors. Traditionally, quality assurance procedures were limited to review and analysis of differences between NCHS and registration area code assignments for a small sample of records. In recent years, as electronic birth registration became prevalent, this procedure was augmented by analyses of year-to-year and area-to-area variations in the data. These analyses are based on preliminary tabulations of the data that are cumulated by State on a year to date basis each month. All differences that are judged to have consequences for quality and completeness are investigated by NCHS. In the review process, statistical tests are used to call initial attention to differences for possible follow-up. As necessary, registration areas are informed of differences encountered in the tables and asked to verify the counts or to determine the nature of the differences. Missing records (except those permanently voided) and other problems detected by NCHS are resolved and corrections transmitted to NCHS in the same manner as for those corrections identified by the registration area.

Random variation and significance testing for natality data

A detailed discussion of random variation and significance testing for natality data is presented in the Technical notes of "Births: Final Data for 2001" (4). This section presents information specifically for Hispanic subgroups.

Computing confidence intervals for Hispanic subgroups

Tables 6, 8, 9, and 14 in the revised edition of "Births: Final Data for 2001" and tables 1-4 and 1-12 in Vital Statistics of the United States, part 1 Natality will show birth and fertility rates for Mexicans, Puerto Ricans, Cubans, and "Other" Hispanics. Rates for Hispanic subgroups for 2001 are not currently available because the necessary populations estimated from the 2000 Census are not available (3). "Births: Final Data for 2001" will be republished with information on rates for Hispanic subgroups when the necessary populations are available. Population estimates are derived from the U.S. Census Bureau's *Current Population Survey* and adjusted to resident population control totals as shown in table 4-2. As a result, the rates are subject to the variability of the denominator as well as the numerator. For these Hispanic subgroups only (not for all origin, total Hispanic, total non-Hispanic, non-Hispanic white, or non-Hispanic black populations), the following formulas are used:

Approximate 95 percent Confidence Interval: 100 or more births

When the number of events in the numerator is greater than 100, the confidence interval for the birth rate can be estimated from the following formulas:

For crude and age-specific birth rates,

Lower limit =
$$R - 1.96 * R * \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{B}\right) + f\left(a + \frac{b}{P}\right)}$$

Upper limit =
$$R + 1.96 * R * \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{B}\right) + f\left(a + \frac{b}{P}\right)}$$

where:

R = rate (births per 1,000 population)

- B = total number of births upon which rate is based
- f = factor that depends on whether the population estimate is based on demographic analysis or CPS and the number of years used, equals 0.670 for single year
- *a* and *b* are single year averages of the 2000 and 2001 CPS standard error parameters (19, 20)

$$a = -0.000162$$

$$b = 5,648$$

P = total estimated population upon which rate is based

Example

Suppose that the fertility rate of Cuban women 15-44 years of age was 51.2 per 1,000 based on 13,088 births in the numerator and an estimated resident population of 255,399 in the denominator. The 95 percent confidence interval would be:

Lower limit =
$$51.2 - 1.96 * 51.2 * \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{13,088}\right) + 0.670 * \left[-0.000162 + \left(\frac{5,648}{255,399}\right)\right]}$$

= $51.2 - 1.96 * 51.2 * \sqrt{0.000076405 + (0.670 * 0.021952)}$
= $51.2 - 1.96 * 51.2 * \sqrt{0.014784}$
= $51.2 - 1.96 * 51.2 * \sqrt{0.0121589}$
= 39.00
Upper limit = $51.2 + 1.96 * 51.2 * \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{13,088}\right) + 0.670 * \left[-0.000162 + \left(\frac{5,648}{255,399}\right)\right]}$
= $51.2 + 1.96 * 51.2 * \sqrt{0.000076405 + (0.670 * 0.021952)}$
= $51.2 + 1.96 * 51.2 * \sqrt{0.014784}$
= $51.2 + 1.96 * 51.2 * \sqrt{0.014784}$
= $51.2 + 1.96 * 51.2 * 0.121589$
= 63.40

This means that the chances are 95 out of 100 that the actual fertility rate of Cuban women 15-44 years is between 39.00 and 63.40.

Approximate 95 percent Confidence Interval: 1-99 births

When the number of events in the numerator is less than 20, an asterisk is shown in place of the rate. When the number of events in the numerator is greater than 20 but less than 100, the confidence interval for the birth rate can be estimated using the formulas that follow and the values in table C.

For crude and age-specific birth rates,

Lower limit =
$$R * L(1 - a = .96, B) * \left(1 - 2.576\sqrt{f\left(a + \frac{b}{P}\right)}\right)$$

Upper limit = $R * U(1 - a = .96, B) * \left(1 + 2.576\sqrt{f\left(a + \frac{b}{P}\right)}\right)$

where:

- R = rate (births per 1,000 population)
- B = total number of births upon which rate is based
- L = the value in table C that corresponds to the number B, using the 96 percent CI column

- U = the value in table C that corresponds to the number B, using the 96 percent CI column
- f = factor that depends on whether the population estimate is based on demographic analysis or CPS and the number of years used, equals 0.670 for single year
- *a* and *b* are CPS standard error parameters (see previous section on 95 percent confidence interval for 100 or more births for description and specific values)
- P = total estimated population upon which rate is based

Example

Suppose that the birth rate of Puerto Rican women 45-49 years of age was 0.4 per 1,000, based on 35 births in the numerator and an estimated resident population of 87,892 in the denominator. Using Table C, the 95 percent confidence interval would be:

Lower limit =
$$0.4 * 0.68419 * \left(1 - 2.576 \sqrt{0.670} \left(-0.000162 + \left(\frac{5,648}{87,892}\right)\right)\right)$$

= $0.4 * 0.68419 * \left(1 - 2.576 \sqrt{0.042946}\right)$
= $0.4 * 0.68419 * (1 - 2.576 * 0.207234)$
= $0.4 * 0.68419 * 0.466165$
= 0.1
Upper limit = $0.4 * 1.41047 * \left(1 + 2.576 \sqrt{0.670} \left(-0.000162 + \left(\frac{5,648}{87,892}\right)\right)\right)$
= $0.4 * 1.41047 * \left(1 + 2.576 \sqrt{0.042946}\right)$
= $0.4 * 1.41047 * \left(1 + 2.576 * 0.207234\right)$
= $0.4 * 1.41047 * (1 + 2.576 * 0.207234)$
= $0.4 * 1.41047 * (1 + 2.576 * 0.207234)$
= $0.4 * 1.41047 * 1.533835$
= 0.9

This means that the chances are 95 out of 100 that the actual birth rate of Puerto Rican women 45-49 years of age lies between 0.1 and 0.9.

NOTE: In the formulas above, the confidence limits are estimated from the nonsampling error in the number of births, the numerator, and the sampling error in the population estimate, the denominator. A 96 percent standard error is computed for the numerator and a 99 percent standard error is computed for the denominator in order to compute a 95 percent confidence interval for the rate.

Significance testing for Hispanic subgroups

When both rates are based on 100 or more events, the difference between the two rates is considered statistically significant if it exceeds the statistic in the formula below. This statistic equals 1.96 times the standard error for the difference between two rates.

$$=1.96*\sqrt{R_1^2*\left[\left(\frac{1}{B_1}\right)+f\left(a+\frac{b}{P_1}\right)\right]+R_2^2*\left[\left(\frac{1}{B_2}\right)+f\left(a+\frac{b}{P_2}\right)\right]}$$

If the difference is greater than this statistic, then the difference would occur by chance less than 5 times out of 100. If the difference is less than this statistic, the difference might occur by chance more than 5 times out of 100. We would therefore conclude that the difference is not statistically significant at the 95-percent confidence level.

Example

Suppose the birth rate for Puerto Rican mothers 15-19 years of age (R_1) is 80.6, based on 11,978 births and an estimated population of 148,673, and the birth rate for Cuban mothers 15-19 years of age (R_2) is 27.1, based on 997 births and an estimated population of 36,782. Using the above formula, the z score is computed as follows:

$$= 1.96 * \sqrt{80.6^{2} * \left[\left(\frac{1}{11,978} \right) + 0.670 \left(-0.000162 + \frac{5,648}{148,673} \right) \right] + 27.1^{2} * \left[\left(\frac{1}{997} \right) + 0.670 \left(-0.000162 + \frac{5,648}{36,782} \right) \right]}$$

= 1.96 * $\sqrt{6,496.36 * (0.000083486 + 0.670 * 0.037827) + 734.41 * (0.001003009 + 0.670 * 0.153391)}$
= 1.96 * $\sqrt{(6496.36 * 0.025428) + (734.41 * 0.103775)}$
= 1.96 * $\sqrt{165.19 + 76.21}$
= 1.96 * 15.54
= 30.46

Since the difference between the two rates of 53.5 is greater than the value above, the two rates are statistically significantly different at the 0.05 level of significance.

Computation of Rates and Other Measures

Population bases

The rates shown in this report were computed based on population statistics prepared by the U.S. Census Bureau. Rates for 1940, 1950, 1960, 1970, 1980, and 1990 are based on the population enumerated as of April 1 in the censuses of those years. Rates for all other years are based on the estimated midyear (July 1) population for the respective years. The U.S. and State-level birth and fertility rates for 2001 are based on estimates as of July 1 projected from the 1990 census. These populations have been modified to be consistent with Office of Management and Budget racial categories and historical categories for birth data, and in the case of age, to reflect age as of the census reference date (21).

The U.S. and State-level birth and fertility rates in this report are based on estimates projected from the 1990 census because detailed populations based on the 2000 census were not available when this report was prepared. (See table 4-3) A comparison of the estimates for the total population based on the 1990 and 2000 census show that the 2001 population used in this

report is 2.5 percent lower than the estimated population based on the 2000 census (22). A comparison of summary 2000 census results and the estimates for 2000 used in the 2000 report indicates that the total U.S. Hispanic population used for the 2000 report is 8 percent lower than the population based on the 2000 census (23, 24, 25). The underestimate for Hispanic women 15-44 years of age is 9.5 percent (compared with an underestimate of 2 percent for all women 15-44 years of age). Therefore, the birth and fertility rates for Hispanic women presented here are overstated because the population base is too small. There may be similar, but less pronounced effects for other population groups. These rates will be revised and this report will be republished when the 2000 census based populations estimates become available. In the meantime, considerable caution should be used in interpreting the rates and trends for the nation and States, particularly for Hispanic women. Rates for Hispanic subgroups for 2001 are not currently available because the special population estimates for these groups, based on the 1990 census are not available.

Birth rates for the United States, individual States, and metropolitan areas are based on the total resident populations of the respective areas (table 4-4). Except as noted these populations exclude the Armed Forces abroad but include the Armed Forces stationed in each area. The resident population of the birth- and death-registration States for 1900-32 and for the United States for 1900-2001 is shown in table 4-1. In addition, the population including Armed Forces abroad is shown for the United States. Table D shows the sources for these populations. A detailed discussion of historical population bases is presented elsewhere (2).

Net census undercounts and overcounts

Studies conducted by the U.S. Census Bureau indicate that some age, race, and sex groups are more completely enumerated than others. These census miscounts can have consequences for vital statistics measures. For example, an adjustment to increase the population denominator would result in a smaller rate compared to the unadjusted rate. A more detailed discussion of census undercounts and overcounts can be found in the 1999 Technical appendix (2). Adjusted rates for 1990 can be computed by multiplying the reported rates by ratios of the 1990 census-level population adjusted for the estimated net census miscounts, which are shown in table E.

Cohort fertility tables

The various fertility measures shown for cohorts of women are computed from births adjusted for underregistration and population estimates corrected for under enumeration and misstatement of age. Data published after 1974 use revised population estimates prepared by the U.S. Census Bureau and have been expanded to include data for the two major racial groups. Heuser has prepared a detailed description of the methods used in deriving these measures as well as more detailed data for earlier years (26). These tables for current years are available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/datawh/statab/unpubd/natality/natab99.htm.

Parity distribution--The percent distribution of women by parity (number of children ever born alive to mother) is derived from cumulative birth rates by order of birth. The percent of zero-parity women is found by subtracting the cumulative first birth rate from 1,000 and

dividing by 10. The proportions of women at parities one through six are found from the following formula:

Percent at N parity = ((cum. rate, order N)-(cum. rate, order N+1))/10

The percent of women at seventh and higher parities is found by dividing the cumulative rate for seventh-order births by 10.

Birth probabilities–Birth probabilities indicate the likelihood that a woman of a certain parity and age at the beginning of the year will have a child during the year. Birth probabilities differ from central birth rates in that the denominator for birth probabilities is specific for parity as well as for age.

Total fertility rate

The total fertility rate is the sum of the birth rates by age of mother (in 5-year age groups) multiplied by 5. It is an age-adjusted rate because it is based on the assumption that there are the same number of women in each age group. The rate of 2,115 in 2001, for example, means that if a hypothetical group of 1,000 women were to have the same birth rates in each age group that were observed in the actual childbearing population in 2001, they would have a total of 2,115 children by the time they reached the end of the reproductive period (taken here to be age 50 years), assuming that all of the women survived to that age.

Seasonal adjustment of rates

The seasonally adjusted birth and fertility rates are computed from the X-11 variant of Census Method II (27). This method of seasonal adjustment used since 1964 differs slightly from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) Seasonal Factor Method, which was used for Vital Statistics of the United States, 1964. The fundamental technique is the same in that it is an adaptation of the ratio-to-moving-average method. Before 1964, the method of seasonal adjustment was based on the X-9 variant and other variants of Census Method II. A comparison of the Census Method II with the BLS Seasonal Factor Method shows the differences in the seasonal patterns of births to be negligible.

Computations of percents, percent distributions, and medians

Births for which a particular characteristic is unknown were subtracted from the figures for total births that were used as denominators before percents, percent distributions, and medians were computed. The percent of records with missing information for each item is shown by State in table A. The median number of prenatal visits also excludes births to mothers who had no prenatal care. Computations of the median years of school completed and the median number of prenatal visits were based on ungrouped data. The median age of mother is computed from birth rates in 5-year age groups, which eliminates the effects of changes in the age composition of the childbearing population over time. An asterisk is shown in place of any derived statistic based on fewer than 20 births in the numerator or denominator.

References

- 1. National Center for Health Statistics. Natality public-use tape and CD-ROM. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. Annual products. 2002.
- 2. National Center for Health Statistics. Technical appendix from vital statistics of the United States: 1999, Natality. Hyattsville, Maryland. 2001. Available on the Internet at www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/techap99.pdf.
- 3. Martin JA, Hamilton BE, Ventura SJ, Menacker F, Park MM, Sutton PD. Births: Final data for 2001. National vital statistics reports; vol 51 no 3. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 2002.
- 4. Department of Health and Human Services. Model State Vital Statistics Act and Regulations, 1992 Revision. Publication no. (PHS). 94-1115.
- 5. World Health Organization. Manual of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases, Injuries, and Causes of Death, based on the recommendations of the Tenth Revision Conference, 1987. Geneva: World Health Organization. 1992.
- 6. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Guidelines for Perinatal Care, ed. 2, Washington, DC. 308-324. 1988.
- 7. Kowaleski J. State definitions and reporting requirements for live births, fetal deaths, and induced terminations of pregnancy (1997 revision). Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 1997.
- 8. Statistical Office of the United Nations. Handbook of vital statistics systems and methods/Department of International Economic and Social Affairs. Studies in methods. Series F, no. 35, ST/ESA/STAT/SER.F35. New York: United Nations. 1985.
- 9. Division of Vital Statistics. Instruction manual part 3a: Classification and coding instructions for birth records, 1999. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. Available on the Internet at www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/dvs/3amanual.pdf.
- 10 U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Public Health Service, Office of Vital Statistics. Birth Registration Completeness in the United States and Geographic Areas, 1950; vol 39 no 2. 1954.
- Ventura SJ, Martin JA, Taffel SM, et al. Advance report of final natality statistics, 1992. Monthly vital statistics report; vol 43 no 5 supp. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 1994. Available on the Internet at www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/mvsr/supp/mv43_05s.pdf.

- 12. U.S. Census Bureau. 1990 Census of Population. General population characteristics; (1990 CP-1-1). Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1992.
- 13. Martin JA. Birth characteristics for Asian or Pacific Islander subgroups, 1992. Monthly vital statistics report; vol 43 no 10 supp. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 1995. Available on the Internet at www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/mvsr/supp/mv43_10s.pdf.
- 14. Division of Vital Statistics. Instruction manual part 12: Computer edits for natality data, effective 1993. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 1995. Available on the Internet at www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/dvs/instr12.pdf.
- Ventura SJ, Bachrach CA. Nonmarital childbearing in the United States, 1940-99. National vital statistics reports; vol 48 no 16. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 2000. Available on the Internet at www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr48/nvs48_16.pdf.
- 16. Taffel S, Johnson D, Heuser R. A method for imputing length of gestation on birth certificates. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(93). 1982.
- Watkins ML, Edmonds L, McClearn A, et al. The surveillance of birth defects: The usefulness of the revised U.S. standard birth certificate. Am J Public Health 86(5):731-34. 1996.
- U.S. Census Bureau. Test of birth-registration completeness, 1964 to 1968. 1970 census of population and housing; PHC (E)-2. Evaluation and Research Program. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1973.
- U.S. Census Bureau. Money income in the United States: 2000 (with separate data on valuation of noncash benefits). Current population reports, consumer income. Series P60-213. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office. 2001.
- U.S. Census Bureau. Money income in the United States: 2001 (with separate data on valuation of noncash benefits). Current population reports, consumer income. Series P60-218. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office. 2002.
- 21. U.S. Census Bureau. Age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin information from the 1990 census: A comparison of census results with results where age and race have been modified. 1990 CPH-L-74. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1991.
- 22. U.S. Census Bureau. Table US-2001EST-01 Time Series of National Population Estimates: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2001. Washington DC: U.S. Census Bureau. Internet release, December 27, 2001. Available on the Internet at http://eire.census.gov/popest/data/national/tables/NA-EST2001.

- 23. U.S. Census Bureau. Profile of general demographic characteristics for the United States: 1990. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce. Released May 15, 2001. Available on the Internet at http://www.census.gov/Press-Release/www/2001/tables/dp_us_1990.pdf.
- 24. U.S. Census Bureau. Profile of general demographic characteristics for the United States: 2000. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce. Released May 15, 2001. Available on the Internet at http://www.census.gov/Press-Release/www/2001/tables/dp_us_2000.pdf.
- 25. U.S. Census Bureau. Unpublished census file Nchs_res2001_base1990.xls. Estimates of the United States by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 2001. Washington: U.S. Census Bureau.
- 26. Heuser R. Fertility tables for birth cohorts by color: United States, 1917-73. Washington: National Center for Health Statistics. 1976. Available on the Internet at www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/misc/fertiltbacc.pdf and on CD from the National Center for Health Statistics.
- U.S. Census Bureau. The X-11 variant of the Census Method II Seasonal Adjustment Program. Technical paper; no 15, 1967 rev. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1967.

			FIGUE	RE 4	-A							
TYPE/PRINT IN					U.S.	STANDA	RD					
PERMANENT BLACK INK		LOCAL FILE M	JM8ER		CERTIFICAT	E OF I	LIVE BI	RTH	8,8,	** >0****		
FOR	1 CHILD S NAME I	First, Middle, Løsti						2 DATE (р віятн (л	Honth Day Yearl	3 TIME OF	
HANDBOOK	4 SEX 5 CI	TY TOWN OR LOCA	TION OF BIRTH				l	6	COUNTY C	эғ віятн		м
CHILD												
		C Hospital C Free	estanding Birthing Cer Residence				B FACILITY	NAME III	not instituti	on give street and numb	121)	
l	Other (Specify											
(child was born alive nd on the date stated	at the		ATE SIGNED Ionth Day, Yearl			S NAME AN	O TITLE III	other than certifiert (Ty	pe-Ponti	
							lame	100	LICNM	G Other Midwide		
CERTIFIER/ ATTENDANT	Signature						er (Specitys					
DEATH UNDER ONE YEAR OF	Name	ME AND TITLE (Type	19000				ly or Tuwn			Street and Number or Au	iral Route Numb)et
AGE Enter State File			pital Admin 🔲 🗌	C N M	C Other Midwi	e						
Number of death certificate for	Other ISpecify 14 REGISTRAR'S S							15 0	ATE FILED I	BY REGISTRAR IMonih (Day. Yean	
this child	D											
ſ	164 MOTHER'S NA	SAE (First, Middle, Løst)	1			165 MAID	EN SURNAN	46		17 DATE OF BIRTH	t (Maath,Døy,Ye	20()
MOTHER	18 BIRTHPLACE ISI	tate or Foreign Countr	y)	19# RES	IDENCE - STATE		196 CO	UNTY		19c CITY TOWN	OR LOCATION	
	194 STREET AND N	1940ED		1190	INSIDE CITY LINKS	5) (Yes or	1 20 14	OTUGRIC I		ORESS (It same as resid		
Į		Conserve and the second s				3. 1763 07		orner 3 h	MICING AD	iuness in same as resig	ence, enter zip	Code only
FATHER	21. FATHER'S NAM	NE (First, Middle, Last)			22 0	ATE OF BIF	RTH (Month,	Day, Yeari	23 BIR	THPLACE IState of Forei	gn Country)	2000000.0000000000000000000000000000000
	24 I certify that the	a personal information	provided on this cert	lificate is c	crect to the best of	my knowla	dge and beli	at	1			
UNFORMANT	Signature of Par	rent or Other Informa	× ▶									a.Qaadaa
	<u> </u>			T	RMATION FOR MED			*****		27 EDU		***********************
	25 OF HISPANIC Cuban, Mexic	ORIGIN? (Specify No can, Puerto Rican, etc	or Yes -it yes, spec 1	sity 1	26 RACE – America /Specify belowi	n Indian - B	lack, White	etc		ISpecify only highes tmentary Secondary 10 1		
MOTHER	25# 🗆 NO	C) Yes			:6ø				23			
	Specity.									-		
FATHER	256 No Specify:	D Yes		ſ	бъ				23	76		
	and a second	28. PREGNANC IComplete eac			29 MOTHER any time	MARRIED? Detweent /Y		nception o	30	DATE LAST NORMAL (Month Day, Year)	MENSES BEGA	N.
		BIRTHS ude this child!	OTHER TERMIN (Spontaneous and		Induced at 21. MONTH OF PROVINCY CONTACT OF							
MULTIPLE BIRTHS Enter State File Number for Mate(s)			any time after co	anception)								
LIVE BIRTH(S)	28a. Now Living	28b. Now Dead	28d.									
FETAL DEATH(S)	None	D None	D None	33. BIRTH WEIGHT (Specily of ST OTHER I Manth, Year) 35a. PLURAUTY-Single, Twin			ecily uniti	oniti 34		34 CLINICAL ESTIMATE OF GESTATION /W		(Weeks)
CERE DERINGS	28c. DATE OF LA	ST LIVE BIRTH	284. DATE OF LAS				Twin Trate	1 erc		356 IF NOT SINGLE BIRTH-Born First, Secon		Second
					(Specify)				Third str. (Speedy)			
		SAR SCORE	378. MOTHER TRA	ANSFERRE	D PRIOR TO DELIVE	RY? 🗆 No	🗋 Yes	If Yes, entr	r name of i	facility transferred from:		
	36a. 1 Minute	36b. 5 Minutes	275 INFANT TRA	NEEEBBED	1 No O Yes	I Yos note		r.h.u. 14 1000				
<i></i>			210. 111 111		B.10 B.111	· / · · ·			0.000			
ONTRC	388. MEDICAL RIS	K FACTORS FOR TH	S PREGNANCY		OMPLICATIONS OF Check of the apply!	ABOR AND	DIOR DELIVE	AY		NGENITAL ANOMALIES	OF CHILD	
ASE O	Anemia (Hct. < 30)	/Hgb <101	01 🛛	Febrile	(>100°F or 38°C			01 🛛	Anencer	phalus		01 🗆
S C H C S	Acute or chronic lu Diabetes	ng disease .	03 🗆	Premat	ure rupture of ment lo placenta		hours)	02 🗆 03 🗆 04 🗆	Hydroce Microce			02 [] 03 [] 04 []
r sa	Genital herpes Hydramnios/Oligony	ydramnios	. 05 🖸	Placent	a previa Excessive bleeding			05 0	Other ca	intral nervous system an		05 []
CEN.		nic		Precipit	s during labor . ious labor (≺3 hour			07 🗖 08 🗖	Heart m	alformations		. 06 🖸
ERVICE	Eclampsia	nancy associated	10 🗆	Dystun	red labor (≥20 hour ctional labor			09 🗖 10 🗖		rculatory/respiratory and ify/	makes	
NT JH 21	Incompetent cervix Previous infant 400		11 0	Cephak	Malpresentation opelvic disproportion olapse			11 0		tresia/stenosis esophageal fistula/Esop	haceal atresia	08 [] 09 []
LICHE ISION	infant Renel discese		13 0	Anesth	etic complications stress			13 D 14 D 15 D	Omphalo	ocele/Gastroschisis Istrointestinal anomalies		10 🗆
5 - PUE	Rh sensitization Uterine bleeding		15 🗆 16 🗆	None Other				00 []	(Speci	/y/		
Avice: CS - 15	None		00 D	ļ	/Specifyl				Malform Renal ag	ed genitalia jenesis		12 C 13 C
JAN SE	ISpecify)	FACTORS FOR THIS	PREGNANCY	41. ME Vaginal	THOD OF DELIVERY	(Check all	that applyi	01 🖸		ogenital anomalies fy:		
NHUN	IComplete all			Vaginal	birth after previous C section	C-section		02 0	Cieft hp/			15 🖸
RE-BETNEN OF HEALTH AND HUMM SERVICES - PUBLIC HEAL IM SERVICE - CENTERS FOR DSEASE CONTROL MATCHAL CHTERFORHEALTH STATISTICS - 1989 REVISION	Tobacco use during Average number i	cigarattes per day			C-section			04 []	Club too			16 0
NIER	Alcohol use during	pregnency drinks per week	Yes No L	Vacuum	1			06 🖸	Other m	metic hernis isculoskeletal/integumen		18 🖂
TMENI 4AL CE			age		NORMAL CONDITIO	NS OF THE	NEWBORN			yi		ם פי <u></u> 20 ס
NAFIO	39. OBSTETRIC PR ICheck all that			Anemia Birth in	1Hct <39/Hgb <	13)		01 D	Other ch	syngiome romosamai anamalies lyi		
	Amniocentesis Electronic fetal mon	iitoring	01 0	Fetal al	ury Conal syndrome membrane disease/f	IDS		03 🗆	Nane			00 []
	Induction of labor Stimulation of labor	•	03 D 04 D	Mecon	um aspiration syndro d vantilation < 30 m	me		05 🗆 06 🗆	Other	(Specify)		22 □
	Tocolysis Ultrasound		05 🗆 08 🗆	Assiste Seizure	d ventilation ≥ 30 m			07 🗆 08 🗆				
	None Other		00 D 07 D	None Other				09 []				
600 c 4 c 4	(Specify)			I	(Specify)				1			

CDC 64 91 REV 1/89

1

23

Table A. Percent of Birth Records on Which Specified Items Were Not Stated: United Statesand Each State and terri	tory, 2001
---	------------

[By place of residence]

	All	Place	Attendant	Mother's	Father's	Father's	Hispar	nic origin	Educational
Area	births	of birth	at birth	birthplace	age	race	Mother	Father	attainment
					-				of mother
Total of reporting areas 1/	4,025,933	0.0	0.0	0.3	13.5	14.1	0.6	14.1	1.4
Alabama	60,454	0.0	0.0	0.1	21.4	21.5	0.1	21.4	0.2
Alaska	10,003	0.2	0.1	0.7	12.2	13.8	8.7	17.3	3.4
Arizona	85,597	0.0	0.0	0.1	18.3	19.5	1.3	19.9	2.3
Arkansas	37,010	0.0	0.0	0.4	19.7	21.0	0.4	20.3	
California	527,759	0.0	0.1	0.2	7.1	6.7	0.6	6.3	1.6
Colorado	67,007	-	0.0	0.4	8.1	8.5	0.0	8.6	1.1
Connecticut	42,648	0.0	0.0	0.3	10.2	11.6	1.2	11.3	1.5
Delaware	10,749	_	-	0.1	29.7	30.4	0.1	29.6	
District of Columbia	7,625	-	-	0.1	39.2	47.4	0.6	39.1	7.0
Florida	205,793	0.0	0.0	0.1	16.7	17.0	0.2	18.5	0.7
Georgia	133,526	0.0	0.0	0.2	17.6	17.8	1.2	18.5	1.2
Hawaii	17,072	-	0.0	0.1	9.4	9.5	0.1	9.2	0.8
Idaho	20,688	0.0	0.0	0.7	8.2	11.7	1.9	12.4	3.1
Illinois	184,064	0.0	0.0	0.1	13.4	15.2	0.0	15.1	1.1
Indiana	86,459	0.0	0.0	0.1	12.6	12.6	0.4	12.9	0.6
lowa	37,619	-	0.0	0.0	12.6	14.3	0.3	14.0	
Kansas	38,869	-	0.1	0.1	10.4	11.2	1.1	11.9	0.4
Kentucky	54,658	0.0	0.1	0.0	19.6	22.2	0.0	22.4	0.3
Louisiana	65,352	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.3	20.3	0.1	20.3	0.1
Maine	13,759	-	-	-	8.6	12.4	0.4	10.4	0.9
Maryland	73,218	0.0	0.0	0.4	11.5	12.7	0.4	10.7	
Massachusetts	81,077	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	7.4	0.8	6.7	0.3
Michigan	133,427	0.0	0.1	0.1	14.2	16.4	1.4	17.3	
Minnesota	67,562	0.0	0.0	0.2	9.4	13.5	0.7	13.3	2.3
Mississippi	42,282	-	0.0	0.1	22.1	22.0	0.1	22.1	0.3
Missouri	75,464	0.0		0.1	18.5	18.3	0.1	17.8	
Montana	10,970	-	0.2	0.0	9.8	11.0	2.9	13.6	
Nebraska	24,820	_	-	-	11.8	13.3	2.1	13.8	
Nevada	31,382	0.0	0.0	0.5	20.0	20.9	1.1	20.0	
New Hampshire	14,656	-	0.0	0.0	5.4	7.5	4.5	10.8	
New Jersey	115,795	0.0	0.0	0.1	7.9	9.5	0.3	8.3	2.9
New Mexico	27,128	-	0.0	1.3	21.0	20.5	0.0	20.5	
New York	254,026	0.1	0.0	0.4	14.0	14.4	1.1	14.8	1.0
North Carolina	118,185	-	0.0	0.0	15.7	15.8	0.1	16.1	0.2
North Dakota	7,629	0.0	-	0.0	8.5	8.9	2.5	11.5	
Ohio	151,570	0.0	0.0	1.1	14.9	15.5	0.2	15.0	0.9
Oklahoma	50,118	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.5	18.8	0.2	18.4	0.3
Oregon	45,322	-	-	0.1	10.3	4.0	0.3	4.3	1.2
Pennsylvania	143,495	0.0	0.0	0.9	5.0	5.4	0.7	4.2	2.7
Rhode Island	12,713	-	-	0.5	13.4	13.9	9.9	20.5	
South Carolina	55,756	-	-	0.1	27.1	27.3	0.1	27.1	1.1
South Dakota	10,483	-	-	0.0	13.1	13.2	0.1	13.4	0.3
Tennessee	78,340	0.0	0.0	0.1	15.3	15.5	0.0	15.5	
Texas	365,410	0.0	0.0	0.5	14.2	14.4	0.3	14.4	2.0
Utah	47,959	-	-	0.2	8.4	10.0	0.6	9.4	1.6
Vermont	6,366	-	-	0.1	7.6	13.6	3.0	15.9	
Virginia	98,884	-	0.0	0.1	16.6	18.5	0.2	16.7	1.0
Washington	79,570	0.0	0.1	0.5	10.6	13.1	1.7	13.3	6.1
West Virginia	20,428	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	13.1	0.3	13.2	
Wisconsin	69,072	0.0	0.0	0.1	29.5	29.6	0.0	29.6	
Wyoming	6,115			0.1	13.6	14.0	0.0	13.8	
		_	_	0.1			0.1	10.0	
Puerto Rico	55,866	0.0	0.1	-	3.4	4.2			0.3
Virgin Islands	1,669	-	0.1	-	19.4	21.0	3.1	24.7	1.7
Guam	3,565	0.1	0.9	0.8	22.1	23.1	2.6	27.5	1.6
American Samoa	1,655	-	0.2	5.1	28.3	30.3			
Northern Marianas	1,449	-	0.3	-	7.4	4.1			3.0

Table A. Percent of Birth Records on Which Specified Items Were Not Stated: United Statesand Each State and territory, 2001 -- Con.

[By place of residence]

	All	Live-birth	Length of	Month	Number of	Birth	5-minute	Medical
Area	births	order	gestation	prenatal	prenatal	weight	apgar	risk
				care began	visits		score	factors
Total of reporting areas 1/	4,025,933	0.3	1.0	2.4	3.1	0.1	0.4	0.9
Alabama	60,454	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.0
Alaska	10,003	2.1	0.4	4.1	7.2	0.4	0.6	2.7
Arizona	85,597	0.3	0.1	1.6	2.9	0.1	0.3	0.0
Arkansas	37,010	0.2	0.2	1.8	2.4	0.1	3.3	0.1
California	527,759	0.1	2/5.9	1.6	2.8	0.0		0.0
Colorado	67,007	0.0	0.0	1.6	2.3	0.0	0.3	0.0
Connecticut	42,648	0.7	0.2	1.9	4.1	0.0	0.6	2.4
Delaware	10,749	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.0
District of Columbia	7,625	1.1	0.3	14.3	9.6	0.0	1.0	-
Florida	205,793	0.0	0.1	1.2	2.1	0.1	0.2	0.0
Georgia	133,526	0.4	0.1	4.4	3.9	0.0	0.4	0.4
Hawaii	17,072	0.0	0.7	2.5	2.5	0.1	0.5	0.4
Idaho	20,688	0.2	0.5		4.2	0.1	0.6	0.4
Illinois	184,064	0.1	0.2		2.7	0.1	0.3	0.0
Indiana	86,459	0.1	0.1	0.9	2.2	0.4	0.3	0.1
lowa	37,619	0.0	0.1	0.5	1.4	0.1	0.3	0.1
Kansas	38,869	0.0	0.1		1.1	0.0	0.4	3/0.2
Kentucky	54,658	0.0	0.1		1.5	0.2	0.4	4.6
Louisiana	65,352	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.1
Maine	13,759	0.4	0.1		0.7	0.1	0.2	0.1
Maryland	73,218	0.2	0.4		3.4	0.0	0.5	0.0
Massachusetts	81,077	0.3	0.4	-	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.5
Michigan	133,427	0.2	0.1		2.5	0.1	0.3	0.0
Minnesota	67,562	0.5	0.5		4.8	0.1	0.4	8.2
Mississippi	42,282	0.1	0.1		1.1	0.0	0.2	0.1
Missouri	75,464	0.3	0.2		3.8	0.1	0.5	0.1
Montana	10,970	0.0	0.1		0.3	0.1	0.4	0.0
Nebraska	24,820	0.0	0.0		0.4	0.0	0.1	0.0
Nevada	31,382	0.8	1.0		8.1	0.0	1.1	8.6
New Hampshire	14,656	0.2	0.2		1.9	0.1	0.2	0.0
New Jersey	115,795	0.1	0.1		3.9	0.1	0.3	0.8
New Mexico	27,128	1.4	0.2		5.1	0.2	3.4	0.0
New York North Carolina	254,026	0.3	0.1 0.0		2.9 0.6	0.1 0.0	0.2 0.3	2.3 0.0
North Dakota	118,185	0.0 0.0	0.0		0.6	0.0	0.3	0.0
Ohio	7,629 151,570	0.0	0.1		2.9	0.1	0.2	0.2
Oklahoma	50,118	0.7	0.0		2.9	0.1	0.2 1.1	0.0 1.4
Oregon	45,322	0.0	0.0		0.7	0.0	0.4	0.7
Pennsylvania	143,495	0.0	0.0		6.4	0.0	0.4	0.1
Rhode Island	12,713	1.1	0.4		3.0	0.1	0.3	6.0
South Carolina	55,756	0.1	0.1		1.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
South Dakota	10,483	-	0.0		0.3	0.0	0.3	0.0
Tennessee	78,340	0.1	0.2		1.9	0.0	0.2	0.0
Texas	365,410	1.1	0.9		6.7	0.1		6/1.2
Utah	47,959	0.3	0.1		2.8	0.1	0.3	0.1
Vermont	6,366	0.5	0.2		2.2	0.3	0.3	0.3
Virginia	98,884	0.0	0.0		1.1	0.1	0.2	0.0
Washington	79,570	1.4	0.8		9.7	0.3	0.6	12.7
West Virginia	20,428	0.0	0.1		2.0	0.1	0.3	1.9
Wisconsin	69,072	0.0	0.0		0.4	0.0	0.4	0.1
Wyoming	6,115	-	0.1		0.6	0.0	0.2	0.0
Puerto Rico	55,866	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0
Virgin Islands	1,669	1.3	0.6		2.0	0.1	2.2	2.5
Guam	3,565	1.5	0.2		2.6	0.2	0.9	2.1
American Samoa	1,655	-				-		
Northern Marianas	1,449	0.7	0.8	2.0	2.1	0.6	1.5	

Table A. Percent of Birth Records on Which Sp	becified Items Were Not Stated: United Statesand Each State and territory, 2001Con.

[By place of residence]

	All	Tobacco	Alcohol	Weight	Obstetric	Complications	Method	Abnormal	Congenital
Area	births	use	use	gain	procedures	of labor and/or	of	conditions	anomalies
Total of reporting areas 1/	4,025,933	0.7	0.9	7.0	0.5	delivery 0.6	delivery 0.5	of newborn 1.0	0.9
Total of reporting areas 1/	4,025,955	0.7	0.9	7.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.3
Alabama	60,454	0.1	0.1	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0
Alaska	10,003	0.9	1.1	7.6	2.6	2.8	0.5		2.2
Arizona	85,597	1.2	1.3	17.3	0.0	0.0	0.4		11/0.3
Arkansas	37,010	0.7	0.8	7.7	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1
California	527,759				0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
Colorado	67,007	0.3	0.3	3.4	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.2
Connecticut	42,648	1.0	1.1	6.9	2.3	2.1	0.6	2.7	2.8
Delaware	10,749	0.1	0.1	0.8	0.0	-	0.0		
District of Columbia	7,625	0.0	0.0	15.1	-	-	0.1	0.0	
Florida	205,793	0.1	0.1	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
Georgia	133,526	0.5	0.5	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.5		0.0
Hawaii	17,072	0.1	0.1	14.4	0.2	0.3	0.4		0.2
Idaho	20,688	0.6	0.7	10.9	0.3	0.4	0.5		0.7
Illinois	184,064	0.2	0.1	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.4		0.1
Indiana	86,459	4/0.2	0.2	2.8	0.0	0.1	0.5		0.1
lowa	37,619	0.1	0.1	0.7	0.0	0.1	0.5		0.1
Kansas	38,869	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3		0.2
Kentucky	54,658	2.7	3.2	8.0	2.5	4.7	3.2		5.5
Louisiana	65,352	0.1	0.1	5.6	0.1	0.1	0.2		0.1
Maine	13,759	0.9	1.3	1.7	0.0	0.1	0.2		0.1
Maryland	73,218	0.2	0.2	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.2		0.0
Massachusetts	81,077	0.3	0.2	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.6		0.9
Michigan	133,427	1.1	1.1	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.4		0.0
Minnesota	67,562	8.1	8.2	17.9	6.4	8.1	2.6		9.2
Mississippi	42,282	0.3	0.3	5.6	0.0	0.1	0.3		0.0
Missouri	75,464	0.4	0.4	3.1	0.1	0.1	0.6		0.1
Montana	10,970	0.8	1.1	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.3		0.0
Nebraska	24,820	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.1	0.3		0.0
Nevada	31,382	1.6	1.6	7.7	1.5	4.1	1.2		7.8
New Hampshire	14,656	0.6	0.6	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.4		0.1
New Jersey	115,795	0.7	0.8	5.8	0.1	0.6	0.6		2.1
New Mexico	27,128	1.3	1.4	8.8	0.0	0.0	0.5		
New York	254,026	4/0.2	0.2	5.9	0.3	0.5	0.4		2.2
North Carolina	118,185	0.2	0.2	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.5		0.0
North Dakota	7,629	0.5	0.9	2.9	0.2	0.1	1.8		0.2
Ohio	151,570	0.3	0.3	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.6		0.0
Oklahoma	50,118	0.8	0.9	1.7	1.3	1.6	1.6		11/3.0
Oregon	45,322	0.8	0.8	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.5		0.0
Pennsylvania	143,495	0.9	1.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
Rhode Island	12,713	1.7	1.8	13.2	6.2	6.0	0.4		10.9
South Carolina	55,756	0.1	0.1	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.4		0.0
South Dakota	10,483	5/0.1	5/0.2	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.3		0.0
Tennessee	78,340	0.2	0.2	9.3	0.0	0.0	0.5		0.0
Texas	365,410	1.1	1.1	12.6	0.0	9/0.0	0.5		0.1
Utah	47,959	0.7	0.7	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1
Vermont	6,366	0.9	0.7	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
Virginia	98,884	0.9	0.0	3.6	0.3	0.0	0.1		0.0
Washington	90,004 79,570	2.5	9.7	23.8		11.9			
West Virginia	20,428	2.5 0.8	9.7	23.0 9.1	0.2	1.9	0.4		0.7
Wisconsin	69,072	0.8	0.1	9.1	0.2	0.1	0.0		0.7
Wyoming	69,072	0.1	0.1	2.2	0.0	0.1	0.0		0.1
	0,110	5.2	0.2	1.0			0.0		0.0
Puerto Rico	55,866	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
Virgin Islands	1,669	0.4	0.5	16.2	1.4	2.9	0.9		3.8
Guam	3,565	0.5	0.6	4.8	1.1	3.2	0.4		2.2
American Samoa	1,655								
Northern Marianas	1,449	5/0.6	5/0.6				1.6		

0.0 Quantity more than zero but less than 0.05.

---Data not available.

-Quantity zero.

1/ Excludes data for Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas.

2/ California reports date last normal menses began but does not report clinical estimate of gestation.

3/ Kansas does not report Rh sensitization.

4/ Indiana and New York State report tobacco use but do not report the average number of cigarettes smoked per day in standard categories;

data for New York City are reported in standard categories.

5/ South Dakota and the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas report tobacco and alcohol use but do not report the average number of cigarettes smoked per day or the average number of drinks per week.

6/ Texas does not report genital herpes and uterine bleeding.

7/ Nebraska and Texas do not report birth injury.

8/ New York city does not report assisted ventilation less than 30 minutes and assisted ventilation of 30 minutes or more.

9/ Texas does not report anesthetic complications and fetal distress.

10/ Wisconsin does not report fetal alcohol syndrome.

11/ Rates of "Other central nervous system anomalies" may be overstated for Arizona and Oklahoma for 2001.

Area	Occurrence	Residence
United States	4,031,531	4,025,933
Alabama	59,766	60,454
Alaska	9,907	10,003
Arizona	85,757	85,597
Arkansas	36,301	37,010
California	528,539	527,759
Colorado	67,100	67,007
Connecticut Delaware	43,179 11,360	42,648 10,749
District of Columbia	15,037	7,625
Florida	205,991	205,793
Georgia	134,402	133,526
Hawaii	17,127	17,072
Idaho	20,161	20,688
Illinois	181,086	184,064
Indiana Iowa	86,710 37,756	86,459 37,619
Kansas	39,052	38,869
Kentucky	53,227	54,658
Louisiana	65,620	65,352
Maine	13,567	13,759
Maryland	68,663	73,218
Massachusetts	82,237	81,077
Michigan	132,159	133,427
Minnesota Mississippi	67,428 41,145	67,562 42,282
Missouri	76,690	75,464
Montana	10,935	10,970
Nebraska	25,107	24,820
Nevada	31,007	31,382
New Hampshire	14,055	14,656
New Jersey	112,639	115,795
New Mexico New York State only	26,808 131,017	27,128 134,408
New York City only	124,012	119,618
North Carolina	119,132	118,185
North Dakota	8,839	7,629
Ohio	152,033	151,570
Oklahoma	48,895	50,118
Oregon	46,200	45,322
Pennsylvania Rhode Island	143,957 13,319	143,495 12,713
South Carolina	53,255	55,756
South Dakota	10,784	10,483
Tennessee	83,521	78,340
Texas	370,482	365,410
Utah	49,041	47,959
Vermont	6,149	6,366
Virginia Washington	96,535 79,078	98,884 79,570
West Virginia	21,000	20,428
Wisconsin	68,006	69,072
Wyoming	5,758	6,115
Occurrence in U.S. Territories or Foreign Countries	-	5,598
Puerto Rico	_	18
Virgin Islands	-	43
Guam	-	5
American Samoa	-	-
Northern Marianas	-	-
Canada	-	206
Cuba Mexico	-	1 4,706
Remainder of world	-	4,700
		010

 Table B. Births by State of occurrence and residence for births

 occurring in the 50 States and the District of Columbia, 2001

- Quantity zero.

В	L(1- a=.95, <i>B</i>)	U(1- a =.95, <i>B</i>)	L(1- a =.96, <i>B</i>)	U(1- a =.96, <i>B</i>)
1	0.02532	5.57164	0.02020	5.83392
2	0.12110	3.61234	0.10735	3.75830
3	0.20622	2.92242	0.18907	3.02804
4	0.27247	2.56040	0.25406	2.64510
5	0.32470	2.33367	0.30591	2.40540
6	0.36698	2.17658	0.34819	2.23940
7	0.40205	2.06038	0.38344	2.11666
8	0.43173	1.97040	0.41339	2.02164
9	0.45726	1.89831	0.43923	1.94553
10	0.47954	1.83904	0.46183	1.88297
11	0.49920	1.78928	0.48182	1.83047
12	0.51671	1.74680	0.49966	1.78566
13	0.53246	1.71003	0.51571	1.74688
14	0.54671	1.67783	0.53027	1.71292
15	0.55969	1.64935	0.54354	1.68289
16	0.57159	1.62394	0.55571	1.65610
17	0.58254	1.60110	0.56692	1.63203
18	0.59266	1.58043	0.57730	1.61024
19	0.60207	1.56162	0.58695	1.59042
20	0.61083	1.54442	0.59594	1.57230
21	0.61902	1.52861	0.60435	1.55563
22	0.62669	1.51401	0.61224	1.54026
23	0.63391	1.50049	0.61966	1.52602
24	0.64072	1.48792	0.62666	1.51278
25	0.64715	1.47620	0.63328	1.50043
26	0.65323	1.46523	0.63954	1.48888
27	0.65901	1.45495	0.64549	1.47805
28	0.66449	1.44528	0.65114	1.46787
29	0.66972	1.43617	0.65652	1.45827
30	0.67470	1.42756	0.66166	1.44922
31	0.67945	1.41942	0.66656	1.44064
32	0.68400	1.41170	0.67125	1.43252
33	0.68835	1.40437	0.67575	1.42480
34	0.69253	1.39740	0.68005	1.41746
35	0.69654	1.39076	0.68419	1.41047
36	0.70039	1.38442	0.68817	1.40380
37	0.70409	1.37837	0.69199	1.39743
38	0.70766	1.37258	0.69568	1.39134
39	0.71110	1.36703	0.69923	1.38550
40	0.71441	1.36172	0.70266	1.37991
41	0.71762	1.35661	0.70597	1.37454
42	0.72071	1.35171	0.70917	1.36938
43	0.72370	1.34699	0.71227	1.36442
44	0.72660	1.34245	0.71526	1.35964
45	0.72941	1.33808	0.71816	1.35504
46	0.73213	1.33386	0.72098	1.35060
40	0.73476	1.32979	0.72370	1.34632
47	0.73732	1.32585	0.72635	1.34218
40 49	0.73981	1.32205	0.72892	1.33818
49 50	0.74222	1.31838	0.72892	1.33431
50	0.14222	1.51050	0.70142	1.00401

Table C. Lower and upper 95 percent and 96 percent confidence limit factors for a birth rate based on a Poisson variable of 1 through 99 births, B

В	L(1- a=.95, <i>B</i>)	U(1- a =.95, <i>B</i>)	L(1- a =.96, <i>B</i>)	U(1- a =.96, <i>B</i>)
51	0.74457	1.31482	0.73385	1.33057
52	0.74685	1.31137	0.73621	1.32694
53	0.74907	1.30802	0.73851	1.32342
54	0.75123	1.30478	0.74075	1.32002
55	0.75334	1.30164	0.74293	1.31671
56	0.75539	1.29858	0.74506	1.31349
57	0.75739	1.29562	0.74713	1.31037
58	0.75934	1.29273	0.74916	1.30734
59	0.76125	1.28993	0.75113	1.30439
60	0.76311	1.28720	0.75306	1.30152
61	0.76492	1.28454	0.75494	1.29873
62	0.76669	1.28195	0.75678	1.29601
63	0.76843	1.27943	0.75857	1.29336
64	0.77012	1.27698	0.76033	1.29077
65	0.77178	1.27458	0.76205	1.28826
66	0.77340	1.27225	0.76373	1.28580
67	0.77499	1.26996	0.76537	1.28340
68	0.77654	1.26774	0.76698	1.28106
69	0.77806	1.26556	0.76856	1.27877
70	0.77955	1.26344	0.77011	1.27654
70	0.78101	1.26136	0.77162	1.27436
72	0.78244	1.25933	0.77310	1.27223
73	0.78384	1.25735	0.77456	1.27014
74	0.78522	1.25541	0.77598	1.26810
75	0.78656	1.25351	0.77738	1.26610
76	0.78789	1.25165	0.77876	1.26415
70	0.78918	1.24983	0.78010	1.26223
78	0.79046	1.24805	0.78143	1.26036
79	0.79171	1.24630	0.78272	1.25852
80	0.79294	1.24459	0.78400	1.25672
81	0.79414	1.24291	0.78525	1.25496
82	0.79533	1.24126	0.78648	1.25323
83	0.79649	1.23965	0.78769	1.25153
84	0.79764	1.23807	0.78888	1.24987
85	0.79876	1.23652	0.79005	1.24824
86	0.79987	1.23499	0.79120	1.24664
87	0.80096	1.23350	0.79233	1.24507
88	0.80203	1.23203	0.79344	1.24352
89	0.80308	1.23059	0.79453	1.24201
90	0.80412	1.22917	0.79561	1.24052
91	0.80514	1.22778	0.79667	1.23906
92	0.80614	1.22641	0.79771	1.23762
93	0.80713	1.22507	0.79874	1.23621
93 94	0.80810	1.22375	0.79975	1.23482
94 95	0.80906	1.22245	0.80074	1.23345
95 96	0.80900	1.22245	0.80172	1.23211
90 97	0.81093	1.21992	0.80269	1.23079
97 98	0.81185	1.21868	0.80364	1.22949
98 99	0.81275	1.21746	0.80458	1.22949
33	0.01210	1.21/40	0.00400	1.22022

Table C. Lower and upper 95 percent and 96 percent confidence limit factors for a birth rate based on a Poisson variable of 1 through 99 births, B --Con.

Table D. Sources for resident population and population including Armed Forces abroad: Birth- and death-registration States, 1900-1932, and United States, 1900-2001.

Year	Source
2001	U.S. Census Bureau. Unpublished estimates of the July 1, 2001, United States population by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin. Washington, DC: U.S. Census Bureau. 1990-based estimates.
2000	U.S. Census Bureau. Unpublished estimates of the July 1, 2000, United States population by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin. Washington, DC: U.S. Census Bureau. 1990- based estimates.
1999	U.S. Census Bureau, United States population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1980 to 1999. Washington: U.S. Bureau of the Census. Internet release, April 11, 2000. Http://www.census.gov/population/www/estimates/nat_90s_1.html.
1998	U.S. Bureau of the Census, United States population/www/estimates/nat_90s_1.ntml. June 4, 1999. Http://www.census.gov/population/www/estimates/uspop.html.
1997	U.S. Bureau of the Census, United States population www.estimates.by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1997. PPL-91R. Rounded populations consistent with U.S. Bureau of the Census file NESTV97. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1998.
1996	U.S. Bureau of the Census, United States population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1996. PPL-57. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1997.
1995	U.S. Bureau of the Census, United States population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1995. Census file RESD0795, PPL-41. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce, 1996.
1994	U.S. Bureau of the Census, United States population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1994. PPL-21. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1995.
1993	U.S. Bureau of the Census, United States population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1993. Census file RESO793. Washington: U.S. Department of
1992	Commerce. 1995. U.S. Bureau of the Census, United States population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1992. Census file RESPO792. Washington: U.S. Department of
1991	Commerce. 1994. U.S. Bureau of the Census, Unpublished data consistent with Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1095, Feb. 1993.
1990	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Unpublished data from the 1990 census. 1990 CPH-L-74 and unpublished data consistent with Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1095, Feb. 1993.
1989	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1057, Mar. 1990.
1988	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1045, Jan. 1990.
1986-87	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1022, Mar. 1988.
1985	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1000, Feb. 1987.
1984	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 985, Apr. 1986.
1983	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 965, Mar. 1985.
1982	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 949, May 1984.
1981	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 929, May 1983.
1980	U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1980, Number of Inhabitants, PC80-1-A1, United States Summary, 1983.
1971-79	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 917, July 1982.
1970	U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1970, Number of Inhabitants, Final Report PC(1)-A1, United States Summary, 1971.
1961-69	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 519, April 1974.
1960	U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1960, Number of Inhabitants, PC(1)-A1, United States Summary, 1964.
1951-59	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 310, June 30, 1965.
1940-50	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 499, May 1973.
1930-39	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 499, May 1973, and National Office of Vital Statistics, Vital Statistics Rates in the United States, 1900- 1940, 1947.
1920-29	National Office of Vital Statistics, Vital Statistics Rates in the United States, 1900-1940, 1947.
1917-19	Same as for 1930-39.
1900-1916	Same as for 1920-29.

Age		Total			White		Black			
Aye	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	
All ages	0.9815	0.9721	0.9906	0.9802	0.9728	0.9873	0.9432	0.9151	0.9699	
10-14	0.9882	0.9891	0.9873	0.9830	0.9841	0.9818	0.9591	0.9586	0.9595	
15-19	1.0166	1.0198	1.0133	1.0094	1.0128	1.0059	0.9988	1.0016	0.9959	
20-24	1.0002	0.9987	1.0017	0.9975	0.9985	0.9966	0.9593	0.9432	0.9753	
25-29	0.9591	0.9439	0.9748	0.9558	0.9441	0.9681	0.9123	0.8732	0.9510	
30-34	0.9687	0.9487	0.9892	0.9669	0.9518	0.9828	0.9129	0.8599	0.9651	
35-39	0.9790	0.9628	0.9954	0.9764	0.9643	0.9888	0.9303	0.8808	0.9778	
40-44	0.9901	0.9758	1.0044	0.9875	0.9764	0.9988	0.9410	0.8943	0.9850	
45-49	0.9775	0.9633	0.9916	0.9762	0.9648	0.9877	0.9302	0.8807	0.9762	
50-54		0.9623			0.9651			0.8802		
55 years and over		0.9758			0.9783			0.9294		
15-44			0.9954			0.9890			0.9739	
15-54		0.9710			0.9710			0.9046		

Table E. Ratio of census-level resident population to resident population adjusted for estimated net census undercount by age, sex, and race: April 1, 1990

... Category not applicable.

Table 4-1. Population of birth- and death-registration States, 1900-1932, and United States, 1900-2001

[Population enumerated as of April 1 for 1940, 1950, 1960, 1970, 1980, and 1990 and estimated as of July 1 for all other years]

	United S	tates/1		United S	States/1	Birth-registr	ration States	Death-regist	tration States
	Population			Population					
Year	including	Population	Year	including	Population	Number	Population	Number	Population
	Armed Forces	residing		Armed Forces	residing	of	residing	of	residing
2004	abroad	in area	1050	abroad	in area	States/2	in area	States/2	in area
2001		277,739,757	1950	151,132,000	150,697,361				
2000	275,371,869	275,264,999	1949	149,188,000	148,665,000				
1999 1998	272,945,300	272,690,813	1948	146,631,000	146,093,000				
1998 1997	270,509,187	270,298,524	1947 1046	144,126,000	143,446,000 140,054,000				
1997	267,901,000 265,556,890	267,636,061 265,283,783	1946 1945	141,389,000 139,928,000	132,481,000				
1996 1995	263,033,968	265,263,763 262,755,270	1945 1944	139,928,000 138,397,000	132,481,000				
1995 1994	260,650,690	260,340,990	1944 1943	136,739,000	132,885,000				
1994	258,119,768	257,783,004	1943 1942	134,860,000	133,920,000				
1993	255,457,501	255,077,536	1942	133,402,000	133,121,000				
1992	252,688,000	252,177,000	1941	131,820,000	131,669,275				
1991	249,225,000	248,709,873	1940	131,028,000	130,879,718				
1990	249,223,000	246,819,000	1939	129,969,000	129,824,939				
1989	247,342,000	240,819,000 244,499,000	1938	128,961,000	129,824,939				
1988	242,804,000	244,499,000	1937	128,181,000	128,053,180				
1987	242,804,000	242,289,000	1935	127,362,000	127,250,232				
1985	238,466,000	240,133,000	1933	126,485,000	126,373,773				
1984	236,348,000	235,825,000	1933	125,690,000	125,578,763				
1983	234,307,000	233,792,000	1932	124,949,000	124,840,471		118,903,899	 47	118,903,899
1982	232,188,000	231,664,000	1931	124,149,000	124,039,648	46	117,455,229	47	118,148,987
1981	229,966,000	229,466,000	1930	123,188,000	123,076,741	46	116,544,946	47	117,238,278
1980	227,061,000	226,545,805	1929		121,769,939	46	115,317,450	46	115,317,450
1979	225,055,000	224,567,000	1928		120,501,115	44	113,636,160	44	113,636,160
1978	222,585,000	222,095,000	1927		119,038,062	40	104,320,830	42	107,084,532
1977	220,239,000	219,760,000	1926		117,399,225	35	90,400,590	41	103,822,683
1976	218,035,000	217,563,000	1925		115,831,963	33	88,294,564	40	102,031,555
1975	215,973,000	215,465,000	1924		114,113,463	33	87,000,295	39	99,318,098
1974	213,854,000	213,342,000	1923		111,949,945	30	81,072,123	38	96,788,197
1973	211,909,000	211,357,000	1922		110,054,778	30	79,560,746	37	92,702,901
1972	209,896,000	209,284,000	1921		108,541,489	27	70,807,090	34	87,814,447
1971	207,661,000	206,827,000	1920		106,466,420	23	63,597,307	34	86,079,263
1970	204,270,000	203,211,926	1919	105,063,000	104,512,110	22	61,212,076	33	83,157,982
1969	202,677,000	201,385,000	1918	104,550,000	103,202,801	20	55,153,782	30	79,008,412
1968	200,706,000	199,399,000	1917	103,414,000	103,265,913	20	55,197,952	27	70,234,775
1967	198,712,000	197,457,000	1916		101,965,984	11	32,944,013	26	66,971,177
1966	196,560,000	195,576,000	1915		100,549,013	10	31,096,697	24	61,894,847
1965	194,303,000	193,526,000	1914		99,117,567			24	60,963,309
1964	191,889,000	191,141,000	1913		97,226,814			23	58,156,740
1963	189,242,000	188,483,000	1912		95,331,300			22	54,847,700
1962	186,538,000	185,771,000	1911		93,867,814			22	53,929,644
1961	183,691,000	182,992,000	1910		92,406,536			20	47,470,437
1960	179,933,000	179,323,175	1909		90,491,525			18	44,223,513
1959	177,264,000	176,513,000	1908		88,708,976			17	38,634,759
1958	174,141,000	173,320,000	1907		87,000,271			15	34,552,837
1957	171,274,000	170,371,000	1906		85,436,556			15	33,782,288
1956	168,221,000	167,306,000	1905		83,819,666			10	21,767,980
1955	165,275,000	164,308,000	1904		82,164,974			10	21,332,076
1954	162,391,000	161,164,000	1903		80,632,152			10	20,943,222
1953	159,565,000	158,242,000	1902		79,160,196			10	20,582,907
1952	156,954,000	155,687,000	1901		77,585,128			10	20,237,453
1951	154,287,000	153,310,000	1900		76,094,134			10	19,965,446

- - - Data not available.

... Category not applicable.

1/Alaska included beginning 1959 and Hawaii, 1960.

2/The District of Columbia is not included in "Number of States," but it is represented in all data shown for each year.

SOURCE: Published and unpublished data from the U.S. Bureau of the Census; see text.

Table 4-2. Estimated total population by specified Hispanic origin and estimated female population by age and specified Hispanic origin and by race for women of non-Hispanic origin: United States, 2001

			Hispanic	Non-Hispanic				
Age	Total	Mexican	Puerto Rican	Cuban	Other Hispanic 1/	Total 2/	White	Black
Total population	33,580,089					244,159,668	197,247,498	33,867,772
Female population								
15-44 years	7,915,469					52,224,115	40,737,072	8,272,507
10-14 years	1,485,159					8,395,312	6,381,537	1,510,992
15-19 years	1,404,972					8,337,453	6,409,702	1,435,133
15-17 years	827,199					4,933,323	3,788,153	848,462
18-19 years	577,773					3,404,130	2,621,549	586,671
20-24 years	1,389,655					7,908,594	6,073,152	1,364,829
25-29 years	1,303,247					7,421,708	5,637,565	1,249,912
30-34 years	1,321,283					8,583,987	6,656,246	1,335,639
35-39 years	1,320,324					9,629,022	7,614,051	1,444,897
40-44 years	1,175,988					10,343,351	8,346,356	1,442,097
45-49 years	940,263					9,453,433	7,718,844	1,244,594

[Populations estimated as of July 1]

--- Data not available.

1/ Includes Central and South American and other and unknown Hispanic.

2/ Includes races other than white and black.

NOTE: These population counts are projected from the 1990 Census ; see Technical notes in "Births: Final Data for 2001" (reference 3).

SOURCE: Population estimates based on unpublished tabulations prepared by the Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Table 4-3. Estimated population of the United States, By age, race, and sex: July 1, 2001

[Figures include Armed Forces stationed in the United States but excludes those stationed outside the United States

Ago		All races			White	Black			A	American India	n	Asian	and Pacific Isla	ander	
Age	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female
All ages	277,739,757	135,904,464	141,835,293	227,871,696	112,041,459	115,830,237	35,756,802	17,000,869	18,755,933	2,475,455	1,225,781	1,249,674	11,635,804	5,636,355	5,999,449
Under 1	3,856,856	1,969,881	1,886,975	3,031,498	1,550,625	1,480,873	589,172	299,936	289,236	45,275	22,804	22,471	190,911	96,516	94,395
1-4 years	15,225,267	7,779,333	7,445,934	12,050,231	6,168,320	5,881,911	2,257,760	1,148,456	1,109,304	167,270	84,664	82,606	750,006	377,893	372,113
5-9 years	19,540,295	9,997,067	9,543,228	15,410,742	7,894,521	7,516,221	3,004,150	1,526,723	1,477,427	210,487	106,819	103,668	914,916	469,004	445,912
10-14 years	20,248,542	10,368,071	9,880,471	15,857,891	8,130,455	7,727,436	3,248,664	1,651,614	1,597,050	253,320	128,587	124,733	888,667	457,415	431,252
15-19 years	20,041,868	10,299,443	9,742,425	15,860,954	8,174,855	7,686,099	3,085,300	1,571,727	1,513,573	241,862	121,655	120,207	853,752	431,206	422,546
15-17 years	11,877,973	6,117,451	5,760,522	9,377,870	4,839,606	4,538,264	1,835,007	939,930	895,077	148,694	75,334	73,360	516,402	262,581	253,821
18-19 years	8,163,895	4,181,992	3,981,903	6,483,084	3,335,249	3,147,835	1,250,293	631,797	618,496	93,168	46,321	46,847	337,350	168,625	168,725
20-24 years	18,961,708	9,663,459	9,298,249	15,086,839	7,744,638	7,342,201	2,854,227	1,414,242	1,439,985	210,068	105,055	105,013	810,574	399,524	411,050
25-29 years	17,412,312	8,687,357	8,724,955	13,755,092	6,927,190	6,827,902	2,535,422	1,215,208	1,320,214	191,862	98,107	93,755	929,936	446,852	483,084
30-34 years	19,649,353	9,744,083	9,905,270	15,720,783	7,864,815	7,855,968	2,664,638	1,252,126	1,412,512	187,557	96,551	91,006	1,076,375	530,591	545,784
35-39 years	21,791,880	10,842,534	10,949,346	17,708,181	8,895,925	8,812,256	2,884,485	1,359,935	1,524,550	183,101	92,707	90,394	1,016,113	493,967	522,146
40-44 years	22,887,447	11,368,108	11,519,339	18,887,894	9,474,478	9,413,416	2,854,827	1,342,369	1,512,458	179,473	88,756	90,717	965,253	462,505	502,748
45-49 years	20,445,332	10,051,636	10,393,696	17,047,952	8,475,741	8,572,211	2,410,711	1,110,013	1,300,698	152,233	73,813	78,420	834,436	392,069	442,367
50-54 years	18,173,488	8,843,481	9,330,007	15,419,366	7,592,525	7,826,841	1,942,712	873,844	1,068,868	123,319	59,334	63,985	688,091	317,778	370,313
55-59 years	13,580,428	6,522,177	7,058,251	11,656,657	5,663,080	5,993,577	1,358,771	593,868	764,903	89,606	42,397	47,209	475,394	222,832	252,562
60-64 years	10,931,715	5,171,318	5,760,397	9,386,820	4,495,184	4,891,636	1,108,309	472,802	635,507	68,281	31,638	36,643	368,305	171,694	196,611
65-69 years	9,393,888	4,326,040	5,067,848	8,105,367	3,773,032	4,332,335	947,451	403,415	544,036	52,453	23,636	28,817	288,617	125,957	162,660
70-74 years	8,732,744	3,884,149	4,848,595	7,690,757	3,450,507	4,240,250	766,811	318,758	448,053	41,962	18,806	23,156	233,214	96,078	137,136
75-79 years	7,389,045	3,096,892	4,292,153	6,616,409	2,787,363	3,829,046	568,048	224,144	343,904	33,294	14,546	18,748	171,294	70,839	100,455
80-84 years	5,058,468	1,941,718	3,116,750	4,587,797	1,766,085	2,821,712	350,747	125,651	225,096	21,004	8,596	12,408	98,920	41,386	57,534
85 years +	4,419,121	1,347,717	3,071,404	3,990,466	1,212,120	2,778,346	324,597	96,038	228,559	23,028	7,310	15,718	81,030	32,249	48,781

NOTE: These population counts are projected from the 1990 Census ; see Technical notes in "Births: Final Data for 2001" (reference 3). SOURCE: Published and unpublished data from the U.S. Census Bureau; see text.

34

Table 4-4. Estimated total population and female population aged 15-44 years: United States, each division, State, and territory: July 1, 2001

[Figures include Armed Forces stationed in each area and exclude those stationed outside the United States]

Division and States	Total	Female 15-44 years
United States	277,739,753	62,052,680
New England	13,647,166	3,067,248
Maine	1,267,386	283,807
New Hampshire	1,232,029	291,129
Vermont	602,488	137,229
Massachusetts	6,232,327	1,411,588
Rhode Island	1,001,670	221,482
Connecticut	3,311,266	722,013
Middle Atlantic	38,561,819	8,437,311
New York	18,327,575	4,064,143
New Jersey	8,254,564	1,802,398
Pennsylvania	11,979,680	2,570,770
East North Central	44,841,881	10,057,954
Ohio	11,284,989	2,529,991
Indiana	6,011,300	1,350,561
Illinois	12,238,939	2,731,073
Michigan	9,968,450	2,259,605
Wisconsin	5,338,203	1,186,724
West North Central	19,015,869	4,201,883
Minnesota	4,878,585	1,100,897
Iowa	2,883,615	613,917
Missouri	5,535,111	1,234,938
North Dakota	625,708	133,243
South Dakota	741,325	160,388
Nebraska	1,674,652	368,278
Kansas	2,676,873	590,222
South Atlantic Delaware Maryland District of Columbia Virginia West Virginia North Carolina South Carolina Georgia Florida	$50,871,052 \\771,196 \\5,262,721 \\516,852 \\7,060,938 \\1,797,517 \\7,845,312 \\3,963,548 \\8,099,596 \\15,553,372$	11,342,347 179,822 1,238,132 121,442 1,670,550 378,343 1,734,894 913,409 1,934,503 3,171,252
East South Central	16,797,302	3,802,042
Kentucky	4,010,466	903,750
Tennessee	5,581,766	1,255,644
Alabama	4,402,769	996,931
Mississippi	2,802,301	645,717
West South Central	31,080,014	7,025,751
Arkansas	2,594,034	565,733
Louisiana	4,376,098	1,003,991
Oklahoma	3,390,784	731,957
Texas	20,719,098	4,724,070
Mounatain	17,782,291	3,874,849
Montana	892,848	183,941
Idaho	1,295,000	284,338
Wyoming	482,491	102,278
Colorado	4,217,396	929,445
New Mexico	1,756,083	385,979
Arizona	4,992,530	1,056,634
Utah	2,196,647	522,703
Nevada	1,949,296	409,531
Pacific	45,142,359	10,243,295
Washington	5,869,098	1,326,245
Oregon	3,368,575	717,232
California	34,100,883	7,816,028
Alaska	625,356	138,027
Hawaii	1,178,447	245,763
Puerto Rico	3,937,316	1,064,084
Virgin Islands	122,211	31,459
Guam	157,557	37,377
American Samoa	67,084	17,718
Northern Marianas	74,612	27,857

NOTE: These population counts are projected from the 1990 Census ; see Technical notes in "Births: Final Data for 2001" (reference 3).

Source: Published and unpublished data from the U.S. Census Bureau.