Conventional wisdom on the Eurozone debt crisis:

Having lost the ability to inflate away their debts, Eurozone governments are now vulnerable to roll-over crises. Conventional wisdom on the Eurozone debt crisis:

Having lost the ability to inflate away their debts, Eurozone governments are now vulnerable to roll-over crises.

Question:

How is vulnerability to roll-over crises affected by the ability to inflate? Conventional wisdom on the Eurozone debt crisis:

Having lost the ability to inflate away their debts, Eurozone governments are now vulnerable to roll-over crises.

Question:

How is vulnerability to roll-over crises affected by the ability to inflate?

In this paper

- Model limited commitment to repayment and inflation
- Ability to inflate makes
  - Countries more vulnerable if inflation costs are low
  - Opposite if inflation costs are high



# Cost of inflation: $\psi$



Key parameter

## Cost of inflation: $\psi$



Key parameter

## Cost of inflation: $\psi$



▶ Builds on Cole and Kehoe (00), external debt

- ▶ Builds on Cole and Kehoe (00), external debt
- Small open economy, world risk free rate:  $r^{\star}$
- ► Constant endowment, y; and an initial level of debt
- Benevolent government that issues nominal bonds

- Builds on Cole and Kehoe (00), external debt
- Small open economy, world risk free rate:  $r^{\star}$
- ▶ Constant endowment, y; and an initial level of debt
- Benevolent government that issues nominal bonds
- Utility:

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-r^*t}(u(c_t)-\psi\pi_t)dt$$

consumption:  $c_t$ ; inflation rate:  $\pi_t \in [0, \bar{\pi}]$ 

- Builds on Cole and Kehoe (00), external debt
- Small open economy, world risk free rate:  $r^{\star}$
- ▶ Constant endowment, y; and an initial level of debt
- Benevolent government that issues nominal bonds
- Utility:

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-r^*t}(u(c_t)-\psi\pi_t)dt$$

consumption:  $c_t$ ; inflation rate:  $\pi_t \in [0, \bar{\pi}]$ 

Real value of bonds, b:

$$\dot{b}_t = c_t - y + (r_t - \pi_t)b_t$$

- ▶ Builds on Cole and Kehoe (00), external debt
- Small open economy, world risk free rate:  $r^{\star}$
- ▶ Constant endowment, y; and an initial level of debt
- Benevolent government that issues nominal bonds
- Utility:

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-r^\star t} (u(c_t) - \psi \pi_t) dt$$

consumption:  $c_t$ ; inflation rate:  $\pi_t \in [0, \bar{\pi}]$ 

Real value of bonds, b:

$$\dot{b}_t = c_t - y + (r_t - \pi_t)b_t$$

• Government lacks commitment vis-a-vis  $\pi$  and debt repayment

- ▶ Builds on Cole and Kehoe (00), external debt
- Small open economy, world risk free rate:  $r^{\star}$
- Constant endowment, y; and an initial level of debt
- Benevolent government that issues nominal bonds
- Utility:

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-r^\star t} (u(c_t) - \psi \pi_t) dt$$

consumption:  $c_t$ ; inflation rate:  $\pi_t \in [0, \bar{\pi}]$ 

Real value of bonds, b:

$$\dot{b}_t = c_t - y + (r_t - \pi_t)b_t$$

- Government lacks commitment vis-a-vis  $\pi$  and debt repayment
- Government chooses c,  $\pi$ , and default taking as given an equilibrium interest rate schedule r(b).

### Lack of commitment

A. With the ability to inflate

- Government can default: value <u>V</u>
  - ▶ loses access to international financial markets + other costs
- Government can inflate ex-post:  $\psi\pi$  with  $\pi\in[0,ar{\pi}]$ 
  - does not lose access

### Lack of commitment

A. With the ability to inflate

- Government can default: value <u>V</u>
  - ▶ loses access to international financial markets + other costs
- Government can inflate ex-post:  $\psi\pi$  with  $\pi\in[0,ar{\pi}]$ 
  - does not lose access
- B. Without the ability to inflate

 $\blacktriangleright \ {\rm Set} \ \psi = \infty$ 

### Lack of commitment

A. With the ability to inflate

- Government can default: value <u>V</u>
  - ▶ loses access to international financial markets + other costs
- Government can inflate ex-post:  $\psi\pi$  with  $\pi\in[0,ar{\pi}]$ 
  - does not lose access
- B. Without the ability to inflate

• Set 
$$\psi = \infty$$

Which scenario (A vs. B) makes a country less vulnerable?

### Equilibrium interest rate schedule of lenders

going back to that r(b)

$$r(b) = r^{\star} + \pi(b) + \lambda(b)$$

- where  $\pi(b)$  is the inflation strategy of the government
- and  $\lambda(b)$  is the default probability (including sunspots)

### Equilibrium interest rate schedule of lenders

going back to that r(b)

 $r(b) = r^{\star} + \pi(b) + \lambda(b)$ 

- where  $\pi(b)$  is the inflation strategy of the government
- and  $\lambda(b)$  is the default probability (including sunspots)

Coordination problem of the lenders For high values of debt:

- ▶ if each lender thinks all other lenders will roll-over, no crises
- if each lender thinks all other lenders will <u>not</u> roll-over, then debt run

### **Regions of Multiplicity**

Constructing debt runs

Suppose the government cannot roll over

- Suppose the government cannot roll over
- ▶ To avoid default, needs to repay within a grace period
  - may rely heavily on inflation to do this
  - value of repayment depends on cost of inflation
  - value of repayment depends also on debt and interest rate

- Suppose the government cannot roll over
- ▶ To avoid default, needs to repay within a grace period
  - may rely heavily on inflation to do this
  - value of repayment depends on cost of inflation
  - value of repayment depends also on debt and interest rate
- $\blacktriangleright$  If the value of repayment is below the default value,  $\underline{V}$ 
  - roll-over crisis is self-fulfilling: <u>vulnerable</u> to crisis

- Suppose the government cannot roll over
- ▶ To avoid default, needs to repay within a grace period
  - may rely heavily on inflation to do this
  - value of repayment depends on cost of inflation
  - value of repayment depends also on debt and interest rate
- If the value of repayment is below the default value,  $\underline{V}$ 
  - roll-over crisis is self-fulfilling: <u>vulnerable</u> to crisis

Properties:

▶ Vulnerability cutoff level  $b_{\lambda}$ : Safe for  $b \le b_{\lambda}$ , vulnerable  $b > b_{\lambda}$ 

- Suppose the government cannot roll over
- ▶ To avoid default, needs to repay within a grace period
  - may rely heavily on inflation to do this
  - value of repayment depends on cost of inflation
  - value of repayment depends also on debt and interest rate
- If the value of repayment is below the default value,  $\underline{V}$ 
  - roll-over crisis is self-fulfilling: <u>vulnerable</u> to crisis

Properties:

▶ Vulnerability cutoff level  $b_{\lambda}$ : Safe for  $b \le b_{\lambda}$ , vulnerable  $b > b_{\lambda}$ 

Question

► How does the vulnerability cutoff (b<sub>λ</sub>) depend on the ability to inflate?





Two extremes cases:  $\psi=0$  and  $\psi=\infty$ 

In the first: inflate all the time In the second: never inflate Same vulnerability: inflation is not state contingent



#### More generally two **opposite** effects when $\psi$ increases

Increases the cost of repaying in case of a run

It may reduce equilibrium inflation Reduces equilibrium interest rate

#### Û

Reduces the cost of repaying in case of a run



More generally two **opposite** effects when  $\psi$  increases



It may reduce equilibrium inflation Reduces equilibrium interest rate

Reduces the cost of repaying in case of a run



More generally two **opposite** effects when  $\psi$  increases





A government with low cost of inflation (shaded area) better served without the option to inflate

- It reduces vulnerability region
- Lowers the temptation for inflation
- (And raises the borrowing limit)

### Conclusion

#### A country with low inflation costs

is not made less vulnerable to sovereign debt crises by abandoning a monetary union

Inflation is indeed a tool that grants flexibility

- But can be misused ex-ante
- Rendering powerless ex-post