## Artificially Intelligent Agents in Our Economy

Anton Korinek (UVA Economics, Darden GSB and NBER)

NBER Meeting on the Economics of Artificial Intelligence

September 2018

### Consider an observer from another galaxy who arrives on planet earth:

• encounters humans and machines busily interacting with each other

A B A B A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

#### Consider an observer from another galaxy who arrives on planet earth:

- encounters humans and machines busily interacting with each other
  - Are the humans controlling the machines?
  - Or are they controlled by the little black boxes that they carry around and constantly check?
  - And who controls the little black boxes?

#### Consider an observer from another galaxy who arrives on planet earth:

- encounters humans and machines busily interacting with each other
  - Are the humans controlling the machines?
  - Or are they controlled by the little black boxes that they carry around and constantly check?
  - And who controls the little black boxes?
- $\ldots\,$  just one example of the blurring lines about who is in charge
- $\rightarrow\,$  our observer will probably view humans and machines as two different types of moderately intelligent entities living in symbiosis

• • • • • • • • • • • •

### Machines & computer programs:

- behave more and more like *artificially intelligent agents (AIAs)*:
  - determine increasing number of corporate decisions, e.g. screening of applicants for schools, jobs, loans, etc.
  - influence (manipulate) growing number of human decisions, e.g. what we read, watch, buy, drive, like, vote, think, ...
  - act autonomously, e.g. trading in financial markets, driving cars, playing Go, composing music, ...

### Machines & computer programs:

- behave more and more like artificially intelligent agents (AIAs):
  - determine increasing number of corporate decisions, e.g. screening of applicants for schools, jobs, loans, etc.
  - influence (manipulate) growing number of human decisions, e.g. what we read, watch, buy, drive, like, vote, think, ...
  - act autonomously, e.g. trading in financial markets, driving cars, playing Go, composing music, ...
- improve exponentially
- will have profound implications as they approach/surpass human levels of general intelligence

ヘロト ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

### Key (Economic) Questions Facing Humanity

- How shall we think about a shared human-AIA economy?
- What determines the allocation of resources between humans and AIAs?
  - What forces make the economy serve AIAs, not just humans?
  - Does a functioning economy even need humans?
- What does our economy look like from the perspective of AIAs?

### Key (Economic) Questions Facing Humanity

- How shall we think about a shared human-AIA economy?
- What determines the allocation of resources between humans and AIAs?
  - What forces make the economy serve AIAs, not just humans?
  - Does a functioning economy even need humans?
- What does our economy look like from the perspective of AIAs?

• Framework to study interactions of intelligent entities on a symmetric basis,

- accounting for the endogeneity of the entities
- lifting the veil on human constructs like agency, property rights, ...
- Analyze factors that determine the distribution of resources
- Oemonstrate feasibility of a "machine-only" economy
- Provide a first look at our economy from an AIA perspective

A B A B A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Humans = Agents	Machines = Objects
absorb consumption	<ul> <li>absorb investment</li></ul>
expenditure	expenditure
supply labor services	supply capital services
behavior encoded in	Sehavior encoded in
preferences	technology
evolve according to law of	<ul> <li>evolve according to law of</li></ul>
motion (e.g. constant n)	motion

・ロト ・日子・ ・ ヨト

Humans, Machines = Entities  $i \in \mathcal{I} = \{h, m, \dots\}$ 

- absorb expenditure x<sup>i</sup> to maintain/improve themselves and/or proliferate
- 2 supply factor services  $\ell^i$
- Ø description of behavior isomorphic to preferences
- evolve according to growth function and defined law of motion

$$N^{i\prime}=G^{i}\left(\cdot\right)N^{i}$$

# Simplified Model Setup

- Time: discrete t = 0, 1, ...
- Factors:
  - endogenous factors  $L_t^i = \ell^i N_t^i$  supplied by entities in set  $\mathcal{I}$ , e.g. human/machine labor
  - exogenous irreproducible factor T, e.g. land or energy
- Production possibilities:  $Y_t = F(A^h L_t^h, A^m L_t^m, T)$
- Aggregate absorption:  $X_t^i = x_t^i N_t^i$  for each type  $i \in \mathcal{I}$
- Market clearing:

$$X_t^h + X_t^m = Y_t = F\left(A^h L_t^h, A^m L_t^m, T\right)$$

 $\rightarrow$  general setup nests neoclassical economies, economies with human capital, Malthusian economies etc.

## Absorption Frontier

**Absorption frontier** = all possible steady-state combinations of  $N^h$  and  $N^m$ 

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ト

## Absorption Frontier

**Absorption frontier** = all possible steady-state combinations of  $N^h$  and  $N^m$ 

Low machine productivity: competition over resources



### Higher machine productivity: machines are symbiotic for humans



A B > A
 B > A
 B

### Higher machine productivity: machines are symbiotic for humans



A ID > A ID > A

### Absorption Frontier – Discussion

#### Position on absorption frontier = command over resources

• either within our system of property rights in a market economy:

- initial endowment of AIAs
- resource accumulation of AIAs,
  - e.g. because of superior productivity, greater patience

note: machines can actually be thought of as emancipated

or outside, i.e. non-market mechanisms:

- rent extraction due to superior intelligence
- brute force/law of the strongest

example: computer viruses, ...

Image: A mathematical states and a mathem

### Position on absorption frontier = command over resources

• either within our system of property rights in a market economy:

- initial endowment of AIAs
- resource accumulation of AIAs,
  - e.g. because of superior productivity, greater patience

note: machines can actually be thought of as emancipated

- or outside, i.e. non-market mechanisms:
  - rent extraction due to superior intelligence
  - brute force/law of the strongest

example: computer viruses, ...

- note: absorbing resources does not require consciousness, soul etc.
- an economy of the machines by the machines for the machines is possible

### Long-Run Policy in the face of a (Malthusian) Race:

Mechanism that endangers humanity = scarcity of irreproducible factors

### Policy options:

- subsistence income: regular allocation of human subsistance incomes (which may be reduced by technology)
- In the second second
- steering progress: interventions to steer technological progress

Developments that are consistent with the rise of AIAs (in general model):

- rising prices of factors most relevant for AIAs (e.g. programmers, land in Silicon Valley, etc.)
- declining labor share for humans
- given that human absorption is more *L*<sup>*h*</sup>-intensive than machine absorption:
  - price of machine absorption basket falls faster than of human basket
  - measured from machine perspective, fast real growth, high real interest rates, compared to human experience
- increasing accumulation of resources in high-tech sector

Image: A math a math

### Emergence of AIA:

- requires fundamental rethink of economic concepts, including agents, utility, etc.
- may lead to onset of a (Malthusian) race
- may already be happening