This PDF is a selection from an out-of-print volume from the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: Studies in Income and Wealth, Volume 15

Volume Author/Editor: Conference on Research in Income and Wealth

Volume Publisher: NBER

Volume ISBN: 0-870-14170-8

Volume URL: http://www.nber.org/books/unkn52-1

Publication Date: 1952


Chapter Title: Index

Chapter Author: Conference on Research in Income and Wealth

Chapter URL: http://www.nber.org/chapters/c9771

Chapter pages in book: (p. 215 - 229)

Index



(Page numbers in italics refer to tables or charts.)

and savings, 106, 108-9, 114-5

American Economic Association, 31

	oavings, 100, 100-9, 114-3
аммон, отто, 32, 34	farm
Asset	expenditure, family
liquid	early surveys, 148-52
holdings, analyzing life cycle of, 102	first national survey classified by
holdings compared to income, 84-5	total net income, 152-3
holdings concentration among	income change affecting, 153
spending unit age groups, 85	other national surveys, 153-4
by income groups, 85-6, 86, 87	family budget, most recent for de-
tendency of, 86-7	riving, 184
holdings, mean ratio, 84	income, family
holdings and savings-income-age re-	laborer cash wage or salary, by
lationship, 100	region, 52
nonliquid, ownership concentration	operator state and regional esti-
among spending unit age groups,	mates, 52
89	total, by region, 52
representing deferred expenditure, 4	savings, family, for combinations of
Atwater scale, 14	communities with different in-
	come distributions, 121
	farm and urban family income-ex-
Basic data	penditure, 184
age differences in consumer income-	income
expenditures-savings, 78, 88, 100	inconsistences between
consumption, accumulation of, 7	urban family and labor income,
cost of living, 127n	by region, 51, 63
expenditure, family	urban white family regional in-
accounting income concept in, 185	come changes and southern
automobiles and other selected du-	per capita payment trend
rables, postwar, for different	relative to national average.
ages, 91	51, 64, 65, 66
complete consumer array, in differ-	manufacturing worker earnings, by
ent age groups, 90	region and city size, 60
families classified for; see Farm op-	payments by type, 64
erator, families classified by	income, family
income concept for; see Farm oper-	accumulation of, 7
ator, income concept	classified by region, color, and com-
large scale, 214	munity size, 54, 56

rural categories as not comparable. and expenditure and savings, national surveys, 133 urban white family income, by region. wage earner and low salary, 108-9 73 labor force turnover, monthly, 41 valuations needed, 210-2 nonliquid asset ownership, for differ-BERGSON, ABRAM, 32n ent ages, 88, 89 BLACK, J. D., 141n research needed BORTKIEWICZ, VON, LADISLAUS, 29n age patterns of economic status and BOWMAN, M. J., 27n, 31 consumer behavior, 88, 102 BRADY, D. S., 2, 7, 102n, 105n, 155, 162n. on causes of earned income in-170, 177n, 178n, 179 Bureau of Agricultural Economics equality compensating factors, 205 (BAE), 53n, 65, 148n differences in ability, 207 Bureau of the Census, 39, 41, 43, 45, 46, inter-group migration, 205-6 47, 54, 56, 146n intra-group differences in experi-Bureau of Human Nutrition and Home ence, 206-7 Economics, 69n, 135n, 148n, 158n. restricting factors, 205 current mean purchases by income Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), 21, class supplemented by studies 177, 180, 184n, 188 of replacement rates, 182 expenditure-savings relation Cammain scale; see Equivalence scale cross-section and changes over CANNAN, EDWIN, 31n time, analyses of, 208-10 **CANNING, J. B., 148** shift from, to income use pattern, Census of Agriculture, 54, 67 213 Census Bureau Current Population Surincome size distribution vey, 70 by community and date, 129 Census of Manufactures, 60, 61n, 62, 63 longer periods, 204 COCHRANE, W. W., 153, 154, 158, 163, 165 wider variety of areas, U.S. and Conference on the Evolution of Social foreign, 204 Institutions in America, 31 liquid asset holdings-annual income-Consumers Purchases Study (CPS), 22, age interrelationships, 87-8 23, 52, 54, 64, 90, 109, 114, 133, and savings, 100 136, 137, 145, 151 ff., 194 rural farm family wage and salary in-Consumption; see also Expenditure come by region, 52 behavior, 1, 5-6 rural farm and rural nonfarm family in community comparison, 107, 127-8 income, white and negro, 2 southdata: see Basic data ern counties, 67, 68, 69, 73-4 function, 6, 213 savings patterns for all age groups, by income elasticity of, 179, 180 type of community, negro and and income-savings relation, 2-3 white, 102n life cycle, 102 urban family food consumption, 194, pattern, family analysis of, 11-2 urban individual, civilian money earnfactors affecting, 11 ings of, 59 food, U. S., 180, 193-4 measurement of; see Equivalence urban-rural family and individual wage or salary, by region, color and scale of part period earners, 45 sex, 57 by major occupation of head, 58 wage earners', 2-3

requirements, on ratios of equivalence	Equivalence scale, ammain-fam-
for; see Equivalence scale	main
research, 11	Expenditure; see also Consumption
vs. saving and investment, 3	behavior, 6, 181
COPELAND, M. A., 31n	of broken families, 45
cowles, M. L., 149n, 151n,	deferred, 4
	family
DALTON, HUGH, 28n, 30, 31, 32n	age influencing, 21, 22-3
DARWIN, CHARLES, 32	at average community level, 105-6,
DAVENPORT, H. J., 31n	107-8, 110, 187
DAVIS, H. T., 33, 34	life cycle of, 80
DAVIS, J. S., 77n	number in, influencing, 23, 24
Department of Agriculture, 133, 137n,	total, excluding food and housing,
148n	122-3
Department of Commerce, 64	family, among different age groups
Department of Labor, 133, 214	automobiles and other selected
DE PUY, G. s., 22n, 75	durables, 91
DICKINS, DOROTHY, 73, 74	average total, 90
DOUGLAS, P. H., 170n	average value of living, 90
DUBLIN, L. I., 79n	daily, for food, clothing, and house-
DUESENBERRY, J. S., 5, 7, 105n, 140n, 208	hold, 80
	durables and houses vs. household
S	operation, 90-1
Equivalence scale	durables, in income-savings rela-
ammain; see also Equivalence scale,	tion, 99-100
fammain	durables and other large outlays, 80
defined, 12	food and housing, correlating with
economic vs. normative criterion	community income level, 122,
for, 12	123, 127
uses of, 12, 19-20	farm; see Farm; Farm operator
ammain-fammain	nonfarm; see Nonfarm
as measurement of economic wel-	rural nonfarm; see Rural nonfarm
fare, 18-9	vs. saving for investment, 3-4
procedures for deriving, 13	urban; see Urban
cammain, 19	_
for clothing; see Equivalence scale,	FABRICANT, SOLOMON, 36n
cammain	Fammain scale; see Equivalence scale
fammain	Farm; see also Farm operator; Farm-
construction of, 15-9	urban equivalence
defined 14-5, 19	expenditure, 168
Sydenstricker-King type, 13, 14, 16,	income; see also Income regional dif-
17	ferences
for food; see Equivalence scale, fam-	average family, compared to aver-
main	age wage earner family, 122,
method of determining	122
for incomes of different size fami-	average wages, 51, 53, 54
lies, 21-4; see also Equivalence	data; see Basic data
scale, ammain; cammain; fam-	per capita changes compared to non-
main	farm, 65
for total family expenditure; see	population; see Population

savings, average family at average community income level. by income size, 121 high-low range among communities. 111. 112-4 and income-expenditure 'normal form' pattern, 105-6 compared to projected nonfarm come. 134 savings, 121 compared to average wage earner family, 122, 122 135 compared to nonfarm, 121, 121 plus food and housing expenditures, by average income size, 127 sharecropper expenditure, negro and white, 137, income nonfarm, 133 negro, 69 point elasticity of negro compared to white, 54, 137 Farm Management Association, 165 Farm operator; see also Farm; Farmurban equivalence 168 consumption, family clothing quantity maximized before 168 quality, 180 home-produced food, 194 dwelling, value of, related to gross money income and machinery replacement outlays, 156 and average total net income and family consumption, 157-8 expenditure, see also Farm operator, family budget related to cows per farm, 150 and size and number of families. by income size, 151 and family expenditure related to average net money income, 159, 160 related to total net income, 161. 162 ple, 186 related to gross income, 158-9, 162, 163. 163 192, 193 low operating, 168-9 machinery replacement, compared to gross money income and to value of dwelling, 156 and average total net income and of clothing, by net cash infamily consumption, 157-8 come size, 191

related to net cash income, 150 and size and number of families by income size, 151 related to owner's equity, 150 and size and number of families by income size, 151 expenditure, average family related to average net money inand size and number of families. by net money income size. clothing, share of budget, 183-4 clothing and total, and average size. number, and % of families, by net money income size, 186 food, share of budget, 183 income elasticity of, compared to in relation to farm expense ratios. at net money income, 167 in relation to net money income, for entire expenditure curve, and farm expense ratios and ranks. 165-6 expenditure, family living compared to net money income, 140 at median income, 136, 138 compared to nonfarm, 133, 138 expenditure, farm operation, as % of total gross income, 163 family budget, determination of, 178-9 quantity-income elasticity method; see also Methodology, quantity-income elasticity budget-type families, for clothing and total expenditures, compared to total national samclothing budget, 189-90, 191-2, clothing expenditure, quantity and price of clothing, by net cash income size, 189, 189-90 expenditure, quantity, and price

implications of, 180-1 joint farm and family expenses in. maximum elasticity, 180, 181 nonmoney income in, 189 modification of, reasons for, 154 quantity maximized before qualneed for several, 141 ity, 180 regional differences in 187-8 nonfarm sector of farm in, 141-2 families classified by 'spendable income', 141-2 correlation analysis, 173-4 time unit measuring income change cows per farm, 149, 150, 151 in, 146-7, 149, 150, 152-3, 154 'disposable net income', 148 income-expenditure family nattern expenditures, 170 compared to nonfarm, 133-4, gross cash receipts, 150, 152 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140 income of earlier years, 172-3 Parmers Home Administration (PHA), net cash income, 149 148n, 154, 159, 164-5, 168 net worth, 171-2 Farm-urban cuuivalence owner's equity, 149, 150, 151 measurement total net income, 152-3 cost of single set of items, 177 income; see also Income regional difequivalent budgets, 177 ferences family budget determined for, 177-9 atypical, 139, 159, 164 clothing budget differences, 191-2, average annual net, 52 192, 193 average family, negro compared to food budget in, 193, 200 white, southern, 54 index of retail price movements, 177 average, from farming, 53 nonmoney income in, 177, 174 cash and noncash parallel studies at income level, 177 compared to nonfarm, southern, 'parity ratio', 177 67, 68, 69-70 'purchasing power', 177 negro compared to white, south-Federal Employees Study, 15 ern, 67, 68, 69-70 Federal Reserve Board, 39, 159n data: see Basic data FISHER, IRVING, 31 FINHER, J. A., 7, 75, 749, 99 definition of, compared to nonfarm entrepreneur, 133n Food Consumption Surveys, 194n gross money, 156, 157-8 PRECHET, MALEKE, 340 FRIEDMAN, MICTOR, 6, 104, 1700, 172, many kinds, 184-5 173, 205 net, defined, 52n net money plus value of home-pro-PREEDMAN, B. D., 1928, 1950 duced food, 74 nonfarm sector of farm, 67, 74, 141 megro compared to white, south-GALTON, SER PRAMILIS, 32, 34 cm, 68, 69 CARTY, CHUMCE, 2 South compared to national aver-OBNE COMBASON, 27, 28, 34 age, 54 Gini's concentration ratio, 27, 28-30 per capita change, southern farm CALAMITH, ZAY WIND, 4 relative to postarm, 65 COLUMNITIES S. F., MIN MICCORDE CORRECTAT **СВОКС**, 66, 0., 153, 154, 158, 163, 165 allowance for depreciation in, 153 CHEMBICAN, RYPLYN, GYD form expense we capital outlay in, 143-4, 152, 155 income in kind in 142-3, 152 Marine F. A., 36st. 176s incremery changes in, 144-5, 154

Income

HAUSER, PHILIP, 77n HICKS, J. R., 147

aggregate, for different ages, related to spending unit population, 81 average community level of, changes during year, 106-7 average, for different ages compared to average liquid asset holdings, 84 average savings as % of, 92-3 behavior, studying components of, 1 comparison, method of; see Equivalence scale compulsive element of, 208 concentration, degree of, related to age, 83-4 concentration among spending unit age groups, 82, 83 among dissavers, 94 and liquid asset holdings, 85-7 % saved, 93, 97, 98, 99 tendency of, 86-7 and consumption-saving relation, 2-3 data: see Basic data as determinant of consumption, 31 equality and welfare economics, 35 family, life cycle, 80, 81 farm; see Farm; Farm operator group, highest, on investment of, 5 inequality; see also Income size distribution causes, 30-1, 32-5, 205-7 measurement; see Gini's concentration ratio; Lorenz curve standard of reference absolute equality or inequality as. 27-8, 35 less absolute inequality as, 28-9 reflecting laws of nature, 32-3, 34 socially desirable minimum inequality as, 30 instability, 2-3, 5 level and age, 39-40 and degree of urbanization, 40 Lorenz curves for different age groups, 83

compared to savings mean ratio, 97 median position, use of, 137n national, and changing population ace. 77 national balance sheet vs. household concepts in analysis of, 78 noncash: see Income, nonmonev nonfarm; see Nonfarm nonmoney, 68, 70, 142-3, 153, 177. 178, 189 permanent component, 142, 144, 146-7, 169, 171, 172-3 permissive element of, 208 property, 64 pyramid, 32 recipient; see Income recipient regional differences; see Income regional differences rural nonfarm; see Rural nonfarm saved in aging population, 92 -savings ratios in upper income bracket, 105 size distribution; see Income size distribution stability, 2, 3 structure and aging population, 84 transitory component, 2-3, 5, 6, 136n, as major problem, 169 measurement of, 172-3 urban; see Urban uses, 214 wage earner family compared with farm family, 122, 122 year to year variability affecting expenditure, 138-9 Income recipient family, number in, 46, 47 farm family, 46 part period; see Labor force, part period worker retired units, 46 Income regional differences farm family, southern compared to non-southern, 187 farm labor, average wage without board, 53 farm operator, 52

mean ratio, for different ages, 81

INDEX 223

average labor return from farming, compared to non-southern, 64 southern white nonwage, compared farm, per capita changes, South to non-southern, 64 relative to national average, 65 white, in higher paying occupations, compared to non-South, 65 58, 64 relative to nonfarm, 65 Income size distribution; see also Incompared to non-South, 65 come, equality; Income, inequality; nonfarm family Income regional differences hypothetical mean income for standaffecting Maginot line, 34n ardized occupational distribuaffecting Spanish Civil War, 34n tion, by community size, 55 analysis southern relative to non-southern, approach to, 1-2, 47 51 changes, 37, 44 negro, 51 difficulties of, 40 white, 51, 63, 65-6, 70, 71 vs. average income, for different ages. white, in same size community, 51, 81-2 54, 57, 71 broken families affecting, 40, 43 nonfarm, per capita changes, South, empirical white relative to national averapplying criterion of equality to, 41n age, 66 vs. hypothetical, 38, 101-2 per capita changes, South, relative to vs. statistical equality, 37 national average, 64 entrepreneurial, 44 rural nonfarm concentration areas not factors influencing, 37, 38, 39 comparable, 57 family rural nonfarm, per capita changes, actual compared to assumed equal-South, white relative to nonity per capita, 36 South, 65 changes in, measurement of, 45 urban income from social security benefits manufacturing, average wage earnand assistance, 45-6 er's in, supplementary earners affecting, 47 labor, 44, 45 North as % of South, by city size, hourly, 61 labor force turnover affecting, 40, 41-3, 44, 45 North as % of South, by commuvs. liquid asset holdings distribution, nity size, annually, 62 North and West as % of South. for different ages, 85-6 'movement toward equality' of, on changes in, 63 median family total money, by measuring, 37 natural, 32-3, 34 white male head, by size of place, 70, 71 normative, 30-1, 35 median family wage and salary, by normative valuations, 210-2 optimum, equalitarian principle of, major occupation of family 35-6 head, 59 part period income recipients affecting, median family, white and nonwhite, 40, 41-2, 43-4, 45 56 median individual civilian money personal, factors determining, 39 saving and investment related to, 4 earnings social security program affecting, 46 all earners, 59 'synthetic' vs. 'analytic', 31 full time earners, 59, 60 median individual wage or salary, 58 theories of, 30-5 Inequality; see Income, inequality southern white family property

Investment, 3, 4-5; see also Asset; Savings

Joint Committee on the Economic Report, 43n

KATONA, GEORGE, 78n
KELLOGO, L. S., 177n
KING, W. I., 13, 14, 16, 79n, 204n
KIRKPATRICK, E. L., 148, 149, 151n
KLARMAN, H. E., 54, 55, 56n
KOFFSKY, NATHAN, 177
KUZNETS, SIMON, 3, 4, 31, 32, 105n, 108, 123, 138, 141, 147n, 170n, 172, 173
KYRK, HAZEL, 45, 88n

Labor force

earners per family, white, regional distribution of, 58

farm, southern

nonwhite, 52-3, 53n

white, 53n

nonfarm, southern

earners per family, relative to national average, 63-4

southern relative to non-southern earners per family, 63, 64

female, 63

white in higher paying occupations, 58, 64

part period workers, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 47

part time workers, 40n

shift in occupational demand of, southern white and nonwhite response to, 56, 71-2

turnover, 40-1, 42, 43, 44, 45

LE PLAY, P. G. F., 209

LERNER, A. P., 35

LERNER, S. M., 170n

LEWIS, H. GREGG, 170n

LORENZ, M. O., 27

Lorenz curve

analysis; see Methodology

insensitivity of, 27

standard of reference, 27

LORIA, ACHILLE, 32n

LOTKA, A. J., 79n LYND, R. S., and H. M., 79n

MACK, RUTH, 140n
MANN, J. M., 21n
MCNALL, P. E., 149n, 151n
MENDERSHAUSEN, HORST, 27, 28, 170n
Methodology

age of population changes influencing national income and savings, analysis of, 77-8

equivalence scale

ammain, derivation of, 13-4 economic size of family, measure-

ment of, 11-9

fammain, construction of, 15-8 Gini's concentration ratio analysis of income inequality, 27-30

Lorenz curve analysis, 36, 37, 38 'normal form' family savings pattern in community comparison, 106-8 analytic procedure, 106-8

implied aggregate relationships examined, 128-30

interpreting deviations, 119-21 projections to earlier and later dates,

115-8 statistical procedure, 108-9 quantity-income elasticity

consumer purchases measured by, 179-80

application, 182-3

assumptions of, 180

isolating income elasticity of quantity from price, 179

locating maximum point, 182 negative elasticities, 183

other applications, 200

procedure, 181, 184

for farm family clothing budget, 184

computing income elasticity of price, 190

family composition, 184

grouping items, 188

income classification, 184-5, 187

'personal economy' income concept, 185

price weights, 188

regional differences, 187
for urban family food budget, 196-7
elasticity of number of items
used, 99
price elasticity, 198
quantity elasticity, 196-8
savings-income-age relations, 95, 99
Mississippi Agricultural Experiment Station, 69n
MODIGLIANI, FRANCO, 105n, 208
MONROE, DAY, 22n, 75, 79n, 88n
MOORE, H. L., 33, 207n
MOYER, F. W., 214
MUSE, MARIANNE, 173

National Research Council, 193, 197n NICHOLSON, J. L., 2n Nonfarm; see also Rural nonfarm; Urban expenditure, family food and housing, plus savings, by average income, 123, *125, 126, 127*, 127-8 higher paying occupations, 64 income: see also Income regional differences average, negro compared to white, southern, 51, 65 data: see Basic data family, hypothetical mean, for standardized occupational distribution, 55 income-expenditure family pattern compared to farm, 133, 134, 134, 135, 136, 138, 139, 140 median change in, negro compared to white, southern, 65 native white nonrelief unbroken families, 55 population, see Population savings, average family at average community income level, 116, 117 by average income, 124, 126 compared to estimated average income, by income size, 116 plus food and housing expenditures, by average income, 125, 126

NORCROSS, H. C., 52

PACKER, S. A., 49, 63 PARETO, VILFREDO, 33 PEAT, H., 79n PECHMAN, J. A., 37n, 170n PIGOU, A. C., 31 **Population** age group differences in economic resources and behavior, reasons for studying, 77 farm, decline in, 65, 66, 72 growth trend, 77, 79 nonfarm redistribution, 66 rural nonfarm concentration areas of, 57 distribution, 73 southern, 65 southern breakdown of, 64-5, 66 nonwhite compared to white farm labor, 53n farm operator, 72 migration, 71, 72 urban concentration, 56, 57 southern distribution, 57, 64-5 PENNELL, M. Y., 22n, 75 PRATT, M. R., 22n, 75

ROUNTREE, B. S., 79n
ROY, A. D., 34n
Rural Family Spending and Saving in
Wartime, 184n, 185, 186
Rural nonfarm: see also Nonfarm
expenditure
average family, related to average
net money income, 134
and size and number of families,
by net money income, 135
family living, compared to net
money income, 140
at median income, 136, 138

REAGAN, B. B., 69n

REID, M. G., 7, 142n

income
cash and noncash
compared with farm, southern,
67, 68, 69-70
nonwhite compared to white,
southern, 67, 68, 69, 70

family, net money, compared to family living expenses, 140 median vs. mean, negro compared to white, southern, 69n per capita change, southern, 65 regional concentration areas, lack of comparability, 57 population; see Population

SANDERS, J. T., 148 Savings, consumer concentration among spending unit age groups, 93, 97-8, 99 dissavers, by income size, 94, 95 dissavings as % of income, 94 by income size, related to liquid asset holdings, 100 as % of income, 93, 97-8, 99 concept, replaced by warranties concept, 214 and consumption-investment relation, correlated with income change, 105 for deferred purchase, 4 definition, 3 lack of agreement of, 101 dissaving, 92, 93, 94-5, 96, 99, 100-1 vs. expenditure, 3-4, 101 family, average, at average community level, 'normal form' pattern in, 105-6 break-even point, 110, 118 composition of savings, in majority of families, 118 confirmation of, 118, 119 deviations from, 119-21 as function of income, 108 further analysis, 118 home production affecting, 123, 127 hypotheses for deriving, 106-8 implied aggregate relationships, 128negative correlation, 107, 110, 111, 112-4, 115, 121 prices affecting, 123, 127 projections to earlier and later dates, 115-8 standard of living affecting, 123, 127-8

statistical procedures for deriving. 108-9 family life cycle, 80, 102 farm: see Farm function, determinants of, 3 and income-age relation, hypothesis of. 99-100 and income in aging population, 92 and income-consumption relation, 2-3 income elasticity of among age groups, 99-100 extremely high, 3 and investment, 3, 4, 5 mean, for different ages, 92 mean ratio, for different ages, compared to income mean ratio, 92 national and changing population age, 77 in economic goal, 91 national balance sheet vs. household concepts of, 78 nonfarm; see Nonfarm wage earner family, compared to farm family, 122, 122 SCHUTZ, R. T., 37n SNYDER, CARL, 33 Social Science Research Council, 141, 144, 152 Social security program, transfer income from, 45-6 Social Security Administration, 45 Social Security Board (now Social Security Administration), 39 SPAHR, C. B., 204n Spending and Saving in Wartime (SSW), 133, 145, 153, 154, 184n Spending unit age group defined, 79 dissaving in older, 92, 93, 94, 100-1 farmer distribution in, 89 by income size, 82 dissavers, 94 by liquid asset holdings, 86 oldest vs. youngest of identical average income, 81-2 by % of income saved, 93, 97-8 by savings amount, 93, 97-8 Lorenz income curves for each, 82, 83

by nonliquid asset ownership, 89
by size of unit, 88
defined, 78
family life cycle of
expenditure, 80
income, 80
savings, 80
measuring economic size of, 11, 12,
13-9
STAEHLE, HANS, 28
STREIGHTOFF, F. H., 204n
Survey of Consumer Finances, 78, 81,
89, 91n, 115
SYDENSTRICKER, EDGAR, 13, 14, 16, 79n

TAUSSIG, F. W., 31, 34, 39 TERBORGH, GEORGE, 92n TOBIN, JAMES, 2n

University of Michigan, Survey Research Center, 75, 106n, 115
Urban; see also Farm-urban equivalence;
Nonfarm
consumption, family, food quantity
maximized before quality, 180
expenditure, average family; see also
Urban, family food budget
related to average net money income, 134

and size and number of families, by net money income size, 135 expenditure, family

family living compared to net money income, 140
at median income, 136, 138
food, share of budget, 184n
housing, share of budget, 184n
family food budget, determination of,
193-4, 196

income, family size, and food expense, by income size, 195
by quantity-income elasticity
method; see also Methodology,
quantity-income elasticity

expenditures, quantity, and price for food at home per person. by income size, 198 income level of total food items in household, U.S., 199 higher paying occupations, 58 income; see also Basic data; Income regional differen es family net money, compared to family living expenses, 140 manufacturing average wage earner and city size, hourly, 61 and community size, annually, 62 median family total money, by white male head, 70, 71 median family wage and salary, by major occupation, 59 median family, white compared to nonwhite, 56 median individual civilian money earnings, 59, 60 median individual wage or salary, 58 per capita, southern white trend, 51, 64 population; see Population

VICKREY, WILLIAM, 46n, 170

WARBURTON, CLARK, 4
Warranties, 214
Welfare
analysis, approach to, 1-2
social-cultural values of consumption
as measurement of, 6
WHELPTON, P. K., 77n, 79n
WIEHL, DOROTHY, 79n
WILLIAMS, F. M., 148n, 155
"wolf point", 34n

YOUNG, A. A., 30

ZIMMERMAN, C. C., 148n

PROSPECTIVE AND RECENT NATIONAL BUREAU PUBLICATIONS

BOOKS		
Mortgage Lending Experience in Agriculture Lawrence A. Jones and David Durand	(in press)	
The Volume of Corporate Bond Financing since 1900 W. Braddock Hickman	(in	press)
The Trend of Government Activity in the United States since 1900 (1952) Solomon Fabricant	288 pp.,	\$4.00
A Study of Moneyflows in the United States (1952) Morris A. Copeland	656 pp.,	\$7.50
Shares of Upper Income Groups in Income and Savings (1952) Simon Kuznets	768 pp.,	\$9.00
Wesley Clair Mitchell: The Economic Scientist (1952) Arthur F. Burns (ed.)	398 pp.,	\$4.00
Federal Grants and the Business Cycle (1952) James A. Maxwell	136 pp.,	\$2.00
Commercial Bank Activities in Urban Mortgage Financing (1952) Carl F. Behrens	152 pp.,	\$2.50
Conference on Research in Business Finance (1952)	360 pp.,	\$5.00
Conference on Business Cycles (1951)	448 pp.,	\$6.00
What Happens during Business Cycles: A Progress Report (1951) Wesley C. Mitchell	422 pp.,	\$5.00
The Transportation Industries, 1889-1946: A Study of Output, Employment, and Productivity (1951) Harold Barger	304 pp.,	\$4.00
Deterioration in the Quality of Foreign Bonds Issued in the United States, 1920-1930 (1951) Ilse Mintz	112 pp.,	\$2.00
The Nature and Tax Treatment of Capital Gains and Losses (1951) Lawrence H. Seltzer. With the assistance of Selma F. Goldsmith an M. Slade Kendrick	576 pp., d	\$7.50
Corporate Income Retention, 1915-43 (1951) Sergei P. Dobrovolsky	142 pp.,	\$2.50
Urban Real Estate Markets: Characteristics and Financing (1951) Ernest F. Fisher	208 pp.,	\$3.00
History and Policies of the Home Owner's Loan Corporation (1951) C. Lowell Harriss	224 pp.,	\$3.00

M	ventories and Business Cycles, with Special Reference to anufacturers' Inventories (1950) oses Abramovitz	672 pp.,	\$6.00
	rban Mortgage Lending by Life Insurance Companies (1950) J. Saulnier	202 pp.,	\$2 .50
Uı	The Impact of Government on Real Estate Finance in the United States (1950) Miles L. Colean	190 pp.,	\$2 .50
oc	CASIONAL PAPERS		
36	The Labor Force in War and Transition: Four Countries (1952) Clarence D. Long		\$1.00
37	Trends and Cycles in Corporate Bond Financing (1952) W. Braddock Hickman		\$.75
38	Productivity and Economic Progress (1952) Frederick C. Mills		\$.75
TEC	CHNICAL PAPER		
	Factors Affecting the Demand for Consumer Instalment Sales Credit (1952) Avram Kisselgoff		\$1.50

ANNUAL REPORTS (AVAILABLE, GRATIS, ON REQUEST)

Arthur F. Burns

30th New Facts on Business Cycles (May 1950)

31st Looking Forward (May 1951)

32nd The Instability of Consumer Spending (May 1952)

NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH, INC. 1819 Broadway, New York 23, New York