

This PDF is a selection from an out-of-print volume from the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: Factors Influencing Consumption: An Experimental Analysis of Shoe Buying

Volume Author/Editor: Ruth P. Mack

Volume Publisher: NBER

Volume ISBN: 0-87014-416-2

Volume URL: <http://www.nber.org/books/mack54-1>

Publication Date: 1954

Chapter Title: Front matter, acknowledgment, tables of content

Chapter Author: Ruth P. Mack

Chapter URL: <http://www.nber.org/chapters/c9370>

Chapter pages in book: (p. -6 - 0)

**Factors Influencing Consumption:  
An Experimental Analysis of Shoe Buying**

**RUTH P. MACK**

**TECHNICAL PAPER 10**

**NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH, INC. 1954**

ARCHIVAL COPY

## NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH

### OFFICERS, 1954

C. C. Balderston, *Chairman*  
Harry Scherman, *President*  
Gottfried Haberler, *Vice President*  
George B. Roberts, *Treasurer*  
W. J. Carson, *Executive Director*

### DIRECTORS AT LARGE

Donald R. Belcher, *Assistant Director, Bureau of the Budget*  
Wallace J. Campbell, *Director, Cooperative League of the USA*  
Solomon Fabricant, *New York University*  
Albert J. Hettinger, Jr., *Lazard Frères and Company*  
Oswald W. Knauth, *Beaufort, South Carolina*  
H. W. Laidler, *Executive Director, League for Industrial Democracy*  
Shepard Morgan, *Norfolk, Connecticut*  
George B. Roberts, *Vice President, The National City Bank of New York*  
Beardsley Ruml, *New York City*  
Harry Scherman, *Chairman, Book-of-the-Month Club*  
George Soule, *Bennington College*  
N. I. Stone, *Consulting Economist*  
J. Raymond Walsh, *New York City*  
Leo Wolman, *Columbia University*  
Theodore O. Yntema, *Vice President—Finance, Ford Motor Company*

### DIRECTORS BY UNIVERSITY APPOINTMENT

E. Wight Bakke, <i>Yale</i>	H. M. Groves, <i>Wisconsin</i>
C. C. Balderston, <i>Pennsylvania</i>	Gottfried Haberler, <i>Harvard</i>
Arthur F. Burns, <i>Columbia</i>	Clarence Heer, <i>North Carolina</i>
Melvin G. de Chazeau, <i>Cornell</i>	R. L. Kozelka, <i>Minnesota</i>
G. A. Elliott, <i>Toronto</i>	T. W. Schultz, <i>Chicago</i>
Frank W. Fetter, <i>Northwestern</i>	Jacob Viner, <i>Princeton</i>

### DIRECTORS APPOINTED BY OTHER ORGANIZATIONS

Percival F. Brundage, *American Institute of Accountants*  
S. H. Ruttenberg, *Congress of Industrial Organizations*  
Murray Shields, *American Management Association*  
Boris Shishkin, *American Federation of Labor*  
W. Allen Wallis, *American Statistical Association*  
Frederick V. Waugh, *American Farm Economic Association*  
John H. Williams, *American Economic Association*  
Harold F. Williamson, *Economic History Association*

### RESEARCH STAFF

Solomon Fabricant, *Director of Research*  
Geoffrey H. Moore, *Associate Director of Research*  
Moses Abramovitz  
Thor Hultgren  
Harold Barger  
John W. Kendrick  
Morris A. Copeland  
Simon Kuznets  
David Durand  
Clarence D. Long  
Milton Friedman  
Ruth P. Mack  
Raymond W. Goldsmith  
Ilse Mintz  
Millard Hastay  
Raymond J. Saulnier  
W. Braddock Hickman  
Lawrence H. Seltzer  
F. F. Hill  
George J. Stigler  
Daniel M. Holland  
Leo Wolman  
Herbert B. Woolley

**RELATION OF THE DIRECTORS  
TO THE WORK AND PUBLICATIONS  
OF THE NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH**

1. The object of the National Bureau of Economic Research is to ascertain and to present to the public important economic facts and their interpretation in a scientific and impartial manner. The Board of Directors is charged with the responsibility of ensuring that the work of the National Bureau is carried on in strict conformity with this object.
2. To this end the Board of Directors shall appoint one or more Directors of Research.
3. The Director or Directors of Research shall submit to the members of the Board, or to its Executive Committee, for their formal adoption, all specific proposals concerning researches to be instituted.
4. No report shall be published until the Director or Directors of Research shall have submitted to the Board a summary drawing attention to the character of the data and their utilization in the report, the nature and treatment of the problems involved, the main conclusions, and such other information as in their opinion would serve to determine the suitability of the report for publication in accordance with the principles of the National Bureau.
5. A copy of any manuscript proposed for publication shall also be submitted to each member of the Board. For each manuscript to be so submitted a special committee shall be appointed by the President, or at his designation by the Executive Director, consisting of three Directors selected as nearly as may be one from each general division of the Board. The names of the special manuscript committee shall be stated to each Director when the summary and report described in paragraph (4) are sent to him. It shall be the duty of each member of the committee to read the manuscript. If each member of the special committee signifies his approval within thirty days, the manuscript may be published. If each member of the special committee has not signified his approval within thirty days of the transmittal of the report and manuscript, the Director of Research shall then notify each member of the Board, requesting approval or disapproval of publication, and thirty additional days shall be granted for this purpose. The manuscript shall then not be published unless at least a majority of the entire Board and a two-thirds majority of those members of the Board who shall have voted on the proposal within the time fixed for the receipt of votes on the publication proposed shall have approved.
6. No manuscript may be published, though approved by each member of the special committee, until forty-five days have elapsed from the transmittal of the summary and report. The interval is allowed for the receipt of any memorandum of dissent or reservation, together with a brief statement of his reasons, that any member may wish to express; and such memorandum of dissent or reservation shall be published with the manuscript if he so desires. Publication does not, however, imply that each member of the Board has read the manuscript, or that either members of the Board in general, or of the special committee, have passed upon its validity in every detail.
7. A copy of this resolution shall, unless otherwise determined by the Board, be printed in each copy of every National Bureau book.

*(Resolution adopted October 25, 1926 and revised February 6, 1933 and February 24, 1941)*

## CONTENTS

	Page
THE PLAN OF THE STUDY	3
AGGREGATE INCOME	5
Income and Shoe Buying in Current Dollars	5
Income and Shoe Buying Adjusted for Price Change	10
CHANGES IN THE DISTRIBUTION OF INCOME	14
Income Size Distribution	14
Urban-Rural Distribution of Income	18
THE DIRECTION OF CHANGE IN INCOME	20
POPULATION: ITS SIZE, AGE, AND FAMILY STRUCTURE	22
PRICES	24
STOCKS	25
EXPECTATIONS	37
LONG-TERM TRENDS	39
TEST BY TIME SERIES	45
The Plan of Multivariate Analysis	45
The Influence of Each Variable	50
Estimates of Shoe Sales	66
SUMMARY	68
Factors Influencing Buying	68
On Further Study	72
APPENDIX: CONSTRUCTION AND EVALUATION OF ESTIMATES OF MONTHLY SHOE SALES, 1926-1941	77
Part I: Construction of the Index	80
Part II: Evaluation of the Final Estimates	101

<b>TABLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
1 Interfamily Income Elasticity of Selected Categories of Disbursements, 1935-1936	6
2 Family Expenditure on Footwear and Its Relation to Income and Shifts in Income, 1935-1936	16
3 The Relation of Shoe Buying to Income of Farm and of Urban Families, 1935-1936	19
4 Duration and Amplitude of Subcycles in Retail Sales of Various Commodities, 1925-1941	31
5 Evidence on Trends in Dollar Shoe Sales, 1909-1949	40
6 Evidence on Trends in Pair Shoe Sales, 1909-1947	42
7 Information Concerning Various Estimates of Shoe Sales by Multiple Correlation Analysis, 1929-1941	46-48
8 Timing Comparisons for Selected Data, January 1929-January 1941	58
9 Actual and Estimated Shoe Sales for Successive Six-Month Periods, 1929-1941, and Annual Projections, 1946-1950	64-65
A-1 Seasonal Indexes of Department Store Shoe Sales, Five Federal Reserve Districts Combined, Selected Periods	82
A-2 Subcyclical Turns in District Shoe Department and District Total Department Store Sales Compared with National Shoe Department and National Total Department Store Sales, 1926-1940	84
A-3 Comparison of Trend of Shoe Department and Total Department Store Sales in Eight Federal Reserve Districts, 1926-1941	85
A-4 Comparison of Cyclical Amplitude of Shoe Department and Total Department Store Sales in Eight Federal Reserve Districts, 1928-1938	86
A-5 Weights for Shoe Department and Total Department Store Sales in Eight Federal Reserve Districts as Percentage of Total United States Sales, 1939	87
A-6 Shoe Sales of Specified Distributors, 1939	90
A-7 Calculation of Shoe Sales of Retail Stores, 1939 Census	102
A-8 Supplementary Estimates of Shoe Sales of Retail Stores, 1939	103
A-9 Computation of Spread between Factory and Retail Price, 1939	105
A-10 Range of Estimates for 1939 Reflecting Fallibility of Inventory and Price Data	107
A-11 Estimates of Change in Shoe Inventories, 1926-1940	108

<b>TABLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
<b>A-12 Comparison of Two Estimates of Average Retail Price of Shoes, 1926-1940</b>	<b>110</b>
<b>A-13 Various Estimates of Trend and Cycle in Shoe Sales, 1926-1940</b>	<b>113</b>
<b>A-14 Subcycle Turns in Ten Component Series Compared to Turns in Total National Shoe Sales, 1926-1940</b>	<b>114-116</b>
 <b>CHART</b>	
<b>1 Shoe Sales and Consumer Income, 1929-1941</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>2 Monthly First Differences in Shoe Sales and Consumer Income, 1929-1941</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>3 Expenditure on Footwear, Price Paid, and Quantity Purchased by Husbands and Wives at Seven Income Levels, 1935-1936</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>4 Estimates of Consumer Stocks of Shoes, 1929-1941</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>5 Sales of Six Departments of Department Stores, 1926-1940</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>6 Contribution of Each of Three Variables to the Estimation of Shoe Sales, 1929-1941</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>7 Three Estimates of Shoe Sales Compared to Actual Sales, 1929-1941</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>8 Actual minus Estimated Shoe Sales for Three Estimating Equations, 1929-1941</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>9 Error of Estimating Shoe Sales by Three-Variable Equation Compared with Influence of Additional Factors, 1929-1941</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>A-1 Ratios of Shoe Department Sales, Total Department Store Sales, and Income Payments in Eight Federal Reserve Districts to National Totals, 1926-1941</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>A-2 Relationship between Preliminary Estimate of Total Shoe Sales and Shoe Sales of Department and Chain Stores, 1926-1940</b>	<b>98</b>
<b>A-3 Estimates of Shoe Sales Based on Department and Chain Store Data with Trend Correction, Compared to Estimates Utilizing Department of Commerce Data, 1935-1940</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>A-4 Ratios of Preliminary Estimate of Total Shoe Sales to Shoe Sales of Department and Chain Stores, 1926-1940</b>	<b>111</b>
<b>A-5 Four Estimates of Total Retail Shoe Sales Based on Different Trend and Price Adjustments, 1926-1940</b>	<b>112</b>
<b>A-6 Estimates of Total Shoe Sales and Their Components, 1926-1940</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>A-7 Shoe Sales, Stocks, and Turnover Ratios, 1926-1940</b>	<b>120</b>

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The investigation described in this paper was undertaken in connection with my forthcoming book, *Consumption and Business Cycles, a Case Study: The Shoe, Leather, Hide Industry*, in which, in briefer version, it constitutes a chapter. Both in the fundamental studies on which this monograph rests and in its preparation I have been aided by a number of persons; I am greatly indebted to them for specific help and for the encouragement that generous help supplies.

Arthur Burns read an earlier draft and contributed the usual penetrating criticism. Geoffrey Moore has been of inestimable aid in the course of several careful readings of this and earlier drafts. Daniel Suits virtually collaborated in analyzing the troublesome problem of consumer inventories; I am also indebted to Solomon Fabricant and Millard Hastay for solid help with this section.

Several assistants have added their skills and their best effort to the investigation. Grace Kastelansky did the basic work on the index of shoe sales. Jacob Mincer took over a great deal of the burden of writing the final draft of the Appendix. Beatrice Vaccara and Seymour Wagner did the work involved in the analyses. All four gave me the benefit of a great deal of constructive criticism. Ester Moskowitz saw the monograph through the press in my absence.

The final draft has been considerably improved by the editorial work of George Soule and Ellen Viner. H. Irving Forman solved the unusually difficult drafting problems that this monograph presents and provided his customary expert pictorial aid to communication.