

This PDF is a selection from an out-of-print volume from the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: City Expenditures in the United States

Volume Author/Editor: Harvey E. Brazer

Volume Publisher: NBER

Volume ISBN: 0-87014-380-8

Volume URL: <http://www.nber.org/books/braz59-1>

Publication Date: 1959

Chapter Title: Front matter, acknowledgment, tables of content

Chapter Author: Harvey E. Brazer

Chapter URL: <http://www.nber.org/chapters/c9199>

Chapter pages in book: (p. -11 - 0)

City Expenditures in  
the United States

HARVEY E. BRAZER

*OCCASIONAL PAPER 66*

NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH, INC.

NBER O. P. 66 copy of  
Brazer  
City expenditures in the United  
States.

# City Expenditures in the United States

HARVEY E. BRAZER

*OCCASIONAL PAPER 66*

NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH, INC.

1959

Copyright, 1959, by  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH, INC.  
261 Madison Avenue, New York 16, N. Y.

All Rights Reserved

Typography by Oscar Leventhal, Inc.  
Printing and Binding by the Leebo Printing Company  
Library of Congress catalog card number: 58-9491

Price: \$1.50

NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH

1959

OFFICERS

George B. Roberts, *Chairman*  
Arthur F. Burns, *President*  
Theodore W. Schultz, *Vice-President*  
Murray Shields, *Treasurer*  
Solomon Fabricant, *Director of Research*  
Geoffrey H. Moore, *Associate Director of Research*  
William J. Carson, *Executive Director*

DIRECTORS AT LARGE

Wallace J. Campbell, *Director, Cooperative League of the USA*  
Solomon Fabricant, *New York University*  
Gabriel Hauge, *Chairman, Finance Committee, Manufacturers Trust Company*  
Albert J. Hettinger, Jr., *Lazard Frères and Company*  
Oswald W. Knauth, *Beaufort, South Carolina*  
H. W. Laidler, *Executive Director Emeritus, League for Industrial Democracy*  
Shepard Morgan, *Norfolk, Connecticut*  
George B. Roberts, *Larchmont, New York*  
Beardsley Ruml, *New York City*  
Harry Scherman, *Chairman, Book-of-the-Month Club*  
Boris Shishkin, *American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations*  
George Soule, *Professor Emeritus, Bennington College*  
N. I. Stone, *Consulting Economist*  
J. Raymond Walsh, *New York City*  
Joseph H. Willits, *Director, The Educational Survey, University of Pennsylvania*  
Leo Wolman, *Columbia University*  
Donald B. Woodward, *Vick Chemical Company*  
Theodore O. Yntema, *Vice-President—Finance, Ford Motor Company*

DIRECTORS BY UNIVERSITY APPOINTMENT

V. W. Bladen, *Toronto*  
Arthur F. Burns, *Columbia*  
Melvin G. de Chazeau, *Cornell*  
Frank W. Fetter, *Northwestern*  
H. M. Groves, *Wisconsin*  
Gottfried Haberler, *Harvard*  
Walter W. Heller, *Minnesota*  
Maurice W. Lee, *North Carolina*  
Lloyd G. Reynolds, *Yale*  
T. W. Schultz, *Chicago*  
Jacob Viner, *Princeton*  
Willis J. Winn, *Pennsylvania*

DIRECTORS APPOINTED BY OTHER ORGANIZATIONS

Percival F. Brundage, *American Institute of Accountants*  
Harold G. Halcrow, *American Farm Economic Association*  
Theodore V. Houser, *Committee for Economic Development*  
Stanley H. Ruttenberg, *American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations*  
Murray Shields, *American Management Association*  
Willard L. Thorp, *American Economic Association*  
W. Allen Wallis, *American Statistical Association*  
Harold F. Williamson, *Economic History Association*

RESEARCH STAFF

Moses Abramovitz  
Gary S. Becker  
Arthur F. Burns  
Morris A. Copeland  
Richard A. Easterlin  
Solomon Fabricant  
Milton Friedman  
Raymond W. Goldsmith  
Leo Grebler  
Millard Hastay  
W. Braddeck Hickman  
Daniel M. Holland  
Herbert B. Woolley  
Thor Hultgren  
John W. Kendrick  
Simon Kuznets  
Clarence D. Long  
Ruth P. Mack  
Ilse Mintz  
Geoffrey H. Moore  
Roger F. Murray  
G. Warren Nutter  
Lawrence H. Seltzer  
George J. Stigler  
Leo Wolman

**RELATION OF THE DIRECTORS  
TO THE WORK AND PUBLICATIONS  
OF THE NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH**

1. The object of the National Bureau of Economic Research is to ascertain and to present to the public important economic facts and their interpretation in a scientific and impartial manner. The Board of Directors is charged with the responsibility of ensuring that the work of the National Bureau is carried on in strict conformity with this object.
2. To this end the Board of Directors shall appoint one or more Directors of Research.
3. The Director or Directors of Research shall submit to the members of the Board, or to its Executive Committee, for their formal adoption, all specific proposals of research to be instituted.
4. No report of all or part of the Director or Directors of Research shall be presented for publication in any form, in accordance to the character of the proposed publication in the report, the nature and treatment of the problem, and the character of the scientific information as in their opinion would be of general interest, until the Board of the report for publication in accordance with the principles of the National Bureau.
5. A copy of any manuscript proposed for publication shall also be submitted to each member of the Board. For each manuscript to be so submitted a special committee shall be appointed by the President, or at his designation by the Executive Committee, consisting of three or more members, nearly as may be equal in number to the members of the Board. The terms of the special committee shall be such as to enable it to report, with the summary and report described in paragraph 4, to the Board, when the summary and report described in paragraph 4 are sent to him; it shall be the duty of each member of the committee to read the manuscript. If each member of the special committee shall have approved within thirty days, the manuscript may be published, and if any member of the special committee has not signified his approval within thirty days of the receipt of the report and manuscript, the Director or Directors shall refer to the Board, and the Board, recussing approval of publication, and thirty additional days shall be granted for this purpose. The manuscript shall then not be published unless and until a two-thirds majority of the Board, and a two-thirds majority of those members of the Board who shall have voted on the proposal within the time fixed for their report, vote in favor of the publication proposed shall have approved.
6. No manuscript may be published, though approved by each member of the special committee, until approval has been received from the transmittal of the summary and report. The Board shall allow, for the receipt of any memorandum of dissent or reservation, to be made within a later statement of his reasons, that any member of the special committee and such memorandum of dissent or reservation shall be attached to the manuscript if he so desires. Publication does not, however, bind any member of the Board who has read the manuscript, or that either members of the Board in general, or of the special committee, have passed upon its validity in every detail.
7. A copy of this resolution shall, unless otherwise determined by the Board, be printed in each copy of every National Bureau book.

*(Resolution adopted October 25, 1926  
and revised February 6, 1933 and February 24, 1941)*

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The comments, criticisms and suggestions of several members of the Bureau's staff who have read various drafts of the manuscript have been very helpful. I am, in this connection, especially indebted to C. Harry Kahn and Robert E. Lipsey, whose thoughtful criticisms produced numerous improvements and, even when rejected, always forced a careful re-thinking of interpretations and conclusions. The paper owes much too to the suggestions offered by Solomon Fabricant, Raymond W. Goldsmith, Leo Grebler, Harold M. Groves, Clarence Heer, Lawrence H. Seltzer and George J. Stigler.

John M. Mattila of Wayne State University, in the early stages of the study, gave generously of his time in discussion of statistical procedures. A considerable portion of the extensive computations involved was carried out by the Computation Laboratory of Wayne State University, under the direction of Arvid W. Jacobson, with the help of a faculty research grant received from the University in 1955.

HARVEY E. BRAZER

## CONTENTS

	Page
<i>Acknowledgment</i>	v
<i>Introduction</i>	1
<i>Variation in City Expenditures</i>	2
City Expenditures per Capita for 462 Cities in 1951	3
Association between Expenditure Categories	4
Differences in per Capita Expenditures of 1951 of Cities Grouped by State and Regional Location	5
<i>Factors Associated with Variations in City Expenditures</i>	13
Scope and Method	16
Factors Associated with Variation in per Capita Expenditures of 462 Cities in 1951 -- the Independent Variables	18
Results of the Regression Analysis	24
Relations between Expenditures and Selected Variables for Cities in California, Massachusetts, and Ohio	45
Relations between Expenditures and Selected Variables for 40 Large Cities	47
Variation in Expenditures of Cities Grouped by Type of City	61
<i>Summary</i>	66
<i>Appendices</i>	
A. Description of Expenditure Categories	69
B. Correlation between Expenditure Categories for 462 Cities, the Cities of California, Massachusetts, and Ohio, and 40 Large Cities	73
C. Correlation among the Independent Variables and between the Expenditure Categories and the Independent Variables	76
D. Components of Variation in Mean Expenditures per Capita of 462 Cities Grouped by Type of City, 1951	82

*Supplementary Appendix*

(Mimeographed; on file at the National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc. Copies may be requested from the author or from the National Bureau.)

- E. Differences between the Observed and Computed Values of per Capita Expenditures for 462 Cities and 40 Large Cities and Their Overlying Units of Local Government

## TABLES

	Page
1. Measures of Variation in City Expenditures per Capita, 462 Cities, 1951	3
2. Mean per Capita Expenditures, 462 Cities Grouped by State, 1951	6
3. Coefficients of Variation in per Capita Expenditures of Cities in California, Massachusetts, and Ohio and 462 Cities, 1951	9
4. Variance between and within States in per Capita Expenditures, 462 Cities, 1951	10
5. Mean per Capita Expenditures of 462 Cities Grouped by Geographic Region, 1951	12
Per Capita Expenditures of 462 Cities in Relation to Selected Variables:	
6. Regression Coefficients, 1951	25
7. Beta Coefficients, 1951	26
8. Elasticity Coefficients, 1951	27
Per Capita Expenditures of 35 California Cities in Relation to Selected Variables:	
9. Regression Coefficients, 1951	36
10. Beta Coefficients, 1951	37
11. Elasticity Coefficients, 1951	38
Per Capita Expenditures of 30 Massachusetts Cities in Relation to Selected Variables:	
12. Regression Coefficients, 1951	39
13. Beta Coefficients, 1951	40
14. Elasticity Coefficients, 1951	41
Per Capita Expenditures of 32 Ohio Cities in Relation to Selected Variables:	
15. Regression Coefficients, 1951	42
16. Beta Coefficients, 1951	43

	Page
17. Elasticity Coefficients, 1951	44
18. Per Capita Expenditures of 40 Large Cities and Their Overlying Units of Local Government, 1953	50
Per Capita Operating Expenditures of 40 Large Cities and Their Overlying Units of Local Government in Relation to Selected Variables:	
19. Regression Coefficients, 1953	54
20. Beta Coefficients, 1953	56
21. Elasticity Coefficients, 1953	58
22. Mean per Capita Expenditures of 462 Cities Grouped by Type of City, 1951	65
Coefficients of Simple Correlation between Expenditure Categories:	
B-1. 462 Cities	73
B-2. 35 California Cities	73
B-3. 30 Massachusetts Cities	74
B-4. 32 Ohio Cities	74
B-5. 40 Large Cities and Their Overlying Local Governments	75
Coefficients of Simple Correlation, Selected Independent Variables and Expenditure Categories:	
C-1. 462 Cities	76
C-2. 35 California Cities	77
C-3. 30 Massachusetts Cities	78
C-4. 32 Ohio Cities	79
C-5. 40 Large Cities and Their Overlying Units of Local Government	80
D-1. Components of Variation in Mean Expenditures per Capita of 462 Cities Grouped by Type, 1951	82

CITY EXPENDITURES IN  
THE UNITED STATES