
Subject Index

- AAA. *See* Agricultural Adjustment Act, 1933 (AAA)
- Acreage reduction programs (ARPs), 294–95, 323, 332
- AD. *See* Antidumping
- Additionality, 317–21
- Administered protection: congressional mechanisms for, 200, 207; costs of pursuing, 87–88; defined, 2n4; executive discretion, 197; in 1930s legislation, 201–6; sought by U.S. auto industry, 134–46; used by U.S. textile industry, 198, 248. *See also* Antidumping laws; Countervailing duties (CVDs)
- AFBF. *See* American Farm Bureau Federation (AFBF)
- Agreements, multilateral: Kennedy administration negotiation of textile, 220–22; Short Term and Long Term Arrangements on Cotton Textiles, 214–18; steel industry, 115–16, 131–32
- Agricultural Adjustment Act, 1933 (AAA): import quotas under section 22 amendment (1935), 338–40; interest group support for section 22 import quotas, 367–68; interpretations of section 22 amendment (1935), 209–10, 294; provisions related to tariffs in, 206; U.S. textile industry use of (1959, 1961), 212–13, 248
- Agricultural sector: economic performance at beginning of NAFTA negotiations, 338–45; economic problems (1980s), 295–301; interest group positions in NAFTA debates, 345–55, 360–69; NAFTA debate concessions to, 369–74; NAFTA provisions for, 355–60, 375–81; NAFTA-related concessions to, 369–72; predicted benefits from NAFTA trade, 357–60
- Agricultural Trade and Development Act, 1954 (P.L. 480), 293, 309
- Agriculture Act (1948), 294
- Agriculture Act (1956): amended section 204 provisions related to MFA, 218–19; section 204 amendment to limit textile imports (1962), 250–51; section 204 presidential power to limit textile imports, 209
- Agriculture and Food Act (1981), 296, 298, 302
- American Corn Growers Association (ACGA), 350, 354
- American Cotton Manufacturers Institute (ACMI), 249
- American Electronics Association (AEA), 23–24, 43
- American Farm Bureau Federation (AFBF), 347–48, 354, 360, 365–66
- American Textile Manufacturers Institute (ATMI), 249, 255, 257–59
- Antidumping actions: complaint of U.S. semiconductor industry (1985), 44–45; Japanese response to U.S. (1985), 45; against South Korean semiconductor industry, 60–61; U.S. semiconductor industry (1985), 44–46
- Antidumping laws: econometric model of uses

- Antidumping laws (*cont.*)
 and impacts, 395–404; estimates of uses and impacts from econometric model, 404–12; practice of, 388; Revenue Act (1916), 386; trade effects of, 389–92; uses and impacts, 393–412. *See also* Petitions; Target countries; Target regions
- Antidumping petitions: against EC and non-EC steel producers, 99–101; outcome and process filers of, 386–87, 392–93; steel industry petitions (1993), 118–20, 126–27; unfair trade, 88–92
- AP. *See* Administered protection
- APTA. *See* Automotive Products Trade Agreement (1965)
- Auto industry, U.S.: competitiveness and performance, 146–51, 169–77; participation in auto-related trade policy, 161–63; poor economic performance, 137–42; sectoral regime of, 133–34, 159–60; strategy to achieve protection, 425–26
- Automotive Products Trade Agreement (1965), 177–82
- Auto regime: history of crisis in U.S., 169–77; participants in, 159–69
- Boolean analysis: of U.S.-Canadian arguments in lumber trade dispute, 279–86; variables in NI and CT interpretation of legal proceedings, 278–79
- Bush administration: auto industry policy, 183; extension of 1984 VRA plan, 112–18; steel policy, 112–18, 121, 126; trade policy, 137, 185, 257
- Cabinet Textile Advisory Committee, 221
- Canada-U.S. Free Trade Agreement, 1988 (CUFTA): with advent of NAFTA, 355, 375; nontariff barriers, 336; in regulation of auto markets, 177–82
- CAP. *See* Common Agricultural Policy (CAP)
- Capture Theory (CT): political outcomes under, 262–63; relevance in U.S.-Canadian lumber dispute, 285–87, 290; view of political institutions, 263–64
- Cartel arrangements, world steel industry, 78
- Carter administration: agricultural policy, 295; loan guaranties for Chrysler, 136; resistance to protectionism, 137–38, 185; response to auto industry protection pressure, 143, 158; textile policy, 258
- CASUM. *See* Coalitions, interest group
- CCC. *See* Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC)
- Citizens Trade Campaign (CTC), 366
- Clinton administration: actions related to Korean dumping, 60–61; NAFTA side-agreements, 335–37, 364–65; steel industry administered protection petitions, 118–20
- Coalitions, interest group: Coalition of American Steel-Using Manufacturers (CASUM), opposition to VRA extension (1989), 77, 109–12; Coalition for Fair Lumber Imports (CFLI), 269–75; integrated steel industry, 82–86; of integrated steel sector, 85–86; NAFTA congressional, 369; in NAFTA debates, 368–69, 377
- Committee for the Implementation of the Textile Agreements (CITA), 221–22
- Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC): commodity allocation under Food Security Act (1985), 306–7; under provisions of Food Security Act (1985), 306; surplus commodity policy, 293–96; wheat purchases and stocks, 293–95, 300–301, 326
- Common Agricultural Policy (CAP), EC, 327, 333
- Competition, U.S.-Japanese semiconductor industry, 24–30
- Computer Systems Policy Project (CSPP), 57–58
- Cotton textiles: Long Term Arrangement, 215–16; Short Term Arrangement, 215–16
- Countervailing duties (CVDs): petitions against EC and non-EC steel producers, 99–101; proceedings related to petitions, 265–66; steel industry petitions (1993), 118–20, 126–27; unfair trade petitions for, 89–92; U.S.-Canadian actions related to timber, 269–75
- Cross-subsidization. *See* Subsidies
- CSPP. *See* Computer Systems Policy Project (CSPP)
- CT. *See* Capture Theory (CT)
- CUFTA. *See* Canada-U.S. Free Trade Agreement, 1988 (CUFTA)
- CVDs. *See* Countervailing duties (CVDs)
- Data sources: analysis of effect of Multi-Fiber Arrangement, 232–34; for antidumping law uses and impacts, 394

- DOC. *See* International Trade Administration (ITA); U.S. Department of Commerce
- Dumping: Japanese semiconductors, 50–54, 62, 67; U.S. semiconductor industry complaints, 27–29
- Economic Policy Council, 37
- EEP. *See* Export Enhancement Program (EEP)
- EIAJ. *See* Electronics Industry Association of Japan (EIAJ)
- Eisenhower administration: interpretation of section 22, Agricultural Adjustment Act, 210, 212; opposition to proposed trade legislation, 208
- Electronics Industry Association of Japan (EIAJ), 24, 44, 46, 59
- Employment: U.S. auto industry, 194–95; U.S. semiconductor industry, 17–18, 20; U.S. textile and apparel industry, 225–27, 254
- Escape clause petitions: of auto industry (1980), 138, 147; for import restrictions, 90–92; of U.S. integrated steel industry (1984), 101–7
- European Community (EC): agricultural policies, 299; Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) reforms, 327, 333; response to U.S.-Japan semiconductor agreement, 52; steel industry production and capacity, 93–97
- Experimental Negotiating Agreement (1974), 97
- Export Enhancement Program (EEP): additional in policy of, 319–21; evaluation and effects of, 310–25, 332–33; events leading to (1983–85), 301–2; guidelines for, 305; legislative fiat (1985), 301–2, 307, 309; political response to, 325–27
- Export subsidies: for EC wheat, 300, 309–10; EEP differences from general, 315–17; under Food Security Act (1985), 306–7; for U.S. wheat, 291–96; for U.S. wheat under Export Enhancement Program (EEP), 321–25; for wheat under 1985 economic conditions, 313–15
- Farm Act (1990). *See* Food, Agriculture, Conservation and Trade Act (1990)
- Farm bill (1985). *See* Food Security Act, 1985 (FSA)
- Food, Agriculture, Conservation and Trade Act (1990): Export Enhancement Program authorization, 321, 326–27; Market Promotion Program, 309–10; reforms, 326–27
- Food Security Act, 1985 (FSA): codification of Export Enhancement Program, 301–2, 306, 307, 309; Targeted Export Assistance program, 309
- Ford administration: agricultural policy, 295; textile policy, 258
- Foreign policy: Carter administration, 143; trade policy influence on U.S., 40–43; as trade policy (1960s), 213–15, 249–50, 254
- Free trade agreements (FTAs), bilateral, 335
- General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT): effect on EEP, 333; phase-out of MFA textile quotas under Uruguay Round, 255, 259; reciprocal trade agreements under, 207; textile quota arrangement within, 198; Uruguay Round elimination of steel tariffs, 132; Uruguay Round textile quota provisions, 246; Uruguay Round treatment of EEP, 327
- Government intervention: auto industry protection, 182–83; steel industry strategy to achieve, 86–92, 105–6, 121–22; in steel protection decisions, 105–6; wheat markets, 291–96
- Government role: in auto regime, 166–69; in Japanese semiconductor industry, 26, 29–30; of Japan in semiconductor trade dispute, 23–24, 29–30; in U.S.-Japan semiconductor agreement, 11–12, 46–54
- High Technology Agreement (1983), U.S.-Japan, 50
- Import penetration: foreign-made textiles and apparel, 222–28; of Japanese semiconductors, 24–25
- Imports: antidumping outcome filing and process filing hypotheses, 389–91; of EC and other foreign steel, 98–101; of foreign-made autos, 171–82; of Hong Kong textiles and apparel, 211–12; less than fair value (LTFV) standard, 388; material injury to domestic industry standard, 388; MFA restrictions, 219–28; 1930s policy related to, 201–6; 1950s treatment of, 206
- Injury standards: fair market value criterion (Commerce Department), 55–56, 58, 67;

- Injury standards (*cont.*)
 ITC decisions related to steel, 89–91, 102, 104–5; related to semiconductor industry antidumping action, 46–48; U.S. trade law, 38–44
- Institutions, political (endogenous or exogenous), 263
- Interest groups: “Ag for NAFTA,” 365–66, 372; agricultural group pressure related to NAFTA, 345–55; assessment of NAFTA, 360–64; complaints about Export Enhancement Program, 311; Japanese semiconductor industry, 24, 44, 46, 59; Japanese textile industry, 209; lobbying models, 129–30; NAFTA-related Florida coalition, 368–69, 371–74; outcomes of NAFTA-related negotiations, 375–81; participation in 1985 farm bill debates, 302–9, 327–29; positions on Export Enhancement Program, 327–29; representation on USTR private sector advisory structure, 348–52; semiconductor trade policy lobbying elements, 19–23; U.S. semiconductor industry, 16, 21–23, 28–29; U.S. steel industry pressure, 73–74; U.S. textile industry, 208–9. *See also* Coalitions, interest group
- International Trade Administration (ITA): anti-dumping less than fair value determination, 388; Boolean analysis of final determinations in lumber cases, 278–84; CVD proceedings, 265–66
- International Wheat Agreement, 294
- ITC. *See* U.S. International Trade Commission (USITC)
- Kennedy administration: commitments related to passage of Trade Expansion Act, 215–17; interpretation of section 22, Agricultural Adjustment Act, 212–13; multi-lateral agreements related to textiles, 214–22; negotiation of textile agreements, 220–21; proposed trade policy, 214–15; section 204 of Agricultural Adjustment Act (1956), 218–19; section 204 authority of Agricultural Adjustment Act (1956), 218
- Labor relations, U.S.: effect of Japanese auto market competition, 165; postwar auto industry union status, 163–64
- Long Term Arrangement (LTA) on Cotton Textiles (1962): differences from MFA, 219; Kennedy signs, 215–16; provisions, 217–18
- LTA. *See* Long Term Arrangement (LTA) on Cotton Textiles (1962)
- Lumber industry, U.S.: Canadian trade disputes, 269–75
- Markets: access under 1986 semiconductor agreement, 46–54; effect of EEP wheat sales, 319–25; integrated steel, 92–97; international textile industries, 246–47; of Japanese semiconductor industry, 24–30, 36, 42–43; memory chip, 15–16, 24–30; trade agreement regulation of auto, 177–82; trade disputes in integrated international, 70; U.S. auto industry, 169–77, 184; U.S. government intervention in wheat, 291–96; of U.S. semiconductor industry, 18–21, 23, 26–28, 31–37, 39, 42–43; U.S. steel industry, 77–82
- MFA. *See* Multi-Fiber Arrangement (MFA)
- Ministry of International Trade and Industry (MITI): auto allocation policy with VER, 195; response to U.S. antidumping action, 51–54; Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) program, 26, 29
- Most favored nation (MFN) tariff rates, 356–57
- MSA (multilateral steel agreement), 115–16, 131–32
- Multi-Fiber Arrangement (MFA): differences from LTA, 219; implementation (1974), 216, 219; levels of protection under, 222–45; phase-out under GATT Uruguay Round, 8; quota allocations, 251–52, 255; Textiles Surveillance Body, 219
- NAFTA. *See* North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA)
- National Association of Wheat Growers (NAWG), 350, 354, 361
- National Cattlemen’s Association (NCA), 348, 360
- National Cooperative Research Act (1984), 35
- National Corn Growers Association (NCGA), 348, 368
- National Cotton Council of America (NCCA), 351, 367
- National Farmers Union (NFU), 349–50, 354, 361, 366
- National Industrial Recovery Act, 1933

- (NIRA): conduit to administered protection, 201–2; Tariff Commission investigations under, 201–2
- National Milk Producers Federation (NMPF), 349, 367
- New Institutionalism (NI): political outcomes, 263; relevance in U.S.-Canadian lumber dispute, 285–87, 289–90; view of political institutions, 263–64
- NI. *See* New Institutionalism (NI)
- NIRA. *See* National Industrial Recovery Act, 1933 (NIRA)
- Nixon administration: quantitative limits on exports, 295; textile policy, 257, 258; trade policy, 185
- NMPF. *See* National Milk Producers Federation (NMPF)
- North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA): “Ag for NAFTA” interest group, 365–66, 372; agricultural interest group positions in debate, 345–55, 360–69, 375–81; chronology of, 345; effect of, 383; long-run effects on U.S.-Mexican agricultural trade, 357–60; provisions for agricultural sector, 355–60; provisions related to agricultural commodities, 355–57; in regulation of auto markets, 177–82; replaces CUFTA, 182; side-agreements and implementing legislation, 364–65
- Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (1990), 321
- Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act (1988), 35n30, 58
- Payment in kind (PIK) program, 298, 303
- Petitions: agency responsibilities for countervailing duty, 265; outcome filing of antidumping petitions, 387; process filing of antidumping petitions, 387, 392–93; role of USTR in section 301, 40–44; section 22 filed by U.S. textile industry, 212–13; for unfair trade relief, 88–91
- P.L. 480. *See* Agricultural Trade and Development Act, 1954 (P.L. 480)
- Political action committees (PACs), U.S. semiconductor industry, 22
- Political outcomes: Capture Theory, 262–64; New Institutionalism, 263–64
- Political power: role in achieving protection, 436–37; textile and apparel industry (1950s and 1960s), 245–48
- Price supports: U.S. agricultural commodities, 291–92; U.S. agricultural commodities (1982–91), 342–45; for U.S. fiber prices, 210, 213; for U.S. wheat, 291–93, 296, 298, 300
- Producer subsidy equivalents (PSEs), 342–45
- Protection: Agricultural Adjustment Act section 22 quotas, 344; choice of instruments for, 2–4; of EC agricultural products, 299; effectiveness, 438–39; under Export Enhancement Program, 9; ideas in determining, 434–36; impact on U.S. textile industry, 222–44; under MFA, 219–45; political economy models, 198–201; RTAA provisions for executive discretion related to, 200–201; of textile industry under MFA, 222–24, 228–45; United Auto Workers seek, 135–38, 163–66; U.S. agricultural commodities (1982–91), 342–45; U.S. steel industry pressure for, 73–75; under 1984 VRA steel import quota, 104–7; welfare effects on U.S. auto industry, 146–51. *See also* Administered protection
- PSEs. *See* Producer subsidy equivalents (PSEs)
- Reagan administration: agreement with EC related to steel exports, 100–101; agricultural policy proposals (1985), 307–8; auto VER program, 138; establishment of Export Enhancement Program, 301; free trade agreements proposal, 335; free trade commitment, 31; imposition and lifting of tariffs on Japanese imports (1987), 11, 54; response to auto industry protection pressure, 143–44; steel import quota plan, 104–7, 109; trade policy, 137, 143–44, 185, 257; wheat provisions and export promotion, 302–3
- Reciprocal Trade Agreements Act, 1934 (RTAA): executive discretion in granting protection, 200–201, 206; tariff reduction mechanism of, 203, 205
- Regime, definition of, 159
- Research agenda, 442–43
- Roosevelt (FDR) administration: tariff decisions under, 206; trade policy as foreign policy, 207–8; use of AAA section 22 import restriction, 209–10

- RTAA. *See* Reciprocal Trade Agreements Act, 1934 (RTAA)
- Sectoral crisis, 159
- Sectoral regimes, 159
- Sematech consortium, 55
- Semiconductor Chip Protection Act (1984), 35
- Semiconductor industry, Japanese, 24–30; response to U.S. section 301 and antidumping complaint (1985), 45–46
- Semiconductor industry, U.S.: competition from Japanese, 24; economic indicators of, 14–18; justification for and filing of section 301 petition, 39–44; organization and growth of, 14–24; pressure on government trade policy, 30–35; problems of (1980s), 26–27; strategy to achieve protection, 427–28
- Semiconductor industry, U.S.-Japanese trade dispute, 13–24
- Semiconductor Industry Association (SIA): Computer Systems Policy Project as counter to, 57; formation, 21; pressure for trade protection, 28–32, 39–61, 68–69; pressure to broaden scope of section 301 petitions, 35; section 301 petition (1985), 39–44
- Semiconductors: product categories, 14–15; U.S.-Japanese discussions related to, 32–34
- Semiconductor trade agreement, proposed U.S.-Korea, 60–61
- Semiconductor trade agreement, U.S.-Japan, 7, 11–12, 46–54; outcomes, 54–57, 62; renegotiation (1991), 59; secret side-letter, 63–64
- Semiconductor Trade Agreement, U.S.-Japan (1986), 391
- Short Term Arrangement (STA) on Cotton Textiles (1962): effect of, 212, 222; Kennedy signs, 215–16; provisions, 217
- SIC industry (Standard Industrial Code industry), 394–96
- Smoot-Hawley Act (1930). *See* Tariff Act, 1930 (Smoot-Hawley)
- Sociotropic voting, 139n9
- STA. *See* Short Term Arrangement (STA) on Cotton Textiles (1962)
- Steel industry, integrated mills: economic performance (1984–88), 99t, 107–8; economies of scale, 78; geographic concentration and market share, 78–80; lobbying effectiveness, 82–86; pre-1982 trade policy, 92–97; strategy to obtain protection, 86–92
- Steel industry, minimills: economic performance (1984–88), 99t, 107–8; efficiency and flexibility, 79–80; market share, 81–82
- Steel industry, U.S.: Experimental Negotiating Agreement (1974), 97; restructuring and modernization, 76–82, 107–8, 121–22; strategy to achieve protection, 426–27; structural change in demand, 79, 95
- Subsidies: cross-subsidization among CCC commodities, 306; EC wheat export, 300–302; received by foreign steel industries, 73, 93–96, 100–101, 128; related to Canadian log export restraints, 270–71; to semiconductor industries, 42–43; of surplus wheat outside United States, 293–94; U.S. wheat export, 291–95. *See also* Price supports
- Sweetener Users Association, 349
- Target countries, antidumping process filing petitions against, 387, 392–93
- Target regions: antidumping outcome filing petitions against, 387–88; of antidumping process filers, 394–95; estimates of effects of antidumping actions on, 404–12
- Tariff Act, 1930 (Smoot-Hawley): section 336 tariff rate adjustment, 201, 203–5; section 731, 38
- Tariff Commission: investigations and outcomes under NIRA, 201–2; investigations under section 22 of AAA, 210–12; investigations under Smoot-Hawley section 336, 203–5
- Tariff-rate quotas (TRQs): with enactment of NAFTA, 355–57; interest group support for, 367–68; replace section 22 import quotas, 340
- Tariffs and tariff equivalents: protection in 1930s legislation, 201–6; for U.S. agricultural commodities (1982–91), 342–45
- Textile and apparel industry, U.S., strategy to achieve protection, 428–29
- Textile Export Council, Japan, 209
- Textile industry, U.S.: adaptation in gaining import protection, 249–50; impact of protection on, 222–28; NIRA code in, 201; political power (1950s and 1960s), 245–48; post-1960s trade actions, 257–59; post-World War II protection,

- 198; trade protection under MFA, 222–45; use of section 22 of Agricultural Adjustment Act, 210
- Textiles Surveillance Body, Multi-Fiber Arrangement, 219
- TPM. *See* Trigger price mechanism (TPM)
- Trade Act (1974): section 201, 38; section 301 and amendments to, 29–30, 39; U.S. semiconductor industry filing of section 301 petition, 39–44. *See also* Trade and Tariff Act (1984)
- Trade Agreement Act (1979), 395
- Trade agreements. *See* Automotive Products Trade Agreement (1965); Canada-U.S. Free Trade Agreement, 1988 (CUFTA); Experimental Negotiating Agreement (1974); General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT); International Wheat Agreement; MSA (multilateral steel agreement); North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA); Semiconductor trade agreement, U.S.-Japan; Voluntary export restraints (VERs); Voluntary import restraint agreements (VRAs)
- Trade and Tariff Act (1984), 35, 395; broadened scope of section 301, 35, 39; semiconductor tariff reduction provisions, 34
- Trade disputes: agricultural commodity export subsidies, 304; Boolean analysis of U.S.-Canadian lumber case, 275–86; Capture Theory and New Institutionalism interpretations, 264–69; policy debates related to, 69–70; under U.S.-Canada Free Trade Agreement, 290; U.S.-Canadian lumber imports, 269–75; U.S.-Japanese semiconductor industry, 13, 15–30; U.S.-Korea semiconductor, 60–61
- Trade Expansion Act (1962): passage, 216–17; section 232, 38
- Trade policy: actors in U.S. auto industry, 161–63; as foreign policy, 143, 207–8, 213–15, 249–50, 254; injury standards in trade law, 38–44; Japanese semiconductor lobby, 23–24; post-1982 integrated steel industry, 97–107; pre-1982 U.S. integrated steel industry, 92–97; as reflection of U.S. interests, 431–33; steel industry actions related to, 75; trade law remedies against imports, 38; United Auto Workers, 135–38, 163–66; U.S. auto industry, 134–46, 151–59, 175–77, 195; U.S. government position on auto-related, 166–68; U.S. semiconductor industry, 12–13; U.S. semiconductor lobbying elements, 19–23
- Trigger price mechanism (TPM): end of (1982), 99; to initiate antidumping petitions, 96–97
- TRQs. *See* Tariff-rate quotas (TRQs)
- TSUS codes (*Tariff Schedules of the United States*), 394–96
- United Auto Workers (UAW): participation in auto-related trade policy, 163–66; protection policy, 135–36
- United States v. General Motors* (1966), 167n50
- U.S. Department of Commerce, 89; determinations related to unfair trade petitions, 89. *See also* International Trade Administration (ITA)
- U.S. International Trade Commission (USITC): decisions related to auto industry, 138–41; determinations related to steel industry, 89–90, 118–20; handling of antidumping petitions, 388; investigation process of, 419–20; responsibility in countervailing duty case, 265; role in U.S.-Japan semiconductor trade agreement (1986), 46–54
- USTR. *See* U.S. Trade Representative (USTR)
- U.S. Trade Representative (USTR): handling of section 301 cases, 40–44; private sector advisory structure, 348–52; role in U.S.-Japan semiconductor agreement (1986), 46–54
- VERs. *See* Voluntary Export Restraints (VERs)
- Voluntary export restraints (VERs): Canadian log export restraints (LERs), 262, 270–71; effect on U.S. auto industry of Japanese, 144–45; Japanese semiconductors, 54–55, 61; of Japanese textile industry (1957), 210–11, 248–49; as outcome of Tariff Commission investigations under NIRA, 201–3; Tariff Commission investigations for cotton textiles (1935–37), 205–6; welfare effects, standard, static approach, 151–54
- Voluntary import restraint agreements (VRAs): EC-U.S. (1982), 100; extension of 1984 VRA plan (1989), 109–20; of foreign exporters, 91–92; political use of 1984 VRA plan, 108–9; related to steel imports (1969–74); 1984 steel import

- Voluntary import restraint agreements (VRAs)
(*cont.*)
 quota plan and extension, 104–7, 121;
 U.S. steel industry petitions for, 74–76,
 125–26
- VRAs. *See* Voluntary import restraint
agreements (VRAs)
- Welfare effects: for domestic buyers under Ex-
port Enhancement Program, 319–21; in
foreign markets under Export Enhance-
ment Program, 321–25
- Wheat exports: additionality, 317–21; Canada
to United States, 324–26; European Com-
munity, 300; government assistance,
309–10; issues (1983–93), 301; subsidies
for, 291–96; subsidy under 1985 eco-
nomic conditions, 313–15; subsidy under
EEP, 315–17, 321–25; support for EEP,
430
- Wheat producers: opposition to NAFTA, 366–
67, 372; role in farm bill decisions
(1985), 302–9
- Wheat production: European Community,
299–300; United States, 300
- Wheat sales: under EEP, 310–13; under EEP
(1986–93), 317–19; under EEP auspices,
311–13; to former Soviet Union, 310
- Wheat surpluses, U.S. programs to reduce,
293–96, 300