This PDF is a selection from an out-of-print volume from the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: The International Transmission of Inflation

Volume Author/Editor: Michael R. Darby, James R. Lothian and Arthur E. Gandolfi, Anna J. Schwartz, Alan C. Stockman

Volume Publisher: University of Chicago Press

Volume ISBN: 0-226-13641-8

Volume URL: http://www.nber.org/books/darb83-1

Publication Date: 1983

Chapter Title: The Mark III International Transmission Model: Estimates

Chapter Author: Michael R. Darby, Alan C. Stockman

Chapter URL: http://www.nber.org/chapters/c6127

Chapter pages in book: (p. 113 - 161)

The Mark III International Transmission Model: Estimates

Michael R. Darby and Alan C. Stockman

The simultaneous estimation of the Mark III International Transmission Model produced some surprising results. The major implications of the model estimates are: (1) Countries linked by pegged exchange rates appear to have much more national independence than generally supposed. (2) Substantial or complete sterilization of the contemporaneous effects of the balance of payments on nominal money appears to be a universal practice of nonreserve central banks. (3) Quantities such as international trade flows are not well explained by observed prices, exchange rates, and interest rates. (4) Explaining real income by innovations in aggregate demand variables works well for U.S. real income but does not transfer easily to other countries.

Our estimation method is explained in section 6.1 before the results are reported and interpreted in section 6.2. A detailed summary concludes the chapter.

6.1 Estimation Methods

If a simultaneously determined model such as ours is estimated by ordinary least squares (OLS), simultaneous equation bias occurs. This arises because the endogenous variables respond to each other so that the random disturbance in any one behavioral equation may be reflected in movements of all the other endogenous variables. As a result, when some endogenous variables are used to explain the behavior of another endogenous variable, their values are potentially correlated with the random disturbance in the equation. Their OLS coefficients will reflect not only their effect on the variable being explained but also the effect of its residual on them. Simultaneous equation methods are used to remove this spurious correlation that is due to reverse causality. The most popular simultaneous equation methods are two-stage and three-stage least squares (2SLS and 3SLS, respectively.)¹ Unfortunately, neither exists for our model. This is because the first stage of each approach involves obtaining fitted values of each of the endogenous variables which are uncorrelated with the other endogenous variables. This is done by fitting OLS regressions for each endogenous variable as a function of all the predetermined variables (exogenous and lagged endogenous). In large samples, these fitted values are uncorrelated with the residuals in the behavioral equations and, when substituted for the actual values in OLS estimates of the behavioral equations, give unbiased estimates of the coefficients. Unfortunately, when the number of predetermined variables equals or exceeds the number of observations, the first-stage regressions can perfectly reproduce the actual values of the endogenous variables and no simultaneous equation bias is removed.

We reduce the number of predetermined variables relative to the number of observations in two ways: (1) For each country we use as predetermined variables only domestic variables for that country plus fitted values of only those foreign variables which enter that country's submodel. The fitted foreign variables are obtained by fitting interest rates, income, and prices on each foreign country's own domestic variables and then forming indexes (where necessary as indicated by identities (R18), (R19), (N18), and (N19)) of these fitted foreign variables. (2) Using this reduced set of predetermined variables,² we take sufficient principal components to explain over 99.95% of their variance. (Variables were initially standardized so that the principal components are not affected by their scale.) Usually this involves thirty to thirty-five components (indicating thirty to thirty-five independent sources of variation in the instrument list). However, in estimating certain equations for short subperiods³ it is necessary to limit the number of principal components to half the number of observations in the subperiod. In either case these principal components are used as our matrix for obtaining fitted values of the endogenous variables in the first stage of our 2SLS regressions.

In summary, the model is estimated by the principal-components 2SLS method where (a) the basic instrument list for each country consists of domestic predetermined variables plus fitted values of those foreign variables which appear in the model based on foreign predetermined variables, and (b) this basic instrument list is spanned by a number of components either equal to half the observations being used or sufficient to explain over 99.95% of the variance in the basic instrument list, whichever is smaller.

^{1.} Other, more complicated methods exist but could not be entertained for such a large model as ours because of software and computing budget limitations.

^{2.} The actual lists of predetermined variables for each country are presented in table 6.1.

^{3.} That is, for the floating period for all nonreserve countries except Canada and the pegged period for Canada.

6.2 Estimation Results

The estimated model is reported in tables 6.2 through 6.16. We first discuss the estimates equation by equation in this section and then check the cross-correlations of the residuals for evidence of omitted channels of transmission. We draw our general conclusions in section 6.3. The period of estimation is 1957I–76IV except, as indicated, where the model differs during pegged and flexible rate periods. Details on the data used are contained in the appendix to this chapter.

6.2.1 Real-Income Equations (R1) and (N1)-Table 6.2

For the United States, there appear to be substantial effects from money shocks and weak or nonexistent effects from both realgovernment spending and export shocks. For the nonreserve countries, a few apparently significant monetary shocks enter, but we generally cannot reject the hypothesis that all the money shock coefficients are zero. This is shown in table 6.3, where only Canada and Italy among the nonreserve countries reach even the 10% level of significance. The apparent impotence of monetary policy in the nonreserve countries may be real, or it may reflect either a greater measurement error in defining the money shocks or a stable monetary policy, which would also reduce the signal-to-noise ratio in the \hat{M}_i data.⁴

The other demand shock variables, with occasional exceptions, also seem to have little systematic effect on the nonreserve countries' real incomes. The sensitivity of these results to alternative definitions of demand shocks and to effects of anticipated variables is examined in chapter 9.

6.2.2 Price-Level Equations (R2) and (N2)-Table 6.4

The price-level equations have the difficulties usually encountered in the stock-adjustment formulation: a tendency for autocorrelation in the residuals to bias the coefficient of the lagged dependent variable toward 1 and the long-run demand variables toward zero.⁵ We have included three lagged money shocks in addition to the current one suggested by Carr and Darby (1981). These serve to explain current movements in demand variables in what are nearly first difference in (log $P - \log M$) equations.

4. If, for example, the nonreserve central banks smoothed out the Federal Reserve System's erratic growth-rate changes via an effective sterilization policy, the actual variation in money shocks might be too small to estimate a significant coefficient even though a substantial monetary shock, if it were ever attempted, would have a substantial effect on real income. Although such effective sterilization appears consistent with results reported below, the authors are not agreed on its existence.

5. The long-run parameter estimates are more stable, of course. For example, five of the long-run permanent income elasticities lie between 0.5 and 1.5, with 0.2 for the United Kingdom and 3.0 for France as the extreme values.

Software difficulties prevented us from trying a correction for autocorrelation.⁶

The fact that current money shocks enter with a coefficient near -1 indicates, since $\log M_j - \hat{M_j} = (\log M_j)^*$, that expected rather than actual money enters in the price-level equation. With a coefficient of -1, money shocks affect the current price level only via indirect interest-rate or real-income effects. The shock-absorber adjustment process suggested by Carr and Darby is thus supported by the data.

The foreign interest-rate channel ($\beta_{j,5}$) is both significant and of the right sign only for the United Kingdom and Japan. Further, if we recall that interest rates are measured as decimal fractions, we see that both elasticities are very small in absolute value and compared to the elasticity of money demand with respect to the domestic interest rate. Nonetheless we are able to detect some asset substitution in two of our eight countries.

6.2.3 Unemployment-Rate Equations (R3) and (N3)—Table 6.5

The unemployment-rate equations indicate conformity to a dynamic version of Okun's law for the United States, United Kingdom, and France. For the other countries there was no significant correlation between changes in the unemployment rate and past and present changes in real income. As the equation was not required for the model, it was dropped for those countries.

6.2.4 Nominal-Money Equations (R4) and (N4)—Tables 6.6 and 6.7

The U.S. reaction function (R4) reported in table 6.6 indicates a negative impact of lagged inflation on nominal money growth, surprisingly weak (though) positive effects from unexpected real government spending, and a stimulative effect from a two-quarter lagged change in unemployment rate. The time trend term is extremely potent: For plausible steady-state values it increases the growth rate of nominal money from 0.2% per annum in 1956 to 5.9% per annum in 1976. Indeed a constant and time trend alone would explain approximately 31% of the variance of the growth rate of nominal money, with all the other variables together accounting for only another 25%.

Darby (1981) reports on experiments testing other variables which might explain U.S. money growth. The balance of payments entered with coefficients which were trivial, insignificant, and of the wrong sign. The joint test of all coefficients being 0 yielded an F(3/64) statistic of only 0.26 compared to a 95% critical value of 2.75. So the U.S. appears to have determined its monetary policy without regard to its balance of payments (as is appropriate for a fiat reserve country).⁷ Although financing the

^{6.} The current TROLL system regression package has a program defect when 2SLS and correction for autocorrelation are used simultaneously. France, Germany, Italy, and Japan appear to have significant positive autocorrelation judging from Durbin's h statistic.

^{7.} See Darby (1980) and chapter 16.

Vietnamese War is a popular explanation of the onset of the inflationary process, neither the fraction of the total labor force in the military nor the number of troops in Vietnam entered the reaction function (R4) at all significantly.⁸ So the Vietnamese War apparently had no more effect than any similar sequence of unexpected increases in government spending.

A number of factors have been suggested to explain the gradually rising target level of inflation implicit in the U.S. reaction function. Most—such as the increasing influence of Keynesian economics on politicians—appear unquantifiable and untestable. It may well be that the upward trend reflects acceptance of whatever has been our recent experience, so that the government spending shocks of the Vietnamese War began a dynamic process which has since fed upon itself.

The results for the nonreserve countries are reported in table 6.7. The key element for international transmission is the effect of the balance of payments on the money supply. Table 6.8 indicates what fraction of the balance of payments is not sterilized by the central bank—a value of 1 indicates no sterilization and a value of 0 indicates complete sterilization. During the pegged period, sterilization appears to have been a universal practice, although there was a substantial impact effect of the balance of payments on the German and Japanese nominal money supply. When we take account of lagged adjustments, the money supplies of all countries except Italy appear to respond, albeit partially, to the balance of payments on nominal money during the floating period is consistent with a joint policy of exchange intervention and monetary adjustments in response to exchange-rate pressures.

6.2.5 Interest-Rate Equations (R5) and (N5)—Table 6.9

The interest-rate equations are somewhat puzzling: A partial adjustment process appears to operate with nominal rather than real interest rates. A partial adjustment process for real interest rates is not ruled out by efficient capital markets, but a partial adjustment process for nominal rates is harder to rationalize. One possibility is that this result reflects an expectational process in the adjustment formulation along lines suggested by Waud (1968). The money shocks and export shocks generally have the expected signs on their impact coefficients (negative and positive, respectively), but real-government-spending shocks generally have a negative impact effect on interest rates. We suspect the solution to these puzzles may lie in the formation of expectations, but leave this as an area for future research.

^{8.} Distributed lags of the military variables alone or in combination with the balance-ofpayments variables also failed to enter.

^{9.} The implications of sterilization (and hence endogenous domestic credit) are examined in chapters 10 and 11.

6.2.6 Export Equations (R6) and (N6)-Table 6.10

The export equations indicate that measured price influences are not very strong. An increased real oil price enters as a proxy for increased real income of the rest of the world and has the expected positive sign except for Japan. Foreign real income has much weaker positive impact than would be expected from the absorption approach. The sum of the current and lagged domestic price level is negative for all countries except the U.S. and Canada, but the effects are universally weak. Similarly, foreign prices and the exchange rate generally have weak positive effects.

6.2.7 Import Equations (R7) and (N7P)—Table 6.11

The import demand equations display a *J*-curve type of effect. An increase in relative import prices initially (except for Germany and Italy) increases the nominal value of imports relative to nominal income. Lagged quantity adjustment, indicated by negative coefficients on lagged relative import prices, gradually offsets the initial increase. While the price effects are somewhat stronger here than for exports,¹⁰ there is no evidence of a "law of one price level" operating strongly in the current period.

6.2.8 Relative-Price-of-Imports Equations (N7F)—Table 6.12

During the floating period, we solve the import demand equation for the relative price of imports. The implied parameter estimates are frequently quite different from those in table 6.11. This may be due to biases from the (different) lagged dependent variable which appears in each equation.

6.2.9 Import-Price Equations (R8) and (N8P)—Table 6.13

The import supply equations indicate that increases in foreign prices increase import prices, although the coefficients are insignificant for the United States and Canada. Changes in exchange rates are significantly positive for the four countries which changed their peg during the period of estimation, but not for Canada, Italy, or Japan. Oil prices are important only for the U.S. and perhaps Italy and the Netherlands.

6.2.10 Exchange-Rate Equations (N8F)—Table 6.14

The inverted import supply equations are used to explain exchangerate movement during the floating period. Although it is somewhat

^{10.} This may be because with relatively reliable import price data we can estimate separate import demand and supply equations while the export equation is a market equilibrium equation in which the exchange rate and foreign price level enter directly. That is, an increase in the relative price of imports—for given quantities of imports—increases the ratio of the value of imports to nominal income. An increase in the price level, ceteris paribus, increases the value of exports and nominal income proportionately.

arbitrary in a simultaneous model which one is declared *the* exchangerate equation, this one was chosen because exchange rates entered most directly and strongly here. The approach clearly worked well for France, Japan, and the Netherlands and not so well for the United Kingdom, Canada, Germany, and Italy. Why this is so is puzzling to us.

6.2.11 Capital-Flows Equations (R9) and (N9)—Table 6.15

The capital-flows equations worked poorly for the United Kingdom and Japan, perhaps reflecting the effectiveness of their capital controls. For the other countries, net capital outflows generally were negatively related (albeit weakly so) either to the exchange-rate adjusted interest differential $[R_j - (4\Delta \log E_{j,t+1})^* - R_1]$ or to changes in this differential, judging from the coefficients estimated on its component parts. But the estimated coefficients are neither large nor precisely estimated as would be suggested by discussions of "interest arbitrage" in the asset approach. Apparently foreign and domestic securities are treated as imperfect substitutes in the portfolio. Alternatively, movements in the differential may reflect changes in the equilibrium value with no flows resulting. These issues are further investigated in chapters 10 and 11.

6.2.12 Balance-of-Payments Equations (N10F)-Table 6.16

These equations attempt to model intervention in the floating exchange-rate markets and include the variables popularly discussed: movements in the exchange rate relative to recent movements or lagged relative inflation rates and the lagged dependent variable. These variables appear to have some explanatory power for intervention except in the French and Dutch cases.

6.2.13 A Check for Omitted Channels

A useful check of model adequacy is to examine cross-correlations of the residuals.¹¹ A pattern of significant residuals would indicate where we had failed to include important channels of influence. Here we report checks for two classes of residual cross-correlation: (1) within the country submodel and (2) U.S. nominal money, real income, and price level versus all residuals in the foreign submodels.¹² If the model is inadequate, evidence should certainly show up here.

Tables 6.17 and 6.18 report all the significant cross-correlation coefficients obtained for the pegged and floating periods, respectively. The entry " $\rho(\log P_1, R_1) = -0.348^*$ " in table 6.17, for example, indicates that the residuals of the U.S. price-level and interest-rate equations (R2)

^{11.} We are indebted to Robert P. Flood, Jr., for suggesting this check.

^{12.} These 923 cross-correlations are the main potential dangers for omitted channels. Given the relatively clean bill of health reported below for these, we did not compute the other 3,989 cross-correlation coefficients.

and (R5), respectively, were negatively correlated during the pegged period; the asterisk indicates that the correlation was significant at the 0.01 level or better. We might infer that our treatment of inflationary expectations was wrong if we focused on this coefficient alone. However, when we look at a large number of residual correlation coefficients, some should appear significant even if all the residuals are drawn from independent white noise processes.

The evidence suggests that we have not missed significant channels of transmission, particularly international channels of transmission. Of the 923 cross-correlation coefficients computed, only 7.8% are significant at the 5% level or better. Among these we have 3.1% of the total significant at the 1% level or better. Further, these small excesses of observed over nominal frequencies are almost entirely due to within-country crosscorrelations as detailed in table 6.19. Thus, to the small extent that our simple model has missed significant relations among variables, these omissions appear to be within rather than across countries. Further, as indicated in the notes to tables 6.17 and 6.18, in no case were the same cross-correlations significant in more than two countries;13 so no pattern of missed channels is indicated. If we wish to consider models comparable across countries, this is about as clean a result as we could hope for. In summary, there appear to be no significant channels of transmission either within or across countries which we have failed to incorporate in the model.

6.3 Conclusions and Areas for Future Research

Our main empirical results can be summarized by the statement that linkages among countries joined by pegged exchange rates appear to be much looser or more elusive than has been assumed in many previous studies, particularly those associated with the monetary approach to the balance of payments. In particular, substantial or complete sterilization of the effects of *contemporaneous* reserve flows on the money supply appears to be a universal practice. This implies, among other things, that domestic credit cannot be properly treated as an exogenous variable and that central banks may have influenced their nominal money supplies despite pegged exchange rates. Much of the remainder of this volume is devoted to further investigation of these issues and their implications.

The estimates reported in this chapter indicate that:

1. The link between countries provided by the price-specie-flow mechanism is not strong and operates only with a lag. There are two reasons for this. First, relative price effects on the balance of trade are not

^{13.} In only one case— $\rho(\log y_i, \log P_1)$ for the United Kingdom and Netherlands in the pegged period—were there even two significant cross-correlations of the same type involving cross-country comparisons.

large, although they increase over time. Second, the effect of the balance of payments on the domestic money supply and hence domestic prices is small and operates with a lag. This reflects the apparent practice of sterilization of contemporaneous reserve flows mentioned above.

2. Currency substitution does not seem to provide a significant link between countries. Evidence of currency substitution was found only in the British and Japanese cases, and its magnitudes even there were small.

3. International capital flows do not appear to be very well related to interest differentials (adjusted for expected depreciation). One possible explanation is that we only observe changes in the equilibrium interestrate differential consistent with risk differences, controls, and the like. The role of capital flows in the transmission of inflation would be small in any case due to sterilization of the effects of reserve flows on the money supply.

4. A *J*-curve phenomena was observed for imports, so that the shortrun and long-run effects of variables affecting domestic inflation through the balance of trade (the absorption channel) may differ. This weakens the short-run link between countries on pegged exchange rates relative to the long-run link.

5. The effects of money shocks on real income are much weaker in the countries other than the United States.

6. Money seems to play a shock-absorber role, as emphasized by Carr and Darby, in all of the countries. Innovations in nominal money have little effect on contemporaneous inflation, although there are small contemporaneous effects on real income and interest rates.

These results raise serious questions about a number of popular hypotheses and some widely used assumptions in models of open economies.

Acknowledgments

The other contributors to this volume have made innumerable helpful suggestions in regard to the estimates and their interpretation. Daniel M. Laskar, Michael T. Melvin, M. Holly Crawford, and Andrew A. Vogel performed the calculations using the TROLL system and Charles Nelson's Box-Jenkins routines at MIT.

Appendix

The variables described in table 5.1 of the previous chapter generally either are drawn directly from the project data bank (see chapter 3 and the Data Appendix to this volume) or are transformations of such variables. In a few cases minor revisions have been made in the data bank series subsequent to the date in which we placed the variable in the model data set, but in no case were the changes sufficiently substantial to justify reestimation and resimulation of the model.¹⁴ The specific basic data series are summarized in tables 6.20 and 6.21.

References

- Carr, J., and M. R. Darby. 1981. The role of money supply shocks in the short-run demand for money. *Journal of Monetary Economics* 8 (September): 183–99.
- Darby, M. R. 1980. The monetary approach to the balance of payments: Two specious assumptions. *Economic Inquiry* 18 (April): 321-26.
- ------. 1981. The international economy as a source of and restraint on United States inflation. In W. A. Gale, ed., *Inflation: Causes, consequents, and control.* Cambridge, Mass.: Oelgeschlager, Gunn & Hain, Publishers.
- Waud, R. N. 1968. Misspecification in the "partial adjustment" and "adaptive expectations" models. *International Economic Review* 9 (June): 204–17.

14. In some cases, the data series names in the Data Appendix will differ slightly from those used here as an indication of such revisions; the correspondence will be obvious from the descriptions. We based our judgment of whether or not to reestimate the whole model on an examination of changes in reestimated regressions of only those equations in which the revised data or their transformations appeared. The only remaining differences are ones that passed this check.

Table 6.1 Basic Instrument Lists for Computation of Principal Components⁺ a) United States a) United States

Domestic Instruments

 $\hat{g}_{1,t-1}, \hat{g}_{1,t-2}, \hat{g}_{1,t-3}, \hat{g}_{1,t-4}, \log P^{RO}, \log P^{RO}_{t-1}, (X/Y)^{*}_{1,t}, (I/Y)_{1,t-1}, \\ \log M_{1,t-1}, \hat{M}_{1,t-2}, \hat{M}_{1,t-3}, \log P_{1,t-1}, (\log P_{1,t-1} - \log P_{1,t-3}), \\ (\log P_{1,t-3} - \log P_{1,t-5}), \log P^{t}_{1,t-1}, R_{1,t-1}, R_{1,t-2}, R_{1,t-3}, u_{1,t-1}, \\ u_{1,t-2}, u_{1,t-3}, u_{1,t-4}, (X/Y)_{1,t-1}, (X/Y)_{1,t-2}, \hat{x}_{1,t-1}, \hat{x}_{1,t-2}, \hat{x}_{1,t-3}, \\ \log y_{1,t-1}, Z_{1,t-2} \\ \text{Fitted Foreign Instruments}^{\$}$

 $(\log P_1^R)^{\text{FIT}}, (\log P_{1,t-1}^R)^{\text{FIT}}, (\log y_1^R)^{\text{FIT}}, (\log y_{1,t-1}^R)^{\text{FIT}}, (R_2)^{\text{FIT}}, (R_{2,t-1})^{\text{FIT}}, (R_{2,t-2})^{\text{FIT}}, (R_{2,t-3})^{\text{FIT}},$

b) Nonreserve Countries

Domestic Instruments

 $\begin{bmatrix} DF_{j}, DF_{j,t-1} \end{bmatrix}_{*}^{*} \hat{g}_{j}, \hat{g}_{j,t-1}, \hat{g}_{j,t-2}, \hat{g}_{j,t-3}, \hat{g}_{j,t-4}, \log P^{RO}, \log P^{RO}_{t-1}, \\ (X/Y)_{j}^{*}, (I/Y)_{j,t-1}, \log M_{j,t-1}, \hat{M}_{j,t-1}, \hat{M}_{j,t-2}, \hat{M}_{j,t-3}, \log P_{j,t-1}, \\ (\log P_{j,t-1} - \log P_{j,t-3}), (\log P_{j,t-3} - \log P_{j,t-5}), \log P^{I}_{j,t-1}, R_{1,t-1}, \\ R_{1,t-2}, R_{1,t-3}, (X/Y)_{j,t-1}, (X/Y)_{j,t-2}, \hat{x}_{j,t-1}, \hat{x}_{j,t-2}, \hat{x}_{j,t-3}, (B/Y)_{j,t-1}, \\ (B/Y)_{j,t-2}, [(B/Y)_{j,t-3} + (B/Y)_{j,t-4}], \log y_{j,t-1}, Z_{j,t-2}, \\ [u_{j,t-1}, u_{j,t-2}, u_{j,t-3}, u_{j,t-4}]^{*} \end{bmatrix}$

Fitted Foreign Instruments[§] (log P_j^R)^{FIT}, (log $P_{j,t-1}^R$)^{FIT}, (log y_j^R)^{FIT}, (log $y_{j,t-1}^R$)^{FIT}, (R_1)^{FIT}, ($R_{1,t-2}$)^{FIT}, ($R_{1,t-2}$)^{FIT}, ($R_{1,t-3}$)^{FIT}

⁺Certain variables listed as predetermined are not listed here because of extreme multicollinearity with listed variables or because they are not predetermined generally for the whole sample period.

[§]Fitted foreign instruments (indicated by superscript ^{FIT}) are obtained by fitting log y_j , log P_j , and R_j on the domestic instruments for country j for j = 1, ..., 8. The indices (log y_j^R)^{FIT} and (log P_j^R)^{FIT} are obtained by applying (R18), (R19), (N18), and (N19) using the weights in table 5.7.

⁺The DF_j variables are included only for estimates spanning the entire period; i.e. they are omitted in estimates made for only the pegged or floating period.

*For nonreserve countries other than the United Kingdom and France, $\log y_i - \log y_i^P$ is substituted for u_i .

Table 6.2	Real-Ind $\log y_j =$	come Equations (F $\alpha_{j1} + \alpha_{j2} \log y_{j,t-}^P$	(1) and (N1) $_1 + (1 - \alpha_{j2}) \log y_j$	$_{j,i-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{3} \alpha_{j,3+i} \hat{M}_{j}$	$f_{i,t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^3 \alpha_{j,7+i} \hat{g}_j,$	$\sum_{t=i}^{3} \alpha_{j,11+i} \hat{x}_{j,t-i}$	$\epsilon_{i} + \epsilon_{j1}$	
	US	UK	СА	FR	GE	IT	JA	NE
Coeffi-								
cients								
α_{j1}	.0079	.0056	.0108	.0125	.0108	.0114	.0204	.0100
	(.0010)	(.0016)	(.0014)	(.0020)	(.0015)	(.0015)	(.0017)	(.0015)
	8.082	3.533	7.845	6.219	7.233	7.636	11.710	6.591
α _{j2}	.0747	.2259	.1376	.0833	.0457	.0275	0178	.0756
	(.0352)	(.0867)	(.0613)	(.0695)	(.0425)	(.0447)	(.0351)	(.0547)
	2.124	2.605	2.245	1.198	1.076	.615	508	1.381
α_{i3}	.7784	1974	.3020	2651	.3515	.0939	.1427	.3078
1.	(.3116)	(.1418)	(.1644)	(.3130)	(.1571)	(.1401)	(.1725)	(.1496)
	2.498	- 1.392	1.837	847	2.238	.670	.827	2.058
α_{i4}	.5902	.0404	.2068	.0688	.0694	.0791	.1083	. 1988
, ·	(.2208)	(.1008)	(.1052)	(.1848)	(.1107)	(.0993)	(.1148)	(.1237)
	2.673	.401	1.966	.372	.627	.796	.944	1.607
α_{i5}	0470	0262	.1044	.1001	0173	.2768	.1856	.0352
	(.2305)	(.0954)	(.1057)	(.1823)	(.1094)	(.1033)	(.1141)	(.1221)
	204	275	.988	.549	158	2.680	1.627	.288
α_{i6}	.8172	1269	.1943	0552	.0408	0176	.0884	.0237
j 0	(.2326)	(.0930)	(.1022)	(.1776)	(.1105)	(.1100)	(.1140)	(.1115)
	3.513	-1.365	1.901	311	.369	160	.775 ´	.212
α_{i7}	0345	.1831	0049	.0447	0349	0014	.0443	.0352
1.	(.0545)	(.0540)	(.0554)	(.0411)	(.0275)	(.0105)	(.0362)	(.0366)
	632	3.395	088	1.089	- 1.273	129	1.223	.962

α_{j8}	.1128 (.0573)	.0274 (.0603)	1641 (.0604)	.0075 (.0415)	.0286 (.0276)	.0006 (.0102)	0196 (.0371)	0586 (.0385)
	1.969	.454	-2.714	.180	1.033	.058	527	-1.520
α _{<i>j</i>9}	.0547	.1069	0283	.0518	0076	0016	.0450	0014
	(.0545)	(.0575)	(.0536)	(.0409)	(.0271)	(.0100)	(.0361)	(.03/3)
	1.002	1.860	528	1.265	281	.163	1.247	036
α_{i10}	.0837	0240	0135	.0164	.0139	.0274	0393	.0180
·	(.0563)	(.0571)	(.0547)	(.0403)	(.0273)	(.0102)	(.0366)	(.0378)
	1.489	420	247	.408	.510	2.700	-1.074	.477
α_{i11}	.7428	.1897	.6833	.3427	.2780	3343	-2.0920	1159
,	(.4943)	(.2348)	(.3606)	(.4893)	(.2648)	(.2931)	(1.0645)	(.1312)
	1.503	.808	1.895	.700	1.050	-1.141	-1.965	884
α_{i12}	.4548	.4127	.1648	7154	2451	0443	.3499	1186
,	(.4148)	(.1799)	(.2401)	(.3847)	(.2215)	(.1897)	(.9034)	(.0961)
	1.097	2.293	.687	-1.860	- 1.107	233	.387	-1.235
α_{i13}	0415	2129	0287	.0153	3293	2277	- 1.7648	.0943
	(.4282)	(.1886)	(.2358)	(.3919)	(.2339)	(.1967)	(.9331)	(.0884)
	097	-1.129	122	.039	-1.408	-1.158	-1.891	1.066
α_{i14}	9251	.0069	.5699	.1215	5084	4655	7337	1334
,	(.4255)	(.1916)	(.2495)	(.4069)	(.2268)	(.1995)	(.9308)	(.0847)
	-2.174	.036	2.284	.299	-2.242	-2.333	788	-1.575
\overline{R}^2	.9982	.9923	.9982	.9969	.9974	.9978	.9992	.9977
S.E.E.	.0087	.0140	.0122	.0180	.0133	.0131	.0155	.0134
D-W	1.81	1.91	2.42	2.13	1.94	2.22	1.97	1.71

Note. Period: 1957I-74IV. Standard errors are in parentheses below coefficient estimates; t statistics are below the standard errors.

	F(4/66) Statistics				
Country	\hat{M} Variables	ĝ Variables	\hat{x} Variables		
US	7.128	1.820	2.188		
UK	1.164	3.531	1.763		
CA	2.315	3.191	1.858		
FR	0.341	0.783	1.006		
GE	1.473	0.748	2.353		
IT	2.201	2.004	1.766		
JA	1.152	1.141	1.660		
NE	1.530	1.137	1.675		

Table 6.3	F Statistics for Groups of Demand Shock Variables
	for Estimates in Table 6.2

Notes. The reported F statistics are appropriate for testing the joint hypothesis that all four of the demand shock variables of the type indicated have a coefficient of zero. Such a test is conditional upon the other variables entering in the equation.

For F(4/66), the 10% significance level is 2.04, the 5% significance level is 2.52, and the 1% significance level is 3.63.

Ta	Ы	e	6.	4
----	---	---	----	---

Price Level Equations (R2) and (N2) $\log P_{j} = \log M_{j} + \beta_{j1} + \beta_{j2} \log y_{j}^{P} + \beta_{j3} (\log y_{j} - \log y_{j}^{P}) + \beta_{j4} R_{j} + \beta_{j5} [R_{1} + (4\Delta \log E_{j,t+1})^{*}]^{+} + \beta_{j6} (\log M_{j,t-1} - \log P_{j,t-1}) + \sum_{i=0}^{3} \beta_{j,7+i} \hat{M}_{j,t-i} + \epsilon_{j2}$

	US	UK	CA	FR	GE	IT	JA	NE
Coeffi-								
β _{j1}	.0851	2409	.1175	0692	.0818	.1672	.4466	0057
	(.1067)	(.1361)	(.0421)	(.0554)	(.0517)	(.2679)	(.1109)	(.0417)
	.798	- 1.770	2.789	1.248	1.583	.624	4.026	136
β _{j2}	0224	0313	2196	0247	0662	0648	2017	0796
	(.0058)	(.0282)	(.0368)	(.0250)	(.0228)	(.0480)	(.0363)	(.0332)
	-3.863	- 1.113	- 5.967	987	- 2.900	- 1.349	- 5.562	-2.397
β _{<i>j</i>3}	0915	3687	1519	0189	0062	0430	0621	.0938
	(.0199)	(.1490)	(.0678)	(.0576)	(.0255)	(.0781)	(.0421)	(.0526)
	- 4.601	-2.476	-2.242	328	245	550	- 1.474	1.785
β _{j4}	.3489	.4405	.2487	.4813	.0227	.1183	.9316	.0227
	(.0685)	(.1694)	(.1372)	(.0852)	(.0448)	(.1588)	(.4464)	(.0945)
	5.094	2.601	1.813	5.647	.506	.745	2.087	.241
β _{<i>j</i>5}	0011	.0815	0209	.0239	0089	0378	.0873	0397
	(.0117)	(.0355)	(.0981)	(.0196)	(.0168)	(.0397)	(.0376)	(.0288)
	097	2.295	213	1.216	527	951	2.322	- 1.380

	US	UK	CA	FR	GE	IT	JA	NE
β	9907	8571	6260	9918	9335	9485	8273	8941
	(.0248)	(.0548)	(.0606)	(.0203)	(.0200)	(.0255)	(.0296)	(.0347)
	- 39.974	- 15.646	- 10.329	- 48.824	- 46.760	- 37.190	- 27.963	- 25.750
β _{/7}	7145	7401	- 1.0824	7633	-1.0874	-1.2105	- 1.0066	- 1.0174
	(.1503)	(.1734)	(.1504)	(.1927)	(.0774)	(.1280)	(.1165)	(.1271)
	- 4.754	- 4.269	- 7.199	- 3.962	-14.049	-9.456	- 8.640	- 8.008
β _{/8}	3961	.0374	2690	2414	1448	1738	3656	4761
	(.0924)	(.1065)	(.1080)	(.1111)	(.0518)	(.0900)	(.0839)	(.0866)
	-4.288	.351	- 2.491	-2.172	-2.798	- 1.932	- 4.358	-5.497
β _{/9}	.1655	1519	2761	.0248	3170	3615	3207	6534
	(.0914)	(.1009)	(.1046)	(.1059)	(.0521)	(.0925)	(.0849)	(.0889)
	1.810	-1.506	- 2.641	.234	- 6.084	- 3.909	- 3.779	- 7.352
β _{/10}	.0164	1675	6435	.0494	3196	1435	3017	2813
	(.1028)	(.0996)	(.1051)	(.1045)	(.0571)	(.0951)	(.0876)	(.0868)
	.160	- 1.681	-6.212	.473	-5.601	- 1.509	- 3.445	- 3.243
\overline{R}^2	.9997	.9983	.9978	.9987	.9993	.9988	.9987	.9989
S.E.E.	.0035	.0147	.0116	.0105	.0063	.0117	.0119	.0109
h [D-W] [§]	1.69	.66	-1.64	3.57	2.85	[1.54]	2.67	-1.92

Table 6.4 (continued)

Note. Period: 1957I-76IV. Standard errors are in parentheses below coefficient estimates; t statistics are below the standard errors. *For the United States, the foreign interest rate is $R_2 = (4\Delta \log E_{2,t+1})^*$.

⁸The biased Durbin-Watson statistic is reported in square brackets in those cases in which Durbin's h cannot be computed (is imaginary).

	US	UK	FR
Coeffi-			
cients			
γ_{i1}	.0046	.0023	.0019
,	(.0004)	(.0003)	(.0003)
	11.183	7.031	6.648
γ_{i2}	1952	0849	0339
	(.0277)	(.0162)	(.0077)
	-7.055	-5.252	-4.385
γ_{i3}	1876	0327	0360
	(.0241)	(.0125)	(.0058)
	-7.802	-2.616	-6.202
γ_{i4}	0528	0660	0240
	(.0235)	(.0122)	(.0060)
	-2.248	-5.407	-4.010
γ_{j5}	0624	0556	0125
	(.0232)	(.0125)	(.0059)
	-2.691	- 4.437	-2.116
Y j6	.0529	0415	0037
	(.0234)	(.0126)	(.0059)
	2.257	-3.300	628
γ_{j7}	.0177	0165	0116
	(.0237)	(.0126)	(.0060)
	.746	-1.311	-1.944
γ_{j8}	0349	0057	.0005
	(.0244)	(.0129)	(.0060)
	-1.431	444	.079
γ_{j9}	0602	.0004	.0074
	(.0226)	(.0126)	(.0058)
	-2.668	.028	1.285
\overline{R}^2	.8089	.4428	.4492
S.E.E.	.0019	.0016	.0009

 Table 6.5
 Unemployment-Rate Equations (R3) and (N3)

Note. Period: 1957I–76IV. Standard errors are in parentheses below coefficient estimates; t statistics are below the standard errors.

Table 6.6	Reserve-Country Nominal-Mone	y Equation (R4)							
	$\Delta \log M_1 = 0.4612 \Delta \log M_{1,t-1} - 0.2295 \Delta \log M_{1,t-2} + 0.0044 + 0.0003t$								
	(0.1158)	(0.1159)	(0.0028)	(0.0000)					
	3.984	-1.981	1.587	5.057					
	$+ 0.0040 \hat{g}_{1}$	$+ 0.0016(\hat{g}_{1,t-1} + \hat{g}_{1,t-1})$	$(\hat{g}_{1,t-1}) + 0.0293(\hat{g}_{1,t-1})$	$_{3}+\hat{g}_{1,t-4})$					
	(0.0286)	(0.0205)	(0.0200)						
	0.141	0.076	1.465						
	- 0.0576(lo	$p_{1,t-1} - \log P_{1,t-3}) - p_{1,t-3}$	$0.2372(\log P_{1,t-})$	$_3 - \log P_{1,t-5} - 0.1167 u_{1,t-1}$					
	(0.0905)	0 11 1 0 11 37	(0.0996)	(0.1930)					
	-0.636	-	-2.381	-0.604					
	$+ 0.5393u_{1,}$ (0.3627) 1.487	$\begin{array}{c} & (0.3670) \\ & (1.176) \\ & (0.3670) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\ & (0.1176) \\$	0546 <i>u</i> _{1,7-4} 1950) 280						
		$\bar{R}^2 = 0.5624$, S.E.I	$E_{.} = 0.0046, [D]$	$-W = 2.05]^+$					

Note. Period: 1957I-76IV. Standard errors are in parentheses below coefficient estimates; t statistics are below the standard errors.

⁺The biased Durbin-Watson statistic is reported in square brackets because Durbin's h cannot be computed (is imaginary).

Table 6.7 Nonreserve-Country Nominal-Money Equations (N4)

$\Delta \log M_{j} = \eta_{j1} + \eta_{j2}t + \eta_{j3}\hat{g}_{j} + \eta_{j4}(\hat{g}_{j,t-1} + \hat{g}_{j,t-2}) + \eta_{j5}(\hat{g}_{j,t-3} + \hat{g}_{j,t-4}) + \eta_{j6}(\log P_{j,t-1} - \log P_{j,t-3}) + \eta_{j7}[DF_{j}(\log P_{j,t-1} - \log P_{j,t-3})] + \eta_{j8}(\log P_{j,t-3} - \log P_{j,t-5}) + \eta_{j9}[DF_{j}(\log P_{j,t-3} - \log P_{j,t-5})] + \eta_{j,10}u_{j,t-1} + \eta_{j,11}u_{j,t-2} + \eta_{j,12}u_{j,t-3} + \eta_{j,13}u_{j,t-4} + \eta_{j14}(B/Y)_{j} + \eta_{j,15}[DF_{j}(B/Y)_{j}] + \eta_{j,16}[(B/Y)_{j,t-1} + (B/Y)_{j,t-2}] + \eta_{j17}\{DF_{j}[(B/Y)_{j,t-3} + (B/Y)_{j,t-4}] + \eta_{j,18}[(B/Y)_{j,t-3} + (B/Y)_{j,t-4}] + \epsilon_{j4}$

	UK	CA	FR	GE	IT	JA	NE
Coefficients							
η _{ει}	0058	.0101	.0354	.0160	.0167	.0540	.0097
.,.	(.0103)	(.0055)	(.0051)	(.0090)	(.0117)	(.0067)	(.0070)
	561	1.841	6.936	1.793	1.434	8.026	1.375
η_{j2}	0000	.0002	0003	0001	.0004	0000	.0003
	(.0002)	(.0002)	(.0001)	(.0001)	(.0002)	(.0001)	(.0001)
	003	1.001	-2.517	586	2.363	149	2.151
η _{/3}	.0874	.1156	.0030	.0363	0145	0219	.0641
·	(.0656)	(.0760)	(.0254)	(.0305)	(.0232)	(.0422)	(.0405)
	1.333	1.521	.119	1.192	622	518	1.582
η_{i4}	.1540	.1849	.0307	0149	0342	.0317	0395
	(.0562)	(.0613)	(.0221)	(.0232)	(.0208)	(.0426)	(.0307)
	2.741	3.018	1.387	644	-1.647	.744	-1.286
η_{i5}	.0299	0035	0178	0225	0317	.0262	0397
,	(.0661)	(.0655)	(.0228)	(.0227)	(.0222)	(.0388)	(.0312)
	.452	054	781	993	-1.430	.676	-1.274

	UK	CA	FR	GE	IT	JA	NE
η _{i6}	.0771	1972	0859	.0977	0956	5453	2390
y ~	(.2107)	(.5889)	(.0989)	(.2470)	(2764)	(.1811)	(.1639)
	.366	334	868	.395	346	-3.011	-1.458
η _{i7}	.0242	.2649	.5748	8816	.0372	.4078	.3620
·, ·	(.2218)	(.5583)	(.3598)	(.3516)	(.3573)	(.2181)	(.2675)
	.109	.474	1.597	-2.507	.1041	1.870	1.353
ካ _{/8}	.1567	1133	0535	4020	.2625	2006	.0566
	(.2396)	(.6025)	(.0972)	(.2971)	(.2601)	(.1490)	(.1628)
	.654	188	551	-1.353	1.009	- 1.346	.348
1 779	0748	0016	3655	.6823	1297	.0145	.0622
v .	(.2727)	(.5691)	(.4059)	(.4041)	(.4102)	(.2135)	(.2397)
	274	003	900	1.688	316	.068	.260
ŋ /10	1.2313	1548	1.5859	.0364	1055	0059	0338
· · · ·	(1.5107)	(.1785)	(1.8373)	(.1331)	(.2265)	(.1410)	(.1589)
	.815	867	.863	.274	466	042	213
η _{σι}	- 3.4256	.0546	-4.0365	1024	.1264	.0221	3617
·,··	(2.3092)	(.2149)	(3.2469)	(.1684)	(.2788)	(.1697)	(.2141)
	-1.483	.254	-1.243	608	.453	.130	-1.690
η_{i12}	7.0718	.0777	7.8250	.1912	1260	.1636	.3568
<i>y</i> ·=	(2.5266)	(.2193)	(3.3058)	(.1734)	(.2759)	(.1724)	(.2104)
	2.799	.354	2.367	1.103	457	.949	1.696

Table 6.7 (continued)

η _{/13}	- 4.2754	.0413	- 5.0553	0848	.3273	3035	.0288
	(1.7219)	(.2003)	(2.1008)	(.1297)	(.2263)	(.1343)	(.1524)
	-2.483	.206	-2.406	653	1.446	-2.260	.189
η_{j14}	5155	1068	4060	1.6489	- 5.7268	2.2503	.4210
	(.5838)	(1.2418)	(.6601)	(.5769)	(2.5394)	(1.2800)	(.8439)
	883	086	615	2.858	-2.255	1.758	.499
η_{i15}	2.1291	3.3238	.1031	.0196	6.5592	5742	.7008
	(1.0068)	(2.3210)	(1.1007)	(.8884)	(3.0475)	(1.5246)	(1.4222)
	2.115	1.432	.094	.022	2.152	377	.493
η_{i16}	.4856	.8225	.3233	.0938	2.5421	1.5380	.3972
,	(.2139)	(.5970)	(.3260)	(.2371)	(1.1070)	(.6816)	(.3402)
	2.270	1.378	.992	.395	2.296	2.256	1.168
η_{i17}	5212	- 3.2249	1322	.3328	6868	-2.5332	-1.1151
	(.3625)	(1.2196)	(.5630)	(.4828)	(1.0566)	(.8004)	(.6231)
	-1.438	-2.644	235	.689	650	-3.165	-1.790
n /18	.3309	.2862	.7214	.5458	3723	1.8032	.0915
	(.2078)	(.6238)	(.2587)	(.2864)	(.7726)	(.7378)	(.2997)
	1.592	.459	2.788	1.906	482	2.444	.305
1) /19	2042	1.4646	.0605	.0399	.8100	-1.8875	9712
.,	(.3388)	(1.1676)	(.5414)	(.4740)	(1.0940)	(.8633)	(.5983)
	603	1.254	.112	.084	.740	-2.186	-1.623
\bar{R}^2	.2888	.1540	.3474	.2765	6681	.4160	.2950
S.E.E.	.0170	.0163	.0118	.0133	.0224	.0155	.0148
D-W	1.95	1.88	1.78	2.30	2.11	1.65	1.87

Note. Period: 1957I-76IV. Standard errors are in parentheses below coefficient estimates; t statistics are below the standard errors.

	Pegged	Period	Floating	g Period	
Country	Impact Money Effect ⁺	Cumulative Money Effect [§]	Impact Money Effect [†]	Cumulative Money Effect [§]	Mean Value of $(H/Y)_j^{\ddagger}$
UK	-0.058	0.125	0.181	0.201	0.1122
CA	-0.007	0.138	0.210	0.125	0.0652
FR	-0.057	0.235	-0.042	0.229	0.1394
GE	0.158	0.280	0.160	0.353	0.0957
IT	-0.926	~ 0.224	0.135	0.876	0.1617
JA	0.165	0.656	0.123	-0.035	0.0734
NE	0.045	0.150	0.121	-0.223	0.1076

Table 6.8Interpretation of $(B/Y)_i$ Coefficients in Table 6.7

⁺This is the fraction of the current effect of the balance of payments on nominal money which is *not* sterilized by the central bank; computed as $(\partial \Delta \log M_j)/[\partial (B/H)_j] \approx [\text{coefficient of } (B/Y)_j] \times [\text{mean value of } (H/Y)_j]$, where H_j is high-powered money.

[§]This is the total effect including lagged adjustments by the central bank; computed as $(\partial \Delta \log M_j)/[\partial (B/H)_j] \approx [\Sigma_i \text{ coefficients of } (B/Y)_{j,t-i}] \times [\text{mean value of } (H/Y)_j]$.

[‡]Sample mean for 1957I–76IV.

Table 6.9	Interest-Rate Equations (R5) and (N5)										
	$R_j = \delta_{j1} + $	$\delta_{j2}t + \delta_{j3}(4\Delta \log t)$	$P_{j,t+1})^* + \delta_{j4}R_{j,t-1}$	$_1 + \delta_{j5} (4\Delta \log P_j)^{*}$	$^{*} + \sum_{i=0}^{3} \delta_{j,6+i} \hat{M}_{j,i-i}$	$_{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{3}\delta_{j,10+i}\hat{g}_{j,t-}$	$_{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{3}\delta_{j,14+i}\hat{x}_{j,t-i}$	$_{i} + \epsilon_{j5}$			
	US	UK	CA	FR	GE	IT	JA	NE			
Coefficients											
δ_{j1}	.0059	.0016	.0037	.0049	.0003	0031	0019	.0059			
	(.0023)	(.0061)	(.0023)	(.0033)	(.0042)	(.0020)	(.0021)	(.0035)			
	2.584	.262	1.622	1,481	.068	- 1.523	907	1.708			
δ_{j2}	.0000	.0002	0001	.0002	.0000	.0000	0001	.0001			
	(.0000)	(.0001)	(.0001)	(.0001)	(.0001)	(.0000)	(.0000)	(.0001)			
	.455	1.985	- 1.599	2.767	.440	.406	-6.182	1.422			
δ _{j3}	.2085	.0018	.0949	.1369	.2868	.0246	.0393	1238			
	(.1035)	(.0449)	(.0320)	(.0680)	(.1703)	(.0280)	(.0107)	(.0716)			
	2.015	.041	2.963	2.012	1.684	.878	3.674	- 1.727			
δ_{j4}	.7577	.8761	.9951	.6435	.7940	1.0404	1.0043	.7998			
	(.0991)	(.1277)	(.0854)	(.1050)	(.0929)	(.0370)	(.0249)	(.0740)			
	7.649	.861	11.651	6.117	8.545	28.086	40.282	10.813			
δ_{j5}	1046	0223	.0060	.0124	0695	0200	.0333	.0451			
	(.0865)	(.0287)	(.0215)	(.0663)	(.1215)	(.0229)	(.0076)	(.0547)			
	-1.210	776	.278	.187	572	872	4.406	.826			
δ_{j6}	3230	3059	0782	4602	.1307	0204	0100	.0784			
	(.2031)	(.0866)	(.1056)	(.1576)	(.1349)	(.0492)	(.0101)	(.1192)			
	- 1.590	- 3.533	741	- 2.920	.969	415	988	.658			

	US	UK	CA	FR	GE	IT	JA	NE
δ,7	.3091	.0254	.0153	1887	.0757	.0046	0276	1676
,.	(.1334)	(.0692)	(.0662)	(.0938)	(.0961)	(.0345)	(.0086)	(.0949)
	2.317	.367	.231	-2.012	.788	.134	-3.202	-1.766
δ _{/8}	.0917	.0365	.1491	0914	.1094	.0479	0380	.1154
	(.1256)	(.0586)	(.0671)	(.1011)	(.1052)	(.0328)	(.0080)	(.0941)
	.730	.622	2.223	904	1.040	1.464	-4.734	1.226
δ _{/9}	.1624	.0851	.1540	.0367	.0585	.0274	0194	.0062
,,	(.1186)	(.0557)	(.0606)	(.1018)	(.1017)	(.0340)	(.0071)	(.0810)
	1.369	1.527	2.543	.361	.575	.807 ´	-2.737	.077
διιο	0205	0524	0614	0278	0239	0053	.0005	.0024
,	(.0294)	(.0309)	(.0282)	(.0215)	(.0237)	(.0032)	(.0022)	(.0270)
	696	- 1.694	-2.175	- 1.290	-1.010	-1.663	.220	.088
δ _{i11}	.0189	.0229	0020	.0167	.0028	0059	.0029	.0004
,	(.0304)	(.0325)	(.0308)	(.0208)	(.0235)	(.0031)	(.0023)	(.0271)
	.622	.703	065	.804	.118	-1.915	1.266	.015
δ.12	.0229	.0142	.0556	0161	0128	008	.0009	.0066
,	(.0288)	(.0307)	(.0296)	(.0217)	(.0242)	(.0035)	(.0025)	(.0256)
	. 797	.462	1.878	743	529	218	.359	.259
δ,13	.0154	.0015	.0307	0079	.0167	0033	.0006	.0161
,	(.0302)	(.0316)	(.0282)	(.0207)	(.0260)	(.0033)	(.0024)	(.0263)
	.509	.046	1.088	384	.641	-1.005	.257	.611

Table 6.9 (continued)

δ _{<i>i</i>14}	.6220	.1849	0079	.2001	.2022	.2097	.0074	.1605
,	(.2585)	(.1683)	(.1792)	(.2551)	(.2741)	(.0945)	(.0709)	(.0920)
	2.407	1.099	044	.784	.738	2.220	.104	1.744
δ _{i15}	.3002	.0184	.0373	.1224	2416	.1516	0252	.0721
,	(.2448)	(.1366)	(.1262)	(.2146)	(.2058)	(.0601)	(.0549)	(.0678)
	1.226	.135	.295	.570	-1.174	2.521	459	1.064
δ _{/16}	.5449	0039	0140	.3068	.0877	.0547	.0257	.0338
	(.2549)	(.1278)	(.1182)	(.2033)	(.2014)	(.0627)	(.0573)	(.0622)
	2.138	031	118	1.509	.435	.871	.448	.544
δ _{<i>i</i>17}	2137	.1883	0327	.0768	2494	0098	.0023	.0736
	(.2339)	(.1174)	(.1330)	(.2116)	(.1937)	(.0633)	(.0581)	(.0599)
	914	1.603	246	.363	-1.288	154	.039	1.229
\bar{R}^2	.9267	.9109	.8996	.8764	.8120	.9586	.9782	.7489
S.E.E.	.0046	.0078	.0060	.0089	.011	.0040	.0009	.0091
$h [D-W]^{\dagger}$	28	[1.82]	1.84	[1.63]	[1.67]	[1.70]	2.28	[1.67]

Note. Period: 1957I-76IV. Standard errors are in parentheses below coefficient estimates; t statistics are below the standard errors.

⁺The biased Durbin-Watson statistic is reported in square brackets in those cases in which Durbin's *h* cannot be computed (is imaginary).

Table 6.10	Export Eq	uations (R6) and	(N6)							
	$(X/Y)_j = \theta_j$	$\theta_1 + \theta_{j2}t + \theta_{j3}\log P$	$\theta^{RO} + \theta_{j4}(\log y_j - 1)$	$\log y_j^P$) + $\sum_{i=0}^{1} \theta_{j,5+1}$	$_{i}(X/Y)_{j,t-1-i} + 2$	$\sum_{i=0}^{1} \theta_{j,7+i} \log y_{j,t-i}^{R}$	+ $\sum_{i=0}^{1} \theta_{j,9+i} \log P_{j,i}$	- i		
	$+ \sum_{i=0}^{1} \theta_{j,11+i} \log P_{j,t-i}^{R} \left[+ \sum_{i=0}^{1} \theta_{j,13+i} \log E_{j,t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{1} \theta_{j,15+i} DF_{j,t-i} \log E_{j,t-i} \right]^{\dagger} + \epsilon_{j6}$									
	US	UK	CA	FR	GE	IT	JA	NE		
Coefficients										
θ_{j1}	.0976	.6132	.2497	.1831	.1097	8423	0395	.2820		
	(.0189)	(.0805)	(.0884)	(.0657)	(.0523)	(.1945)	(.0820)	(.1430)		
	5.169	7.618	2.826	2.785	2.099	- 4.331	482	1.972		
θ _{j2}	0012	0035	0025	0052	0010	0017	0002	0026		
	(.0003)	(.0010)	(.0013)	(.0011)	(.0011)	(.0010)	(.0003)	(.0026)		
	-4.356	- 3.511	- 1.863	-4.890	938	- 1.625	616	- 1.009		
θ _{<i>j</i> 3}	.0138	.0148	.0089	.0139	.0296	.0188	0028	.0321		
	(.0026)	(.0064)	(.0072)	(.0049)	(.0053)	(.0075)	(.0034)	(.0150)		
	5.356	2.325	1.234	2.846	5.616	2.502	826	2.146		
θ_{j4}	.0299	0384	0688	.0111	.0435	0962	0405	.1931		
	(.0161)	(.0695)	(.0790)	(.0448)	(.0426)	(.0726)	(.0139)	(.1629)		
	1.855	553	871	.248	1.021	- 1.335	- 2.919	1.186		
θ_{j5}	.5326	.1784	.2876	.1989	.3689	.2681	.2783	.4754		
	(.1193)	(.1276)	(.1277)	(.1119)	(.1216)	(.1213)	(.1064)	(.1250)		
	4.466	1.398	2.251	1.778	3.034	2.211	2.615	3.802		

θ_{i6}	.0723	.1021	.3141	.2406	.1134	.2652	.1423	.0819
	(.1077)	(.1025)	(.1146)	(.0967)	(.1285)	(.1214)	(.1095)	(.1281)
	.671	.996	2.742	2.488	.883	2.185	1.300	.639
θ,7	.0586	0101	.1090	.2338	.1931	.0555	0967	.6712
	(.0312)	(.1152)	(.1030)	(.1027)	(.1066)	(.1703)	(.0452)	(.2613)
	1.881	088	1.058	2.276	1.811	.326	-2.140	2.569
θ_{i8}	.0184	.1624	.1337	.0542	0217	.1797	.1139	3902
	(.0343)	(.1178)	(.1131)	(.1030)	(.0898)	(.1438)	(.0404)	(.2586)
	.535	1.379	1.182	.526	241	1.250	2.821	- 1.509
θ_{i9}	.0083	1545	.0855	0215	0665	.0559	.0311	5752
	(.0354)	(.0787)	(.0682)	(.0689)	(.1252)	(.0909)	(.0364)	(.2051)
	.234	-1.962	1.254	312	531	.616	.854	-2.804
θ_{i10}	.0114	0952	0416	0539	1939	1409	0330	.1862
,	(.0348)	(.0726)	(.0644)	(.0650)	(.1426)	(.0833)	(.0281)	(.1940)
	.327	-1.312	646	829	-1.360	-1.690	-1.176	.960
θ_{i11}	.0102	.1635	.0251	.0103	.1815	0713	.0617	.8770
,	(.0207)	(.1105)	(.0940)	(.0738)	(.1102)	(.1096)	(.0365)	(.2853)
	.491	1.480	.267	.139	1.648	651	1.692	3.074
θ_{i12}	0085	.3009	0552	.2875	.0446	.1265	0487	4179
<i>,</i> –	(.0201)	(.0955)	(.0890)	(.0812)	(.0881)	(.0960)	(.0332)	(.2952)
	423	3.151	621	3.543	.506	1.318	-1.465	-1.415

	US	UK	CA	FR	GE	IT	JA	NE
θ_{j13}	—	.0572 (.0380) 1.508	1529 (.0921) 1.661	.0657 (.0198) 3.315	.0408 (.0305) 1.340	0186 (.0450) 412	.0250 (.0206) 1.216	.1332 (.0932) 1.429
θ_{j14}	_	.1432 (.0424) 3.382	.1425 (.0960) 1.483	.0670 (.0258) 2.594	.0099 (.0272) .364	.1804 (.0474) 3.804	0129 (.0176) 732	0657 (.1155) 568
θ _{j15}	—	0069 (.0086) 799	.0852 (.0741) 1.150	0007 (.0033) 197	0013 (.0051) 261	.0011 (.0014) .805	.0003 (.0004) .674	0034 (.0130) 260
θ_{j16}	_	.0173 (.0099) 1.747	0838 (.0702) - 1.195	0038 (.0034) - 1.111	.0031 (.0057) .556	0005 (.0013) 386	0004 (.0005) 865	.0002 (.0143) .0173
\overline{R}^2	.9799	.9647	.9500	.9685	.9696	.9727	.7767	.8568
S.E.E.	.0023	.0069	.0062	.0050	.0058	.0071	.0121	.0149
h [D-W] [§]	[2.06]	[1.96]	[2.05]	-6.42	[1.65]	[2.14]	55	[1.49]

Table 6.10 (continued)

Note. Period: 1957I-76IV. Standard errors are in parentheses below coefficient estimates; t statistics are below the standard errors.

⁺The exchange-rate terms do not appear in the U.S. equation (R6).

[§]The biased Durbin-Watson statistic is reported in square brackets in those cases in which Durbin's *h* cannot be computed (is imaginary).

		,,	<i>i</i> =0	,	<i>i</i> =0			
	US	UK	CA	FR	GE	IT	JA	NE
Coeffi-								
cients								
λ_{j1}	1034	3300	3403	0497	3324	3432	0296	9957
	(.0388)	(.0935)	(.2160)	(.0506)	(.1296)	(.2732)	(.0421)	(.7004)
	-2.662	-3.529	-1.576	982	-2.566	-1.257	703	-1.422
λ_{i2}	.6667	.3540	.2796	.8132	.3815	.7865	.7148	.3908
,	(.1128)	(.1405)	(.2134)	(.0918)	(.1505)	(.0919)	(.0945)	(.1504)
	5.910	2.520	1.310	8.858	2.535	8.554	7.561	2.598
λ _{i3}	.0178	.1358	.1164	.0114	.0708	.0350	.0035	.2773
,	(.0066)	(.0339)	(.0483)	(.0089)	(.0235)	(.0259)	(.0039)	(.1600)
	2.714	4.004	2.409	1.281	3.015	1.353	.880	1.734
λ_{i4}	.0808	1075	0179	.0710	.0634	.0927	.0137	.1427
	(.0421)	(.1141)	(.1643)	(.0347)	(.0765)	(.1158)	(.0221)	(.2757)
	1.921	942	109	2.045	.828	.800	.619	.518
λ_{i5}	0644	.0348	.1806	0677	.0146	0139	.0003	.0428
	(.0368)	(.1026)	(.1252)	(.0306)	(.0653)	(.1098)	(.0202)	(.2168)
	- 1.751	.339	1.442	-2.216	.224	127	.016	.1 9 7

Import Equations (R7) and (N7P)⁺ $(I/Y)_{j} = \lambda_{j1} + \lambda_{j2}(I/Y)_{j,t-1} + \lambda_{j3}\log y_{j}^{P} + \sum_{i=0}^{1} \lambda_{j,4+i}(\log y_{j,t-i} - \log y_{j,t-i}^{P}) + \sum_{i=0}^{3} \lambda_{j,6+i} Z_{j,t-i} + \epsilon_{j7}$

Table 6.11

	US	UK	CA	FR	GE	IT	JA	NE
λ_{i6}	.0366	.1425	.1882	.0500	0077	0401	.0101	.5824
,	(.0188)	(.0583)	(.1146)	(.0234)	(.0570)	(.0697)	(.0204)	(.1957)
	1.953	2.446	1.643	2.135	135	575	.497	2.975
λ_{i7}	.0545	.0890	0756	0189	.0336	.1494	.0438	1574
, .	(.0349)	(.0628)	(.1167)	(.0253)	(.0660)	(.0812)	(.0250)	(.1955)
	1.562	1.416	647	747	.510	1.841	1.754	805
λ_{i8}	0753	0320	0556	0481	.0536	1032	0001	0741
,.	(.0401)	(.0617)	(.1158)	(.0239)	(.0705)	(.0897)	(.0225)	(.1670)
	-1.877	518	481	-2.016	.760	-1.151	004	444
λ_{i9}	.0044	.0145	.0661	.0181	0289	.0162	0412	0417
1.	(.0230)	(.0471)	(.0910)	(.0186)	(.0485)	(.0621)	(.0161)	(.1344)
	.191	.308	.726	.976	595	.260	-2.556	310
\overline{R}^2	.9746	.8174	.8977	.9383	.9082	.8885	.8612	.7034
S.E.E.	.0027	.0070	.0045	.0033	.0047	.0077	.0017	.0143
h [D-W] [§]	[1.97]	[1.83]	[1.75]	-1.08	[1.88]	[1.88]	1.80	[1.96]

Table 6.11 (continued)

Note. Standard errors are in parentheses below coefficient estimates; t statistics are below the standard errors.

⁺For the nonreserve countries, these regressions are estimated over only the pegged portion of 1957I–76IV (excludes floating periods listed in part *a* of table 5.6).

[§]The biased Durbin-Watson statistic is reported in square brackets in those cases in which Durbin's *h* cannot be computed (is imaginary).

Table 6.12	Relative-Price-	Relative-Price-of-Imports Equations (N7F)										
	$Z_j = \frac{-\lambda_{j1}}{\lambda_{j6}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_{j6}}$	$\frac{1}{6}(I/Y)_j - \frac{\lambda_{j2}}{\lambda_{j6}}(I/Y)_{j,1}$	$\int_{a-1}^{b-1} -\frac{\lambda_{j3}}{\lambda_{j6}} \log y_j^P - \sum_{i=1}^{1}$	$\sum_{0}^{k} \frac{\lambda_{j,4+i}}{\lambda_{j6}} (\log y_{j,i-i} -$	$-\log y_{j,t-i}^{P}) - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\lambda_{j,i}}{\lambda_{i-1}}$	$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\lambda_{j,6+i}}{\lambda_{j6}} Z_{j,t-i} - \frac{\epsilon_{j7}}{\lambda_{j6}}$						
	UK	CA	FR	GE	IT	JA	NE					
Coefficients												
$-\lambda_{i1}/\lambda_{i6}$	7098	.3108	4.0912	- 2.8389	-10.5063	.4408	5967					
,. ,-	(1.0135)	(.0990)	(2.5134)	(3.2782)	(11.0556)	(2.4054)	(1.0728)					
	700	3.141	1.628	866	950	.183	556					
$1/\lambda_{i6}$	1.9019	1.3247	4.3304	2.2772	1.6392	11.5779	1.3619					
,-	(.3771)	(.5007)	(1.2205)	(2.2405)	(1.4812)	(3.9031)	(.5171)					
	5.044	2.645	3.548	1.016	1.107	2.966	2.634					
$-\lambda_{i2}/\lambda_{i6}$	1897	4200	4294	- 1.7447	8465	-1.5938	2921					
<i>y</i> - <i>y</i> -	(.6476)	(.5098)	(1.1609)	(1.7221)	(.9947)	(5.2844)	(.4519)					
	293	824	370	-1.013	851	302	646					
$-\lambda_{i3}/\lambda_{i6}$.0489	1201	7055	.4096	.9383	0654	.0047					
,- ,-	(.2656)	(.0349)	(.3942)	(.5436)	(1.0244)	(.2069)	(.1917)					
	.184	- 3.439	-1.790	.753	.916	316	.024					
$-\lambda_{i4}/\lambda_{i6}$	1153	0508	.1843	.6405	1.0612	5164	1.2541					
,. ,.	(.4699)	(.2953)	(1.0028)	(1.2641)	(1.2402)	(1.0151)	(1.1212)					
	245	172	.184	.507	.856	509	1.119					

	UK	СА	FR	GE	IT	JA	NE
$-\lambda_{i5}/\lambda_{i6}$	3631	3419	.1800	0096	.5556	3701	- 1.1448
, ,	(.2864)	(.2542)	(.5645)	(.8593)	(1.2274)	(.8033)	(.7698)
	-1.268	-1.345	.319	011	.453	382	-1.487
$-\lambda_{j7}/\lambda_{j6}$.6335	.8510	.1853	.8729	.6988	.4583	.6319
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(.2782)	(.1563)	(.1723)	(.3690)	(.2831)	(.3703)	(.3738)
	2.277	5.445	1.075	2.365	2.469	1.238	1.691
$-\lambda_{i8}/\lambda_{i6}$	2346	1488	.1247	2489	0300	1189	0552
, ,	(.2588)	(.1810)	(.2363)	(.3266)	(.3213)	(.3002)	(.3680)
	- 906	822	.528	762	093	396	150
$-\lambda_{i9}/\lambda_{i6}$.0585	0821	.2125	.1413	.1455	0560	.0680
,- ,-	(.1555)	(.1252)	(.2145)	(.3371)	(2968)	(.2319)	(.3114)
	.376	656	.991	.419	.490	.242	.219
\overline{R}^2	.9865	.9353	.9570	.8534	.9475	.9718	.8818
S.E.E.	.0188	.0184	.0225	.0349	.0543	.0303	.0297
$h [D-W]^{\dagger}$	[1.50]	[2.20]	3.25	[2.14]	[2.56]	[2.29]	[2.99]

Table 6.12 (continued)

Note. These regressions are for the floating periods listed in part *a* of table 5.6. Standard errors are in parentheses below coefficient estimates; *t* statistics are below the standard errors.

^{\dagger}The biased Durbin-Watson statistic is reported in square brackets in those cases in which Durbin's *h* cannot be computed (is imaginary).

	8,	6):i i i): i):	. 8),11	<u>,5 8 1)</u>	87) 195 (<i>"</i> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, try,===8 =/1	
	US	UK	CA	FR	GE	IT	JA	NE
Coefficients								
μ_{i1}	0017	0151	0030	0070	0107	0069	0193	0012
	(.0038)	(.0076)	(.0083)	(.0105)	(.0045)	(.0088)	(.0057)	(.0057)
	446	- 1.993	357	673	-2.380	786	-3.392	208
μ _{i2}	6420	0020	0538	0994	.2422	.0728	.1248	.2289
	(.0850)	(.1319)	(.2077)	(.1115)	(.1150)	(.1588)	(.1422)	(.1196)
	7.557	015	259	891	2.107	.458	.877	1.914
μ _{i3}	.0717	0403	2454	.0522	.0070	.1656	0717	.0999
. ,-	(.0160)	(.0901)	(.5708)	(.1115)	(.0613)	(.1008)	(.0655)	(.0644)
	4.483	448	430	.468	.113	1.644	-1.095	1.550
μ_{i4}	.1522	.6483	.2795	0267	.2902	1488	.8201	2553
,	(.2428)	(.4400)	(.4498)	(.5936)	(.2723)	(.4512)	(.3479)	(.3150)
	.627	1.473	.621	045	1.066	330	2.358	810

Table 6.13

Import Price Equations (R8) and (N8P)[†] log $P_{j}^{I} = \log P_{j,t-1}^{I} + \mu_{j1} \Delta \log P_{j,t-1}^{I} + \mu_{j3} \Delta \log P^{RO} + \mu_{j4} \Delta \log y_{j}^{R} + \mu_{j5} \Delta (I/Y)_{j} + \mu_{j6} \Delta \log P_{j}^{R} + [\mu_{j7} \Delta \log E_{j}]^{\$} + \epsilon_{j8}$

	US	UK	CA	FR	GE	 IT	JA	NE
μ_{j5}	1.9255	.1900	.7223	1.9406	3779	2210	3.0762	.1692
	(.9474)	(.3909)	(.3940)	(1.0139)	(.3335)	(.4550)	(1.2324)	(.1091)
	2.032	.486	1.833	1.914	-1.133	497	2.496	1.550
μ_{i6}	. 1424	1.3714	.2029	1.2349	1.2003	1.2481	1.3652	.8842
	(.1420)	(.5919)	(.8294)	(.7141)	(.3822)	(.7171)	(.4252)	(.4491)
	1.003	2.317	.245	1.729	3.140	1.741	3.211	1.969
μ_{i7}		.5061	.0214	.6364	.4684	-1.1264	.6959	.8225
		(.1568)	(.5412)	(.1086)	(.1312)	(1.3049)	(.6067)	(.2579)
		3.229	.040	5.861	3.570	863	1.147	3.189
\overline{R}^2	.9964	.9734	.9347	.9468	.9108	.9194	.9410	.9135
S.E.E.	.0129	.0159	.0084	.0197	.0106	.0170	.0117	.0110
h [D-W]‡	-4.48	- 23.57	[1.86]	-1.05	22	[2.13]	[2.10]	.59

Table 6.13 (continued)

Note. Standard errors are in parentheses below coefficient estimates; t statistics are below the standard errors.

*For the nonreserve countries, these regressions are estimated over only the pegged portion of 1957I-76IV (excludes floating periods listed in part *a* of table 5.6).

[§]The exchange-rate term does not appear in the U.S. equation (R8).

^{*}The biased Durbin-Watson statistic is reported in square brackets in those cases in which Durbin's *h* cannot be computed (is imaginary).

	$\log E_j = \log E_{j,t}$	$-1 - \frac{\mu_{j1}}{\mu_{j2}} + \frac{1}{\mu_{j2}}\Delta \log \frac{1}{\mu_{j2}}$	$P_j^I = \frac{\mu_{j2}}{\mu_{j2}} \Delta \log P_{j,t}^I$	$-1 = \frac{\mu_{j3}}{\mu_{j7}} \Delta \log P^{RO}$	$-\frac{\mu_{j4}}{\mu_{j7}}\Delta \log y_j^R - \frac{\mu_{j5}}{\mu_{j7}}$	$\Delta(I/Y)_j = \frac{\mu_{j6}}{\mu_{j6}}\Delta \log$	$P_j^R - \frac{\epsilon_{j8}}{1}$
	UK	CA	FR	GE	IT	JA	
Coefficients							
$-\mu_{i1}/\mu_{i7}$.0490	0040	.0507	.0174	.0283	.0508	.0162
P71 P77	(.0368)	(.0055)	(.0257)	(.0363)	(.0402)	(.0212)	(.0202)
	1.333	727	1.976	.480	.704	2.393	.803
$1/\mu_{i7}$.3454	.2732	0635	.3949	.8467	.1140	.3805
.,.	(.8276)	(.2211)	(.5233)	(.5426)	(.4179)	(.2468)	(.4575)
	.417	1.236	121	.728	2.026	.462	.832
$-\mu_{i2}/\mu_{i7}$.2291	.0273	.2030	6752	2330	2069	2598
.,,.	(.4163)	(.1829)	(.2485)	(.3770)	(.2445)	(.1554)	(.2703)
	.550	.149	.817	- 1.791	953	-1.331	961
$-\mu_{i3}/\mu_{i7}$	0018	0202	.0518	0775	0045	0078	.0367
.,,.	(.0582)	(.0144)	(.0493)	(.0651)	(.0732)	(.0332)	(.0406)
	032	-1.401	1.050	-1.190	061	236	.903

Table 6.14

Exchange-Rate Equations (N8F)

	UK	CA	FR	GE	IT	JA	NE
$-\mu_{i4}/\mu_{i7}$.9776	.2732	9932	1.4449	.5851	- 2.8944	2758
• • • • • • •	(1.3640)	(.3320)	(1.2940)	(1.3021)	(1.4865)	(.8975)	(.6667)
	.717	.823	768	-1.110	.394	-3.225	414
$-\mu_{i5}/\mu_{i7}$	-1.3030	3851	1.7303	4.7085	-2.2140	7.0538	5083
.,,	(1.3132)	(.4451)	(2.1846)	(3.6567)	(1.8783)	(4.9008)	(.4632)
	992	865	.792	1.288	-1.179	1.439	- 1.097
$-\mu_{i6}/\mu_{i7}$	-2.8498	0676	-2.9759	-1.4430	-1.8682	- 1.9986	1.6610
.,,.	(.9892)	(.2367)	(.8646)	(1.4249)	(1.4868)	(.6666)	(.6668)
	-2.881	286	-3.442	-1.013	-1.256	-2.998	-2.491
\overline{R}^2	.1213	.0007	.6551	.1563	6624	.3304	.5852
S.E.E.	.0443	.0135	.0291	.0493	.0590	.0283	.0275
D-W	2.76	1.38	2.45	2.40	3.12	2.04	1.94

Table 6.14 (continued)

Note. These regressions are for the floating periods listed in part *a* of table 5.6. Standard errors are in parentheses below coefficient estimates; *t* statistics are below the standard errors.

T	ah	le	6.	1	f
	av		•••		•

5 Capital-Flows Equations (R9) and (N9)

$(C/Y)_j = \xi_{j1} + \xi_{j2}t + \xi_{j3}\log P^{RO} +$	${}_{4}R_{j} + [\xi_{j5}(4\Delta \log E_{j,t+1})^{*} + \xi_{j6}R_{1}]^{\dagger} + \xi_{j7}[(X/Y)_{j} - (I/Y)_{j}] + \xi_{j8}(\log y_{j} - \log y_{j}^{P})$	
$+ \xi_{j9} \Delta \log y_j + \xi_{j10} \Delta \log y_j$	$\int_{j}^{R} + \sum_{i=0}^{2} \xi_{j,11+i} \Delta R_{j,i-i} + \left[\sum_{i=0}^{2} \xi_{j,14+i} \Delta R_{1,i-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{2} \xi_{j,17+i} \Delta (4\Delta \log E_{j,i+1-i})^{*} \right]^{\dagger} + \epsilon_{j9}$	

	US	UK	CA	FR	GE	IT	JA	NE
Coefficients								
ξ ₁₁	.0077	.0198	0211	0159	.0008	.0249	.1931	.0009
,	(.0053)	(.0206)	(.0128)	(.0114)	(.0227)	(.0237)	(.1136)	(.0149)
	1.455	.965	-1.642	- 1.396	.034	1.052	1.700	.060
ξ _{i2}	.0004	0003	0001	0001	0000	.0002	0005	.0004
	(.0001)	(.0004)	(.0002)	(.0002)	(.0004)	(.0002)	(.0005)	(.0002)
	3.142	716	474	472	010	.641	-1.134	1.747
ξ _{/3}	0013	0760	.0075	.0134	.0044	.0002	.0134	.0162
	(.0082)	(.0269)	(.0090)	(.0124)	(.0123)	(.0098)	(.0301)	(.0099)
	164	-2.820	.480	1.085	.358	.019	1.042	1.638
ξ _{i4}	1007	.7525	2095	6406	3022	6437	-2.4553	5028
	(.1849)	(.5526)	(.2558)	(.2298)	(.3641)	(.3542)	(1.3871)	(.3246)
	545	1.362	819	-2.788	830	-1.817	-1.770	- 1.549
\$ 15	.0348	.4443	.3993	.1171	.0971	.1421	.0645	.2198
	(.0534)	(.1535)	(.2398)	(.0493)	(.1478)	(.1020)	(.0876)	(.1079)
	.651	2.895	1.665	2.373	.657	1.393	.736	2.036

	US	UK	CA	FR	GE	IT	JA	NE
ξ _{<i>j</i>6}	2098	9278	.5253	1.0231	.2431	.1986	.3620	0984
	(.1402)	(.6580)	(.3505)	(.3579)	(.6019)	(.3294)	(.3415)	(.4612)
	- 1.496	- 1.410	1.499	2.859	.404	.603	1.060	213
ξ _{j7}	.7666	8730	.7222	.7770	.5354	.5817	2125	.7699
	(.2568)	(.4839)	(.2698)	(.3074)	(.5225)	(.1277)	(.6198)	(.1968)
	2.986	- 1.804	2.677	2.528	1.025	4.556	343	3.911
ξ _{/8}	0535	4390	.1017	.0609	0654	0065	.0441	.2249
	(.0681)	(.3257)	(.1219)	(.1693)	(.1639)	(.1297)	(.1487)	(.1612)
	786	- 1.348	.835	.360	399	050	.296	1.395
ξ,9	1069	.4491	4494	2608	.3244	1259	3308	0454
	(.1890)	(.3905)	(.2863)	(.2020)	(.4095)	(.1908)	(.1824)	(.3549)
	566	1.150	- 1.570	- 1.291	.792	660	-1.814	128
ξ _{j,10}	.0550	.0804	.8661	.5558	- 1.0229	2624	.0348	4544
	(.1612)	(.8828)	(.4604)	(.4806)	(.7941)	(.5041)	(.4485)	(.7418)
	.341	.091	1.881	1.156	- 1.288	521	.078	612
$\xi_{j,11}$	2997	.7571	5176	.6364	.0952	1.3828	6103	.6962
	(.4298)	(.6444)	(.4238)	(.3094)	(.5455)	(.9374)	(3.1056)	(.4190)
	697	1.175	- 1.221	2.057	.714	1.475	197	1.661
ξ _{<i>j</i>,12}	.3474	8290	2984	.2588	4225	.4430	- 1.1426	6873
	(.2492)	(.5917)	(.3544)	(.2594)	(.3424)	(.6100)	(2.3290)	(.3728)
	1.394	- 1.401	842	.998	- 1.234	.726	491	- 1.844
ξ _{<i>j</i>.13}	6649	8227	.1658	.9085	.4053	.3617	3466	.3636
	(.3729)	(.5648)	(.3765)	(.2729)	(.4166)	(.6552)	(2.0206)	(.4770)
	1.783	- 1.457	.440	3.330	.973	.552	172	.762

Table 6.15 (continued)

ξ _{j.14}	.0076 (.1695)	.3171 (1.3718)	.1848 (.5837)	6548 (.7819)	1.6290 (.9686)	1722 (.5950)	8260 (.6718)	.9836 (.7686)
	.045	.231	.317	837	1.682	289	-1.230	1.280
ξ _{1.15}	.0345	5770	.2139	9947	.1326	3523	0918	.1718
	(.1314)	(.9802)	(.4590)	(.4586)	(.7422)	(.4126)	(.3850)	(.5909)
	.262	589	.466	-2.169	.179	854	238	.291
ξi.16	.2389	.9616	1944	.3867	.5469	0210	2326	.9798
-,	(.1300)	(1.0101)	(.4392)	(.4544)	(.6142)	(.3988)	(.4311)	(.6156)
	1.838	.952	443	.851	.891	053	540	1.592
ξ _{1.17}	.0033	3424	3006	0023	.0029	0451	.0383	0866
-,	(.0404)	(.1224)	(.2497)	(.0589)	(.1142)	(.0698)	(.0808)	(.0867)
	.082	-2.797	-1.204	038	.025	646	.474	999
ξ _{i,18}	0040	0765	0482	.0007	.0469	.0380	.0331	0515
-)	(.0119)	(.0607)	(.0860)	(.0231)	(.0448)	(.0414)	(.0516)	(.0370)
	332	-1.261	561	.029	1.049	.917	.640	- 1.392
$\xi_{i,19}$.0019	0117	0687	0173	.0516	.0711	.0236	.0468
.,	(.0116)	(.0542)	(.0880)	(.0217)	(.0455)	(.0379)	(.0487)	(.0349)
	.167	.215	781	798	1.134	1.875	.485	1.341
\overline{R}^2	.1968	0965	.2811	.1908	.1568	.3486	1952	.4587
S.E.E.	.0072	.0291	.0133	0419	.0228	.0137	.0135	.0189
D-W	1.87	1.98	1.69	1.72	2.30	1.20	1.65	1.84

Note. Period: 1957I–76IV. Standard errors are in parentheses below coefficient estimates; t statistics are below the standard errors. [†]For the U.S. equation only, for " $(4\Delta \log E_j \dots$ " read " $-(4\Delta \log E_2 \dots$ " and for " R_1 " read " R_2 " (see equation (R9)).

Country	ψ_{j1}	ψ _{j2}	ψ _{j3}	ψ_{j4}	ψ _{j5}	\overline{R}^2	S.E.E.	$h [D-W]^{\dagger}$
UK	0.0002 (0.0023) 0.095	0.4170 (0.1889) 2.208	0.0191 (0.0518) 0.368	-0.0346 (0.0371) -0.931	- 0.1007 (0.0896) - 1.125	0.1710	0.0074	0.05
СА	0.0003 (0.0003) 1.005	0.2975 (0.1704) 1.746	-0.0927 (0.0328) -2.824	0.0403 (0.0223) 1.802	- 0.0109 (0.0147) - 0.738	0.0943	0.0018	[1.89]
FR	0.0004 (0.0015) 0.241	0.1640 (0.2494) 0.658	-0.0304 (0.0333) -0.912	0.0124 (0.0220) 0.564	0.0332 (0.2015) 0.165	- 0.1965	0.0047	[1.82]
GE	0.0052 (0.0021) 2.471	-0.0723 (0.2621) -0.276	-0.1020 (0.0332) -3.073	0.0025 (0.0267) 0.094	0.0766 (0.1649) 0.464	0.0606	0.0053	[1.98]
IT	-0.0022 (0.0016) -1.412	0.1758 (0.2351) 0.748	-0.0465 (0.0247) -1.884	-0.0232 (0.0268) -0.865	0.0411 (0.0922) 0.446	0.1731	0.0046	[1.51]
JA	0.0007 (0.0010) 0.652	0.7929 (0.2136) 3.712	0.0397 (0.0392) 1.014	0.0244 (0.0276) 0.883	-0.0193 (0.0633) -0.305	0.4109	0.0038	1.01
NE	0.0021 (0.0019) 1.144	-0.2072 (0.2557) -0.810	-0.0078 (0.0529) -0.147	-0.0295 (0.0333) -0.885	0.0196 (0.1303) 0.150	-0.1179	0.0063	[2.09]

 Table 6.16
 Balance-of-Payments Equations (N10F)

 $(B/Y)_{j} = \psi_{j1} + \psi_{j2}(B/Y)_{j,t-1} + \psi_{j3}\Delta \log E_{j} + \psi_{j4}\Delta \log E_{j,t-1} + \psi_{j5}(\Delta \log P_{j,t-1} - \Delta \log P_{1,t-1}) + \epsilon_{j,10}$

Note. These regressions are for the floating periods listed in part *a* of table 5.6. Standard errors are in parentheses below coefficient estimates; *t* statistics are below the standard errors.

[†]The biased Durbin-Watson statistic is reported in square brackets in those cases in which Durbin's h cannot be computed (is imaginary).

Table 6.17	Significant Cross-Correlation Coefficients for Residuals within Countries and with U.S. Money, Income, and Prices: 0.05 Level or Better Pegged Period: 1957II–71I (1962IV–70I Canada)				
Country	Significant Correlations within Country	Significant Correlations with U.S. Variables			
US	$\rho(\log P_1, R_1) = -0.348^*$ $\rho(\log P_1, (X/Y)_1) = -0.310$ $\rho(\log P_1, (C/Y)_1) = 0.327$ $\rho(\log y_1, (I/Y)_1) = -0.490^*$ $\rho(\log y_1, (C/Y)_1) = 0.358^*$ $\rho(u_1, R_1) = -0.275$ $\rho(\log P_1^I, (X/Y)_1) = -0.286$	N.A.			
UK	$\rho(\log P_2, \log P_2^I) = -0.325$ $\rho(\log P_2, (I/Y)_2) = -0.274$ $\rho(\log y_2, u_2) = 0.272$ $\rho(\log y_2, \log M_2) = 0.274$ $\rho(u_2, (X/Y)_2) = 0.279$ $\rho((I/Y)_2, (C/Y)_2) = -0.336$	$\rho(\log y_2, \log P_1) = -0.350^*$ $\rho(\log M_2, \log M_1) = 0.327$ $\rho(\log P_2', \log y_1) = -0.314$ $\rho((X/Y)_2, \log y_1) = 0.372^*$			
CA	$\rho(\log P_3, \log M_3) = 0.521^*$ $\rho(\log y_3, (X/Y)_3) = -0.396$ $\rho(\log y_3, (C/Y)_3) = 0.427$ $\rho(\log M_3, R_3) = -0.473^*$ $\rho(\log M_3, (C/Y)_3) = -0.366$	None			
FR	$\rho(\log P_4, R_4) = -0.320$ $\rho(\log y_4, \mu_4) = 0.500^*$ $\rho(\log y_4, P_4^I) = -0.311$ $\rho(\log y_4, (X/Y)_4) = 0.307$ $\rho(\log M_4, R_4) = 0.349^*$ $\rho(\log P_4^I, (I/Y)_4) = -0.367^*$ $\rho(\log P_4^I, (C/Y)_4) = -0.334$	$\rho(R_4, \log M_1) = 0.272$			

Significant Cross-Correlation Coefficients for Residuals within
Countries and with U.S. Money, Income, and Prices:
0.05 Level or Better

Country	Significant Correlations within Country	Significant Correlations with U.S. Variables
GE IT	None $\rho(\log M_6, (C/Y)_6) = -0.599^*$ $\rho(R_6, (I/Y)_6) = 0.393^*$ $\rho(I/Y)_6, (X/Y)_6) = 0.380^*$	None $\rho((I/Y)_6, \log y_1) = 0.300$
JA	$\rho(\log M_7, \log P_7') = 0.299$ $\rho(R_7, (I/Y)_7) = -0.455^*$	
NE	$\rho(\log M_8, (I/Y)_8) = -0.521^*$ $\rho(R_8, (X/Y)_8) = -0.348^*$	$\rho(\log P_8, \log M_1) = 0.303$ $\rho(\log P_8, \log P_1) = -0.278$ $\rho(\log P'_8, \log P_1) = -0.402^*$

Table	6 17	(continue	d)
Lanc	0.1/	(commuc	u j

Notes. Correlations marked with an asterisk are significant at the 0.01 level or better. The critical values for the correlation coefficients are $\pm 0.265 (\pm 0.361$ for Canada) at the 0.05 level and $\pm 0.342 (\pm 0.463$ for Canada) at the 0.01 level.

For each country, correlation coefficients were computed for all possible combinations of the residuals to all the equations (R1) through (R9) or (N1) through (N9) for the pegged period. In addition for the nonreserve countries, correlation coefficients were computed for the residuals of the U.S. equations (R1), (R2), and (R4) (i.e. the log y_1 , log P_1 , and $\Delta \log M_1$ equations) with the residuals of each of the equations (N1) through (N9). Since equation (N3) is estimated only in the cases of the United Kingdom and France, the total number of correlation coefficients examined varies by country as follows:

Country	Domestic p	International p
United States	36	0
U.K., France	36 each	27 each
Other 5 countries	28 each	24 each
TOTAL ALL COUNTRIES	248	174

The following correlation pairs were significant for more than one country: $(\log P_i, R_i)$: United States^{*}, France

 $(\log y_i, (C/Y)_i)$: United States*, Canada

 $(\log y_i, u_i)$: United Kingdom, France

 $(\log y_i, (X/Y)_i)$: Canada, France

 $(\log M_i, R_i)$: Canada, France*

 $(R_i, (I/Y)_i)$: Italy*, Japan

 $(\log y_i, \log P_1)$: United Kingdom^{*}, Netherlands

Table

	Countries and with U.S. Money, Income, and Prices: 0.05 Level or Better Floating Period: 1971III–76III			
Country	Significant Correlations within Country	Significant Correlations with U.S. Variables		
US	$\rho(\log P_1, R_1) = -0.452$ $\rho(R_1, (I/Y)_1) = -0.482$	N.A.		
UK	$\rho(\log P_2, u_2) = 0.488$ $\rho(\log M_2, (C/Y)_2) = 0.489$ $\rho(\log M_2, (B/Y)_2) = -0.536$ $\rho(R_2, \log Z_2) = -0.505$	None		
CA	$\rho(\log P_3, \log y_3) = -0.513$ $\rho(\log P_3, \log Z_3) = -0.677^*$ $\rho(\log Z_3, (C/Y)_3) = 0.443$	$\rho(\log Z_3, \log y_1) = -0.553^*$		
FR	$\rho(\log P_4, \log M_4) = -0.621^*$ $\rho(\log P_4, R_4) = -0.557^*$ $\rho(\log Y_4, u_4) = -0.434$ $\rho(\log E_4, (B/Y)_4) = 0.469$	$\rho(u_4, \log M_1) = 0.466$		
GE	$\rho(\log E_5, (X/Y)_5) = -0.535$ $\rho(\log Z_5, (C/Y)_5) = 0.532$	$\rho((B/Y)_5, \log y_1) = 0.660^*$		
IT	$\rho(\log E_6, \log Z_6) = -0.573^*$ $\rho(\log E_6, (B/Y)_6) = 0.485$	None		
JA	$\rho(\log y_7, R_7) = -0.659^*$ $\rho(\log y_7, (X/Y)_7) = 0.486$ $\rho(R_7, \log E_7) = -0.587^*$ $\rho(R_7, \log Z_7) = 0.455$ $\rho((C/Y)_7, (B/Y)_7) = -0.710^*$	$\rho((B/Y)_7, \log P_1) = -0.459$		

6.18	Significant Cross-Correlation Coefficients for Residuals within
	Countries and with U.S. Money, Income, and Prices:
	0.05 Level or Better

Country	Significant Correlations within Country	Significant Correlations with U.S. Variables
NE	$p(\log y_8, (C/Y)_8) = -0.575^*$ $p(\log M_8, R_8) = 0.467$ $p(R_8, (B/Y)_8) = -0.512$ $p((C/Y)_8, (B/Y)_8) = -0.588^*$	$\rho(R_8, \log M_1) = -0.563^*$

Table 6.18 (continued)

Notes. Correlations marked with an asterisk are significant at the 0.01 level (exceed 0.549 in absolute value). The critical values at the 0.05 level are ± 0.433 .

For each country, correlation coefficients were computed for all possible combinations of the residuals to all the equations (R1) through (R9) and (N1) through (N10F) for the floating period. In addition for the nonreserve countries, correlation coefficients were computed for the residuals of the U.S. equations (R1), (R2), and (R4) (i.e. the log y_1 , log P_1 , and $\Delta \log M_1$ equations) with the residuals of each of the equations (N1) through (N10F). Since equation (N3) is estimated only in the cases of the United Kingdom and France, the total number of correlation coefficients examined varies by country as follows:

Country	Domestic p	International p
United States	36	0
U.K., France	45 each	30 each
Other 5 countries	36 each	27 each
TOTAL ALL COUNTRIES	306	195

The following correlation pairs were significant for more than one country: (log P_i, R_i): United States, France*

 $(R_i, \log Z_i)$: United Kingdom, Japan

 $(\log Z_i, (C/Y)_i)$: Canada, Germany

 $(\log E_i, (B/Y)_i)$: France, Italy

 $((C/Y)_i, (B/Y)_i)$: Japan,* Netherlands*

Table 6.19 Frequency of Significant Cross-Correlation Coefficients for Residuals by Type and Period

	Within Country	With U.S. Variables
	5% Lev	vel or Better
Pegged period	12.9%	5.2%
Floating period	8.5%	2.6%
	1% Le	vel or Better
Pegged period	5.6%	1.7%
Floating period	2.9%	1.5%

Table 6.20	Data	Sources	for	the	Mark	III Model	

(<i>B</i> / <i>Y</i>) _j	Numerators [<i>B_j</i>] USBPQSDR	Nominal balance of payments, official reserve settlement basis, SA,QR			
	UKBPQSCF	Nominal balance of payments, official reserve settlement basis, SA,QR			
	CABPQSCC	Quarterly change in nominal official reserves, SA,QR			
	FRBPQSFF	Quarterly change in nominal net official reserves, SA,QR			
	GEBPQSDR	Nominal balance of payments, official reserve settlement basis, SA,QR			
	ITBPQSVL	Quarterly change in nominal official reserves, SA,QR			
	JABPQSJJ	Quarterly change in nominal official reserves, SA,QR			
	NEBPQSSB	Nominal balance of payments, official reserve settlement basis, SA,QR			
	Denominators [} USYNQSGN	[] Nominal gross national product, SA, AR			
	UKYNQSGD	Nominal gross domestic product, SA,AR			
	CAYNQSCA	Nominal gross national product, SA,AR			
	FRYNQSFF	Nominal produit intérieur brut (PIB), SA, AR			
	GEYNQSGN	Nominal gross national product, SA,AR			
	ITYNQSGD	Nominal gross domestic product, SA,AR			
	JAYNQSJJ	Nominal gross national product, SA,AR			
	NEYNQSNP	Nominal gross national product, SA,AR			
$(C/Y)_j$	Calculated as: $(C/Y)_j = (X/Y)_j - (I/Y)_j - 4(B/Y)_j$. Note that $(C/Y)_j$, $(X/Y)_j$, and $(I/Y)_j$ are at annual rates; $(B/Y)_j$ is at quarterly rates.				
DF _j	Floating dummy UK 1955I-71I CA 1962III-74 FR 1955I-71I GE 1955I-71I	; is 1 except in the following (pegged) quarters, when it is 0: I IT 1955I-71II 0I JA 1955I-71II I NE 1955I-71I			
E _j	US = 1 UKXRONLB CAXRONSP FRXRONFF GEXRONDM ITXRONLR JAXRONSP NEXRONGL	Spot exchange rate (London exchange), QAEM Exchange rate (Canadian interbank), QAD Spot exchange rate (IMF), QAD Spot exchange rate (Frankfurt exchange), LMAD Spot exchange rate (Rome and Milan exchanges), QAD Exchange rate (interbank), QAEM Spot exchange rate (Amsterdam exchange), QAW			
<i>8</i> j	<i>G_j</i> / <i>P_j</i> , where <i>P_j</i> is USGXQSFD UKGXQSCG CAGXQSEX FRGXQSFF	s defined below and G _j is: Nominal federal government expenditure, SA,AR Nominal central government expenditure, SA,AR Nominal federal government expenditure, SA,AR Nominal central government expenditure, SA,AR			

Table 6.20 (continued)

	GEGXQSFG ITGXQSFD JAGXQSEX NEGXQSFD	Nominal federal government expenditure, SA,AR Nominal federal government expenditure, SA,AR Nominal treasury payments (QAM),SA,AR Nominal central government payments, SA,AR		
Ŝj	Residuals from 2 values of the fitt US (0,1,0) UK (2,1,0) CA (0,1,1) FR (0,1,1)	ARIMA (p, d, q) processes fitted to log g_j . The (p, d, q) ed processes are: [†] GE $(0,1,1)$ IT $(0,1,1)$ JA $(0,1,6) [\theta_2 = \theta_4 = \theta_5 = 0]$ NE $(0,1,4) [\theta_2 = \theta_3 = 0]$		
(I/Y) _j	Numerators [<i>I</i> _j]: USIMQSTL UKIMQSCA CAIMQSTL FRIMQSFF GEIMQSTL ITIMQSTL JAIMQSJJ NEIMOSTL	Nominal total imports, SA,AR Nominal total imports, SA,AR Nominal total imports, SA,AR Nominal merchandise imports, SA,AR Nominal total imports, SA,AR Nominal total imports, SA,AR Nominal merchandise imports (customs basis), SA,AR Nominal total imports, SA,AR		
M _j	Denominators[Y] as defined at (B/Y); above.USM1QSAENominal narrow money stock, SA,QADUKM1QSDRNominal narrow money stock, SA,QAMCAM1QSCCNominal narrow money stock, SA,QAWFRM2QSFFNominal broader money stock, SA,QAMGEM2QSDRNominal broader money stock, SA,EQITM1QSDRNominal narrow money stock, SA,QAMJAM1QSDRNominal narrow money stock, SA,QAMJAM1QSJJNominal narrow money stock, SA,QAM			
Ŵ,	Residuals from A values of the fitt US (1,2,2) UK (2,1,0) CA (2,2,4) FR (1,1,6) GE (0,1,3) IT (1,1,3) JA (2,1,4) NE (2,1,4)	ARIMA (p, d, q) processes fitted to log M_j . The (p, d, q) ed processes are. ⁺ $[\theta_1 = 0]$ $[\theta_1 = \theta_2 = 0]$ $[\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta_3 = \theta_4 = \theta_5 = 0]$ $[\theta_1 = 0]$ $[\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta_3 = 0]$ $[\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta_3 = 0]$ $[\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta_3 = 0]$		
<i>P</i> _j	USPDQSN0 UKPDQSD7 CAPDQS70 FRPDQS70 GEPDQSN7 ITPDQS70 JAPDQSJJ NEPDQSN7	GNP implicit price deflator (1970 = 1.00), SA GDP implicit price deflator (1970 = 1.00), SA GNP implicit price deflator (1970 = 1.00), SA PIB implicit price deflator (1970 = 1.00), SA GNP implicit price deflator (1970 = 1.00), SA GDP implicit price deflator (1970 = 1.00), SA GNP implicit price deflator (1970 = 1.00), SA GNP implicit price deflator (1970 = 1.00), SA		

Table 6.20 (continued)

P_j^I	USPIQS70/100	Index of unit value of imports (1970 \approx 100, IFS series 75). SA
	UKPIQS70/100	Index of total imports unit value $(1970 = 100, IFS series 75)$, SA
	CAPIQS70/100	Index of import prices $(1970 = 100, \text{ IFS series 75})$, SA
	FRPIQS70/100	Index of import prices $(1970 = 100, \text{ IFS series 75})$, SA
	GEPIQS70/100	Index of purchase prices of foreign goods $(1970 = 100, IFS \text{ series } 75.x)$, SA
	ITPIQS70/100	index of import prices $(1970 = 100, \text{ IFS series 75})$, SA
	JAPIQS70/100	Index of contract prices of importers $(1970 = 100, IFS \text{ series } 75.x)$, SA
	NEPIQS70/100	Index of unit value of imports (1970 = 100, IFS series 75), SA
P_j^R	See identities (F	(N19) and (N19) and table 5.7.
P ^{RO}	Computed as V Venezuelan crue	POIL/ $(100 \cdot P_1)$, where VPIOL is the dollar price index of de oil (1970 = 100) described in table 6.21.
R_{j}	USRSQN3T	Three-month treasury bill yield, QAD
	UKRSQN3T	Three-month treasury bill yield, QAEM
	CARSQNTB	Three-month treasury bill yield, QAEM
	FRRSQNST	Short-term money market rate on private bills, QAD
	GERSQN3M	Three-month money market rate, pre-1967 LMAW,
		post-1966 LMAD
	IIKLUNGU	Market yield on long-term corporate bonds, QAM
	JAKSQNLD	Average contracted interest rate on bank loans, UAW
	NERSQN31	Inree-month treasury paper yield to maturity, QAD
t	Time index (195	55I = 1, 1955II = 2, etc.)
u _j	USURQSCV	Unemployment rate, SA,QAM
	UKURQSDR	Unemployment rate, SA,QAM
	FRURQSFF	Unemployment rate, SA,EQ
	For experiments	discussed in text (these do not appear in the model):
	CAURQS14	Unemployment rate, SA
	GEURQSDR	Unemployment rate, SA
	ITURQSDR	Unemployment rate, SA
	JAURQSUR	Unemployment rate, SA,QAM
	NEURQSSE	Unemployment rate, SA
$(X/Y)_j$	Numerators $[X_i]$:
	USEXQSTL	Nominal total exports, SA,AR
	UKEXQSCA	Nominal total exports, SA, AR
	CAEXQSTL	Nominal total exports, SA, AR
	FREXQSFF	Nominal merchandise exports, SA,AR
	GEEXQSTL	Nominal total exports, SA,AR
	ITEXQSTL	Nominal total exports, SA,AR
	JAEXQSJJ	Nominal merchandise exports (customs basis), SA,AR
	NEEXQSTL	Nominal total exports, SA,AR
	Denominators [Y_j] as defined at $(B/Y)_j$ above.

Table 6.20 (continued)

<i>x</i> _i	Residuals f	rom ARIMA (p, d)	(l, q) processes fitted to $(X/Y)_j$. The (p, d, q)
,	values of th	e fitted processes a	are: [†]
	US (($(0,1,3) [\theta_1 = 0]$	
	UK ($(0,1,7) \left[\theta_1 = \theta_3 = \theta_3\right]$	$\theta_5 = \theta_6 = 0$]
	CA (1	$1,1,8) \left[\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta_2\right]$	$\theta_3 = \theta_4 = \theta_5 = \theta_6 = \theta_7 = 0]$
	FR (1	$(1,1,4) \left[\theta_1 = \theta_3 = 0\right]$)]
	GE ($(0,1,4) \left[\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta\right]$	$\dot{\theta}_3 = 0$]
	IT (2	$(2,1,11) [\theta_1 = \theta_2 =$	$\theta_3 = \theta_4 = \theta_5 = \theta_6 = \theta_7 = \theta_9 = \theta_{10} = 0$
	JA (2	$2,2,9) \left[\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta_2\right]$	$\theta_3 = \theta_5 = \theta_6 = \theta_7 = \theta_8 = 0$]
	NE ($(0,1,9) \left[\theta_2 = \theta_3 = \theta_3\right]$	$\theta_4 = \theta_5 = \theta_6 = \theta_7 = \theta_8 = 0$
ν.	USYROSN	10 Real gross n	ational product, SA, AR
)	UKYROSI	D7 Real gross d	omestic product, SA, AR
	CAYROS7	0 Real gross n	ational product, SA, AR
	FRYROS7	0 Real produit	tintérieur brut. SA.AR
	GEYROS	N7 Real gross n	ational product, SA, AR
	ITYROSD	7 Real gross d	omestic product, SA,AR
	JAYROS7	0 Real gross n	ational product, SA,AR
	NEYRQSN	N7 Real gross n	ational product, SA,AR
y_j^P	See identiti	ies (R11) and (N11). All $\phi_{j2} \equiv 0.025$; other parameters are:
	Country	$\phi_{j3} \equiv \phi_{j1}/0.975$	$\log y_{i,[1954IV]}^P$
	US	0.00866	6.34717
	UK	0.00670	3.34166
	CA	0.01200	3.70432
	FR	0.01379	5.68231
	GE	0.01143	5.76526
	IT	0.01218	10.15820
	JA	0.02280	9.65613
	NE	0.01161	3.97958
y_j^R	See identit	ies (R18) and (N18) table 5.7.

Notes. "Nominal" implies billions of domestic currency units (DCUs). "Real" implies billions of 1970 DCUs. The nature of each series is indicated following its description by SA if it is seasonally adjusted and any of the following which (generally) apply:

AR	flows at annual rates	
EQ	end of quarter data	
LMAD	average of daily data for last month of quarter	
LMAW	average of weekly data for last month of quarter	
QAD	quarterly average of daily data	
QAEM	quarterly average of end-of-month data	
QAM	quarterly average of monthly data	
QAW	quarterly average of weekly data	

[†]The q in the descriptions of the ARIMA processes for \hat{g}_j , \hat{M}_j , and \hat{x}_j indicates the highest-order moving average term which was fitted; some θ_i (indicated in square brackets) were, however, constrained to equal 0 in the estimation.

		Qua	arters	
Year	1	2	3	4
1955	105.015	105.015	105.015	103.052
1956	103.052	104.034	103.052	102.071
1957	106.978	107.959	107.959	107.959
1958	107.959	107.959	107.959	110.904
1959	105.015	99.1264	99.1264	99.1264
1960	100.	100.	100.	100.
1961	100.	100.	100.	100.
1962	100.	100.	100.	100.
1963	100.	100.	100.	100.
1964	100.	100.	100.	100.
1965	100.	100.	100.	100.
1966	100.	100.	100.	100.
1967	100.	100.	100.	100.
1968	100.	100.	100.	100.
1969	100.	100.	100.	100.
1970	100.	100.	100.	100.
1971	117.	126.	121.	127.
1972	137.	122.	132.	131.
1973	142.	215.	215.	215.
1974	550.	550.	550.	572.
1975	587.	587.	587.	633.
1976	633.	608.	614.	632.
1977	683.	683.	683.	

Table 6.21	Dollar Price Index of Venezuelan Crude Oil
	(VPOIL; 1970 = 100)

Sources

Basic data from International Financial Statistics.

1955-59 data (base 1953 = 100): February 1958-62 issues, respectively.

1960-65 data (base 1958 = 100): February 1963-68 issues, respectively.

1966-67 data (base 1963 = 100): February 1970-71 issues, respectively.

1968-70 data (base 1963=100): February 1972 issue.

1971-72 data (base 1971 = 100): February 1975 issue.

1973-74 data (base 1971 = 100): February 1977 issue. 1975-77 data (base 1971 = 100): December 1977 issue.

All of the above observations were rebased to 1970 = 100 by repeated applications of the ratio method.