This PDF is a selection from an out-of-print volume from the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: The Postwar Quality of State and Local Debt

Volume Author/Editor: George H. Hempel

Volume Publisher: NBER

Volume ISBN: 0-87014-217-8

Volume URL: http://www.nber.org/books/hemp71-1

Publication Date: 1971

Chapter Title: Front matter, The Postwar Quality of State and Local Debt

Chapter Author: George H. Hempel

Chapter URL: http://www.nber.org/chapters/c3424

Chapter pages in book: (p. -14 - 0)

The Postwar Quality of State and Local Debt

•

.

NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH Number 94, General Series

The Postwar Quality of State and Local Debt

GEORGE H. HEMPEL

Washington University

National Bureau of Economic Research NEW YORK 1971

Distributed by Columbia University Press NEW YORK AND LONDON

Copyright © 1971 by the National Bureau of Economic Research All Rights Reserved L. C. Card No. 70-138552 ISBN-0-87014-217-8 Printed in the United States of America

NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH

OFFICERS

Arthur F. Burns, Honorary Chairman

Theodore O. Yntema, Chairman

Walter W. Heller, Vice Chairman John R. Meyer, President

Thomas D. Flynn, Treasurer

Thomas D. Flynn, Treasure

Douglas H. Eldridge, Vice President-Executive Secretary

Victor R. Fuchs, Vice President-Research F. Thomas Juster, Vice President-Research Hal B. Lary, Vice President-Research Robert E. Lipsey, Vice President-Research Edward K. Smith, Vice President Joan R. Tron, Director of Publications

DIRECTORS AT LARGE

Atherton Bean, International Multifoods Corp. Joseph A. Beirne, Communications Workers of America Arthur F. Burns, Board of Governors of the

Federal Reserve System

Wallace J. Campbell, Foundation for Cooperative Housing

Erwin D. Canham, Christian Science Monitor

Robert A. Charpie, The Cabot Corporation

Solomon Fabricant, New York University

Frank W. Fetter, Hanover, New Hampshire

Eugene P. Foley, Dreyfus Corporation

Eli Goldston, Eastern Gas and Fuel Associates

Crawford H. Greenewalt, E. I. du Pont

de Nemours & Company

David L. Grove, IBM Corporation
Walter W. Heller, University of Minnesota
Vivian W. Henderson, Clark College
John R. Meyer, Yale University
J. Irwin Miller, Cummins Engine Company, Inc.
Geoffrey H. Moore, Bureau of Labor Statistics
J. Wilson Newman, Dun & Bradstreet, Inc.
James J. O'Leary, United States Trust Company of New York
Robert V. Roosa, Brown Brothers Harriman & Co.
Boris Shishkin, AFL-CIO
Lazare Teper, ILGWU
Donald B. Woodward, Riverside, Connecticut
Theodore O. Yntema, Oakland University

DIRECTORS BY UNIVERSITY APPOINTMENT

Moses Abramovitz, Stanford Charles H. Berry, Princeton Francis M. Boddy, Minnesota Tom E. Davis, Cornell Otto Eckstein, Harvard Walter D. Fisher, Northwestern R. A. Gordon, California Robert J. Lampman, Wisconsin Kelvin J. Lancaster, Columbia

Maurice W. Lee, North Carolina Lloyd G. Reynolds, Yale Robert M. Solow, Massachusetts Institute of Technology Henri Theil, Chicago Thomas A. Wilson, Toronto Willis J. Winn, Pennsylvanla

DIRECTORS BY APPOINTMENT OF OTHER ORGANIZATIONS

Emilio G. Collado, Committee for Economic Development Thomas D. Flynn, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Nathaniel Goldfinger, AFL-CIO

Harold G. Halcrow, American Agricultural Economics Association Douglas G. Hartle, Canadian Economics Association Walter E. Hoadley, American Finance Association Douglass C. North, Economic History Association Charles B. Reeder, National Association of Business Economists Murray Shields, American Management Association

Willard L. Thorp, American Economic Association W. Allen Wallis, American Statistical Association

DIRECTORS EMERITI

Percival F. Brundage Gottfried Haberler Albert J. Hettinger, Jr.

George B. Roberts Joseph H. Willits

SENIOR RESEARCH STAFF

Gary S. Becker Charlotte Boschan Phillip Cagan James S. Earley Solomon Fabricant Milton Friedman Victor R. Fuchs Raymond W. Goldsmith Jack M. Guttentag Daniel M. Holland F. Thomas Juster C. Harry Kahn John F. Kain John W. Kendrick Irving B. Kravis Hal B. Lary Robert E. Lipsey John R. Meyer Jacob Mincer Ilse Mintz Geoffrey H. Moore * M. Ishaq Nadiri Nancy Ruggles Richard Ruggles Anna J. Schwartz Robert P. Shay George J. Stigler Victor Zarnowitz

* On leave.

Relation of the Directors to the Work and Publications of the National Bureau of Economic Research

1. The object of the National Bureau of Economic Research is to ascertain and to present to the public important economic facts and their interpretation in a scientific and impartial manner. The Board of Directors is charged with the responsibility of ensuring that the work of the National Bureau is carried on in strict conformity with this object.

2. The President of the National Bureau shall submit to the Board of Directors, or to its Executive Committee, for their formal adoption all specific proposals for research to be instituted.

3. No research report shall be published until the President shall have submitted to each member of the Board the manuscript proposed for publication, and such information as will, in his opinion and in the opinion of the author, serve to determine the suitability of the report for publication in accordance with the principles of the National Bureau. Each manuscript shall contain a summary drawing attention to the nature and treatment of the problem studied, the character of the data and their utilization in the report, and the main conclusions reached.

4. For each manuscript so submitted, a special committee of the Board shall be appointed by majority agreement of the President and Vice Presidents (or by the Executive Committee in case of inability to decide on the part of the President and Vice Presidents), consisting of three directors selected as nearly as may be one from each general division of the Board. The names of the special manuscript committee shall be stated to each Director when the manuscript is submitted to him. It shall be the duty of each member of the special manuscript committee signifies his approval within thirty days of the transmittal of the manuscript, the report may be published. If at the end of that period any member of the manuscript committee withholds his approval, the President shall then notify each member of the Board, requesting approval or disapproval of publication, and thirty days additional shall be granted for this purpose. The manuscript shall then not be published unless at least a majority of the entire Board who shall have voted on the proposal within the time fixed for the receipt of votes shall have approved.

5. No manuscript may be published, though approved by each member of the special manuscript committee, until forty-five days have elapsed from the transmittal of the report in manuscript form. The interval is allowed for the receipt of any memorandum of dissent or reservation, together with a brief statement of his reasons, that any member may wish to express; and such memorandum of dissent or reservation shall be published with the manuscript if he so desires. Publication does not, however, imply that each member of the Board has read the manuscript, or that either members of the Board in general or the special committee have passed on its validity in every detail.

6. Publications of the National Bureau issued for informational purposes concerning the work of the Bureau and its staff, or issued to inform the public of activities of Bureau staff, and volumes issued as a result of various conferences involving the National Bureau shall contain a specific disclaimer noting that such publication has not passed through the normal review procedures required in this resolution. The Executive Committee of the Board is charged with review of all such publications from time to time to ensure that they do not take on the character of formal research reports of the National Bureau, requiring formal Board approval.

 $\overline{7}$. Unless otherwise determined by the Board or exempted by the terms of paragraph 6, a copy of this resolution shall be printed in each National Bureau publication.

(Resolution adopted October 25, 1926, and revised February 6, 1933, February 24, 1941, and April 20, 1968)

Contents

Ac	knowledgments	
1.	Introduction and Summary	3
	Purpose of the Study Scope of the Study Findings of the Study	4 5 6
2.	Measuring Credit Quality	9
	Methods Used to Measure Credit Quality Measurement of the Quality of State and Local Debt A Conceptual Model	9 11 12
3.	Past Payment Performance	15
	Payment Difficulties Through 1929 Payment Difficulties in the 1929 Depression Period Payment Difficulties in the Postwar Period Summary	16 19 26 29
4.	Identification of Characteristics Denoting Quality	33
	Characteristics Corresponding with Past Payment Difficulties Aggregate Historical Characteristics Conforming with the Con- ceptual Model	33 40
	Analysis of Cross Sections of Available Instrument and Borrower Characteristics Summary	43 47
5.	Postwar Trends in Aggregate Characteristics Affecting Quality	48
	Debt Service Charges in the Postwar Period Over-all State and Local Cash Inflows Resources Available to Pay Debt Service Charges Financial Prudence and Willingness to Pay Evaluation of Effects of Aggregate Characteristics Appendix: The Identification of Quantitative Characteristics Associated with Defaults on Municipal General Obligations	50 53 59 68 76 77
6.	Characteristics of Selected Classifications of State and Local Debt	82
	Classification by Type of Governmental Unit Classification by Geographic Region Classification by Type of Resources Used to Pay Debt Service Charges Summery	82 85 90 100
	Summary	100

Contents

7. Evaluations by Rating Agencies	101
The Meaning and Use of Agency Ratings	101 105
Characteristics Considered by Rating Agencies Past Payment Performance of Rated State and Local Issues	103
Aggregated Rating Distributions	113
Summary	121
8. Quality as Indicated by Market Yield Relationships	124
The Use of Market Yield Relationships as a Measure of Crea	lit
Quality	124
Quality as Measured by Yield Relationships with Federal Gover	n-
ment Bonds	129
Quality as Measured by Yield Relationships between Differe	nt
Rating Categories and Different Types of State and Local De	bt 137
Quality of Selected Special Classifications of State and Local De	bt 144
Summary	147
Appendix Tables	150
Selected Bibliography	
Index	

viii

Tables

1.	Incidence of Defaults by Type of Governmental Unit, 1929-37	22
2.	Amount of 1934 Indebtedness in Default by State and Local Units	
	With Population of Over 5,000, 1930 Census	23
3.	Summary of the Results of Cases Filed Under the Federal Munici-	
	pal Bankruptcy Act of 1937	25
4.	Recorded Defaults from 1839 Through 1965, by Type of Govern-	
	mental Unit and Geographical Region	30
5.	Comparison of the Extent of Defaults by State and Local Units in	
	Major Default Periods	32
6.	Estimated Net State and Local Debt Outstanding in Selected	
_	Periods, 1825–1902	34
7.	Recorded Defaults from 1839 Through 1929, by Primary Purpose	•
~	of Indebtedness	36
8.	Changes in Per Capita Net Debt and in Net Debt Per Thousand	
~	Dollars of Assessed Valuation, 1922–32	44
	Estimated State and Local Debt Service Charges, 1948–68	52
10.	Selected General Revenue Items as a Percentage of State and Local	56
11	General Revenues, 1922–68 State and Local General Expenditures Classified by Major Func-	30
11.	tion for Selected Years, 1922–68	63
12	Amount of State and Local Expenditures, by Character, Selected	05
12.	Years, 1922-68	64
13.	Purpose of Long-Term State and Local Debt Issued from 1948	04
10.	Through 1968	69
14.	Debt and Borrower Characteristics, Classified by Type of Govern-	
	mental Unit	84
15.	State and Local Debt in Relation to Revenue, Income and Wealth	
	Measures, by States in 1942, 1957 and 1966	86
16.	Percentage of Long-Term Debt Outstanding Which is Nonguaran-	
	teed, by Type of Governmental Unit, 1948–68	94
17.	Cash Coverage of the Debt Service Charges on Revenue Bonds in	
	1963, 1965 and 1967	98
18.	Proportionate Dollar Amounts of Long-Term State and Local Debt	
	Outstanding and Newly Issued Which Are Rated	102
19.	1929 Ratings for Bonds Which Defaulted During the 1929 De-	
	pression Period	109
20.	Rating Distributions of State and Local Debt Issues Which De-	
	faulted in the 1929–37 Period	111
21.	Percentage Distribution of Rated State and Local Debt Outstand-	
~~	ing, by Number of Issuers	114
22.	Percentage Distributions of Rated Long-Term State and Local	
	Bonds Issued, by Number of Issues in Year of Issue	115
23.	Percentage Distributions of Rated State and Local Debt Outstand-	110
	ing, by Dollar Value of Debt Outstanding	116

Tables

 25. Percentage Distributions of Rated General Obligation Bonds 26. Percentage Distributions of Rated Limited Liability Obligations 11 Appendix Table 1. Dollar Amount of State and Local Debt Issued, 1904-68 Appendix Table 2. Dollar Amount of State and Local Debt Outstanding, Selected Years from 1902 Through 1968 Appendix Table 3. Dollar Amount of Long-Term State and Local Debt Outstanding, by Type of Governmental Unit in Selected Years from 1902 Through 1968 Appendix Table 4. Net Debt Figures and Measures of Economic Ac- 	centage Distributions of Rated Long-Term State and Local	
 26. Percentage Distributions of Rated Limited Liability Obligations Appendix Table 1. Dollar Amount of State and Local Debt Issued, 1904-68 Appendix Table 2. Dollar Amount of State and Local Debt Outstand- ing, Selected Years from 1902 Through 1968 Appendix Table 3. Dollar Amount of Long-Term State and Local Debt Outstanding, by Type of Governmental Unit in Selected Years from 1902 Through 1968 Appendix Table 4. Net Debt Figures and Measures of Economic Ac- 	onds Issued, by Dollar Value in Year of Issue 12	18
Appendix Table 1. Dollar Amount of State and Local Debt Issued, 1904-681Appendix Table 2. Dollar Amount of State and Local Debt Outstand- ing, Selected Years from 1902 Through 19681Appendix Table 3. Dollar Amount of Long-Term State and Local Debt Outstanding, by Type of Governmental Unit in Selected Years from 1902 Through 19681Appendix Table 4. Net Debt Figures and Measures of Economic Ac-1	centage Distributions of Rated General Obligation Bonds	19
1904-681Appendix Table 2. Dollar Amount of State and Local Debt Outstanding, Selected Years from 1902 Through 19681Appendix Table 3. Dollar Amount of Long-Term State and Local Debt Outstanding, by Type of Governmental Unit in Selected Years from 1902 Through 19681Appendix Table 4. Net Debt Figures and Measures of Economic Ac-1	centage Distributions of Rated Limited Liability Obligations 12	22
 Appendix Table 2. Dollar Amount of State and Local Debt Outstanding, Selected Years from 1902 Through 1968 Appendix Table 3. Dollar Amount of Long-Term State and Local Debt Outstanding, by Type of Governmental Unit in Selected Years from 1902 Through 1968 Appendix Table 4. Net Debt Figures and Measures of Economic Ac- 	ix Table 1. Dollar Amount of State and Local Debt Issued,	
ing, Selected Years from 1902 Through 1968 1 Appendix Table 3. Dollar Amount of Long-Term State and Local Debt Outstanding, by Type of Governmental Unit in Selected Years from 1902 Through 1968 1 Appendix Table 4. Net Debt Figures and Measures of Economic Ac-	-68 1:	50
Appendix Table 3. Dollar Amount of Long-Term State and Local DebtOutstanding, by Type of Governmental Unit in Selected Years from1902 Through 1968Appendix Table 4. Net Debt Figures and Measures of Economic Ac-	ix Table 2. Dollar Amount of State and Local Debt Outstand-	
Outstanding, by Type of Governmental Unit in Selected Years from 1902 Through 1968 1 Appendix Table 4. Net Debt Figures and Measures of Economic Ac-	elected Years from 1902 Through 1968 1:	52
1902 Through 1968 1 Appendix Table 4. Net Debt Figures and Measures of Economic Ac-	ix Table 3. Dollar Amount of Long-Term State and Local Debt	
Appendix Table 4. Net Debt Figures and Measures of Economic Ac-	anding, by Type of Governmental Unit in Selected Years from	
	Through 1968 1.	54
	ix Table 4. Net Debt Figures and Measures of Economic Ac-	
tivity in the United States, 1916–68 1	in the United States, 1916–68	55

х

Charts

.

1.	Defaults of State and Local Units, by Year Reported, 1839-1929	17
2.	Defaults of State and Local Units, by Month, 1933-42	20
3.	Dollar Amount of Principal and Interest in Default, 1948-65	28
4.	Amounts of State and Local Debt Outstanding Issued Annually,	
	1948–68	49
5.	Proportionate Maturity Schedules	51
6.	Debt Service Charge Measures as of Per Cent of Debt Outstand-	
	ing, 1948–68	53
7.	Debt Service Charge Measures as a Per Cent of State and Local	
	Revenues, 1948–68	54
8.	Selected Aggregative Measures of the State and Local Debt Bur-	
	den, 1947–68	57
9.	Median Over-All Tax-Supported Debt to Estimated Full Property	-
	Valuation for 200 Largest U.S. Cities, 1947-68	59
10.	Proportionate Amount of Limited Liability Obligations Issued and	
	Outstanding During the Postwar Period, 1947–68	61
11.	Absolute and Relative Amounts of State and Local Financial	
10	Assets, 1946–68	65
12.	Times Estimated Debt Service Charges Are Covered by Estimated Usable Cash Resources, 1948–67	67
12	Long-Term State and Local Debt Issued as a Per Cent of State and	0/
15.	Local Capital Outlays, 1948–67	68
14	Relative Amounts of Long-Term Debt of Special Districts and	00
14.	Local Statutory Authorities Outstanding and Newly Issued in	
	Selected Years	71
15.	Proportionate Dollar Amounts Approved in State and Local Bond	• -
	Elections and Debt Approved as a Percentage of Total Dollar	
	Amount Subject to Election	73
16.	Median Proportion of Property Taxes Uncollected in Year As-	
	sessed for Approximately 200 Largest U.S. Cities, 1928-67	74
17.	Proportionate Dollar Amount of State and Local Debt Outstand-	
	ing, by Type of Resources Used for Payments, 1902-68	91
18.	Short-Term State and Local Debt as a Per Cent of State and Local	
	Liquid Assets, 1946–68	93
19.	Cash Flow Coverage of Debt Service Charges on Limited Liability	
	Obligations, Odd Years, 1955–69	96
	Percentage Rating Distribution of 264 Defaulting Bonds, 1926-37	110
21.	Yield Relationship between U.S. Government Bonds and State and	
	Local Bonds, Quarterly Yields, 1921–43	131
22.	Yield Relationship between U.S. Government Bonds and State and	
	Local Bonds, Quarterly Yields, 1942–68	133
23.	Yield Differentials between U.S. Government Bonds and Aaa and	
	Baa General Obligations, Quarterly Yields, 1942–68	136

Charts

24.	Monthly Median Yields on Unrated General Obligations and Baa	
	General Obligations, 1957–68	141
25.	Limited Liability Obligation Yields Minus General Obligation	
	Yields, 1957–68	143
26.	Offering Yields on Toll Road Bonds Compared with Yields on Out-	
	standing State and Local Bonds	145
27.	New Issue Reoffering Yields, 20 Year Maturity, for State and	
	Local Bonds Issued for Industrial Aid, 1957-68	146

xii

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A number of people have contributed to the development of this study. The early ideas and original data were obtained as part of the research for my doctoral dissertation supervised by C. James Pilcher at the University of Michigan. Many of the major parts of the study were completed in 1966 when I was a faculty research associate at the National Bureau of Economic Research. During this period I received numerous helpful suggestions from members of the National Bureau staff including Geoffrey H. Moore, Jack M. Guttentag, Edgar R. Fiedler, James S. Earley, Avery Cohan, F. Thomas Juster, Solomon Fabricant and Ilse Mintz.

Other groups were helpful, as well. First, several organizations were willing to provide much of the data needed for analysis in the study. These organizations included: Dun and Bradstreet, Investment Bankers Association, Moody's Investors Service, Rand and Company, The Bureau of the Census, Standard and Poor's Corporation, The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and *The Bond Buyer*. Second, several patient research assistants greatly aided in the gathering and analysis of the needed data. These included Guy Baughns, Mary Anne Sudol and Antonette Burger Delak of the National Bureau staff. Finally, Ross M. Trump, Joseph W. Towle and Karl A. Hill of Washington University made it possible for me to have sufficient time to complete the study.

The present study has also benefited materially from the penetrating comments and constructive suggestions of the National Bureau staff reading committee, which consisted of Ilse Mintz, James S. Earley and Edgar R. Fiedler. In the later stages several other colleagues at the National Bureau, in particular, John R. Meyer, Geoffrey H. Moore, John F. Kain and Thomas Sargent and the Board of Directors reading committee – Joseph A. Beirne, Francis M. Boddy and J. Wilson Newman – also provided some helpful suggestions.

Ruth Scheetz patiently typed the original manuscript; the charts were drawn with skill and care by H. Irving Forman, and Gnomi Gouldin provided a careful and empathic editing of the manuscript.

----. .