

This PDF is a selection from an out-of-print volume from the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: Tax Changes and Modernization in the Textile Industry

Volume Author/Editor: Thomas M. Stanback, Jr.

Volume Publisher: UMI

Volume ISBN: 0-870-14483-9

Volume URL: <http://www.nber.org/books/stan69-1>

Publication Date: 1969

Chapter Title: Front matter, TAX CHANGES and MODERNIZATION in the TEXTILE INDUSTRY

Chapter Author: Thomas M. Stanback, Jr.


Chapter URL: <http://www.nber.org/chapters/c1187>

Chapter pages in book: (p. -18 - 0)

TAX CHANGES
and MODERNIZATION
in the TEXTILE INDUSTRY

THOMAS M. STANBACK, JR.

NEW YORK UNIVERSITY

 NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH
NEW YORK 1969

DISTRIBUTED BY
COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY PRESS, *New York and London*

COPYRIGHT © 1969

BY NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

L.C. CARD NO. 68-8803

PRINTED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

2,005,942

National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc.

Stanback, Thomas M.

Tax changes and modernization in the textile industry
by, Thomas M. Stanback, Jr. New York, National Bureau
of Economic Research; distributed by Columbia University
Press, 1969.

xiv, 119 p. 24 cm. (National Bureau of Economic Research.
Fiscal studies, 13) 6.75

Bibliographical footnotes.

1. Textile industry and fabrics—Taxation—U. S. I. Title.
(Series)

HD9855.S8

336.2'943

68-8808

MATERIAL SUBMITTED BY PUBLISHER.



TAX CHANGES *and* MODERNIZATION
in the TEXTILE INDUSTRY

National Bureau of Economic Research

FISCAL STUDIES

1. *Fiscal Planning for Total War*
WILLIAM LEONARD CRUM, JOHN F. FENNELLY, AND
LAWRENCE H. SELTZER
2. *Taxable and Business Income*
DAN THROOP SMITH AND J. KEITH BUTTERS
3. *The Nature and Tax Treatment of Capital Gains and Losses*
LAWRENCE H. SELTZER
4. *Federal Grants and the Business Cycle*
JAMES A. MAXWELL
5. *The Income-Tax Burden on Stockholders*
DANIEL M. HOLLAND
6. *Personal Deductions in the Federal Income Tax*
C. HARRY KAHN
7. *Dividends Under the Income Tax*
DANIEL M. HOLLAND
8. *Business and Professional Income Under the Personal Income Tax*
C. HARRY KAHN
9. *Accelerated Depreciation in the United States, 1954-1960*
NORMAN B. TURE
10. *Employee Compensation Under the Income Tax*
C. HARRY KAHN
11. *Executive Compensation in Large Industrial Corporations*
WILBUR G. LEWELLEN
12. *The Personal Exemptions in the Federal Income Tax*
LAWRENCE H. SELTZER
13. *Tax Changes and Modernization in the Textile Industry*
THOMAS M. STANBACK, JR.

NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH

OFFICERS

Arthur F. Burns, *Honorary Chairman*
 Theodore O. Yntema, *Chairman*
 Walter W. Heller, *Vice Chairman*
 John R. Meyer, *President*
 Donald B. Woodward, *Treasurer*

Geoffrey H. Moore, *Vice President-Research*
 Victor R. Fuchs, *Vice President-Research*
 Douglas H. Eldridge, *Vice President-Administration*
 Hal B. Lary, *Director of International Studies*

DIRECTORS AT LARGE

Joseph A. Beirne, *Communications Workers of America*
 Wallace J. Campbell, *Foundation for Cooperative Housing*
 Erwin D. Canham, *Christian Science Monitor*
 Robert A. Charpie, *Bell & Howell Company*
 Solomon Fabricant, *New York University*
 Frank W. Fetter, *Hanover, New Hampshire*
 Eugene P. Foley, *International Ore and Fertilizer Corporation*
 Marion B. Folsom, *Eastman Kodak Company*
 Eli Goldston, *Eastern Gas and Fuel Assoc.*
 Crawford H. Greenewalt, *E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Company*

Gabriel Hauge, *Manufacturers Hanover Trust Company*
 Walter W. Heller, *University of Minnesota*
 Vivian W. Henderson, *Clark College*
 Albert J. Hettinger, Jr., *Lazard Frères and Company*
 John R. Meyer, *Yale University*
 J. Irwin Miller, *Cummins Engine Company, Inc.*
 Geoffrey H. Moore, *NBER*
 J. Wilson Newman, *Dun & Bradstreet, Inc.*
 George B. Roberts, *Larchmont, New York*
 Robert V. Roosa, *Brown Brothers Harriman & Co.*
 Boris Shishkin, *AFL-CIO*
 Gus Tyler, *ILGWU*

Donald B. Woodward, *A. W. Jones and Company*
 Theodore O. Yntema, *Oakland University*

DIRECTORS BY UNIVERSITY APPOINTMENT

Moses Abramovitz, *Stanford*
 Francis M. Boddy, *Minnesota*
 Arthur F. Burns, *Columbia*
 Lester V. Chandler, *Princeton*
 Melvin G. de Chazeau, *Cornell*
 Otto Eckstein, *Harvard*
 Walter D. Fisher, *Northwestern*
 R. A. Gordon, *California*

Douglas G. Hartle, *Toronto*
 Robert J. Lampman, *Wisconsin*
 Maurice W. Lee, *North Carolina*
 Lloyd G. Reynolds, *Yale*
 Robert M. Solow, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
 Henri Theil, *Chicago*
 Willis J. Winn, *Pennsylvania*

DIRECTORS BY APPOINTMENT OF OTHER ORGANIZATIONS

Emilio G. Collado, *Committee for Economic Development*
 Thomas D. Flynn, *American Institute of Certified Public Accountants*
 Nathaniel Goldfinger, *American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations*
 Harold G. Halcrow, *American Agricultural Economics Association*
 Walter E. Hoadley, *American Finance Association*

Douglass C. North, *Economic History Association*
 Murray Shields, *American Management Association*
 George Cline Smith, *National Association of Business Economists*
 Willard L. Thorp, *American Economic Association*
 W. Allen Wallis, *American Statistical Association*

DIRECTORS EMERITI

Percival F. Brundage
 Harold M. Groves
 Gottfried Haberler

Harry W. Laidler
 Shepard Morgan

Harry Scherman
 George Soule

Jacob Viner
 Joseph H. Willits

SENIOR RESEARCH STAFF

Moses Abramovitz
 Gary S. Becker
 Arthur F. Burns
 Phillip Cagan
 Alfred H. Conrad
 James S. Earley

Solomon Fabricant
 Milton Friedman
 Victor R. Fuchs
 Raymond W. Goldsmith
 Jack M. Guttentag
 Daniel M. Holland
 F. Thomas Juster

C. Harry Kahn
 John W. Kendrick
 Irving B. Kravis
 Hal B. Lary
 Robert E. Lipsey
 Jacob Mincer
 Ilse Mintz

Geoffrey H. Moore
 Nancy Ruggles
 Richard Ruggles
 Robert P. Shay
 George J. Stigler
 Victor Zarnowitz

RELATION OF THE DIRECTORS
TO THE WORK AND PUBLICATIONS OF THE
NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH

1. The object of the National Bureau of Economic Research is to ascertain and to present to the public important economic facts and their interpretation in a scientific and impartial manner. The Board of Directors is charged with the responsibility of ensuring that the work of the National Bureau is carried on in strict conformity with this object.

2. The President of the National Bureau shall submit to the Board of Directors, or to its Executive Committee, for their formal adoption all specific proposals for research to be instituted.

3. No research report shall be published until the President shall have submitted to each member of the Board the manuscript proposed for publication, and such information as will, in his opinion and in the opinion of the author, serve to determine the suitability of the report for publication in accordance with the principles of the National Bureau. Each manuscript shall contain a summary drawing attention to the nature and treatment of the problem studied, the character of the data and their utilization in the report, and the main conclusions reached.

4. For each manuscript so submitted, a special committee of the Board shall be appointed by majority agreement of the President and Vice Presidents (or by the Executive Committee in case of inability to decide on the part of the President and Vice Presidents), consisting of three directors selected as nearly as may be one from each general division of the Board. The names of the special manuscript committee shall be stated to each Director when the manuscript is submitted to him. It shall be the duty of each member of the special manuscript committee to read the manuscript. If each member of the manuscript committee signifies his approval within thirty days of the transmittal of the manuscript, the report may be published. If at the end of that period any member of the manuscript committee withholds his approval, the President shall then notify each member of the Board, requesting approval or disapproval of publication, and thirty days additional shall be granted for this purpose. The manuscript shall then not be published unless at least a majority of the entire Board who shall have voted on the proposal within the time fixed for the receipt of votes shall have approved.

5. No manuscript may be published, though approved by each member of the special manuscript committee, until forty-five days have elapsed from the transmittal of the report in manuscript form. The interval is allowed for the receipt of any memorandum of dissent or reservation, together with a brief statement of his reasons, that any member may wish to express; and such memorandum of dissent or reservation shall be published with the manuscript if he so desires. Publication does not, however, imply that each member of the Board has read the manuscript, or that either members of the Board in general or the special committee have passed on its validity in every detail.

6. Publications of the National Bureau issued for informational purposes concerning the work of the Bureau and its staff, or issued to inform the public of activities of Bureau staff, and volumes issued as a result of various conferences involving the National Bureau shall contain a specific disclaimer noting that such publication has not passed through the normal review procedures required in this resolution. The Executive Committee of the Board is charged with review of all such publications from time to time to ensure that they do not take on the character of formal research reports of the National Bureau, requiring formal Board approval.

7. Unless otherwise determined by the Board or exempted by the terms of paragraph 6, a copy of this resolution shall be printed in each National Bureau publication.

*(Resolution adopted October 25, 1926, and revised February 6, 1933,
February 24, 1941, and April 20, 1968)*

This report is one of a series of studies on tax structure and economic growth aided by grants from the Rockefeller Brothers Fund and the Life Insurance Association of America. These organizations, however, are not responsible for any of the statements made or views expressed.

Tax Policies for Economic Growth

ADVISORY COMMITTEE

The Advisory Committee on the Study of Tax Policies for Economic Growth has generously assisted in planning and reviewing the work of the staff. Their advice is gratefully acknowledged without, however, implying their concurrence with the views expressed in this report. The members of the committee are:

Carl S. Shoup, Chairman, *Columbia University*
Julian D. Anthony, *Hartford Life Insurance Company*
Walter J. Blum, *University of Chicago*
George T. Conklin, Jr., *Guardian Life Insurance Company of America*
John F. Due, *University of Illinois*
Richard B. Goode, *International Monetary Fund*
C. H. Greenewalt, *E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company*
Albert J. Hettinger, Jr., *Lazard Frères and Company*
E. Gordon Keith, *University of Pennsylvania*
Wesley Lindow, *Irving Trust Company*
Stacy May, *Wellfleet, Massachusetts*
Maurice Moonitz, *University of California at Berkeley*
Richard A. Musgrave, *Harvard University*
James J. O'Leary, *Lionel D. Edie & Co., Inc.*
Joseph A. Pechman, *Brookings Institution*
Maurice E. Peloubet, *Price, Waterhouse & Company*
George B. Roberts, *Larchmont, New York*
Lawrence H. Seltzer, *formerly of Wayne State University*
Dan T. Smith, *Harvard University*
Stanley S. Surrey, *U.S. Treasury Department*
George Terborgh, *Machinery and Allied Products Institute*
William C. Warren, *Columbia University*
Laurence N. Woodworth, *Joint Committee on Internal Revenue Taxation*

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	xiii
1. THE NATURE OF THE STUDY	1
Scope of the Study	3
Nature of Modernization Outlays and the Suitability of the Textile Industry for the Study of Such Expenditures	8
Modernization Versus Modification	12
The Plan of Study	13
2. HOW LIBERALIZED DEPRECIATION INFLUENCES MODERNIZATION EXPENDITURES	15
The Effect of Liberalized Depreciation on the Demand for Modernization Expenditures	16
The Cash Flow Effect of Liberalized Depreciation	22
The Effect of Liberalized Depreciation on Management's Attitudes	26
Modernization Versus Capital Expansion	28
3. DEPRECIATION EXPERIENCE SINCE 1954	30
Adoption of the 1954 Internal Revenue Code Provisions	30
Reduction of Service Lives—1961	34
Experience with 1961–62 Depreciation Provisions	36
Book Depreciation Versus Tax Depreciation	42
Summary and Conclusions	44
4. THE INVESTMENT DECISION AND THE DEMAND EFFECT	46
Factors in the Decision to Modernize	47
Investment Formulas Used	60
Indirect Demand Effects	63
The Demand Effect and the Choice Between Modification and Purchase	64

5. THE CASH FLOW EFFECT ON MODERNIZATION OUTLAYS AND THE INFLUENCE OF DEPRECIATION LIBERALIZATION ON MANAGEMENT ATTITUDES	68
The Theoretical Case for the Cash Flow Effect	68
The Cash Flow Effect in Practice	71
Evidence Relating to the Cash Flow Effect	74
Joint Influence of the Demand and Cash Flow Effects of Liberalized Depreciation	87
Possible Influence of Liberalized Depreciation on Management Attitudes	88
6. THE INVESTMENT CREDIT	92
Historical Background	92
Effect of Credit on Profitability	93
Company Response to the Credit	95
Factors Influencing Executive Opinion	98
7. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	100
Depreciation Experience Since 1954	101
Influence of Liberalized Depreciation on Modernization Expenditures	101
Influence of the Investment Credit on Modernization Expenditures	104
Lessons for Tax Policy	105
APPENDIX A. QUESTIONNAIRE FOR TEXTILE MILL INTERVIEWS	106
APPENDIX B. DESCRIPTION OF SIMULATION TECHNIQUE	112
INDEX	115

TABLES

1. Gross Earnings Before and After Tax with Alternative Depreciation Allowances and After-Tax Rate of Return	19
2. Increases in Depreciation Charges 1960–63, Actual and Simulated Series Compared	40
3. Selected Information Relating to Use of Investment Computations	48
4. Twenty-Five Textile Firms Classified According to Cash Flow Effect, Debt Policy, and Amount of Debt	78
5. Distribution of Sample Firms by Cash Flow Effect, Constraint on Modernization Projects, and Response to Depreciation Liberalization	80
6. Selected Information Relating to Influence of Cash Flow on Modernization Expenditures	81
7. Summary of Executive Responses Regarding Savings Due to the Investment Credit	96

CHART

1. Capital Investment Expenditures; Total Textile Mill Products, Cotton and Synthetic Broadwoven Goods plus Yarn and Thread Mills, Other Textile Mills, 1950-62

4

FIGURE

1. Effect of Change in Cash Flow on Modernization Outlays

69

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

THE RESEARCHER who secures the basic ingredients of his study largely through interviews, quickly finds himself indebted to many persons. It is a matter of personal regret that the confidential nature of the interviews makes it impossible to name—or thank—all those who were so generous with their time.

It is my privilege, however, to name several persons and organizations who played very special roles. The study was possible only because of the cooperation of the American Textile Machinery Association and the American Textile Manufacturers' Institute, Inc. Mrs. Mildred Andrews, secretary of the ATMA, arranged meetings for me with a number of textile equipment producers. Charles Caffrey, ATMI, and C. E. Conley, then chairman of the ATMI tax committee, generously found time to provide background information and to urge the members of the association to lend their support by granting interviews. L. H. Hance of the Institute of Textile Technology also provided invaluable assistance by answering questions regarding the nature of technological change and modernization problems in the textile industry.

Within the National Bureau, I wish to thank Hal B. Lary, Director of International Studies, Phillip D. Cagan, Challis Hall, and John W. Kendrick for their useful criticisms.

My greatest debt is to Norman Ture, project director of the studies on Tax Policies for Economic Growth, of which this volume is part. Dr. Ture read the manuscript at every stage, giving patient and wise counsel on a variety of problems relating

to the interpretation and presentation of the material. The finished report gained much from his suggestions.

My thanks go also to my colleague, Irwin Silberman of New York University, who read and criticized the manuscript. Athanasios Kavgalakis assembled data on company and industry investment and depreciation. It was he who prepared the depreciation simulations discussed in Chapter 3.

I appreciate the comments made by the NBER Board of Directors' reading committee: Thomas D. Flynn, Maurice W. Lee, and Gus Tyler. In addition, J. A. Beirne and Boris Shishkin (members of the Board but not on the reading committee) also submitted comments. Gnomi Schrift edited this book, and H. Irving Forman drew the chart and the figure.

Together with other studies in the National Bureau's series on tax policies in relation to economic growth, this investigation has been supported by grants from the Rockefeller Brothers Fund and the Life Insurance Association of America.

THOMAS M. STANBACK, JR.

TAX CHANGES *and* MODERNIZATION
in the TEXTILE INDUSTRY

