

This PDF is a selection from an out-of-print volume from the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: Pension Funds of Multiemployer Industrial Groups, Unions, and Nonprofit Organizations

Volume Author/Editor: H. Robert Bartell and Elizabeth T. Simpson

Volume Publisher: UMI

Volume ISBN: 0-87014-491-X

Volume URL: <http://www.nber.org/books/bart68-1>

Publication Date: 1968

Chapter Title: Front matter, "Pension Funds of Multiemployer Industrial Groups, Unions, and Nonprofit Organizations"

Chapter Author: H. Robert Bartell, Elizabeth T. Simpson

Chapter URL: <http://www.nber.org/chapters/c1110>

Chapter pages in book: (p. -14 - 0)

H. ROBERT BARTELL, JR.
NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

ELIZABETH T. SIMPSON
NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH

Pension Funds of
Multiemployer Industrial Groups,
Unions, and
Nonprofit Organizations

OCCASIONAL PAPER 105



NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH
NEW YORK 1968

Distributed by COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY PRESS
NEW YORK AND LONDON

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 68-12980

EXTRACT

From materials prepared for the Joint Economic Committee, Congress of the United States, Ninetieth Congress, First Session, Pursuant to Sec. 5(a) of Public Law 304 (79th Congress), Part V, "Financial Aspects of Pension Plans," of the compendium of papers entitled "Old Age Income Assurance," prepared for the Subcommittee on Fiscal Policy.

NOT PRINTED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

2,006,214

National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc.

Bartell, Harry Robert.

Pension funds of multiemployer industrial groups, unions, and nonprofit organizations, by, H. Robert Bartell, Jr. and, Elizabeth T. Simpson. New York, National Bureau of Economic Research; distributed by Columbia University Press, 1968.

xii, 52 p. 26 cm. (National Bureau of Economic Research. Occasional paper 105)

Bibliographical footnotes.

1. Pension trusts—U. S. i. Simpson, Elizabeth T., joint author.

ii. Title. (Series)

H11.N2432 no. 105

331.2'52'0973

68-12980

MATERIAL SUBMITTED BY PUBLISHER.

2,006,214

National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc.

Bartell, Harry Robert.

Pension funds of multiemployer industrial groups, unions, and nonprofit organizations, by, H. Robert Bartell, Jr. and, Elizabeth T. Simpson. New York, National Bureau of Economic Research; distributed by Columbia University Press, 1968.

xii, 52 p. 26 cm. (National Bureau of Economic Research. Occasional paper 105)

Bibliographical footnotes.

1. Pension trusts—U. S. i. Simpson, Elizabeth T., joint author.

ii. Title. (Series)

H11.N2432 no. 105

331.2'52'0973

68-12980

MATERIAL SUBMITTED BY PUBLISHER.



NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH

1967

OFFICERS

Arthur F. Burns, *Chairman*
Theodore O. Yntema, *Vice Chairman*
John R. Meyer, *President*
Donald B. Woodward, *Treasurer*
Geoffrey H. Moore, *Director of Research*

Douglas H. Eldridge, *Executive Director*
Hal B. Lary, *Associate Director of Research*
Victor R. Fuchs, *Associate Director of Research*
Mark S. Reinsberg, *Director of Publications*

DIRECTORS AT LARGE

Joseph A. Beirne, *Communications Workers of America*
Wallace J. Campbell, *Foundation for Cooperative Housing*
Erwin D. Canham, *Christian Science Monitor*
Solomon Fabricant, *New York University*
Frank W. Fetter, *Dartmouth College*
Marion B. Folsom, *Eastman Kodak Co.*
Crawford H. Greenewalt, *E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co.*
Gabriel Hauge, *Manufacturers Hanover Trust Co.*
Walter W. Heller, *University of Minnesota*
Albert J. Hettinger, Jr., *Lazard Frères and Co.*

Harry W. Laidler, *League for Industrial Democracy*
John R. Meyer, *Harvard University*
Geoffrey H. Moore, *National Bureau of Economic Research*
Charles G. Mortimer, *General Foods Corp.*
J. Wilson Newman, *Dun & Bradstreet, Inc.*
George B. Roberts, *Larchmont, N.Y.*
Robert V. Roosa, *Brown Brothers Harriman & Co.*
Boris Shishkin, *American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations*
Gus Tyler, *International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union*
Joseph H. Willits, *Langhorne, Pa.*
Donald B. Woodward, *A. W. Jones and Co.*

DIRECTORS BY UNIVERSITY APPOINTMENT

Francis M. Boddy, *Minnesota*
Arthur F. Burns, *Columbia*
Lester V. Chandler, *Princeton*
Melvin G. deChazeau, *Cornell*
Walter D. Fisher, *Northwestern*
R. A. Gordon, *California*
Harold M. Groves, *Wisconsin*
Gottfried Haberler, *Harvard*

Douglas G. Hartle, *Toronto*
Maurice W. Lee, *North Carolina*
Lloyd G. Reynolds, *Yale*
Robert M. Solow, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
Henri Theil, *Chicago*
Willis J. Winn, *Pennsylvania*

DIRECTORS BY APPOINTMENT OF OTHER ORGANIZATIONS

Thomas D. Flynn, *American Institute of Certified Public Accountants*
Nathaniel Goldfinger, *American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations*
Harold G. Halcrow, *American Farm Economic Association*
Walter E. Hoadley, *American Finance Association*

Douglass C. North, *Economic History Association*
Murray Shields, *American Management Association*
Willard J. Thorp, *American Economic Association*
W. Allen Wallis, *American Statistical Association*

Theodore O. Yntema, *Committee for Economic Development*

DIRECTORS EMERITI

Percival F. Brundage
Shepard Morgan

Harry Scherman

George Soule
Jacob Viner

SENIOR RESEARCH STAFF

Moses Abramovitz
Gary S. Becker
Arthur F. Burns
Phillip Cagan
James S. Earley
Solomon Fabricant

Milton Friedman
Victor R. Fuchs
Raymond W. Goldsmith
Jack M. Guttentag
Daniel M. Holland
F. Thomas Juster

C. Harry Kahn
John W. Kendrick
Irving B. Kravis
Hal B. Lary
Robert E. Lipsey
John R. Meyer

Jacob Mincer
Ise Mintz
Geoffrey H. Moore
Robert P. Shav
George J. Stigler
Norman B. Ture

Victor Zarnowitz

RELATION OF THE DIRECTORS TO THE WORK
AND PUBLICATIONS OF THE
NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH

1. The object of the National Bureau of Economic Research is to ascertain and to present to the public important economic facts and their interpretation in a scientific and impartial manner. The Board of Directors is charged with the responsibility of ensuring that the work of the National Bureau is carried on in strict conformity with this object.
2. To this end the Board of Directors shall appoint one or more Directors of Research.
3. The Director or Directors of Research shall submit to the members of the Board, or to its Executive Committee, for their formal adoption, all specific proposals concerning researches to be instituted.
4. No report shall be published until the Director or Directors of Research shall have submitted to the Board a summary drawing attention to the character of the data and their utilization in the report, the nature and treatment of the problems involved, the main conclusions, and such other information as in their opinion would serve to determine the suitability of the report for publication in accordance with the principles of the National Bureau.
5. A copy of any manuscript proposed for publication shall also be submitted to each member of the Board. For each manuscript to be so submitted a special committee shall be appointed by the President, or at his designation by the Executive Director, consisting of three Directors selected as nearly as may be one from each general division of the Board. The names of the special manuscript committee shall be stated to each Director when the summary and report described in paragraph (4) are sent to him. It shall be the duty of each member of the committee to read the manuscript. If each member of the special committee signifies his approval within thirty days, the manuscript may be published. If each member of the special committee has not signified his approval within thirty days of the transmittal of the report and manuscript, the Director of Research shall then notify each member of the Board, requesting approval or disapproval of publication, and thirty additional days shall be granted for this purpose. The manuscript shall then not be published unless at least a majority of the entire Board and a two-thirds majority of those members of the Board who shall have voted on the proposal within the time fixed for the receipt of votes on the publication proposed shall have approved.
6. No manuscript may be published, though approved by each member of the special committee, until forty-five days have elapsed from the transmittal of the summary and report. The interval is allowed for the receipt of any memorandum of dissent or reservation, together with a brief statement of his reasons, that any member may wish to express; and such memorandum of dissent or reservation shall be published with the manuscript if he so desires. Publication does not, however, imply that each member of the Board has read the manuscript, or that either members of the Board in general, or of the special committee, have passed upon its validity in every detail.
7. A copy of this resolution shall, unless otherwise determined by the Board, be printed in each copy of every National Bureau book.

*(Resolution adopted October 25, 1926,
as revised February 6, 1933, and February 24, 1941)*

This report is one of a series emerging from an investigation of pension plans made possible by grants to the National Bureau from the Maurice and Laura Falk Foundation and the Life Insurance Association of America. These organizations are not, however, responsible for any of the statements made or views expressed.

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON PENSION STUDIES

In the planning and review of its pension studies, the National Bureau has benefited from the advice and guidance of this committee. The committee's concurrence with the views expressed in this report, however, is not to be assumed. The members of the committee are:

SOLOMON FABRICANT (chairman), National Bureau of Economic Research
ROBERT M. BALL, Social Security Administration
DORRANCE C. BRONSON, The Wyatt Company, Washington, D.C.
J. DOUGLAS BROWN, Princeton University
GEORGE B. BUCK, JR., New York, N.Y.
ARTHUR L. COBURN, JR., Old Colony Trust Company
JOHN J. CORSON, formerly of McKinsey & Co.
F. F. FAURI, University of Michigan
MILTON FRIEDMAN, University of Chicago
GEORGE GARVY, Federal Reserve Bank of New York
WILLIAM C. GREENOUGH, Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association and College Retirement Equities Fund
CHALLIS A. HALL, JR., Yale University
RALPH W. HEMMINGER, Bankers Trust Company
ALBERT J. HETTINGER, JR., Lazard Freres and Company
REINHARD A. HOHAUS, formerly of Metropolitan Life Insurance Company
CHARLES L. JACOBSON, JR., formerly of State of Wisconsin Investment Board
E. GORDON KEITH, University of Pennsylvania
BENJAMIN B. KENDRICK, formerly of Life Insurance Association of America
LANE KIRKLAND, AFL-CIO, Washington, D.C.
MURRAY W. LATIMER, Washington, D.C.
J. D. LOCKTON, General Electric Company
DAN M. MCGILL, University of Pennsylvania
IDA C. MERRIAM, Social Security Administration
ROBERT J. MEYERS, Social Security Administration
VITO NATRELLA, formerly of Securities and Exchange Commission
JAMES J. O'LEARY, formerly of Life Insurance Association of America
JOSEPH A. PECHMAN, Brookings Institution
RAY M. PETERSON, formerly of Equitable Life Assurance Society
RENE L. ROTHSCHILD, formerly of California State Employees' Retirement Systems
LAWRENCE H. SELTZER, formerly of Wayne State University

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

September 7, 1967

Honorable William Proxmire
Chairman
Joint Economic Committee
Washington, D.C. 20025

Dear Senator Proxmire:

On behalf of the National Bureau of Economic Research I am happy to transmit to you, in response to Dr. Knowles' request, the attached report by H. Robert Bartell, Jr. and Elizabeth T. Simpson, *Pension Funds of Multiemployer Industrial Groups, Unions, and Nonprofit Organizations*.

The report is one of a series of studies upon which they and several other members of the staff of the National Bureau have been engaged for some years. Fortunately the completion of this series of studies coincided with the plans of the Joint Economic Committee for a Compendium on Old Age Income Assurance. We are therefore glad to make the report available to the Committee. It will also be published separately by the National Bureau.

The report has had the benefit of a thorough review by members of the Bureau's staff and Board as well as by an advisory committee of experts in this field. We hope that the Joint Economic Committee will find it useful in its investigations of this important subject.

Very truly yours,

GHM:mm
Enc.

Geoffrey H. Moore
Director of Research

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Roger F. Murray, director of the National Bureau's pension studies, has been of inestimable help to both authors at all stages of their efforts from initial idea to final fruition. Also helpful were the suggestions of the two other members of the National Bureau's staff reading committee: Daniel M. Holland and Ralph L. Nelson.

We are also indebted to members of the pension studies' advisory committee, especially to Dorrance C. Bronson, Arthur L. Coburn, Jr., George Garvy, William C. Greenough, Ralph W. Hemminger, Ida C. Merriam, Robert J. Myers, and Ray M. Peterson. We also thank Robert E. Graham, Jr., Office of Business Economics; Donald L. Hibbard, Board of Pensions, United Presbyterian Church; Francis P. King, TIAA; H. S. Linfield, Jewish Statistical Bureau; L. R. Linsenmayer, Bureau of Labor Statistics; Joseph J. Melone, Wharton School; members of the Office of Welfare and Pension Plans of the Department of Labor; and others too numerous to mention who provided information of various sorts. The studies could never have been completed without their aid, and we are most grateful to them. We also wish to thank Joseph A. Beirne, Albert J. Hettinger, Jr., and Theodore O. Yntema of the National Bureau's Board of Directors for their review of the manuscript.

The studies were financed by grants from the Maurice & Laura Falk Foundation and the Life Insurance Association of America. Some of Dr. Bartell's research was also financed and encouraged in other ways by the Division of Research, Graduate School of Business Administration, University of California, Los Angeles, and by the United States Savings and Loan League.

We both wish to thank James F. McRee, Jr., for his excellent editorial suggestions. Miss Simpson appreciates the skill of H. Irving Forman in drawing the chart and the painstaking statistical assistance rendered by Nadeschda Bohsack and Antonette Burgar.

The authors acknowledge responsibility for errors that still remain in these papers.

H. ROBERT BARTELL, JR.
ELIZABETH T. SIMPSON.

CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	viii
FOREWORD, by Roger F. Murray	xi
PART I. Growth in Multiemployer and Union Pension Funds, 1959-64, by H. Robert Bartell, Jr.	1
1. Introduction	1
2. Description and Size of Funds	1
3. Portfolio Composition	9
4. Comparison With Portfolios of Corporate Pension Funds	12
5. Summary	17
APPENDIX I. Estimating Procedures	18
PART II. Pension Funds of Nonprofit Organizations, by Elizabeth T. Simpson	21
6. Introduction	21
7. Size of Funds: Variations by Type of Plan and Type of Nonprofit Organization	22
8. Growth Rates	30
9. Portfolio Composition	33
10. Conclusions	40
APPENDIX II. Sources and Limitations of Data	42

TABLES

I-1. National and International Unions Participating in Multiemployer Pension Plans, by Number of Workers Covered, Comparison of BLS and NBER Surveys	4
I-2. Multiemployer Pension Plans, by Number of Workers Covered, Comparison of BLS and NBER Surveys	5
I-3. Multiemployer and Union Pension Funds, Assets in 1959 Per Worker Covered, by Year of Organization	7
I-4. Concentration of Assets in Corporate and in Multiemployer and Union Pension Funds	7
I-5. Ten Largest Self-Insured Multiemployer and Union Pension Funds, 1959	8
I-6. Assets of Multiemployer and Union Pension Funds, by Industry Group, 1959	8
I-7. Portfolio Composition of Multiemployer and Union Pension Funds, 1959-64	9
I-8. Multiemployer and Union Pension Funds, Sources and Uses of Funds, 1960-64	10
I-9. Portfolio Composition of Atypical, Selected, and All Multiemployer and Union Pension Funds, 1964	10
I-10. Portfolio Composition of Multiemployer and Union Pension Funds, by Size of Fund, 1959	11
I-11. Investment Portfolios of Selected Multiemployer and Union Pension Funds, 1964, and Corporate Pension Funds, 1959 and 1964	13
I-12. Investment Portfolio of Selected and Bank-Administered Multiemployer and Union Funds and Corporate Funds, 1959	14
I-13. Portfolio Composition of Multiemployer and Union Pension Funds, by Union; All Unions With Funds Totaling \$15,000,000 or More, 1959	15
I-14. Number of Multiemployer and Union Funds in Sample Holding Selected Types of Assets, 1959-64	16

II-1. Percentage Distribution of Pension Funds by Type of Plan, Nonprofit Organizations and All Other Private Groups, 1958-64	22
II-2. Percentage Distribution of Pension Funds of Nonprofit Organizations, by Purpose of Organization, and Type of Plan, End of 1960	23
II-3. Pension Coverage Rates of Nonprofit Organizations, by Purpose of Organization, End of 1960	24
II-4. Annual Growth Rates of Noninsured Pension Funds of Nonprofit Organizations and Corporations, 1946-64	31
II-5. Annual Growth Rates of TIAA, CREF, and Agency Life Insurance Company Pension Reserves, 1946-64	32
II-6. Percentage Distribution of Portfolios of Noninsured Pension Funds of Nonprofit Organizations, Selected Years, 1951-64	34
II-7. Percentage Distribution of Portfolios of Noninsured Corporate Pension Funds, Selected Years, 1951-64	34
II-8. Ratios of Market to Book Values for Security Investments of Noninsured Pension Funds of Nonprofit Organizations and Corporations, Selected Years, 1951-64	35
II-9. Percentage Distribution of Portfolios of Insured Pension Funds, Agency Insurance Companies and TIAA-CREF, Selected Years, 1951-64 ...	35
II-10. Percentage Distribution of Portfolios of Total Pension Funds for Nonprofit Organizations, Year End, 1958-64	36
II-11. Uses of Total Pension Funds by Nonprofit Organizations in the Capital Markets, 1959-64	36
II-12. Ratio of Gross Income from Interest and Dividends to Book Value of Total Assets for Noninsured Pension Funds of Corporations and Nonprofit Organizations and for Agency Insurance Companies and TIAA, 1958-64	38
II-13. Ratio of Gross Income from Interest and Dividends Plus Realized and Unrealized Gains and Losses to Market Value of Total Assets for Noninsured Pension Funds of Corporations and Nonprofit Organizations and CREF, 1958-64	39

CHART

II-1. Ratio of Gross Income from Interest and Dividends Plus Realized and Unrealized Gains and Losses to Market Value of Total Assets for Noninsured Pension Funds of Corporations and Nonprofit Organizations and CREF, 1958-64	38
--	----

FOREWORD

Gaps in available data are not an unusual discovery in the exploration of a field as broad as public and private pensions. Early in the National Bureau's research project on the economic aspects of pensions, it became evident that data on the relatively new multiemployer and union pension programs were not available in enough detail to indicate their size, rate of growth, and portfolio composition. Comparable data were also incomplete even for the long-established plans of nonprofit organizations. Lack of convenient sources of information, rather than any lack of interest, seemed responsible for these particular gaps in the otherwise comprehensive measurement of saving and investment in the field of private pensions.

This third report from the pension research project seeks to remedy these deficiencies in basic information.¹ The results have been especially useful to Government agencies in revising their time series data on the private pension structure. The findings have also materially aided in the displacement of widely circulated but erroneous impressions by factually supported insights into significant trends.

In recent years, the rapid growth of multiemployer and union pension funds was expected by some observers to create a new economic power in the hands of union officials. It was suggested, for example, that a degree of control over employers might be exercised; that the character of union organization might be changed by newly created financial management responsibilities; and that great stimulus to the financing of middle-income housing might be provided by the investment of vast sums from these sources. Bartell's survey shows that aggregate assets amounted to less than \$1.3 billion in 1959 and grew to \$3.0 billion by 1964. Although this is a rapid rate of growth, the aggregate amount in 1964 only represented approximately 4 percent of the book value of all industrial pension fund accumulations. Furthermore, he shows that the funds were generally invested in accordance with traditional standards of trusteeship.²

Despite vigorous growth in coverage and assets, therefore, it is easy to exaggerate the role of multiemployer and union pension funds in the total structure of public and private pension programs. In contrast, there is a tendency to regard similar programs of nonprofit organizations as of diminishing importance because of the relatively mature stage of their development. To appraise their role in accurate perspective, a different approach had to be taken by Elizabeth Simpson in her study. The principal questions related to nonprofit institutions outside of the well-known group of major Protestant churches with long-established

¹ The two preceding reports are Phillip Cagan's *The Effect of Pension Plans on Aggregate Saving: Evidence From a Sample Survey*, Occasional Paper 95, New York, NBER, 1965, and Daniel M. Holland's *Private Pension Funds: Projected Growth*, Occasional Paper 97, New York, NBER, 1966.

² The impact of new pension plan responsibilities on union organization and leadership was further explored by Bartell in "Unions and Pension Funds," unpublished Ph. D. dissertation, Columbia University, 1962.

lished retirement benefit programs. What are the trends in the coverage of employees of other religious bodies? Medical and health, cultural, and social service organizations have been slow to extend pension coverage. Will there be well-sustained future growth in programs for these nonprofit activities?

Aided by the rapid growth of educational institutions and the extension of pension coverage, it appears that the nonprofit sector, contrary to what might be expected, faces an extended period of strong growth in asset accumulation. To support this conclusion Miss Simpson has surveyed trends in a wide range of activities. Complete coverage was beyond the scope of this study, but an attempt was made to identify most of the areas of potential importance.

These studies serve a twofold purpose: to assemble the facts in areas where data were incomplete and to identify trends which will affect the future structure of pension arrangements. In the process of serving these two objectives, it was possible to correct some exaggerated expectations regarding the adolescence of multiemployer and union pension funds. Similarly, it was found that a vigorous middle age rather than approaching senility characterized the programs of nonprofit institutions. For these reasons, the Bartell and Simpson studies fit together in this volume of research studies dealing with the economic aspects of pensions. The facts and interpretation which they supply serve to illuminate not just the dark corners but the main stream of developments in the dynamic evolution of the public and private pension structure.

ROGER F. MURRAY.