

---

# Subject Index

---

- Accountability systems, school, 50; and Florida voucher program, 51–53, 57–63; voucher programs and, 64–65
- Achievement: effect of charter schools on, in Arizona public schools, 333–37; effect of charter schools on, in Michigan public schools, 323–33; effect of Milwaukee voucher program on, 315–23
- Alum Rock choice program, 1–2, 2n3, 107
- American College Test (ACT), 289n2, 305
- Arizona public schools, effect of charter schools on achievement in, 333–37
- Catholic schools, 24–25, 24n2. *See also* Private schools
- Charter schools, 19; cream-skimming and, 94; effect of, on achievement in Arizona public schools, 333–37; effect of, on achievement in Michigan public schools, 323–33; for-profit schools, 296–97; nonprofit schools, 298–99; for special needs students, 93–98. *See also* Neighborhood schools; Private schools; Public schools
- Chicago, open enrollment systems for special needs students in, 90–93
- Class size, productivity and, 6
- Cleveland Scholarship Program, 100
- Climate, school, private schools vs. public schools and, 128–29
- Coleman Report, 6, 6n4
- Competition: health care industry and, 294; importance of threat of, 11–12; parcel services industry and, 294; of regular public schools, 301–2; school quality and, 30–37; school quality in absence of, 170–74; sources of, 24; teacher quality and, 37–45; trucking industry and, 294
- Cream-skimming, 6; charter schools and, 94
- Disabled students. *See* Special needs students
- Economic growth, school productivity and, 293
- Economists: advantages of, and study of school choice, 3–4; interest in school choice and, 1–2; tools of, and school choice, 3
- Education for all Handicapped Children Act (EHA), 68
- Educators: labor markets for, and school choice, 7–8; quality of, 26–28; quality of, and competition, 37–45; school choice and labor markets for, 7–8; turnover and, 39
- Efficiency, school, 30–31
- Empirical analysis, nonexperimental, for collecting evidence on school choice, 8–9
- Epple-Platt-Sieg (EPS) approach, 180–83

- Facilities, school, voucher programs and, 126–28
- Family size, school choice and, 185
- Finance, school, 5, 232–33; imperfect capital markets perspective of, 195–96; income growth and distribution and, 17; redistribution systems of, 207–8; for special education, 69; for special education, under school choice, 70–73
- Florida, accountability and voucher program in, 51–53, 57–63, 100
- Foreign programs, for school choice, 20
- For-profit schools, 296–97
- Free and appropriate public education (FAPE), 68, 93, 99
- General equilibrium, tools for, 6–7
- Grading of schools, in Florida, 51–52
- Growth, economic, and school productivity, 293
- Health care industry, 294
- Herfindahl index, 32–33
- Homework, private schools and, 129–30
- Horizon Scholarship Program, 100
- Housing markets, 146, 180–81, 239; winners and losers in, 189
- “Hoxby river,” 248
- Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), 68, 71n2, 94, 99
- Industry, American, school productivity and, 293
- Interdistrict choice, traditional, 306–8; effect of, on school productivity, 310–12
- Intradistrict choice, 18
- Labor markets, for educators, school choice and, 7–8
- Laissez-faire voucher systems, 263
- Lump-sum voucher systems, 203–5, 209
- Magnet schools, 24n1
- Market structure, school choice and, 3–4
- McKay Scholarships, 100
- Means-equalizing voucher systems, 206–7
- Means-tested voucher systems, 205–6
- Michigan public schools, effect of charter schools on achievement in, 323–33
- Milwaukee voucher program, 1; effect of, on achievement, 315–23
- Minnesota, open enrollment systems for special needs students in, 89–90
- Mobility, student: school choice and, 183–84; special education and, 83–88
- National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 289
- Neighborhood schools, 227, 234; economic model of, 236–39; equilibrium with, 239–47. *See also* Public schools
- No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, 50
- Nonexperimental empirical analysis, methods of, for collecting evidence on school choice, 8–9
- Nonprofit schools: charter schools, 298–99; voucher programs and, 300–301
- Open enrollment systems, 88–89; in Chicago, for special needs students, 90–93; in Minnesota, for special needs students, 89–90
- Parcel services industry, 294–95
- Parental communication, private schools and, 129–30
- Parents Advancing Choice in Education Program (Dayton, Ohio), 110
- Parent satisfaction, voucher programs and, 124–26
- Private school choice, effect of, on school productivity, 312–14
- Private schools: homework and, 129–30; school climate and, 128–29; special education and, 98–99; student make-up of, 9–10; test score findings of, 116–24; traditional choice of, 308–9; voucher programs and, 263–71. *See also* Charter schools; Public schools
- Productivity, school, 18–19; effect of, on American industry and growth, 293; class size and, 6; effect of recent choice reforms on, 314–38; effect of traditional forms of school choice on, 305–14; effect of traditional interdistrict choice on, 310–12; effect of traditional private school choice on, 312–14; measuring, 289–293, 305; reasons why school choice affects, 296–302; of regular public schools, 301–2; research findings on effects of school choice on, 302–5; school choice and, 287–89; in school choice literature, 293–96; of school inputs, 5–6
- Public schools: competition of, 301–2; private sector and, 24–25; productivity

- of, 301–2; school climate and, 128–29; special education and, 99–100; test score findings of, 116–24. *See also* Neighborhood schools; Private schools
- Quality, school: competition and, 30–37; improving, with voucher programs, 49–50; school choice and, 169–70; school responses in absence of competition and, 170–74; school responses to competition and, 174–79; segregation and, 179–80; winners and losers in, 188–89
- Randomization, voucher programs and, 14–15
- Redistribution policies, 196, 207–8. *See also* Voucher programs
- Regular public schools. *See* Public schools
- Residential location decisions, 25, 146
- Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT), 289n2, 305
- School choice, 233–35; advantages of economists in studying, 3–4; allocation orientation of, 287–88; common misapprehensions about supply of, 10–11; constraints on, 148–49; constructing model for, 150–61; economic analysis of, 2–3; economists and, 1–2; effect of recent reforms in, and school productivity, 314–38; equilibrium with, 247–50; family size and, 185; financing special education under, 70–73; foreign programs for, 20; labor markets for educators and, 7–8; market structure and, 3–4; methods in nonexperimental empirical analysis for collecting evidence on, 8–9; mobility and, 183–84; predicting effects of, on student sorting, 6–7; predicting impact of increased choice of, 147–50; productivity and, 287–89; productivity, and literature of, 293–96; reasons why, affects school productivity, 296–302; research findings on effects of, on school productivity, 302–5; school finance and, 5; school quality and, 169–70; special education and, 67–70; as theory problem, 21
- School Choice Scholarships Foundation Program (New York City), 109–10
- School finance. *See* Finance, school
- School quality. *See* Quality, school
- Schools, supply and, 10–11. *See also* Charter schools; Neighborhood schools; Private schools; Public schools
- Segregation, 6; role of private and public schools with vouchers and, 161–64; school quality and, 179–80; special needs students and, 76–80; vouchers and, 164–69
- Seranno decision, 207, 208
- Special education: charter schools for, 93–98; financing, under school choice, 70–73; private schools and, 98–99; school choice and, 67–70; student mobility and, 83–88
- Special needs students, 67–70; open enrollment for, in Chicago, 90–93; open enrollment for, in Minnesota, 89–90; segregation and, 76–80
- Student sorting, predicting effects of school choice on, 6–7
- Students with disabilities. *See* Special needs students
- Tastes, 180, 183
- Teachers. *See* Educators
- Tenure, competition and, 25
- Texas, school choice and special needs students in, 73–88
- Texas School Project, 28–30, 74
- Tiebout competition, 25
- Tiebout equilibrium, 250–53
- Trucking industry, 294
- Turnover, teacher, 39
- Upstream markets, 8
- Value added achievement models, 26–27
- Voucher programs: accountability systems and, 64–65; benchmark model for, 197–203; designing politically feasible, 190; early evaluations of, 107–9; effect of, on achievement in Milwaukee public schools, 315–23; evaluation of, 114–16; evaluation procedures for, 111–14; exogenous policies for, 208–10; in Florida, 51–57; for-profit schools and, 297–98; impact of types of, 208–21; implications for targeting, 189–90; improving school quality with, 49–50; laissez-faire, 263; lump-sum system, 203–5; means-equalizing, 206–7; means-tested system, 205–6; nonprofit schools and, 300–301; Parents Advancing Choice in Education Program (Dayton,

Voucher programs (*cont.*)

Ohio), 110; parent satisfaction and, 124–26; political process and, 221–24; private schooling and, 263–71; randomized, 14; redistribution effects of, 196; school accountability and, in Florida, 57–63; School Choice Scholarships Foundation Program (New York City), 109–10; school facilities and, 126–28; school quality and residential location and, 146; short run vs. long run, 190–91;

test score findings for, 116–24; types of, 17–18; voting for, 184–85; Washington Scholarship Fund (Washington, D.C.), 110–11; winners and losers from, 187

Washington Scholarship Fund (Washington, D.C.), 110–11

Welfare effects, of school expenditures, 253–63

*Zelman v. Simmons-Harris*, xi–xiv